

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

)	DOCKET NO. TR- 090670
WA State Parks & Recreation Commission Petitioner,)	PETITION TO CONSTRUCT OR RECONSTRUCT A HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING
vs. 1. Port of Chehalis 2. Chehalis Centralia Railroad & Museum)	
Respondent)	
The Petitioner asks the Washington Utilities and Tranconstruction or reconstruction of a highway-rail grad X Construction Reconstruction Section 1 – Petitioner	le crossing.
Washington State Parks & Recreation Commission	
Petitioner 11834 Tilley Road S. Street Address Olympia, WA 98512 City, State and Zip Code	
Mailing Address, if different than the street address	·
Hon Lee Contact Person Name	
360-956-4826 / hon.lee@parks.wa.gov Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address	·

Section 2 – Respondent's Information

1.Port of Chehalis Respondent	
321 Maurin Road Street Address	
Chehalis, WA 98532 City, State and Zip Code	
Same as above Mailing Address, if different than the street address	
Rick Rouse Contact Person Name	
360-748-9365 /rrouse@portofchehalis.com Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address	
2.Chehalis Centralia Railroad & Museum Respondent	
1945 S. Market Blvd. Street Address	
Chehalis, WA 98532 City, State and Zip Code	. !
Same as above Mailing Address, if different than the street address	
Rick Burchett Contact Person Name	
360-570-9191 / a22twin@comcast.net	

Section 3 – Proposed Crossing Location

1. Existing highway/roadwayWillapa Hills Trail
2. Existing railroad The Port of Chehalis Curtis Line
3. Location of proposed crossing: Located in the 1/4 of the 1/4 of Sec 1 , Twp. 13N , Range 3W W.M.
4. GPS location, if known
5. Railroad mile post (nearest tenth) 1.5
6. City Chehalis County Lewis

Section 4 – Proposed Crossing Information

Railroad company
2. Type of railroad at crossing □ Common Carrier □ Logging □ Industrial
X Passenger X Excursion
3. Type of tracks at crossing X Main Line □ Siding or Spur
4. Number of tracks at crossing1
5. Average daily train traffic, freightN/A
Authorized freight train speed 10 mph Operated freight train speed N/A
6. Average daily train traffic, passenger2_
Authorized passenger train speed 15 mph Operated passenger train speed 15 mph
7. Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings? Yes No _X_
8. If so, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing. N/A
9. Does the petitioner propose to close any existing crossings? Yes No _X

Section 5 – Temporary Crossing

 Is the crossing proposed to be temporary? Yes No _X_ If so, describe the purpose of the crossing and the estimated time it will be needed 	
3. Will the petitioner remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing? Yes No _X	
Approximate date of removal	
Section 6 – Current Highway Traffic Information	
1. Name of roadway/highway Willapa Hills Trail	
2. Roadway classification	
3. Road authority —	
4. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) 20 bicyclist & 30 pedestrians (Summer)	
5. Number of lanes 1 bicycle lane and 1 horse lane	
6. Roadway speed 20 mph (bicyclist)	
7. Is the crossing part of an established truck route? Yes NoX	
8. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic? N/A	
9. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route? Yes No _X	
10. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day?	
11. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 7, above, expected within ten years:	
Parks expect about 10 percent increase use for the trail.	

Section 7 – Alternatives to the Proposal

1. Does a safer location for a crossing exist within a reasonable distance of the proposed location? Yes No _X
2. If a safer location exists, explain why the crossing should not be located at that site.
3. Are there any hillsides, embankments, buildings, trees, railroad loading platforms or other barriers in the vicinity which may obstruct a motorist's view of the crossing? Yes X No
4. If a barrier exists, describe:
 ♦ Whether petitioner can relocate the crossing to avoid the obstruction and if not, why not. ♦ How the barrier can be removed. ♦ How the petitioner or another party can mitigate the hazard caused by the barrier.
Some vegetation has grown on the east side of existing crossing. The vegetation decreases
a bicyclist's sight distance. Parks' will maintain the clearance of vegetation within 200 feet
of the crossing.
5. Is it feasible to construct an over-crossing or under-crossing at the proposed location as an alternative to an at-grade crossing? Yes No _X
6. If an over-crossing or under-crossing is not feasible, explain why.

7. Does the railway line, at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, pass over a fill area or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an over-crossing or an under-crossing, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the roadway to reach that point?
Yes X No
8. If such a location exists, state:
 ♦ The distance and direction from the proposed crossing. ♦ The approximate cost of construction. ♦ Any reasons that exist to prevent locating the crossing at this site.
Parks considered under-crossing option. However, Parks suspended the idea of under-crossing
due to the following reasons:
1. Height clearance.
2. The crossing is located in flood zone area and it will submerge into water during winter time.
3. The proposed crossing is out of State Park Right of Way.
4. The proposed crossing will have more impact on the environment.
9. Is there an existing public or private crossing in the vicinity of the proposed crossing? Yes No _X
10. If a crossing exists, state:
 ◆ The distance and direction from the proposed crossing. ◆ Whether it is feasible to divert traffic from the proposed to the existing crossing.
N/A

Section 8 – Sight Distance

a. Approaching the crossing view as follows: (North, South, E		oproach provides an unobstructed
Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet
Right	300	100
Right	200	100
Right	100	100
Right	50	200
Right	25	400
Left	300	200
Left	200	200
Left	100	300
Left	50	500
Left	25	600
Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet
Right	300	200
Diaht	200	200
Right		
Right	100	200
Right Right	50	300
Right Right Right	50 25	300 400
Right Right Right Left	50 25 300	300 400 200
Right Right Left Left	50 25 300 200	300 400 200 200
Right Right Left Left Left	50 25 300 200 100	300 400 200 200 200
Right Right Left Left Left Left	50 25 300 200 100 50	300 400 200 200 200 200 300
Right Right Left Left Left	50 25 300 200 100	300 400 200 200 200
Right Right Right Left Left Left Left Left Left 2. Will the new crossing provailway on both approaches to Yes X No	50 25 300 200 100 50 25 vide a level approach measuring of the crossing?	300 400 200 200 200 300 500

3. If not, state the percentage of grade prior to the level grade and explain why the grade exceeds five percent.	

Section 9 - Illustration of Proposed Crossing Configuration

Attach a detailed diagram, drawing, map or other illustration showing the following:

- ♦ The vicinity of the proposed crossing.
- ♦ Layout of the railway and highway 500 feet adjacent to the crossing in all directions.
- ♦ Percent of grade.
- ♦ Obstructions of view as described in Section 7 or identified in Section 8.
- ♦ Traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signage.

Section 10 - Proposed Warning Signals or Devices

1. Explain in detail the number and type of autom the proposed crossing, including a cost estimate f		
(2) Crossbuck signs and (2) Advance warning si	gns.	
(2) Stop signs and Emergency call sign and Stop	bars and Flange way filler.	
Striping for RR crossing		
Total cost: \$6,000		·
-		

2. Provide an estimate for maintaining the signals for 12 months. 3. Is the petitioner prepared to pay to the respondent railroad company its share of installing the warning devices as provided by law? Yes X No
Section 11 – Additional Information
Provide any additional information supporting the proposal, including information such as the public benefits that would be derived from constructing a new crossing as proposed.
Parks proposed to provide a safe trail from Chehalis and Adna to the general public. The trail
users can use this corridor and travel from Chehalis to Adna upon the completion of proposed
railroad crossing. The trail will provide the following benefits to the public:
1. Provide accessible, widely available and low cost opportunities to meet the physical activity
need of most Chehalis and Adna residences.
2. Attract tourists to the local communities
3. Provide recreational opportunities to Chehalis and Adna communities.
4. Provide quality time with family in a safe environment.
5. Provide an alternative mode of transportation

Section 12 – Waiver of Hearing by Respondent #1

Port of Chehalis Waiver of Hearing	
The undersigned represents the railroad grade crossing.	he Respondent in the petition to construct or reconstruct a highway-
conditions are the same as de	aditions at the proposed or existing crossing site. We are satisfied the scribed by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree that a crossing be consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.
	, Washington, on the day of
, 2	0
	Port of Chehalis
	Printed name of Respondent
	Signature of Respondent's Representative
	Title
	Phone number and e-mail address
	Mailing address

Section 12 – Waiver of Hearing by Respondent #2

Chehalis Centralia Railroad & Museum Waiver of Hearing	
The undersigned reprailroad grade crossing	esents the Respondent in the petition to construct or reconstruct a highway- ag.
conditions are the sar	the conditions at the proposed or existing crossing site. We are satisfied the ne as described by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree that a crossing be cted and consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.
Dated at	, Washington, on the day of
	, 20
	Chehalis Centralia Railway & Museum
	Printed name of Respondent
	Signature of Respondent's Representative
	Title
	Phone number and e-mail address
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Mailing address





