TR-151859-P



### WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

)

BNSF Railway Co.	
Petitioner,	
vs. City of Auburn, WA	
Respondent	

DOCKET NO. TR-

PETITION TO CONSTRUCT OR RECONSTRUCT A HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING

USDOT CROSSING NO.: 085650R

Prior to submitting a Petition to **Construct** a highway-rail grade crossing and install an inter-tie between a Highway Signal and a Railroad Crossing Signal System to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC), State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA) requirements must be met. Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 197-11-865 (2) requires:

All actions of the utilities and transportation commission under statutes administered as of December 12, 1975, are exempted, except the following:

(2) Authorization of the openings or closing of any highway/railroad grade crossing, or the direction of physical connection of the line of one railroad with that of another;

Please attach sufficient documentation to demonstrate that the SEPA requirement has been fulfilled. For additional information on SEPA requirements contact the Department of Ecology.

The Petitioner asks the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission to approve construction or reconstruction of a highway-rail grade crossing.

□ Construction X

X Reconstruction



Section 1 – Petitioner's Information

BNSF Rwy. Co.	
Petitioner WWW	13
Signature	
2454 Occidental Ave. S.	
Street Address	
Seattle, WA. 98134	
City, State and Zip Code	ů.
Mailing Address, if different than the street address . Richard Wagner	
Contact Person Name	
206-625-6152,Richard.Wagner@bnsf.com	
Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address	

# Section 2 – Respondent's Information

City of Auburn, WA	
Respondent	
25 West Main Street	
Street Address	
Auburn, WA 98001-4998	
City, State and Zip Code	
Mailing Address, if different than the street address Pablo Para	
Contact Person Name	
253-876-1958,ppara@auburnwa.gov	

1. Existing highway/roadway				
2. Existing railroad				
3. Location of proposed crossing: Located in the 1/4 of the	_1/4 of Sec13	, Twp. <u>21N</u>	, Range <u>4</u>	EW.M.
4. GPS location, if known 47deg19'5	9"N, 122deg13'57	"W		
5. Railroad mile post (nearest tenth)	19.66X			
6. City Auburn	County	King		

## Section 3 – Proposed or Existing Crossing Location

# Section 4 – Proposed or Existing Crossing Information

<ul> <li>3. Type of tracks at crossing X Main Line □ Siding or Spur</li> <li>4. Number of tracks at crossing 2</li> <li>5. Average daily train traffic, freight24</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4. Number of tracks at crossing 2</li> <li>5. Average daily train traffic, freight24</li> </ul>
5. Average daily train traffic, freight24
Authorized freight train speed 60 Operated freight train speed 60
6. Average daily train traffic, passenger <u>23</u>
Authorized passenger train speed79 Operated passenger train speed79
7. Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings? Yes NoX
8. If so, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing.

9. Does the petitioner propose to close any existing crossings? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_X\_\_\_

## Section 5 – Temporary Crossing

1. Is the crossing proposed to be temporary?	Yes NoX
2. If so, describe the purpose of the crossing and	the estimated time it will be needed
3. Will the petitioner remove the crossing at com crossing? Yes <u>No</u>	pletion of the activity requiring the temporary
Approximate date of removal	

Section 6 – Current Highway Traffic Information

1. Name of roadway/highway 29 <sup>th</sup> St NW
2. Roadway classification <u>City Street</u>
City of Auburn, WA 3. Road authority
4. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) 20
5. Number of lanes
6. Roadway speed
7. Is the crossing part of an established truck route? Yes NoX
8. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic?
9. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route? Yes NoX
10. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day?
11. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 7, above, expected within ten years:

Section 7 – Alternatives to the Proposal 1. Does a safer location for a crossing exist within a reasonable distance of the proposed location? Yes No 2. If a safer location exists, explain why the crossing should not be located at that site. 3. Are there any hillsides, embankments, buildings, trees, railroad loading platforms or other barriers in the vicinity which may obstruct a motorist's view of the crossing? Yes No 4. If a barrier exists, describe: • Whether petitioner can relocate the crossing to avoid the obstruction and if not, why not. ♦ How the barrier can be removed. • How the petitioner or another party can mitigate the hazard caused by the barrier. 5. Is it feasible to construct an over-crossing or under-crossing at the proposed location as an alternative to an at-grade crossing? Yes No 6. If an over-crossing or under-crossing is not feasible, explain why.

or tres	es the railway line, at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, pass over a fill tle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an over-crossing or an under-cross hough it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the roadway to reach that point? Yes No
8. If s	uch a location exists, state:
	• The distance and direction from the proposed crossing.
	<ul> <li>The approximate cost of construction.</li> <li>Any reasons that exist to prevent locating the crossing at this site.</li> </ul>
	• Any reasons that exist to prevent locating the crossing at this site.
	2
-	
0 Ict	have an aviating public or private grassing in the visipity of the proposed grassing?
9. IS t	here an existing public or private crossing in the vicinity of the proposed crossing? Yes No
10. If	a crossing exists, state:
	<ul> <li>The distance and direction from the proposed crossing.</li> <li>Whether it is feasible to divert traffic from the proposed to the existing crossing.</li> </ul>
	• whether it is reasone to divert traffic from the proposed to the existing crossing.
_	
-	

6

### Section 8 – Sight Distance

1. Complete the following table, describing the sight distance for motorists when approaching the tracks from either direction.

a. Approaching the crossing from <u>West</u>, the current approach provides an unobstructed view as follows: (North, South, East, West)

Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet
Right	300	65
Right	200	65
Right	100	75
Right	50	145
Right	25	Unobstructed
Left	300	0
Left	200	0
Left	100	23
Left	50	168
Left	25	Unobstructed

b. Approaching the crossing from <u>East</u>, the current approach provides an unobstructed view as follows: (Opposite direction-North, South, East, West)

Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet
Right	300	3475
Right	200	3573
Right	100	3745
Right	50	730
Right	25	Unobstructed
Left	300	2285
Left	200	2520
Left	100	2610
Left	50	2615
Left	25	Unobstructed

2. Will the new crossing provide a level approach measuring 25 feet from the center of the railway on both approaches to the crossing?

Yes No X

3. If not, state in feet the length of level grade from the center of the railway on both approaches to the crossing. \_\_\_\_\_35ft East and 5ft West

4. Will the new crossing provide an approach grade of not more than five percent prior to the level grade?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_X\_\_\_

5. If not, state the percentage of grade prior to the level grade and explain why the grade exceeds five percent.

7.47%. Percent of grade is being dictated by the short distance from the railroad tracks

to existing roadway restrictions, adjacent property owner's parking and driveways.

#### Section 9 – Illustration of Proposed Crossing Configuration

Attach a detailed diagram, drawing, map or other illustration showing the following:

- The vicinity of the proposed crossing.
- Layout of the railway and highway 500 feet adjacent to the crossing in all directions.
- ♦ Percent of grade.
- Obstructions of view as described in Section 7 or identified in Section 8.
- Traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signage.

#### Section 10 – Sidewalks

1. Provide the following information:

- a. Provide a description of the type of sidewalks proposed.
- b. Describe who will maintain the sidewalks.
- c. Attach a proposed diagram or design of the crossing including the sidewalks.

Will not be placing sidewalks. No sidewalks exist.

ł	
	<i>E</i>
	(F)
	1. C
	14 (
	160

Section 11 – Proposed Warning Signals or Devices

1. Explain in detail the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices planned at the proposed crossing, including a cost estimate for each. If requesting pre-emption include the type of train detection circuitry, sequencing and advanced preemption time, justification for the changes and its effects on current warning devices and warning times for drivers.

Existing crossing warning devices on the west side of the tracks will be relocated to

accommodate new 3rd main line. BNSF will pay for relocation.

2. Provide an estimate for maintaining the signals for 12 months.

3. Is the petitioner prepared to pay to the respondent railroad company its share of installing the warning devices as provided by law? No

Yes

### Section 12 – Additional Information

Provide any additional information supporting the proposal, including information such as the public benefits that would be derived from constructing a new crossing as proposed or modifying an existing crossing. Provide project specific information.

BNSF is constructing the third main line in this area to expedite train movement through

the City of Auburn. The addition of the third main line will allow commuter trains to access the passenger platforms, just south of W. Main St, while other trains will be

to continue to move down the third track.

Section 13 – Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

Waiver of Hearing
The undersigned represents the Respondent in the petition to construct or reconstruct a highway railroad grade crossing and inter-tie the highway signal with the railroad crossing signal system.
USDOT Crossing No.:085650R
We have investigated the conditions at the proposed or existing crossing site. We are satisfied the conditions are the same as described by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree that a crossing be installed or reconstructed and the highway signals inter-tied with the railroad crossing signal system and consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.
Dated at, Washington, on the day of
, 20
Nancy Backus
Printed name of Respondent
Signature of Respondent's Representative
Mayor
Title City of Auburn
Name of Company
253-931-3041, nbackus@auburnwa.gov
Phone number and e-mail address
25 W. Main St
Auburn, WA 98001
Mailing address



