

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

) DOCKET NO. TR-
BNSF Rwy. Co.) PETITION TO CONSTRUCT OR
Petitioner,) RECONSTRUCT A HIGHWAY-RAIL) GRADE CROSSING
VS.	
Respondent) USDOT CROSSING NO.: 085652E
City of Auburn, WA)

Prior to submitting a Petition to **Construct** a highway-rail grade crossing and install an inter-tie between a Highway Signal and a Railroad Crossing Signal System to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC), State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA) requirements must be met. Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 197-11-865 (2) requires:

All actions of the utilities and transportation commission under statutes administered as of December 12, 1975, are exempted, except the following:

(2) Authorization of the openings or closing of any highway/railroad grade crossing, or the direction of physical connection of the line of one railroad with that of another;

Please attach sufficient documentation to demonstrate that the SEPA requirement has been fulfilled. For additional information on SEPA requirements contact the Department of Ecology.

The Petitioner asks the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission to approve construction or reconstruction of a highway-rail grade crossing.

□ Construction

X Reconstruction

2015 SEP 17 AM II:

$Section \ 1-Petitioner's \ Information$

BNSF Rwy. Co.
Petitioner Signature Signature
2454 Occidental Ave. S.
Street Address
Seattle, WA. 98134
City, State and Zip Code
Mailing Address, if different than the street address Richard Wagner
Contact Person Name
206-625-6152, Richard.Wagner@bnsf.com
Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address

Section 2 – Respondent's Information

City of Auburn		
Respondent 25 W. Main St.		
Street Address Auburn, WA. 98001	72	•
City, State and Zip Code		
Mailing Address, if different than the street address Pablo Para		
Contact Person Name 253-876-1958,ppara@auburnwa.gov		
Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address		~ -

Section 3 – Proposed or Existing Crossing Location

1. Existing highway/roadway 3rd St Northwest		
2. Existing railroad BNSF Railway		
3. Location of proposed crossing: Located in the 1/4 of the 1/4 of Sec 13, Twp 21N, Range 4E W.M.		
4. GPS location, if known 47deg 18'30"N, 122deg 13'56"W		
5. Railroad mile post (nearest tenth) 21.23X		
6. City Auburn County King		
Section 4 – Proposed or Existing Crossing Information		
1. Railroad company BNSF Railway		
2. Type of railroad at crossing x Common Carrier □ Logging □ Industrial		
□ Passenger □ Excursion		
3. Type of tracks at crossing x Main Line □ Siding or Spur		
4. Number of tracks at crossing2		
5. Average daily train traffic, freight24		
Authorized freight train speed 60 Operated freight train speed 60		
6. Average daily train traffic, passenger23		
Authorized passenger train speed Operated passenger train speed		
 7. Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings? Yes No _X_ 8. If so, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing. 		

9. Does the petitioner propose to close any existing crossings? Yes No _X_		
Section 5 – Temporary Crossing		
I. Is the crossing proposed to be temporary? Yes No _X_ If so, describe the purpose of the crossing and the estimated time it will be needed		
3. Will the petitioner remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing? Yes No Approximate date of removal		
Section 6 – Current Highway Traffic Information		
1. Name of roadway/highway 3rd St Northwest		
2. Roadway classification City Street City of Auburn 3. Road authority		
4. Average annual daily traffic (AADT)		
5. Number of lanes 2 6. Roadway speed 30		
7. Is the crossing part of an established truck route? Yes NoX		
8. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic?		
 9. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route? Yes X No 10. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day? 		
11. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 7, above, expected within ten years:		

Section 7 – Alternatives to the Proposal

1.	Does a safer location for a crossing exist within a reasonable distance of the proposed location? Yes No
2.	If a safer location exists, explain why the crossing should not be located at that site.
	Are there any hillsides, embankments, buildings, trees, railroad loading platforms or other riers in the vicinity which may obstruct a motorist's view of the crossing? Yes No
4.]	 f a barrier exists, describe: ♦ Whether petitioner can relocate the crossing to avoid the obstruction and if not, why not. ♦ How the barrier can be removed. ♦ How the petitioner or another party can mitigate the hazard caused by the barrier.
	Is it feasible to construct an over-crossing or under-crossing at the proposed location as an ernative to an at-grade crossing? Yes No
6.	f an over-crossing or under-crossing is not feasible, explain why.

7. Does the railway line, at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, pass over a fill area or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an over-crossing or an under-crossing, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the roadway to reach that point? Yes No
 8. If such a location exists, state: The distance and direction from the proposed crossing. The approximate cost of construction. Any reasons that exist to prevent locating the crossing at this site.
9. Is there an existing public or private crossing in the vicinity of the proposed crossing? Yes No
 10. If a crossing exists, state: ♦ The distance and direction from the proposed crossing. ♦ Whether it is feasible to divert traffic from the proposed to the existing crossing.

Section 8 – Sight Distance

1. Complete the following table, describing the sight distance for motorists when approaching the tracks from either direction.				
a. Approaching the crossing view as follows:	a. Approaching the crossing from <u>West</u> , the current approach provides an unobstructed view as follows: (North, South, East, West)			
Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet		
Right	300	135		
Right	200	245		
Right	100	375		
Right	50	Unobstructed		
Right	25	Unobstructed		
Left	300	55		
Left	200	70		
Left	100	195		
Left	50	810		
Left	25	Unobstructed		
b. Approaching the crossing from <u>East</u> , the current approach provides an unobstructed view as follows: (Opposite direction-North, South, East, West)				
Divertion of sight (left on wight)	Number of feet from	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet		
Direction of sight (left or right) Right	proposed crossing 300	25		
Right	200	28		
Right	100	40		
Right	50	220		
Right	25	3250		
Left	300	45		
Left	200	50		
Left	100	60		
Left	50	200		
Left	25	Unobstructed		
railway on both approaches t Yes No	th of level grade from the center of 14ft West vide an approach grade of not more	f the railway on both approaches		

5. If not, state the percen	tage of grade prior to	the level grade an	d explain wh	y the grade	exceeds
five percent.					

Section 9 – Illustration of Proposed Crossing Configuration

Attach a detailed diagram, drawing, map or other illustration showing the following:

- ♦ The vicinity of the proposed crossing.
- ♦ Layout of the railway and highway 500 feet adjacent to the crossing in all directions.
- ♦ Percent of grade.
- Obstructions of view as described in Section 7 or identified in Section 8.
- ♦ Traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signage.

Section 10 - Sidewalks

1. Provide the following information:
a. Provide a description of the type of sidewalks proposed.
b. Describe who will maintain the sidewalks.
c. Attach a proposed diagram or design of the crossing including the sidewalks.
There will be no change to existing sidewalks.

Section 11 – Proposed Warning Signals or Devices
1. Explain in detail the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices planned at the proposed crossing, including a cost estimate for each. If requesting pre-emption include the type of train detection circuitry, sequencing and advanced preemption time, justification for the changes and its effects on current warning devices and warning times for drivers.
Existing crossing warning devices will be relocated to accommodate new 3 rd main line.
BNSF will pay for relocation.
2. Provide an estimate for maintaining the signals for 12 months.
3. Is the petitioner prepared to pay to the respondent railroad company its share of installing the warning devices as provided by law? Yes No

Section 12 – Additional Information

Provide any additional information supporting the proposal, including information such as the public benefits that would be derived from constructing a new crossing as proposed or modifying an existing crossing. Provide project specific information.
BNSF is constructing the third main line in this area to expedite train movement through
the City of Auburn. The addition of the third main line will allow commuter trains to access the passenger platforms, just south of W. Main St, while other trains will be able
to continue to move down the third track.

Section 13 – Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

Waiver of Hearing
The undersigned represents the Respondent in the petition to construct or reconstruct a highway-railroad grade crossing and inter-tie the highway signal with the railroad crossing signal system.
USDOT Crossing No.: 085652E
We have investigated the conditions at the proposed or existing crossing site. We are satisfied the conditions are the same as described by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree that a crossing be installed or reconstructed and the highway signals inter-tied with the railroad crossing signal system and consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.
Dated at, Washington, on the day of
Nancy Backus
Printed name of Respondent
Signature of Respondent's Representative
Mayor
Title City of Auburn
Name of Company
253-931-3041, nbackus@auburnwa.gov
Phone number and e-mail address
25 W. Main St.
Auburn, WA 98001
Mailing address



