US Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety

Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection 49 CFR Subparts 192.911, 192.921, 192.933, & 192.935

General Notes:

- 1. This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
- 2. This is a two part inspection form:
 - i. A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
 - ii. A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
- 3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either "Satisfactory"; "Unsatisfactory"; or Not Checked ("N/C"). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

| Operator Inspected: | Williams Gas Pipeline -West | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Op ID: | 13845 | |

| Perform Activity (denoted by mark) | Activity Number | Activity Description |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| X | 1A | In-Line Inspection |
| X | 1B | Hydrostatic Pressure Testing |
| X | 1C | Direct Assessment Technologies |
| X | 1D | Other Assessment Technologies |

| X | 2A. | Remedial Actions . | |
|---|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| X | 2B | Remediation – Implementation | |
| | 3A | Preventive & Mitigative – additional measures evaluated for HCAs | |
| X | 3B | Preventive & Mitigative – automatic shut-off valves | |
| X | 4A | Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations | |
| | 4B | Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs | |
| X | 4C | Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection | |
| | | System | |
| X | 4D | Field inspection for general system characteristics | |
| | attachment | Anomaly Evaluation Report | |
| · | attachment | Anomaly Repair Report | |

Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection Form

| Name of Operator:V | Villiams Gas Pipeline- | West | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Headquarters Address: 2800 Post Oak Boulevard MD-2 Houston, TX, 77056 | | | | |
| Company Official: | Larry Hjalmarson | | | |
| Phone Number: | (801) 584-6402 | | | |
| Fax Number: | (801) 584-7862 | | | |
| Operator ID: | 13845 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Persons Int | terviewed | Title | Phone No. | E-Mail |
| Lauri Duncombe | | Pipeline Safety Engineer | 801-84-6509 | Lauri.m.duncombe |
| Paul Fincher | | District Manager | 360-988-9105 | Paul.m.fincher@w liams.com |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Date(s) of Ir | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 009-8/13/2009 |
| Inspector Signature: _ | Joe Subsits | Date: _ | 8/13/2009_ | |
| information is available, in MAOP, %SMYS, HCA loc | include the pipe size, was cations, class locations, ring the inspection. Ass | ion of the Pipeline Segment Inspect ull thickness, grade, seam type, coat and Pipeline Segment boundaries.) essment done three years ago, anon | ing type, length, norn] | nal operating pressure, |
| milepost/stations/valves/p | ipe-to-soil readings/rive | the portion of the pipeline segment er crossings/etc. In addition, a briej nt agreement that required field ver | description and case | number of the follow up |
| No segment inspected dur digs done in high consequ | | essment done three years ago, anon | naly digs done three y | ears ago. No anomaly |

| Sum | ma | ry: |
|-----|----|-----|
|-----|----|-----|

No segment inspected during the inspection. Assessment done three years ago, anomaly digs done three years ago. No anomaly digs done in high consequence areas.

Findings:

No segment inspected during the inspection. Assessment done three years ago, anomaly digs done three years ago. No anomaly digs done in high consequence areas.

Key Documents Reviewed:

| Document Title | Document No. | Rev. No | Date |
|----------------|--------------|---------|------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | · |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments

| 1 | Cati-f- | I Imagéi-f | NIC | Notors | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1A. In-Line Inspection | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: | | | |
| Verify that Operator's O&M and IMP procedural | v | - | | ILI done on 30 inch done in 2007 | | | |
| requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for performance of ILI were followed. | X | | | 1121 done on 30 men done in 2007 | | | |
| Verify Operator's ILI procedural requirements were fol | ron | | | | | | |
| for launching and receiving of pig, operational control of | | | | | | | |
| Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before ru | | | | | | | |
| tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being p | | | | | | | |
| Verify ILI complied with Operator's procedural require | | | | | | | |
| | | | a | 8 | | | |
| coverage), as appropriate. | successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limits, adequate transducer | | | | | | |
| Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, D | | | | | | | |
| other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as a | |). Document | | | | | |
| Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applicate | | res for prepari | inσ | | | | |
| running and monitoring the pipeline for ILI tools includ | | | | | | | |
| (e.g.: tool speeds, pipe cleanliness, operation of tool ser | | | 1113 | , | | | |
| calibration requirements), as appropriate. | isors, una r | DI NOIG | | [Note: Add location specific | | | |
| Other: | , | | | information, as appropriate.] | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1B. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: No IMP hydrotests done | | | |
| Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with | _ v | | | | | | |
| Part 192 Subpart J requirements. | Х | | | | | | |
| Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test par | | | ify | · | | | |
| test was performed without leakage and in compliance | with Part 19 | 2 Subpart J | | · | | | |
| requirements. | | | | | | | |
| Review test procedures and records and verify test acce | ptability and | d validity. | | · | | | |
| Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test fa | ilures, as ap | propriate. | | | | | |
| Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equip | ment used, a | s appropriate | • | | | | |
| Verify that the baseline assessment is conducted in a ma | | | | | | | |
| environmental and safety risks (reference §192.919(e) a | nd ADB-04 | -01) | | • | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| | 15 2 | | | | | | |
| 1C. Direct Assessment Technologies | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: No DA done in District | | | |
| Verify that application of "Direct Assessment | v | | | | | | |
| Technology" complied with Part 192.923 | Х | | | | | | |
| Review documentation of Operator's application of "Di | | | | | | | |
| Technology", if available. Verify compliance with Part | 192.923 an | d Operator's | | | | | |
| procedural requirements, as applicable. | | | | | | | |
| Verify that appropriate tests and/or inspections are bein | g performed | l and appropri | iate | | | | |
| data is being collected, as appropriate. | | | | , | | | |
| Other. | | | | | | | |
| | Zinger N. Zinger and St. St. | | | | | | |
| 1D. Other Assessment Technologies | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: | | | |
| Verify that application of "Other Assessment | | | | No "other technologies" performed | | | |
| Technology" complied with Operator's requirements, | x | | | | | | |
| that appropriate notifications had been submitted to | | | | | | | |
| PHMSA, and that appropriate data was collected. | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Review documentation of notification to PHMSA of Op | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Technology", if available. Verify complian | | | | | | | |
| requirements. If documentation of notification to PHM | | | | | | | |
| of "Other Assessment Technology" is available, verify | | | | | | | |
| within parameters originally submitted to PHMSA. | | | | | | | |
| Verify that appropriate tests are being performed and ap | | | | | | | |
| collected, as appropriate. | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| Other. | S. 1874 24 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 12 22 | I SS S ALCONOMICO CORRES | ngggatas | Program i monomorphica estimo o que a venda esta disconse tudidas Alta de sucesti | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies

| | | | užrnicej, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2A. Remedial Actions – Process | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: |
| Verify that remedial actions complied with the | V | | | |
| Operator's procedural requirements. | Х | | | No anomaly digs in HCA's |
| Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation | of remedia | tion (e.g. | | |
| Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data A | cquisition I | Forms). Verif | _y | |
| compliance with Operator's O&M Manual and Part 192 | requiremen | nts. | | |
| | | | | |
| Verify that Operator's procedures were followed in loca | ting and ex | posing the | | |
| anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line local | ation, identi | fying | | |
| approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excava | ition, coatin | g removal). | | |
| | | | | |
| Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the | inomaly, de | termining the | | • |
| severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining stre | ngth of the | pipe. Review | the | |
| class location factor and failure pressure ratio used by C | perator in d | letermining re | pair | Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to |
| of anomaly. | | | | soil at dig site (if available): |
| | | | | On Potential:mV |
| Verify that Operator's personnel have access to and kno | wledge of a | applicable | | Off Potential:mV |
| procedures. | | | | |
| | | | | [Note: Add location specific information |
| Other: | | | | and note whether CP readings were from |
| | • | | | the surface or from the pipe following |
| | | | | exposure, as appropriate.] |
| | | | | |
| 2B. Remediation - Implementation | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: |
| Verify that the operator has adequately implemented | San San | | | N |
| its remediation process and procedures to effectively | х | | | No repairs in HCA's required |
| remediate conditions identified through integrity | | | | • |
| assessments or information analysis. | | | .141. | |
| If documentation is available, verify that repairs were co | | | /III | |
| the operator's prioritized schedule and within the time fi §192.933(d). | ailles allow | eu III | | |
| §192.933(u). | | | | |
| Review any documentation for this inspection site for an | ı immediate | renair condit | ion | |
| (§192.933(d)(1)) where operating pressure was reduced | | | .1011 | |
| shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition tha | | | | |
| pressure was determined in accordance with the require | | | if | |
| not applicable, the operator should provide an engineeri | | | | |
| amount of pressure reduction. | | , | | |
| . | | | | • |
| Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with § | 192.103, § | 192.111, | | |
| §192.713, §192.717, §192.719, §192.933 and the Opera | | | | • |
| appropriate. If welding is performed, verify a qualified | welding pro | ocedure and | | |
| qualified welders are used to perform repairs. If compo | site repair n | nethods are us | ed, | |
| verify that a method approved by the Operator is used, p | procedures a | are followed, a | and | Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to |
| qualified personnel perform the repair. | * . | | | soil at dig site (if available): |
| | <u> </u> | | | On Potential:mV |
| Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (So | | | | Off Potential:mV |
| "Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Pr | | | | |
| appropriate. | | [Note: Add location specific information | | |
| | | | | and note whether CP readings were from |
| Other: | the surface or from the pipe following | | | |
| • | | | | exposure, as appropriate.] |
| | | | 25.05.453.10 | Dangga yang dangga panggana, kan dangga bangga tangga tangga dangga tangga tangga tangga tangga tangga tangga |

Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions

| 3A. P&M Measures for Third Party Damage | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------|
| Identify additional measures evaluated for the HCA | | | | |
| section of the pipeline and facilities. | | | X | Williams performs "Common Ground" |
| Verify that P & M measures regarding threats due to thi | recommendations | | | |
| implemented: [§192.915(c), §192.935(b)(1)(iv)]: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Confirm the use of qualified personnel for marking, loca | ating, and d | irect supervisi | ion | |
| of known excavation work, as appropriate. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Confirm the use of qualified personnel for monitoring o | | ns conducted of | on | |
| covered pipeline segments by pipeline personnel, as app | ropriate. | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | |
| Other: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | • | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | · |
| | | | | |
| | | | | [Note: Add location specific information, |
| · | | • | | as appropriate.] |
| | | | | |
| 3B. Installed Automatic Shut-off Valves (Protocol | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: |
| H.07) | | | | |
| Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions | x | | | NT 1100 1 |
| implemented by Operator. | | | | No additional measures required or |
| Document that additional measures evaluated by the ope | | | | incorporated |
| such as, installing Automatic Shut-off Valves or Remot | | | | |
| computerized monitoring and leak detection systems, re pipe of heavier wall thickness, providing additional train | | | un | |
| response procedures, conducting drills with local emerg | | | . | |
| implementing additional inspection and maintenance pro | | | | |
| Verify that the operator has a process to decide if autom | | | | |
| remote control valves represent an efficient means of ad | | | | |
| potentially affected high consequence areas. [§192.935(| | 22022 | | |
| | -/1 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Verify operation of installed remote control valve by rev | viewing ope | rator | | |
| inspection/remote control records for partially opening a | | | | |
| appropriate. | _ | | - 1 | |
| | | | | |
| Other: | | | ' | |
| | | | | |
| • | | | 1 | |
| | • | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | , | |
| • | | | | |
| | ge kongres Assault. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | [Note: Add location specific information, |
| | | | | as appropriate.] |
| | 111111111111111111111111111111111111111 | HIDAD BANGTING PART | | |

Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)

| 4A. Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator. Utilize NPMS and Operator maps, as appropriate. | Operator revisions submitted to SLC and incorporated into data base immediately | | | | |
| Verify that the operator's integrity management program updated system maps or other suitably detailed means d segment locations that are located in high consequence [§192.905(a)] | | | | | |
| Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground pa field personnel to communicate new developments that consequence areas or that may create new high consequence appropriate. [§192.905(c)] | | | | | |
| Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms and class location changes are being identified through program as required by §192.613 and §192.905. | | | | [Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.] | |
| 4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: | |
| Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc. | Junioratory | | X | No anomaly | |
| Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed and the actions taken by the operator. | as part of t | his field activ | ity | digs in HCA [Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.] | |
| 4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the | | | | Notes: | |
| Cathodic Protection System | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | 110003. | |
| In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy. | х | | | No hydrostatic testing of system, Annual CP test results OK | |
| The operator should review the CP system performance hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressur Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual | ent addresse reviewed the e test? | d applicable te CP system | m | | |
| code requirements are being met, if available. | | | | Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available): | |
| Review results of random field CP readings performed of minimum code requirements are being met, if possible, checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are oper | ſ | On Potential:mV Off Potential:mV [Note: Add location specific information and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] | | | |
| 4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | N/C | Notes: | |
| Through field inspection determine overall condition of | | | | | |
| pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation. | Condition of Right of Way was satisfactory. | | | | |
| Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ens | | | | | |
| requirements are being met, as appropriate. | | | | | |
| Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the in their system, as appropriate. | | | | | |
| Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and E marker posts. | on | | | | |

| | | | | • | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---|----------|---|------|--|
| | | | | | |
| Other: | • | | | | |
| | | | , | | |
| es, rotais sias ainte Additional States se se a sia della SS et | | Herena V | | | |

Anomaly Evaluation Report (to be completed as appropriate)

| stem and Line P | ipe Information |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| | Seam Type and Orientation: |
| | Depth of Cover: |
| | Coating Type and Condition: |
| | MAOP: |
| I Reported Info | rmation |
| | |
| oss): | |
| | |
| | pection Report (MM/DD/YY): |
| | |
| | |
| | O'clock position): |
| | Depth (in): |
| | Upstream weld (ft): |
| | |
| AND AND A CONTRACTOR OF THE AND | ation Summary |
| | <i>1</i> 00 |
| nap): | |
| | A/G Reference (ft): |
| | |
| | Latitude: |
| Orientation: | : |
| s found (ft): | |
| | ge Anomaly |
| | |
| | Depth (in): |
| | 2 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| (Yes/No): | Are multiple dents present? (Yes / No): |
| | cracks in dent? (Yes / No): |
| <u></u> | |
| rrosion Metal L | oss Anomaly |
| - JOHN WILLIAM | MMM A ORD MARKET |
| Width (in): | Max. Depth (in): |
| | m % Wall Loss measurement(%): |
| | mi / / / mi Lobb measurement(/ //) |
| | Anomalies |
| | |
| | Max. Depth (in): |
| | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| valuate presence of | cracks? (Yes / No): |
| | I Reported Information: oss): HCA? (Yes / No) Date of Insported Information (Owidth (in): Distance from Ity is identified (ft): Dig Site Information: Is found (ft): echanical Dama plain dent, gouge): Width (in): (Yes / No): valuate presence of rrosion Metal L Width (in): Maximulate: Other Types" of oss, crack, seam deferences. |

Anomaly Repair Report (to be completed as appropriate)

| ING JAI | r Information |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes / No): | |
| Was Operating Pressure Reduced per 192.933(a) re | equirements? |
| Was defect ground out to eliminate need for repair | ? (Yes / No): |
| If grinding used, complete the following for affected | ed area: |
| Length (in): Width | |
| If NO repair of an anomaly for which RSTRENG/B31.G is applicable, were the Operator's RSTRENG/B31.G | |
| calculations reviewed? (Yes / No): | _ |
| If Repair made, complete the following: | |
| Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, composite wrap) | , |
| Was defect ground out prior to making repair? (Ye | s / No): |
| Operating Pressure at the time of repair: | |
| Length of Repair: Pipe re- | coating material used: |
| Comments on Repair material, as appropriate (e.g., grade of steel, wall thickness): | |
| | |
| Comments on Repair procedure, as appropriate (e. | g., welded sleeve, composite wrap): |
| | |
| General Obser | vations and Comments |
| Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the anomal | y made? (Yes / No): (Include in report if available) |
| Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection readings take | |
| | |
| If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential: | mV; Off Potential: mV |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fi | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fi | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fi | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fi | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fi | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fi | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal surface or fit of the | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ly (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal surface or fit of the | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal surface or fit of the | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ly (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal surface or fit of the | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ly (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal surface or fit of the | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ly (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal surface or fit of the | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] ly (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal comments regarding procedures followed during experience of the surface or fit of the s | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] lly (as appropriate): xcavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal surface or fit of the | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] lly (as appropriate): xcavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal comments regarding procedures followed during experience of the surface or fit of the s | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] lly (as appropriate): xcavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal comments regarding procedures followed during experience of the surface or fit of the s | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] lly (as appropriate): xcavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal comments regarding procedures followed during experience of the surface or fit of the s | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] lly (as appropriate): xcavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate): |
| [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or fit Describe method used by Operator to locate anomal comments regarding procedures followed during experience of the surface or fit of the s | om the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] lly (as appropriate): xcavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate): |