

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Washington & Idaho Railway, Inc (WIR))	DOCKET NO. TR- 091199
Petitioner,)	PETITION TO MODIFY HIGHWAY-
vs.)	RAIL GRADE CROSSING ACTIVE
UTC)	WARNING DEVICES AND
Respondent)	DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS
.....)	FROM THE GRADE CROSSING
)	PROTECTIVE FUND
)	USDOT CROSSING #059023Y

RECEIVED
 RECORDS MANAGEMENT
 2009 JUL 27 AM 8:19
 STATE OF WASH.
 UTIL. AND TRANSP.
 COMMISSION

The Petitioner asks the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission to approving the modification of highway-rail grade crossing warning signals and disbursing funds from the Grade Crossing Protective Fund.

Section 1 – Petitioner’s Information

Petitioner WIR
Street Address 10615 S. Scribner Rd
City, State and Zip Code Cheney, Wa. 99004
Mailing Address, if different than the street address P.O. Box 154 Marshall, Wa. 99020-0154
Contact Person Name Stan Patterson
Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address 509-443-9479 / wirailway@yahoo.com

Section 2 – Respondent's Information

Respondent

UTC

Street Address

1300 S Evergreen Park Dr. SW

City, State and Zip Code

Olympia, WA 98504-47250

Mailing Address, if different than the street address

Contact Person Name

Kathy Hunter

Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address

360-664-1257 / khunter@utc.wa.gov

Section 3 – Crossing Location

1. Existing highway/roadway 1st Street

2. Existing railroad Washington & Idaho Railway, Inc

3. USDOT Crossing No. 059023Y UTC Crossing No. 52C 26.50

4. Located in the ___ 1/4 of the ___ 1/4 of Sec. 15___, Twp. 21., Range 43 ___ E ___ W.M.

5. GPS location, if known _____

6. Railroad mile post (nearest tenth) 26.50

7. City Rosalia

County Whitman

Section 4 – Current Highway Traffic Information

1. Name of highway 1st Street

2. Road authority City

3. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) 25

4. Number of lanes 2

5. Roadway speed 25

6. Is the crossing part of an established truck route? Yes _____ No X

7. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic? _____

8. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route? Yes _____ No X

9. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day? _____

10. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 7, above, expected within ten years:

Section 5 – Current Crossing Information

1. Railroad company WIR

2. Type of railroad at crossing Common Carrier Logging Industrial
 Passenger Excursion

3. Type of tracks at crossing Main Line Siding or Spur

4. Number of tracks at crossing 1

5. Average daily train traffic, freight 2

 Authorized freight train speed 25 Operated freight train speed 25

6. Average daily train traffic, passenger N/A

 Authorized passenger train speed _____ Operated passenger train speed _____

7. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 4, above, expected within ten years:

8. What is the available sight distance from the stop bar (or 25 feet from the tracks if no stop bar) on both approaches to the crossing?

NW 40, NE 175, SE 200, SE 75

9. If the sight distance is less than 400 feet, describe the structures, roadway or track curvature, visual obstacles or other characteristics that limit sight distance.

Section 8 – Illustration of Proposed Warning Devices

Attach a detailed diagram, drawing, map or other illustration showing the proposed modification.

Section 9 – Project Cost Information

1. Breakdown of estimated total cost.

12" LED retro-fit kits - \$1,912.00
1 each 4 way bracket for mounting – \$410.00
Strobe light - \$128.00
Freight fees – \$125.00
Sales tax 7.8% - \$200.85
GCPF Total \$2,775.85

2. Names of the parties contributing to the project and the amount each is contributing.

WIR – installation cost

Section 10 – Project Completion Date

Project completion date: Est. 05/2010

Section 11 – Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

Waiver of Hearing

NOT APPLICABLE

The undersigned represents the Respondent in the petition to modify highway-rail grade crossing warning signals at the following crossing.

USDOT Crossing No. _____ UTC Crossing No. _____

We have investigated the conditions at the crossing. We are satisfied the conditions are the same as described by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree the warning signals should be modified and consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.

Dated at _____, Washington, on the _____ day of _____, 20 ____.

Printed name of Respondent

Signature of Respondent's Representative

Title

Phone number and e-mail address

Mailing address