Service Date: August 25, 2025

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: TG-250478 PENALTY AMOUNT: \$100

West Waste & Recycling, Inc. 272 La Push Road Forks, Washington 98331 Westwaste94@gmail.com

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes that West Waste & Recycling, Inc. (West Waste or Company) violated Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-70-201, Vehicle and Driver Safety Requirements, which adopts Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (49 C.F.R.) Part 382 – Controlled Substance and Alcohol Use and Testing.

Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 81.04.405 allows penalties of \$100 for each violation. In the case of an ongoing violation, every day's continuance is considered a separate and distinct violation.

On June 4, 2025, Commission Motor Carrier Investigator Sandra Yeomans completed a followup safety investigation of West Waste and documented the following violation:

• One violation of 49 C.F.R. § 382.701(b)(1) - Carrier is required to acquire an annual query from Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) clearinghouse for all drivers performing duties that require a commercial driver's license. The Company failed to obtain an annual query for drivers Randy Allen, John Crowl, Brent Gagnon, and Brien Jaksha.

The Commission considered the following factors in determining the appropriate penalties for this violation:

- 1. How serious or harmful the violation is to the public. The violation noted is serious and potentially harmful to the public. Passenger transportation companies that fail to perform annual queries from the FMCSA clearinghouse put their customers and the traveling public at risk. This violation presents a serious safety concern.
- 2. Whether the violation was intentional.

On August 1, 1994, the Commission granted West Waste's application for authority to transport waste and received a G-certificate to operate as a solid waste company within the state of Washington.

On April 4, 2024, Staff completed a routine safety investigation, documenting four violations of 49 C.F.R § 382.701(b)(1).

The Company knew or should have known about these requirements.

- 3. Whether the Company self-reported the violation. West Waste did not self-report this violation.
- 4. Whether the Company was cooperative and responsive. The Company was cooperative throughout the safety investigation.
- 5. Whether the Company promptly corrected the violation and remedied the impacts. West Waste corrected violations as discovered.
- 6. **The number of violations.** Staff identified five violation types with a total of 12 individual occurrences during the routine safety investigation of West Waste. Of those violations, Staff identified one violation type with four individual occurrences that warrant a penalty in accordance with the Commission's Enforcement Policy.
- 7. **The number of customers affected.** West Waste reported traveling 165,000 miles in 2024. This violation presents a public safety risk.
- 8. **The likelihood of recurrence.** The Company incurred repeat violations despite prior technical assistance and suspended penalties. Absent a significant commitment to prioritize safe operations, the violations are likely to reoccur.
- 9. The Company's past performance regarding compliance, violations, and penalties. In the past 10 years, the Commission has issued two other assessment penalties against West Waste. On May 26, 2015, the Commission assessed a \$300 penalty against West Waste in Docket TG-150974 for violations of WAC 480-70-071. On June 10, 2015, the Company requested a hearing for the assessed penalty. On July 30, 2015, the Commission entered Order 01, denying the request for hearing. On August 10, 2015, the Company paid the penalty amount in full.
 - On June 27, 2017, the Commission assessed a \$1,700 penalty against West Waste in Docket TG-170714 for violations of WAC 480-70-201. On July 12, 2017, the Company paid the penalty amount in full.
- 10. **The Company's existing compliance program.** Brent Gagnon, Owner, and Tanya Dias, Operations Manager, are responsible for the Company's safety compliance program.
- 11. **The size of the Company.** The Company employs five drivers and operates seven commercial motor vehicles. The Company reported \$2,234,407.13 in gross revenue in 2024.

The Commission's Enforcement Policy provides that some Commission requirements are so fundamental to safe operations that the Commission will issue mandatory penalties for each occurrence of a first-time violation.¹ The Commission generally will assess penalties by

¹ Docket A-120061 – Enforcement Policy of the Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission – Section V.

violation category, rather than per occurrence, for first-time violations of those critical regulations that do not meet the requirements for mandatory penalties. The Commission will assess penalties for any equipment violation meeting the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's "out-of-service" criteria and also for repeat violations of critical regulations, including each occurrence of a repeat violation.

The Commission has considered these factors and determined that it should penalize West Waste \$100 (Penalty Assessment), calculated as follows:

• One violation of 49 C.F.R. § 382.701(b)(1) - Failed to acquire annual queries from the FMCSA clearinghouse for four drivers. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for this repeat violation.

This information, if proven at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, is sufficient to support the Penalty Assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe the violation did not occur, you may deny committing the violation and contest the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. Alternatively, if there is a reason for the violation that you believe should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request to contest the violation or for mitigation of the penalty must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request. See RCW 81.04.405.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of their decision.

You must act within 15 days after receiving this notice to do one of the following:

- Pay the amount due.
- Contest the occurrence of the violation.
- Admit the violation but request mitigation of the penalty amount.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and submit it electronically through the Commission's web portal at https://efiling.utc.wa.gov/Form within FIFTEEN (15) days after you receive this Penalty Assessment. If you are unable to use the web portal, you may submit it via email to records@utc.wa.gov. If you are unable to submit the form electronically, you may send a paper copy to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, PO Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250.

-

² https://efiling.utc.wa.gov/Form.

If you wish to make a payment online, please use this link: Make a Payment Now (wa.gov).³

If you do not act within 15 days, the Commission may take additional enforcement action, including but not necessarily limited to suspending or revoking your certificate to provide regulated service, assessing additional penalties, or referring this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective August 25, 2025.

/s/Connor Thompson
CONNOR THOMPSON
Director, Administrative Law Division

_

 $^{^{3} \ \}underline{\text{https://www.utc.wa.gov/documents-and-proceedings/online-payments/make-payment-now}}$

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION PENALTY ASSESSMENT TG-250478

PLEASE NOTE: You must complete and sign this document and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the Penalty Assessment. Use additional paper if needed. I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify to the matters set forth below and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements.

OR	Payment of penalty. I admit that the violations occurred. [] Enclose \$100 in payment of the penalty. [] Attest that I have paid the penalty in full through the Commission's payment portal.		
[] 2.	Contest the violation(s). I believe that the alleged violation(s) did not occur for the reasons I describe below (if you do not include reasons supporting your contest here, your request will be denied):		
		I ask for a hearing to present eviden inistrative law judge for a decision.	ce on the information I provide above to
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision bas above.	sed solely on the information I provide
[] 3.	Application for mitigation. I admit the violations, but I believe that the penalty shoul be reduced for the reasons set out below (if you do not include reasons supporting your application here, your request will be denied):		
	[] a)	I ask for a hearing to present eviden an administrative law judge for a de	ce on the information I provide above to ecision.
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision bas above.	sed solely on the information I provide
	-	enalty of perjury under the laws of the ation I have presented on any attachm	e State of Washington that the foregoing, eents, is true and correct.
Dated: _		[month/day/year], at	[city, state]
 Name o	f Respond	dent (company) – please print	Signature of Applicant

RCW 9A.72.020 "Perjury in the first degree."

- (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding he or she makes a materially false statement which he or she knows to be false under an oath required or authorized by law.
- (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor's mistaken belief that his or her statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section.
- (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony.