



WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Cascade & Columbia River RR)	DOCKET NO. TR-100688
_____)	
Petitioner,)	PETITION TO MODIFY HIGHWAY-
)	RAIL GRADE CROSSING ACTIVE
vs.)	WARNING DEVICES AND
)	DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS
_____)	FROM THE GRADE CROSSING
Respondent)	PROTECTIVE FUND
)	
UTC)	USDOT CROSSING #096287T
.....)	

2010 APR 26 PM 3:01
 RECEIVED
 TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

The Petitioner asks the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission to approving the modification of highway-rail grade crossing warning signals and disbursing funds from the Grade Crossing Protective Fund.

Section 1 – Petitioner’s Information

_____ Petitioner Cascade & Columbia River Railroad
_____ Street Address 901 Omak Ave
_____ City, State and Zip Code Omak, WA 98841
_____ Mailing Address, if different than the street address
_____ Contact Person Name Keith Collier
_____ Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address 509-826-3586 Office, 509-322-6983 cell, keith.collier@railamerica.com

Section 2 – Respondent's Information

Respondent UTC
Street Address 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW PO Box 47250
City, State and Zip Code Olympia, WA 98504-7250
Mailing Address, if different than the street address
Contact Person Name Kathy Hunter
Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address 360-644-1257, khunter@utc.wa.gov

Section 3 – Crossing Location

1. Existing highway/roadway <u>Oak Street</u>
2. Existing railroad <u>CSCD</u>
3. USDOT Crossing No. <u>096287T</u> UTC Crossing No. <u>35A91.52</u>
4. Located in the ___ 1/4 of the ___ 1/4 of Sec. <u>16</u> , Twp. <u>33</u> , Range <u>26.E</u> W.M.
5. GPS location, if known _____
6. Railroad mile post (nearest tenth) <u>91.52</u>
7. City <u>Oakanogan</u> County <u>Okanogan</u>

Section 4 – Current Highway Traffic Information

1. Name of highway Oak Street

2. Road authority City

3. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) 2500

4. Number of lanes 2

5. Roadway speed 35

6. Is the crossing part of an established truck route? Yes X No _____

7. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic? 7%

8. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route? Yes _____ No _____

9. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day? _____

10. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 7, above, expected within ten years:

Section 5 – Current Crossing Information

1. Railroad company CSCD

2. Type of railroad at crossing Common Carrier Logging Industrial
 Passenger Excursion

3. Type of tracks at crossing Main Line Siding or Spur

4. Number of tracks at crossing 1

5. Average daily train traffic, freight 2

Authorized freight train speed 25 Operated freight train speed 25

6. Average daily train traffic, passenger _____

Authorized passenger train speed _____ Operated passenger train speed _____

7. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 4, above, expected within ten years:

8. What is the available sight distance from the stop bar (or 25 feet from the tracks if no stop bar) on both approaches to the crossing?

NW 1500, NE 1500, SW 1000, SE 1500

9. If the sight distance is less than 400 feet, describe the structures, roadway or track curvature, visual obstacles or other characteristics that limit sight distance.

Section 8 – Illustration of Proposed Warning Devices

Attach a detailed diagram, drawing, map or other illustration showing the proposed modification.

Section 9 – Project Cost Information

1. Breakdown of estimated total cost.

4 set4 sets LED flashing lights - \$4320.00

2 sets Pole 12” mounting hardware = \$1060.00

2 sets Mast 12” mounting hardware = \$ 545.00

16 12” light housings = \$1520.00

Cross Bucks = \$274.00

Freight = \$1600.00

Installation cost - \$2600.00

Names of the parties contributing to the project and the amount each is contributing.

GCPF - \$9319.00

CSCD - \$2600.00

Section 10 – Project Completion Date

Project completion date:12/31/10

Section 11 – Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

Waiver of Hearing

The undersigned represents the Respondent in the petition to modify highway-rail grade crossing warning signals at the following crossing.

USDOT Crossing No. _____ UTC Crossing No. _____

We have investigated the conditions at the crossing. We are satisfied the conditions are the same as described by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree the warning signals should be modified and consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.

Dated at _____, Washington, on the _____ day of _____, 20 ____.

Printed name of Respondent

Signature of Respondent's Representative

Title

Phone number and e-mail address

Mailing address