Service Date: September 25, 2019

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: TV-190747 PENALTY AMOUNT: \$100

King County Movers, LLC, d/b/a The Moving Company of Bellevue PO Box 25312 Seattle, WA 98165

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes that King County Movers, LLC, d/b/a The Moving Company of Bellevue (King County Movers or Company) violated Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-15-555, Criminal Background Checks for Prospective Employees.

Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 81.04.405 allows penalties of \$100 for each violation. In the case of an ongoing violation, every day's continuance is considered a separate and distinct violation.

On September 3, 2019, Commission Motor Carrier Investigator Wayne Gilbert completed a routine safety investigation of King County Movers and documented the following violation:

 One violation of WAC 480-15-555 – Failing to acquire criminal background check of prospective employee. The Company failed to conduct a criminal background check on employee Andrei Obuvkov.

The Commission considered the following factors in determining the appropriate penalties for this violation:

- 1. How serious or harmful the violations are to the public. The violation noted is serious and potentially harmful to the public. Household goods moving companies that fail to conduct criminal background checks on their employees put their customers and customer's belongings at risk. This violation presents serious safety concerns.
- 2. Whether the violations were intentional. Considerations include:
 - Whether the company ignored Commission staff's (Staff) previous technical assistance; and
 - Whether there is clear evidence through documentation or other means that shows the company knew of and failed to correct the violation.

On September 11, 2017, the Company applied for household goods moving authority. In the application, Vadzim Dubianets, owner of King County Movers, acknowledged the Company's responsibility to understand and comply with applicable safety laws and regulations.

On May 16, 2018, Vadzim Dubianets attended household goods training provided by Staff, and acknowledged receiving training pertaining to motor carrier safety regulations.

The Company knew, or should have known, about this requirement.

- 3. Whether the Company self-reported the violations. The Company did not self-report these violations.
- 4. Whether the Company was cooperative and responsive. King County Movers was cooperative throughout the investigation, and expressed a desire to come into compliance.
- 5. Whether the Company promptly corrected the violations and remedied the impacts. King County Movers has not provided Staff with evidence that it has corrected this violation.
- 6. **The number of violations.** Staff identified nine violation types with a total of 11 individual occurrences.
- 7. **The number of customers affected.** King County Movers traveled 4,900 miles in 2018. This violation presented a public safety risk.
- 8. **The likelihood of recurrence.** Staff provided technical assistance with specific remedies to help the Company assess how well its safety management controls support safe operations and how to begin improving its safety performance. In light of these factors, staff believes the likelihood of recurrence is low.
- 9. The Company's past performance regarding compliance, violations, and penalties. King County Movers has no history of violations or penalties.
- 10. **The Company's existing compliance program.** Vadzim Dubianets is responsible for King County Movers' safety compliance program.
- 11. **The size of the Company.** The Company currently operates three commercial motor vehicles and employs two drivers. The Company reported \$71,276 in gross revenue for 2018.

The Commission's Enforcement Policy provides that some Commission requirements are so fundamental to safe operations that the Commission will issue mandatory penalties for each occurrence of a first-time violation. The Commission generally will assess penalties per type of violation, rather than per occurrence, for first-time violations of those critical regulations that do not meet the requirements for mandatory penalties. The Commission will assess penalties for any equipment violation meeting the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's "out-of-service" criteria and also for repeat violations of critical regulations, including each occurrence of a repeat violation.

¹ Docket A-120061 – Enforcement Policy of the Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission – Section V.

The Commission has considered these factors and determined that it should penalize King County Movers \$100, calculated as follows:

• One violation of WAC 480-15-555 – Failing to acquire criminal background check of prospective employee. The Commission assesses a penalty of \$100 for this violation.

This information, if proven at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, is sufficient to support the penalty assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe the violation did not occur, you may deny committing the violation and contest the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. Alternatively, if there is a reason for the violation that you believe should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of the penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request to contest the violation or for mitigation of the penalty must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request. See RCW 81.04.405.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of his or her decision.

You must act within 15 days after receiving this notice to do one of the following:

- Pay the amount due.
- Contest the occurrence of the violation.
- Admit the violation but request mitigation of the penalty amount.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and submit it electronically through the Commission's web portal **within FIFTEEN** (15) **days** after you receive this notice. If you are unable to use the web portal, you may submit it via email to records@utc.wa.gov. If you are unable to submit the form electronically, you may send a paper copy to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, PO Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250.

If you do not act within 15 days, the Commission may take additional enforcement action, including but not necessarily limited to suspending or revoking your permit to provide regulated service, assessing additional penalties, or referring this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective September 25, 2019.

/s/ Rayne Pearson
RAYNE PEARSON
Director, Administrative Law Division

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION PENALTY ASSESSMENT TV-190747

PLEASE NOTE: You must complete and sign this document, and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the penalty assessment. Use additional paper if needed. I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify to the matters set forth below and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements.

		pelow and I have personal knowled ag statements.	edge of those matters. I hereby make, under
[] 1.	Payment of penalty. I admit that the violation occurred and enclose \$100 in payment of the penalty.		
[] 2.	Contest the violation. I believe that the alleged violation did not occur for the reason describe below (if you do not include reasons supporting your contest here, your request will be denied):		
		I ask for a hearing to present evid nistrative law judge for a decision.	dence on the information I provide above to n.
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision above.	based solely on the information I provide
[] 3.	Application for mitigation. I admit the violation, but I believe that the penalty should be reduced for the reasons set out below (if you do not include reasons supporting your application here, your request will be denied):		
	[] a)	I ask for a hearing to present evid an administrative law judge for a	dence on the information I provide above to a decision.
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision above.	based solely on the information I provide
	-	enalty of perjury under the laws of ation I have presented on any attack	f the State of Washington that the foregoing, chments, is true and correct.
Dated: _		[month/day/year], at _	[city, state]
Name o	f Respond	lent (company) – please print	Signature of Applicant

RCW 9A.72.020:

"Perjury in the first degree. (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding he makes a materially false statement which he knows to be false under an oath required or authorized by law. (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor's mistaken belief that his statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section. (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class