

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

	DOCKET NO. TR-
Petitioner,	PETITION TO MODIFY A HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE
VS.	CROSSING
Respondent 1	USDOT CROSSING NO.:
Respondent 2	

By filing this petition with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC), the Petitioner alleges that public safety requires the modification of a highway-rail grade crossing under RCW 81.53.060. Modifications can include, but are not limited to, constructing median barriers, realigning a highway or railroad tracks, widening highways, constructing multiple tracks, or changes to crossing surfaces that alter the dimensions of an existing surface, the angle at which the tracks intersect a highway, or the vertical alignment of a crossing.

Section 1 – Petitioner's Information

Petitioner
Mate Pupmen Signature
Mailing Address
City, State and Zip Code
Contact Person Name
Contact Phone Number and Email

Section 2 – Respondent's Information

Respondent 1		
Street Address		
City, State and Zip Code		
Mailing Address, if different than the street address		
Contact Person Name		
Contact Phone Number and Email		
Respondent 2		
Street Address		
City, State and Zip Code		
Mailing Address, if different than the street address		
Contact Person Name		
Contact Phone Number and Email		
Section 3 – Crossing Location		

1. Existing highway/roadway:	
2. Existing railroad:	
3. GPS location:	
4. Railroad mile post (nearest tenth):	
5. City:	County:

Section 4 – Railroad Information

1. Railroad company:				
2. Type of railroad at crossing:	Common Carrier	r	Logging	Industrial
	Passenger		Excursion	
3. Type of tracks at crossing:	Main Line		Siding or Spur	
4. Number of tracks at crossing:				
5. Average daily train traffic, freight	:			
Authorized freight train speed:	C	perat	ed freight train	speed:
6. Average daily train traffic, passenger:				
Authorized passenger train speed:	C	perat	ed passenger tr	rain speed:
Section 5 – Current Highway Traffic Information				

Section 5 – Current Highway Traffic Information		
1. Name of roadway/highway:		
2. Roadway classification:		
3. Road authority:		
4. Average annual daily traffic (AADT):		
5. Number of lanes:		
6. Roadway speed:		
7. Is the crossing part of an established truck route?	Yes	No
8. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic?	%	
9. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route?	Yes	No
10. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day?		
11. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 1	0, above, expec	ted within ten years:

Section 6 – Description of Public Safety Need

Describe and support the public safety need for the proposed changes. (RCW 81.53.060)		
Section 7 – Proposed Crossing Modifications		
Provide a detailed description of the proposed modifications to the crossing. (RCW 81.53.060)		

Section 8 – Sight Distance

1. Complete the following table, describing the sight distance for motorists when approaching the tracks from either direction after modification.

a. Approaching the crossing from

, the current approach provides an unobstructed

view as follows:

(North, South, East, West)

Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet
Right	300	
Right	200	
Right	100	
Right	50	
Right	25	
Left	300	
Left	200	
Left	100	
Left	50	
Left	25	

b. Approaching the crossing from , the current approach provides an unobstructed view as follows: (Opposite direction-North, South, East, West)

Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet
Right	300	VIEW 101 HOW Many ICCI
Right	200	
Right	100	
Right	50	
Right	25	
Left	300	
Left	200	
Left	100	
Left	50	
Left	25	

2. Will the modified crossing provide a level approach measuring 25 feet from the center of the railway on both approaches to the crossing?

Yes No

3. If not, state in feet the length of level grade from the center of the railway on both approaches to the crossing.

4. Will the modified crossing provide an approach grade of not more than five percent prior to the level grade?

Yes No

5. If not, state the percentage of grade prior to the level grade and explain why the grade exceeds five percent.

Section 9 – Illustration of Modified Crossing

Attach a detailed diagram, construction plans, design drawings, map or other illustration showing the following:

- ♦ Proposed modifications.
- ♦ Layout of the railway and highway 500 feet adjacent to the crossing in all directions.
- ♦ Percent of grade.
- ♦ Obstructions of view identified in Section 9.
- ♦ Traffic control layout showing the existing and proposed signage and pavement markings.

Section 10 – Cost Apportionment -TBD

If the commission approves the modifications to the crossing requested in this petition, it will apportion costs in accordance with the applicable statutes. (RCW 81.53.130).

In the alternative, if the parties to this petition have reached an agreement related to apportionment of costs, please sign here to confirm:

Petitioner Signature: Mate Pupmen Respondent 1 Signature: Jared Jungmann

Respondent 2 Signature:

Section 11 – Respondent's Review

The undersigned represents the Respondent in the petition to modify a highway-railroad grade crossing.		
USDOT Crossing No.:		
the conditions are the same as	ditions at the crossing proposed for s described by the Petitioner in this a decision by the UTC without a ho	docket. We agree to the crossing
Dated at	, Washington, on the day of	
	Printed Name of Respondent 1	
	Jared Jungmosignature of Respondent Represe	entative
	Title	
	Phone Number	
	Email	
	Mailing address	

Printed Name of Respondent 2

Signature of Respondent's Representative

Title

Phone Number

Email

Mailing address

Checklist prior to submitting petition:

- ✓ Ensure all petition fields are completed.
- ✓ Ensure parties sign Section 10 regarding any Cost Apportionment agreement, if applicable. -TBD, Pending Site Diagnostic
- ✓ Obtain signature on Respondent's Review (Section 11). *If the respondent fails to sign this section, advise UTC staff upon submission.*
- ✓ Attach copies of:
 - o Illustration of crossing (described in Section 9).
 - Any other relevant documents to support the petition, including but not limited to support of public need, project documents, etc.

Submitting the petition: To officially file the petition, send the petition form and supporting documents via EFiling.

Questions: For questions, please contact:

Mike Turcott	Tyler Whitcomb
Transportation Planning Specialist	Transportation Planning Specialist
mike.turcott@utc.wa.gov	tyler.whitcomb@utc.wa.gov
(360) 764-0572	(564) 669-0943