WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: DG-151652 PENALTY AMOUNT: \$2,000

Lars Mickelson Triple S Excavating 4308 Cochins Lane PO Box 2383 Pasco, WA 99301

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes that you have violated Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 19.122.030(1)(a) by failing to request a dig ticket prior to performing an excavation. RCW 19.122.055(1)(a) states, in part, that any excavator who fails to notify a one-number locator service and causes damage to a hazardous liquid or gas facility is subject to a civil penalty of not more than ten thousand dollars for each violation.

Commission staff (Staff) conducted an investigation in which it reviewed damage reports submitted by Cascade Natural Gas Corporation (CNGC) and identified 2 natural gas damage events involving Triple S Excavating (Triple S), where buried utilities were not located prior to excavation. Accordingly, the Commission hereby notifies you that it is assessing a penalty against you in the amount of \$2,000 on the following grounds:

(First Violation)

On December 11, 2014, Triple S, performed an excavation for a phone company to install a new phone line at 5606 Rio Grande, Pasco, Washington. Prior to beginning excavation, Triple S failed to request a dig ticket from a one-number locator service to have the underground utilities located.

On December 12, 2014, CNGC submitted a Damage Incident Reporting Tool (DIRT) report identifying Triple S as the party responsible for damaging the Utility's natural gas pipeline at 5606 Rio Grande, Pasco, Washington on December 11, 2014, without first obtaining a ticket number to have underground utilities located prior to excavation.

On February 20, 2015, the Commission mailed an Alleged Violation of Washington Dig Law letter to Triple S, informing the company of its obligation to have underground utilities located prior to excavation, as outlined in RCW 19.122.030. The letter emphasized the importance of becoming familiar with the law, and the possibility of penalties for each violation of the law.

(Second Violation)

On March 10, 2015, Triple S performed an excavation at 1440 SW Greeley Street, College Place, Washington. Prior to beginning excavation, Triple S failed to request a dig ticket from a one-number locator service to have the underground utilities located.

On March 18, 2015, CNGC submitted a DIRT report identifying Triple S as the party responsible for damaging the company's natural gas service line at 1440 SW Greeley Street, College Place, Washington on March 10, 2015, without first obtaining a ticket number to have underground utilities located prior to excavation.

Based on the results of Staff's investigation, the Commission finds that Triple S Excavating violated RCW 19.122.030(1)(a) two times, by failing to request a dig ticket prior to performing an excavation, and the Commission assesses a \$1,000 penalty for each violation. These facts, if not contested or if proved at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, are sufficient to support the penalty assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe the violations did not occur, you may deny committing the violation and contest the penalty assessment through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact concerning the violation require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any contest of the penalty assessment must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that contest. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the contest.

If you admit the violation but believe there is a reason for the violation that should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of this penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request for mitigation, must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of his or her decision.

You must act within 15 days after receiving this notice to do one of the following:

- Pay the amount due;
- Contest the occurrence of the violation; or
- Request mitigation to contest the amount of the penalty.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and send it to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Post Office Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250, within **FIFTEEN (15) days** after you receive this notice.

If you do not act within 15 days, the Commission may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection. The Commission may then sue you to collect the penalty.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective October 7, 2015.

GREGORY J. KÓPTA

Director, Administrative Law Division

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION PENALTY ASSESSMENT DG-151652

PLEASE NOTE: You must complete and sign this document, and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the penalty assessment. Use additional paper if needed.

I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify to the matters set forth below and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements.

oam, m	z ionown	ig statements.	
[] 1.	Payment of penalty. I admit that the violation occurred and enclose \$2,000 in payment of the penalty.		
[] 2.	Contest the violation. I believe that the alleged violation did not occur for the reasons describe below:		
	[] a)	I ask for a hearing to present evidence of an administrative law judge for a decisi	
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision based above.	
[] 3.	Application for mitigation. I admit the violation, but I believe that the penalty should be reduced for the reasons set out below:		
OR	[] a) [] b)	I ask for a hearing to present evidence of an administrative law judge for a decisi I ask for a Commission decision based above.	on.
		enalty of perjury under the laws of the Station I have presented on any attachments	9 9
Dated: _		[Month/Day/Year], at	[City, State]
Name of	f Respond	lent (company) – please print	Signature of Applicant

RCW 9A.72.020:

"Perjury in the first degree. (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding he makes a materially false statement which he knows to be false under an oath required or authorized by law. (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor's mistaken belief that his statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section. (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony."