Service Date: November 12, 2024

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: TE-240797 PENALTY AMOUNT: \$100

InnerPrizin LLC d/b/a VIP Party Bus Spokane; Driven VIP 214 S Eastern Road #11 Spokane Valley, WA 99212

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes InnerPrizin LLC, d/b/a VIP Party Bus Spokane, d/b/a Driven VIP (InnerPrizin or Company) violated Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-30-221, Vehicle and Driver Safety Requirements, which adopts Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (49 C.F.R.) Part 393 - Parts and Accessories Necessary for Safe Operation.

Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 81.04.405 allows penalties of \$100 for each violation. In the case of an ongoing violation, every day's continuance is considered a separate and distinct violation.

On October 21, 2024, Commission Motor Carrier Safety Investigator Sandra Yeomans completed a routine safety inspection of InnerPrizin and documented the following violations:

• One violation of 49 C.F.R. § 393.62 - Buses - Required emergency exit not properly marked. The Company used a commercial motor vehicle with an emergency exit that was not properly marked. The vehicle was placed out-of-service. ¹

The Commission considered the following factors in determining the appropriate penalties for this violation:

- 1. **How serious or harmful the violation is to the public.** The violation noted is serious and potentially harmful to the public. Passenger transportation companies that use commercial motor vehicles with deficient emergency exits put their customers at risk. This violation presents significant safety concerns.
- 2. Whether the violation was intentional. Considerations include:
 - Whether the Company ignored Commission staff's (Staff) previous technical assistance; and
 - Whether there is clear evidence through documentation or other means that shows the Company knew of and failed to correct the violation.

¹ Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) 1FDKE30S7VHA15127

On March 7, 2017, the Commission received the Company's application for charter and excursion service authority. In the application, Shaylon Reed, owner of InnerPrizin, acknowledged the Company's responsibility to understand and comply with applicable motor carrier safety regulations.

On March 14, 2017, Staff provided new entrant safety regulation training to InnerPrizin, and Shaylon Reed acknowledged receiving training related to 49 C.F.R. § 393.62.

The Company knew or should have known about this requirement.

- 3. Whether the Company self-reported the violation. InnerPrizin did not self-report this violation.
- 4. Whether the Company was cooperative and responsive. The Company was cooperative throughout the safety investigation.
- 5. Whether the Company promptly corrected the violation and remedied the impacts. InnerPrizin has not provided staff with evidence of correction.
- 6. **The number of violations.** Staff identified seven violation types with a total of eight individual occurrences during the routine safety investigation of InnerPrizin. Of those violations, Staff identified one violation type with one individual occurrence that warrants a penalty in accordance with the Commission's Enforcement Policy.
- 7. **The number of customers affected**. InnerPrizin last reported traveling 9,759 miles for 2023. This safety violation presents a public safety risk.
- 8. **The likelihood of recurrence.** The Company was cooperative throughout the safety investigation. Staff provided technical assistance with specific remedies the Company could use to assess how well its safety management plan operates and how to begin improving its safety performance. In light of these factors, Staff believes the likelihood of recurrence is low.
- 9. The Company's past performance regarding compliance, violations, and penalties. On October 30, 2019, the Commission assessed a \$500 penalty against InnerPrizin in Docket TE-190877 for safety violations of 49 C.F.R. § 382.301(a).

On December 10, 2019, the Commission entered Order 01, granting mitigation of the penalty to \$250.

On December 26, 2019, the Company paid the penalty in full.

- 10. **The Company's existing compliance program.** Shaylon Reed is responsible for the Company's safety compliance program.
- 11. **The size of the Company.** The Company employs two drivers and operates one commercial motor vehicle. The Company reported \$53,239.32 in gross revenue in 2023.

The Commission's Enforcement Policy provides that some Commission requirements are so fundamental to safe operations that the Commission will issue mandatory penalties for each occurrence of a first-time violation.² The Commission generally will assess penalties by violation category, rather than per occurrence, for first-time violations of those critical regulations that do not meet the requirements for mandatory penalties. The Commission will assess penalties for any equipment violation meeting the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's "out-of-service" criteria and also for repeat violations of critical regulations, including each occurrence of a repeat violation.

The Commission has considered these factors and determined that it should penalize InnerPrizin \$100 (Penalty Assessment), calculated as follows:

• One violation of 49 C.F.R. § 393.62 - Buses - Required emergency exit not properly marked. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for this out-of-service violation.

This information, if proven at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, is sufficient to support the Penalty Assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe the violation did not occur, you may deny committing the violation and contest the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. Alternatively, if there is a reason for the violation that you believe should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request to contest the violation or for mitigation of the penalty must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request. See RCW 81.04.405.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of their decision.

You must act within 15 days after receiving this notice to do one of the following:

- Pay the amount due.
- Contest the occurrence of the violation.
- Admit the violation but request mitigation of the penalty amount.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and submit it electronically through the Commission's web portal at https://efiling.utc.wa.gov/Form within FIFTEEN (15) days after you receive this Penalty Assessment.³ If you are unable to use the web portal, you may submit it via email to records@utc.wa.gov. If you are unable to submit the form electronically, you may

² Docket A-120061 – Enforcement Policy of the Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission – Section V.

³ https://efiling.utc.wa.gov/Form.

send a paper copy to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, PO Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250.

If you wish to make a payment online, please use this link: Make a Payment Now (wa.gov).4

If you do not act within 15 days, the Commission may take additional enforcement action, including but not necessarily limited to suspending or revoking your certificate to provide regulated service, assessing additional penalties, or referring this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective November 12, 2024.

/s/ James E. Brown II JAMES E. BROWN II Interim Director, Administrative Law Division

_

⁴ https://www.utc.wa.gov/documents-and-proceedings/online-payments/make-payment-now

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION PENALTY ASSESSMENT TE-240797

PLEASE NOTE: You must complete and sign this document and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the Penalty Assessment. Use additional paper if needed. I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, competent to testify to the matters set forth below, and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements.

	Payment of penalty. I admit that the violation(s) occurred. [] Enclose \$100 in payment of the penalty. [] Attact that I have poid the penalty in full through the Commission's payment.			
OR	[] Attest that I have paid the penalty in full through the Commission's payment portal.			
[] 2.	reasons	Contest the violation(s). I believe that the alleged violation(s) did not occur for the reasons I describe below (if you do not include reasons supporting your contest here, your request will be denied):		
	/	I ask for a hearing to present evidential inistrative law judge for a decision.	ace on the information I provide above to	
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision ba above.	sed solely on the information I provide	
[] 3.	Application for mitigation. I admit the violation(s), but I believe that the penalty should be reduced for the reasons set out below (if you do not include reasons supporting your application here, your request will be denied):			
	[] a)	I ask for a hearing to present evider an administrative law judge for a de	nce on the information I provide above to ecision.	
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision ba above.	sed solely on the information I provide	
	-	enalty of perjury under the laws of thation I have presented on any attachm	e state of Washington that the foregoing, nents, is true and correct.	
Dated: _		[month/day/year], at	[City, State]	
Name o	f Respond	dent (company) – please print	Signature of Applicant	

RCW 9A.72.020 "Perjury in the first degree."

- (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding they make a materially false statement which they know to be false under an oath required or authorized by law.
- (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor's mistaken belief that their statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section.
- (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony.