

Service Date: September 19, 2024

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE  
FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES**

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: DG-240707

PENALTY AMOUNT: \$2,500

Investigation # 8943

**EMAIL SERVICE**

Kevin Van Hoof  
Van Hoof Construction LLC  
718 Griffin Ave  
PO Box 907  
Enumclaw, WA 98022  
[Office.Kvhconstruction@gmail.com](mailto:Office.Kvhconstruction@gmail.com)

UBI: 603-053-044

Phone: (253) 350-0735

**YOU MUST RESPOND WITHIN 15 DAYS OF THIS NOTICE**

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes that Van Hoof Construction LLC (Van Hoof Construction or Company) violated Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 19.122.055(1)(a) by failing to notify the one-number locate service and causing damage to an underground gas facility.

RCW 19.122.055(1)(a) states, in part, that excavators who fail to notify a one-number locator service and cause damage to an underground gas facility are subject to a civil penalty of not more than \$10,000 for each violation.

Commission staff (Staff) conducted an investigation that included reviewing damage reports, investigation reports, the One Call Center database, and communications with the Company. The documents reviewed identified a natural gas event that involved Van Hoof Construction damaging a natural gas facility while excavating without a valid locate ticket.

The Commission reviewed findings and recommendations made by Staff and hereby notifies you that it is assessing a \$2,500 penalty (Penalty Assessment) against you on the following grounds:

**1. Alleged Violation:**

On July 3, 2024, Van Hoof Construction was excavating at 1124 Okerlund Dr., Enumclaw, Washington. While excavating, Van Hoof Construction workers struck and damaged an underground City of Enumclaw (Enumclaw) natural gas facility. The Damage Information Reporting Tool (DIRT) report submitted by Enumclaw on July 19, 2024, indicated that Van Hoof Construction was excavating without a valid request to locate underground utilities.

2. **Analysis:**

The alleged violation concerns RCW 19.122.055(1)(a), which states, in part, that an excavator must contact the one-number locate center before beginning excavation. Enumclaw provided a damage report indicating that its gas service line was damaged on July 3, 2024. Enumclaw explained that Van Hoof Construction denied that it hit the line, and that it was only hand-digging. The report further explained that there was a Van Hoof Construction truck present and evidence of a track hoe being used. Several photos of the damage were provided by Enumclaw that also indicate the damage was caused by heavy equipment, not a hand tool. The report states there was no valid locate request for the address, and the photos Enumclaw provided do not show any locate markings on the ground.

On August 28, 2024, and September 16, 2024, staff sent emails to Van Hoof Construction and left a voice message on September 17, 2024, requesting further information regarding the damage incident. Van Hoof Construction has not responded. Staff reviewed the One Call Center ticket database and found ticket #24277962 for this address which was submitted on the day the damage occurred.

The Commission considered the following factors in determining the appropriate penalty amount for the violation:

1. **How serious or harmful the violation is to the public.**

This incident could have been significantly more harmful to Van Hoof Construction workers, utility technicians, nearby homeowners, and the public, and it could have resulted in severe injury and/or loss of property.

2. **Whether the violation is intentional.**

The violation appears to be due to negligence rather than a lack of knowledge of Washington State's Dig Law. Over the past 12 months, Van Hoof Construction has submitted 134 requests to the One Call Center for locates. This demonstrates Van Hoof Construction's knowledge of requirements and its responsibility to contact the one-number locate service before beginning excavation.

3. **Whether the company self-reported the violation.**

Van Hoof Construction did not self-report the violation. The Commission became aware of the violation when the Enumclaw filed a DIRT report.

4. **The likelihood of recurrence.**

The likelihood of recurrence depends on the Company's actions going forward and its willingness to notify the one-number locate service every time before beginning excavation.

5. **The Company's previous violations and penalties.**

- **Warning Letter**

On August 24, 2016, the Commission mailed an Alleged Violation of Washington Dig Law letter to Van Hoof Construction. The letter included detailed information about Washington State's Underground Utility Damage Prevention Act,

requirements for submitting utility locate requests before excavating, and an explanation about the possibility of penalties for each violation. The Commission mailed the letter after receiving a report of the damage caused by Van Hoof Construction on June 21, 2016, which occurred because the Company failed to submit a request to locate underground utilities before excavating.

- **Penalty Assessment**

On October 16, 2018, the Commission issued Van Hoof Construction a Penalty Assessment of \$21,000 for five violations of RCW 19.122.030(2) in docket D-180716.

The Commission has considered these factors and determined that it should penalize Van Hoof Construction as follows:

- \$2,500 penalty for one violation of RCW 19.122.055(1)(a) with an offer to suspend a \$2000 portion of the penalty for one year, and then waive it, subject to the conditions that:
  - 1) Company supervisors and field crew responsible for excavation complete Dig Safe Training provided through the National Utility Contractors Association (NUCA) within 90 days of this Penalty Assessment;
  - 2) The Company must submit documentation of that attendance to the Commission; and
  - 3) The Company must not commit any further violations of RCW 19.122 within 12 months of the date of this Penalty Assessment.

These facts, if proven at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, are sufficient to support the Penalty Assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe the violation did not occur, you may deny committing the violation and contest the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. Or, if there is a reason for the violation that you believe should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for a hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request to contest the violation or for mitigation of the penalty must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request. *See* RCW 81.04.405.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of their decision.

**You must act within 15 days after receiving this Penalty Assessment** to do one of the following:

- Pay the \$2,500 penalty amount due; or
- Pay \$500 and notify the Commission that you accept the offer to suspend a \$2,000 portion of the penalty amount subject to the following conditions:

- Van Hoof Construction management and field crew responsible for excavation must complete NUCA Dig Safe Training (<https://utc-9183.quickbase.com/db/bpkt6vndh>) within 90 days of service of this Penalty Assessment; and
- **The Company must submit documentation of that attendance to the Commission;** and
- Van Hoof Construction must not incur any additional violations of RCW 19.122 within 12 months of the date of this Penalty Assessment; or
- Request a hearing to contest the occurrence of the violation; or
- Request mitigation to reduce the amount of the penalty.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and submit it electronically through the Commission's web portal at <https://efiling.utc.wa.gov/Form> **within FIFTEEN (15) days** after you receive this Penalty Assessment. If you are unable to use the web portal, you may submit it via email to [records@utc.wa.gov](mailto:records@utc.wa.gov). If you are unable to submit the form electronically, you may send a paper copy to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, PO Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250.

If you wish to make your payment online, please use this link: [Make a Payment Now \(wa.gov\)](#).<sup>1</sup>

**If you do not act within 15 days**, the Commission may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective September 19, 2024.

*/s/ James E. Brown II*  
JAMES E. BROWN II  
Acting Director, Administrative Law Division

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.utc.wa.gov/documents-and-proceedings/online-payments/make-payment-now>.

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**  
PENALTY ASSESSMENT DG-240707 Investigation # 8943

**PLEASE NOTE:** You must complete and sign this document and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the Penalty Assessment. Use additional paper if needed.

I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, competent to testify to the matters set forth below, and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements:

- [ ] 1. **Payment of penalty.** I admit that the violation occurred:  
[ ] Enclose \$2,500 in payment of the penalty.  
OR [ ] Attest that I have paid the penalty in full through the Commission's payment portal.
- [ ] 2. **Accept conditions.** I admit that the violation occurred and enclose \$500 toward the payment of the penalty. I also accept the Commission's offer to suspend, and ultimately waive, the remaining \$2,000 penalty amount subject to the following conditions:
- o Company management and field crew responsible for excavation must attend Dig Safe training provided through NUCA within 90 days of service of this Penalty Assessment; and
  - o The Company must submit documentation of that attendance to the Commission; and
  - o The Company must not commit any further violations of RCW 19.122 within twelve (12) months of the date of this Notice.
- [ ] 3. **Contest the violation.** I believe that the alleged violation did not occur for the reasons I describe below (**if you do not include reasons supporting your contest here, your request will be denied**):
- [ ] a) I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision.
- OR [ ] b) I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.
- [ ] 4. **Request mitigation.** I admit the violation, but I believe that the penalty should be reduced for the reasons set out below (**if you do not include reasons supporting your application here, your request will be denied**):
- [ ] a) I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision.

OR [ ] b) I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the foregoing, including information I have presented on any attachments, is true and correct.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ [Month/Day/Year], at \_\_\_\_\_ [City, State]

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Respondent (Company) – please print

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Applicant

RCW 9A.72.020 “Perjury in the first degree.”

- (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding he or she makes a materially false statement which he or she knows to be false under an oath required or authorized by law.
- (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor's mistaken belief that his or her statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section.
- (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony.