

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Pend Oreille Valley Railroad	)	DOCKET NO. TR-091657
_____	)	
Petitioner,	)	PETITION TO MODIFY HIGHWAY-
	)	RAIL GRADE CROSSING ACTIVE
vs.	)	WARNING DEVICES AND
UTC	)	DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS
_____	)	FROM THE GRADE CROSSING
Respondent	)	PROTECTIVE FUND
	)	
.....	)	USDOT CROSSING #399650K
	)	

STATE OF WASHINGTON  
2009 OCT 13 AM 9:06  
RECEIVED  
PROCEDS MANAGEMENT

The Petitioner asks the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission to approving the modification of highway-rail grade crossing warning signals and disbursing funds from the Grade Crossing Protective Fund.

*Section 1 – Petitioner’s Information*

<p>_____ Petitioner Pend Oreille Valley Railroad (POVA)</p> <p>_____ Street Address 1981 Black Rd</p> <p>_____ City, State and Zip Code Usk, WA 99180</p> <p>_____ Mailing Address, if different than the street address</p> <p>_____ Contact Person Name Kelly Driver</p> <p>_____ Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address 509-445-1090    kellyd@povarr.com</p>
---



**Section 4 – Current Highway Traffic Information**

1. Name of highway SR-31

2. Road authority WSDOT

3. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) 725

4. Number of lanes 2

5. Roadway speed 55

6. Is the crossing part of an established truck route?      Yes X    No       

7. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic? 10%

8. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route?    Yes X    No       

9. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day? 4

10. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 7, above, expected within ten years:

Unknown

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Section 5 – Current Crossing Information**

1. Railroad company POVA

2. Type of railroad at crossing     Common Carrier     Logging     Industrial  
 Passenger     Excursion

3. Type of tracks at crossing     Main Line     Siding or Spur

4. Number of tracks at crossing 1

5. Average daily train traffic, freight 2 or less  
Authorized freight train speed 20    Operated freight train speed 20

6. Average daily train traffic, passenger 0    (Excursion rides are operated 6 – 8 weekends per year)  
Authorized passenger train speed 20    Operated passenger train speed 10-20

7. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 4, above, expected within ten years:  
Unknown  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

8. What is the available sight distance from the stop bar (or 25 feet from the tracks if no stop bar) on both approaches to the crossing?  
NW 80 NE 175 SW 300 SE 75  
\_\_\_\_\_

9. If the sight distance is less than 400 feet, describe the structures, roadway or track curvature, visual obstacles or other characteristics that limit sight distance.  
Trees & Vegetation  
\_\_\_\_\_

*Section 6 – Current Warning Devices*

1. Provide a complete description of the warning devices currently located at the crossing, including signs, gates, lights, train detection circuitry and any other warning devices.

Cantilever mounted flashing lights w/crossbucks. Style C train detection.



**Section 8 – Illustration of Proposed Warning Devices**

Attach a detailed diagram, drawing, map or other illustration showing the proposed modification.

**Section 9 – Project Cost Information**

1. Breakdown of estimated total cost.

5 ea – ELM 240/250 batteries	\$1,250.00
6 ea – ELM 340/363 batteries	1,830.00
2 ea – ERBC charger	1,030.00
1 ea – 12 inch LED light head assy	326.00
15 ea – 12 inch LED light assy	3,240.00
15 ea – light head visor	435.00
15 ea – light head background	705.00
2 ea – RR crossing signs	150.00
1 ea – power off indicator light	116.40
1 ea – plug in relay	150.00
Freight	482.00
Tax	777.15
Total	\$10,491.55
  
2. Names of the parties contributing to the project and the amount each is contributing.  
POVA - installation cost
  
3. Provide the amount the applicant is requesting from the GCPF grant program. \$10,491.55

**Section 10 – Project Completion Date**

Project completion date: June 30, 2010

*Section 11 – Waiver of Hearing by Respondent*

**Waiver of Hearing**

The undersigned represents the Respondent in the petition to modify highway-rail grade crossing warning signals at the following crossing.

USDOT Crossing No. \_\_\_\_\_ UTC Crossing No. \_\_\_\_\_

We have investigated the conditions at the crossing. We are satisfied the conditions are the same as described by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree the warning signals should be modified and consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.

Dated at \_\_\_\_\_, Washington, on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed name of Respondent

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Respondent's Representative

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Phone number and e-mail address

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mailing address