

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

□ Construction	X Reconstruction			
The Petitioner asks the Was		ransportation Commission to apade crossing.	prove	=======================================
)		7
Respondent)))		JUL 25
Petitioner, vs. WSDOT – Freight Rail Division (Washington-Idaho Railroad Line)		PETITION TO CONSTR RECONSTRUCT A HIGH GRADE CROSSING AC BEACH STREET @ WH IN PALOUSE, WHITMAN COUNTY, WASHINGTON	HWAY-R ROSS	ST. 2008
)		

CITY OF PALOUSE Petitioner
P. O. Box 248 City Hall on Main Street Street Address
Palouse, WA. 99161 City, State and Zip Code
Mailing Address, if different than the street address Mayor Michael Echanove
Contact Person Name
(509) 878-1811 <u>cityclerk@palouse.com</u> (Joyce Beeson) Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address

Section 2 – Respondent's Information

Respondent #1 WASHINGTON STATE DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
STATE RAIL OFFICE - Freight Systems Division
310 Maple Park Avenue S.E.
P. O. Box 47407
Street Address
Olympia, WA. 98504-7407
City, State and Zip Code
Mailing Address, if different than the street address
Michael E. Rowswell, Special Initiatives Manager
Contact Person Name 360-280-4540 & Fax# 360-705-6821
rowswem@wsdot.wa.gov
Contact Phone Number and E-mail Address
Respondent #2 Washington-Idaho Railroad Line – c/o WSDOT
(Railroad) Les Hancock, Manager (509)443-9479 – wirailway@yahoo.com
Marshall, Washington

Section 3 – Proposed Crossing Location

1. Existing highway/roadway Beach Street @ Whitman Street Intersection
2. Existing railroad Along Whitman Street
3. Location of proposed crossing: Located in the <u>NE</u> 1/4 of the <u>1/4</u> of Sec. 1, Twp. <u>16 N</u> , Range <u>45E</u> W.M.
4. GPS location, if known
5. Railroad mile post (nearest tenth) 29+80 to 30+20 Across Beach St. @ Whitman Street
6. City Palouse County Whitman

Section 4 – Proposed Crossing Information

1. Railroad company Washington-Idaho Railroad Line (c/o WSDOT)
2. Type of railroad at crossing x Common Carrier □ Logging □ Industrial
□ Passenger □ Excursion X Freight
3. Type of tracks at crossing x Main Line □ Siding or Spur
4. Number of tracks at crossing <i>One</i>
5. Average daily train traffic, freight <i>Weekly?</i>
Authorized freight train speed Operated freight train speed
6. Average daily train traffic, passenger <u>N/A</u>
Authorized passenger train speed Operated passenger train speed
 7. Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings? Yes <u>Yes</u> No 8. If so, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing. Traffic will travel across tracks to go south or west.
9. Does the petitioner propose to close any existing crossings? Yes X No

Section 5 – Temporary Crossing

 Is the crossing proposed to be temporary? Yes No X If so, describe the purpose of the crossing and the estimated time it will be needed Vehicle / Track crossing to travel south or west with complete replacement of tracks.
3. Will the petitioner remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing? Yes No Approximate date of removal
Section 6 – Current Highway Traffic Information
1. Name of roadway/highway Beach Street @ Whitman Street Intersection
2. Roadway classification Local Collector
3. Road authority <i>City of Palouse</i>
4. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) <u>150 ADT</u>
5. Number of lanes <i>Two (2)</i>
6. Roadway speed <u>Under 25 mph</u>
7. Is the crossing part of an established truck route? Yes No No
8. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic?
9. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route? Yes X No
10. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day? <i>4 Estimated</i>
11. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 7, above, expected within ten years:
None is Evnected

Section 7 – Alternatives to the Proposal

1. Does a safer location for a crossing exist within a reasonable distance of the proposed location Yes No X
2. If a safer location exists, explain why the crossing should not be located at that site.
3. Are there any hillsides, embankments, buildings, trees, railroad loading platforms or other barriers in the vicinity which may obstruct a motorist's view of the crossing? Yes No X
 4. If a barrier exists, describe: ♦ Whether petitioner can relocate the crossing to avoid the obstruction and if not, why not. ♦ How the barrier can be removed. ♦ How the petitioner or another party can mitigate the hazard caused by the barrier.
5. Is it feasible to construct an over-crossing or under-crossing at the proposed location as an alternative to an at-grade crossing? Yes No X
6. If an over-crossing or under-crossing is not feasible, explain why.
No room to build a crossing and simply not applicable

area ng,
_
_
<u> </u>
_
_
_
_

Section 8 – Sight Distance

1. Complete the following table, describing the sight distance for motorists when approaching the tracks from either direction. <i>No changes in grade is proposed.</i>			
a. Approaching the crossing from <u>South</u> , the current approach provides an unobstructed view as follows: (North, South, East, West)			
Direction of sight (left or right)	Number of feet from proposed crossing	Provides an unobstructed view for how many feet	
Right	300	300 feet	
Right	200		
Right	100		
Right	50		
Right	25		
Left	300	300 feet	
Left	200		
Left	100		
Left	50		
Left	25		
b. Approaching the crossing from <u>East</u> , the current approach provides an unobstructed view as follows: (Opposite direction-North, South, East, West) Number of feet from Provides an unobstructed			
Direction of sight (left or right)	proposed crossing	view for how many feet 300 feet	
Right	200	300 Jeei	
Right			
Right	100		
Right	25		
Right			
Left	300		
Left	200		
Left	100	50 feet	
Left Left	50	30 Jeei	
2. Will the new crossing pro railway on both approaches Yes X No 3. If not, state in feet the len to the crossing.	vide a level approach measu to the crossing? gth of level grade from the c	enter of the railway on both approaches ot more than five percent prior to the	

3. If not, state the percentage of grade prior to the level grade and explain why the grade exceeds
five percent.
0.5% Grade along railroad tracks and 10% along Beach Street.

Section 9 – Illustration of Proposed Crossing Configuration

Attach a detailed diagram, drawing, map or other illustration showing the following:

- ♦ The vicinity of the proposed crossing.
- ♦ Layout of the railway and highway 500 feet adjacent to the crossing in all directions.
- ♦ Percent of grade.
- ♦ Obstructions of view as described in Section 7 or identified in Section 8.
- ♦ Traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signage.

Section 10 – Proposed Warning Signals or Devices

1. Explain in detail the number and type of automatic signals or other warning the proposed crossing, including a cost estimate for each.	devices planned at
The proposed warning is limited to ground and side signs as illustrated on th	e attached plans.
The cost for the signs will be paid by project City funds.	

2. Provide an estimate for maintaining the signals for 12 months. N/A (Sings only no signals)
3. Is the petitioner prepared to pay to the respondent railroad company its share of installing the warning devices as provided by law? Yes No X
Section 11 – Additional Information
Provide any additional information supporting the proposal, including information such as the public benefits that would be derived from constructing a new crossing as proposed.
The existing tracks are situated @ the intersection of Beach & Whitman Streets.
The proposed plan is to separate the tracks from the vehicle travel lanes traveling east and west. There will be no changes for the traffic in the north / south direction to and from Beach Street.

Section 12A – Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

Waiver of Hearing (BEA	CH STREET @ WHITMAN STREET INTERSECTION)
The undersigned represent railroad grade crossing.	s the Respondent in the petition to construct or reconstruct a highway-
conditions are the same as	conditions at the proposed or existing crossing site. We are satisfied the described by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree crossing be and consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.
Dated at	, Washington, on the day of
STATE RAIL OFFICE - 310 Maple Park Avenue S P. O. Box 47407 <u>Olympia, WA. 98504-740</u> <u>Michael E. Rowswell, Spe</u>	S.E.
	Printed name of Respondent
	Signature of Respondent's Representative
	Michael E. Rowswell, Special Initiatives Manager
	Title 360-280-4540 & Fax# 360-705-6821
	Phone number and e-mail address rowswem@wsdot.wa.gov
WASHINGTON STATE I STATE RAIL OFFICE - 310 Maple Park Avenue S P. O. Box 47407 Olympia, WA. 98504-740	S.E.
Michael E. Rowswell, Spe	ecial Initiatives Manager
	Mailing address

Section 12B - Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

Waiver of Hearing (BEACH STREET @ WHITMAN STREET INTERSECTION)

The undersigned represents the Respondent in the petition to construct or reconstruct a highway-railroad grade crossing.

We have investigated the conditions at the proposed or existing crossing site. We are satisfied the conditions are the same as described by the Petitioner in this docket. We agree crossing be installed or reconstructed and consent to a decision by the commission without a hearing.

installed or reconstructed ar	ad consent to a decision by the commission without a nearing.
Dated at <u>Marshall</u> <u>July</u> ,	
(Railroad Operator)	
•	Railroad Line – c/o WSDOT lager (509)443-9479 – wirailway@yahoo.com ton Les J. Hancock Printed name of Respondent Signature of Respondent's Representative Les Hancock, Manager Title 509-443-9479 Phone number and e-mail address wirailway@yahoo.com
(Rail Owner) WASHINGTON STATE D STATE RAIL OFFICE - I 310 Maple Park Avenue S. P. O. Box 47407 Olympia, WA. 98504-7407 Michael E. Rowswell, Spec	E.
	Mailing address





