

**Calvin Nutt** Project Engineer Northwest Division BNSF Railway Company 2454 Occidental Ave. S. #2D Seattle, WA 98134 Telephone 206-625-6623 Fax 206-625-6256 Calvin.Nutt@bnsf.com

March 12, 2014

**Kathy Hunter** Deputy Assistant Director, Trans. Safety WUTC 1300 S Evergreen Park Dr. SW PO Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: Petition for Construction/Reconstruction with Inter-Tie of Grandview Rd. (084841X) at Ferndale in Whatcom Co.,

Dear Ms. Hunter,

This letter is in support of the aforementioned WUTC petition on behalf of BNSF Railway Company for highway-rail grade crossing upgrades at Grandview Road (DoT# 084841X) in Whatcom Co., WA. The following is supplemental information as provided in Section 12 of the petition for proposed reconstruction.

The project is designed to increase capacity between Seattle, WA and Vancouver, BC by connecting the Ferndale and Custer sidings (ending 1.13 miles south and 1.74 miles north of the crossing, respectively) to create a 5-mile double track segment. The extension of the double track segment will reduce the time trains are parked on sidings throughout the Bellingham Subdivision. The proposed reconstruction of the crossing is to add this additional track creating a total of two (2) tracks at Grandview Road. The additional tracks through the crossing will not impact vehicular traffic in duration or number of trains blocking the intersection. Regarding sight distance, there is a limited obstruction for the eastbound traffic movement looking south, in the form of the crossing bungalow. This obstruction is not an issue once within 100' of this railway crossing.

The current method of warning is two-quadrant gates and flashers with constant warning time track circuitry and traffic signal preemption. With the construction of a second track through the crossing, BNSF is proposing two-quadrant gates and flashers with constant warning time track circuitry with adjustments to the traffic signal preemption timing.

In conjunction with the attached petition, BNSF is working with WSDOT to determine traffic control improvements at this location that can be implemented as part of this capacity expansion project.

Please review the attached petition and feel free to contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

Ar

**Calvin Nutt** 

Attachments: UTC Petition Docket No. TR XXXXXXXX (USDOT Crossing No. 084841X)



#### WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

	) DOCKET NO. TR-		
BNSF Railway	) ) PETITION TO CONSTRUCT OR ) RECONSTRUCT A HIGHWAY-RAI		
Petitioner,	<ul> <li>) GRADE CROSSING AND INSTALL</li> <li>) AN INTER-TIE BETWEEN A</li> </ul>		
vs. Whatcom County, Washington	<ul> <li>HIGHWAY SIGNAL AND A</li> <li>RAILROAD CROSSING SIGNAL</li> </ul>		
Respondent	) SYSTEM		
	) USDOT CROSSING NO.: 084841X		

Prior to submitting a Petition to **Construct** a highway-rail grade crossing and install an inter-tie between a Highway Signal and a Railroad Crossing Signal System to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC), State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA) requirements must be met. Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 197-11-865 (2) requires:

All actions of the utilities and transportation commission under statutes administered as of December 12, 1975, are exempted, except the following:

(2) Authorization of the openings or closing of any highway/railroad grade crossing, or the direction of physical connection of the line of one railroad with that of another;

Please attach sufficient documentation to demonstrate that the SEPA requirement has been fulfilled. For additional information on SEPA requirements contact the Department of Ecology.

The Petitioner asks the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission to approve construction or reconstruction of a highway-rail grade crossing and inter-tie the highway signal with the railroad crossing signal system.

1

Construction 🗆 Reconstruction

## Section 1 – Petitioner's Information

BNSF Railway Company Petitioner	
A Jan Ja Willia	
Signature /	
2454 Occidental Avenue South, S	Suite 2D
Street Address	
Seattle, Washington 98134	
City, State and Zip Code	
,,	3
Same as above	
Mailing Address, if different than	the street address
Richard Wagner	
Contact Person Name	
(206) 625-6152	Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com

## Section 2 – Respondent's Information

Whatcom County, Washington	
Respondent	
322 North Commercial Street, Suite 301	
Street Address	
Bellingham, WA 98225	
City, State and Zip Code	
Same as above	
Mailing Address, if different than the stre	et address
Kevin Thompson (Public Works Engin	eer – Whatcom County)
Contact Person Name	
(360) 676-6707 (Ext. 50690)	kthompson@co.whatcom.wa.us

## Section 3 – Proposed or Existing Crossing Location

1. Existing highway/roadway Grandview Road
2. Existing railroad BNSF Railway (Bellingham Subdivision)
3. Location of proposed crossing: Located in the <u>SW</u> 1/4 of the <u>SE</u> 1/4 of Sec. <u>006</u> , Twp. <u>23N</u> , Range <u>2E</u> W.M.
4. GPS location, if known48.8920572, -122.6029834
5. Railroad mile post (nearest tenth) <u>109.32</u>
6. City Ferndale County Whatcom

# Section 4 – Proposed or Existing Crossing Information

1. Railroad company BNSF Railway Company	
2. Type of railroad at crossing Common Carrie	r 🗆 Logging 🗆 Industrial
Passenger DExcursion	
3. Type of tracks at crossing Main Line	Siding or Spur
4. Number of tracks at crossing One (1)	_
5. Average daily train traffic, freight <u>Seventeen (1</u>	7) trains/day
Authorized freight train speed 60 mph	Operated freight train speed <u>0-60 mph</u>
6. Average daily train traffic, passenger Four (4) tra	uns/day
Authorized passenger train speed 79 mph	Operated passenger train speed 0-79 mph
<ol> <li>Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for Yes No _X_</li> </ol>	one or more existing crossings?
<ol> <li>If so, state the distance and direction from the pro N/A</li> </ol>	posed crossing.

9. Does the petitioner propose to close any existing crossings? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_X\_\_\_

## Section 5 – Temporary Crossing

1. Is the crossing proposed to be temporary?	Yes NoX
2. If so, describe the purpose of the crossing and t N/A	he estimated time it will be needed
3. Will the petitioner remove the crossing at comp crossing? Yes <u>No X</u>	letion of the activity requiring the temporary
Approximate date of removal <u>N/A</u>	
Section 6 – Current High	way Traffic Information

1. Name of roadway/highway Grandview Road				
2. Roadway classification <u>Rural Major Collector</u>				
3. Road authority Whatcom County, Washington				
4. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) 003200 (AADT Year: 1995)				
5. Number of lanes <u>Two (2)</u>				
6. Roadway speed 30 mph				
7. Is the crossing part of an established truck route? Yes X No				
8. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic?6%				
9. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route? Yes NoX				
10. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day?N/A				
11. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 7, above, expected within ten years:				
None				

## Section 7 – Alternatives to the Proposal

2.	If a safer location exists, explain why the crossing should not be located at that site. N/A
	Are there any hillsides, embankments, buildings, trees, railroad loading platforms or other riers in the vicinity which may obstruct a motorist's view of the crossing? Yes NoX
4. ]	<ul> <li>f a barrier exists, describe:</li> <li>Whether petitioner can relocate the crossing to avoid the obstruction and if not, why not</li> <li>How the barrier can be removed.</li> <li>How the petitioner or another party can mitigate the hazard caused by the barrier.</li> </ul>
	Signal bungalow in southwest quadrant of crossing.
	Is it feasible to construct an over-crossing or under-crossing at the proposed location as an ernative to an at-grade crossing? Yes NoX
6. I	f an over-crossing or under-crossing is not feasible, explain why.
	Limited distance between railroad track(s) and intersection of Portal Way/Grandview
-	Road on the east side of existing BNSF Railway mainline for a grade separated approach
or t	Does the railway line, at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, pass over a fill area restle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an over-crossing or an under-crossing, in though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the roadway to reach that point? Yes No X

	<ul> <li>The distance and direction from the proposed crossing.</li> <li>The approximate cost of construction.</li> </ul>
	Any reasons that exist to prevent locating the crossing at this site.
-	N/A
Ŀ	
-	
-	
-	
	<ul> <li>s there an existing public or private crossing in the vicinity of the proposed crossing? Yes XNo</li> <li>If a crossing exists, state: <ul> <li>The distance and direction from the proposed crossing.</li> <li>Whether it is feasible to divert traffic from the proposed to the existing crossing.</li> </ul> </li> <li>As a part of the BNSF Railway project, two public crossings and one private crossing in</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Yes X No</li> <li>If a crossing exists, state:</li> <li>The distance and direction from the proposed crossing.</li> <li>Whether it is feasible to divert traffic from the proposed to the existing crossing.</li> </ul>
).	Yes X No If a crossing exists, state: • The distance and direction from the proposed crossing. • Whether it is feasible to divert traffic from the proposed to the existing crossing. As a part of the BNSF Railway project, two public crossings and one private crossing in
).	Yes X No If a crossing exists, state: • The distance and direction from the proposed crossing. • Whether it is feasible to divert traffic from the proposed to the existing crossing. As a part of the BNSF Railway project, two public crossings and one private crossing in or around the City of Ferndale, WA will be revised or reconstructed. The closest existing

#### Section 8 – Sight Distance

1. Complete the following table, describing the sight distance for motorists when approaching the tracks from either direction.

a. Approaching the crossing from <u>East</u>, the current approach provides an unobstructed view as follows: (North, South, East, West)

Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet
Right	300	N/A Portal Way Intersection
Right	200	N/A Portal Way Intersection
Right	100	N/A Portal Way Intersection
Right	50	690'
Right	25	2,100'
Left	300	N/A Portal Way Intersection
Left	200	N/A Portal Way Intersection
Left	100	N/A Portal Way Intersection
Left	50	3,500'
Left	25	3,500'

b. Approaching the crossing from <u>West</u>, the current approach provides an unobstructed view as follows: (Opposite direction-North, South, East, West)

Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet
Right	300	30'
Right	200	70'
Right	100	1,400'
Right	50	3,500'
Right	25	3,500'
Left	300	110'
Left	200	210'
Left	100	535'
Left	50	1,400'
Left	25	1,400'

2. Will the new crossing provide a level approach measuring 25 feet from the center of the railway on both approaches to the crossing?

Yes No X

3. If not, state in feet the length of level grade from the center of the railway on both approaches to the crossing. <u>10</u><sup>'</sup>

4. Will the new crossing provide an approach grade of not more than five percent prior to the level grade?

Yes X No \_\_\_\_

5. If not, state the percentage of grade prior to the level grade and explain why the grade exceeds five percent.

N/A

#### Section 9 – Illustration of Proposed Crossing Configuration

Attach a detailed diagram, drawing, map or other illustration showing the following:

- The vicinity of the proposed crossing.
- Layout of the railway and highway 500 feet adjacent to the crossing in all directions.
- ♦ Percent of grade.
- Obstructions of view as described in Section 7 or identified in Section 8.
- Traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signage.

#### Section 10 – Sidewalks

1. Provide the following information:

a. Provide a description of the type of sidewalks proposed.

b. Describe who will maintain the sidewalks.

c. Attach a proposed diagram or design of the crossing including the sidewalks.

N/A

#### Section 11 – Proposed Warning Signals or Devices

1. Explain in detail the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices planned at the proposed crossing, including a cost estimate for each. If requesting pre-emption include the type of train detection circuitry, sequencing and advanced preemption time, justification for the changes and its effects on current warning devices and warning times for drivers.

Crossing will have the following items at the completion of the project:

Signs - Advanced Warning Signs, Stop Lines and RR Xing Symbols

Train-Activated Devices - Two (2) gates, Two (2) Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights w/bells

Track will be equipped with (Constant Warning) Train Detection Circuitry

2. Provide an estimate for maintaining the signals for 12 months. \_N/A\_\_\_\_\_

3. Is the petitioner prepared to pay to the respondent railroad company its share of installing the warning devices as provided by law?

Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_X

#### Section 12 – Traffic Signal Preemption

Complete the attached <u>Guide for Determining Time Requirements for Traffic Signal Preemption</u> <u>at Highway-Rail Grade Crossings</u>.

1. Specify simultaneous or advance preemption requested.

Advance

If advance preemption, what is the preemption time. 22 seconds

### Section 13 – Additional Information

Provide any additional information supporting the proposal, including information such as the public benefits that would be derived from constructing a new crossing as proposed or modifying an existing crossing. Provide project specific information.

#### Waiver of Hearing

The undersigned represents the Respondent in the petition to construct or reconstruct a highwayrailroad grade crossing and inter-tie the highway signal with the railroad crossing signal system.

USDOT Crossing No.: 084841X

We have investigated the conditions at the proposed or existing crossing site. We are satisfied the conditions are the same as described by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree that a crossing be installed or reconstructed and the highway signals inter-tied with the railroad crossing signal system and consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.

Dated at \_\_\_\_\_\_ , Washington, on the \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of

, 20 \_\_\_\_\_

Printed name of Respondent

Signature of Respondent's Representative

Title

Name of Company

Phone number and e-mail address

Mailing address

### Minnesota Department of Transportation GUIDE FOR DETERMINING TIME REQUIREMENTS FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL PREEMPTION AT HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSINGS

FS.

City Dat	te 03/04/14
County Whatcom WA Completed b	y Alex Zhang
District District Approv	al
Crossing Street	Parallel Street Name Portal Way
Show North Arrow Traffic Signal	POILAI WAY
	Crossing Street Name
Railroad HIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	SR548 (Grandview Rd)
	ct
	e
SECTION 1: RIGHT-OF-WAY TRANSFER TIME CALCULATION	
Preempt verification and response time	Remarks
1. Preempt delay time (seconds)	nomuno
2. Controller response time to preempt (seconds) 2. 1.0	Controller type: 2070
3. Preempt verification and response time (seconds): add lines 1 and 2	3. 1.0
Worst-case conflicting vehicle time	
4. Worst-case conflicting vehicle phase number 4. 2	Remarks
5. Minimum green time during right-of-way transfer (seconds) 5. 7.0	
6. Other green time during right-of-way transfer (seconds) 6. 0.0	
7. Yellow change time (seconds) 7. 4.0	
8. Red clearance time (seconds)	
9. Worst-case conflicting vehicle time (seconds): add lines 5 through 8	12.0
Worst-case conflicting pedestrian time	
10. Worst-case conflicting pedestrian phase number 10. 3	Remarks
11. Minimum walk time during right-of-way transfer (seconds) 11.	
12. Pedestrian clearance time during right-of-way transfer (seconds) 12. 10.0	55ft/3.5ft/s=15.7-4.7-1
13. Vehicle yellow change time, if not included on line 12 (seconds) 13. 4.7	T. Contraction of the second sec
14. Vehicle red clearance time, if not included on line 12 (seconds) 14. 1.0	
15. Worst-case conflicting pedestrian time (seconds): add lines 11 through 14 15.	15.7
Worst-case conflicting vehicle or pedestrian time	
16. Worst-case conflicting vehicle or pedestrian time (seconds): maximum of lines 9 and 15	16. 15.7
17. Right-of-way transfer time (seconds): add lines 3 and 16	17. 16.7

#### SECTION 2: QUEUE CLEARANCE TIME CALCULATION

	I	DVCD	
		DVL	
		Н	Design unbight
		Н	Design vehicle
			Clear storage distance
			Minimum track clearance distance Design vehicle length
	Edge of		Queue start-up distance, also stop-line distance
	単	DVCD = D	Design vehicle clearance distance
	_		Remarks
18.	Clear storage distance (CSD, feet) 18.	45	
19.	Minimum track clearance distance (MTCD, feet) 19.	52	Line 20 need to be checked.
20.	Design vehicle length (DVL, feet) 20.	74	Design vehicle type: WB 67
		. Г	
21.	Queue start-up distance, L (feet): add lines 18 and 19	21.	97
22.	Time required for design vehicle to start moving (seconds): calculate as	2+(L÷20)	
		· · /	
23.	Design vehicle clearance distance, DVCD (feet): add lines 19 and 20 $\ldots$	23.	126
24			24 16.0 Read from Figure 2 in Instructions
24.	Time for design vehicle to accelerate through the DVCD (seconds)		24. 16.0 Read from Figure 2 in Instructions
25.	Queue clearance time (seconds): add lines 22 and 24		
EC	TION 3: MAXIMUM PREEMPTION TIME CALCULATION		Remarks
26.	Right-of-way transfer time (seconds): line 17	26.	16.7
27.	Queue clearance time (seconds): line 25	27.	22.9
28.	Desired minimum separation time (seconds)	28.	4.0
20			29 43.6
29.	Maximum preemption time (seconds): add lines 26 through 28		
EC	TION 4: SUFFICIENT WARNING TIME CHECK		Remarks
30.	Required minimum time, MT (seconds): per regulations 30.	20.0	
	Clearance time, CT (seconds): get from railroad 31.	2.0	
32.	Minimum warning time, MWT (seconds): add lines 30 and 31	32.	22.0 Excludes buffer time (BT)
	Advance preemption time, APT, if provided (seconds): get from railroad		0.0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
34.	Warning time provided by the railroad (seconds): add lines 32 and 33		
35.	Additional warning time required from railroad (seconds): subtract	line 34 fro	om line 29.
	round up to nearest full second, enter 0 if less than 0		
	If the additional marries that service d (i.e. 25) is service the	tional was	ming time has to be requested from the railroad
	In the additional warning time required (line 35) is dreater than zero add	itional war	THIN UNE HAS LODE LEQUESTED TOTT THE FAIL SAT
	If the additional warning time required (line 35) is greater than zero, addi Alternatively, the maximum preemption time (line 29) may be decreased possibility of reducing the values on lines 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13 and 14	after perf	forming an engineering study to investigate the

#### Version 04-27-2006

SECTION 5: TRACK CLEARANCE GREEN TIME CALCULATION (OPTIONAL)

Pree	mpt kap Check				
36.	Advance preemption time (APT) provided (seconds):				
37.	Multiplier for maximum APT due to train handling				
38.	Maximum APT (seconds): multiply line 36 and 37 38. 28.8 Remarks				
39.	Minimum duration for the track clearance green interval (seconds)				
40.	Gates down after start of preemption (seconds): add lines 38 and 39 40. 43.8				
41.	Preempt verification and response time (seconds): line 3 41. 1.0 Remarks				
42.	Best-case conflicting vehicle or pedestrian time (seconds): usually 0 42. 0.0				
43.	Minimum right-of-way transfer time (seconds): add lines 41 and 42 43.				
44.	Minimum track clearance green time (seconds): subtract line 43 from line 40				
Clear	ring of Clear Storage Distance				
45.	Time required for design vehicle to start moting (seconds), line 22 45. 6.9				
46.	Design vehicle clearance distance (DVCD, feet), Vine 23 46. 726 Remarks				
47.	Portion of CSD to clear during track clearance phase (feet) 47 CSD* in Figure 3 in Instructions.				
48.	Design vehicle relocation distance (DVRD, feet): add lines 46 and 47 48. 126				
49.	Time required for design vehicle to accelerate through DVRD seconds) 49. Read from Figure 2 in Instructions.				
50.	Time to clear portion of clear storage distance (seconds), add line: 45 and 49 50. 6.9				
51.	Track clearance green interval (seconds): maximum of lines 44 and 50, round up to nearest full second 51. 43				
SECI	SECTION 6: VEHICLE-GATE INTERACTION CHECK (OPTIONAL)				
52.	Right-of-way transfer time (seconds): line 7				
53.	Time required for design vehicle to start moving (seconds), line 22 53. 6.9				
54.	Time required for design vehicle to accelerate through DVL (on line 20, seconds)				
55.	Time required for design vehicle to clear descending gate (seconds): add lines 52 though 54 55. 35.6 Remarks				
56.	Duration of flashing lights before gate descent start (seconds): get from railroad 56.				
57	Full gate descent time (seconds): get from railroad				
	Proportion of non-interaction gate descent time				
00.					
59.	Non-interaction gate descent time (seconds): multiply lines 57 and 58 59. 5.4				
60.	Time available for design vehicle to clear descending gate (seconds): add lines 56 and 59 60.				
	Advance preemption time (APT) required to avoid design vehicle-gate interaction (seconds): subtract line 60 from line 55, round up to nearest full second, enter 0 if less than 0				



