#### SPOKANE

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING AND ROADS

A DIVISION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

December 29, 2006

Ms. Kathy Hunter Washington Utility and Transportation Commission PO Box 47250 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Dear Ms. Hunter,

As we discussed in our telephone conversation, I am forwarding to you petitions for four new highway/railroad grade crossings which result from the proposed realignment of the Geiger Spur track south to connect with the PCCR-CW line and abandon the current track crossing Fairchild Air Force Base and connecting to the BNSF. As you will note, three of the four petitions (Hallett Rd, Thorpe Rd, and McFarlane Rd) show Spokane County as both the petitioner and the respondent as owners of both the roadways and the railroad. The fourth petition involving the Geiger Spur is for the SR 902 crossing. I have included an additional copy of the petition for the Commission to serve to WSDOT (respondent) after you have had an opportunity to review it.

During our telephone conversation we also discussed another pending project for Spokane County, Freya Street crossing BNSF Railroad. Although the project does not alter the crossing other than the addition of sidewalks, it does appear that WAC 480-62-150 requires the filing of a petition due to the installation of a highway traffic signal at the adjacent intersection and the subsequent requirement for an intertie with the existing railroad crossing signal. I have enclosed an original and two copies of the petition for this crossing. If you agree that the petition is required, please forward a copy to the respondent railroad company.

Thank you for considering these petitions for new and modified crossings. I or a staff member will be available to assist in your site visit and review of the crossings. Please contact me at 509/477-3600 if you have any questions and to schedule site visits.

Sincerely,

Robert Brueggeman, P.E. Acting County Engineer

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION No. **PETITION** Petitioner Road Name Hallett Road VS. W.U.T.C. Crossing No. New Crossing Respondent D.O.T. Crossing No. Application is hereby made to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission for an order (check one or more of the following) directing the X \_ of a grade crossing; directing installation of automatic grade crossing signal or other warning device (other than crossbucks) at a new crossing: directing of warning devices at an existing crossings; $\Box$ (replacement-change-upgrade) allocating funds from the "grade crossing protective fund" for of active warning devices: (installation and/or maintenance) authorizing the construction of the project, funding to be pursuant to the Intermodal П Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) in cooperation with the Washington State Department of Transportation Local Programs Division; at the railroad grade crossing identified above and described in this petition. This application seeks the relief specified above by (check one of the following) order without hearing □ hearing and order Has application for funding, pursuant to Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act been made to the Local Programs Division for this project? [ ] Yes If the answer is yes to the question above, has the funding requested under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act been denied? I certify under penalty of perjury that the information provided in and with this petition is true and correct. Petitioner Robert Brueggemen Acting County Engineer Print Name Title 1026 W. Broadway Street Address 99260-0170 Spokane WA City-State-Zip Code UTC RR (3/00)

I:\TRAN\RAILROAD\FORMS\PETITION.DOC

# INTERROGATORIES Use additional paper as needed

[1]

State name of highway and railway at crossing intersection:				
	Existing or proposed highway <u>Hallett Road</u> mile post <u>0.25</u>			
	Existing or proposed railway <u>Geizer Spor</u> mile post <u>0, 91</u>			
	Located in <u>NW</u> 1/4 of the <u>NW</u> 1/4 of Sec. <u>/0</u> Twp. <u>24</u> Range <u>4/</u> W.M.			
	WUTC crossing number DOT crossing number			
	Street City County Spokane (if applicable)			
	[2]			
Character of crossing (indicate with X or numbers where applicable):				
(a)	Common Carrier   Logging or Industrial			
(b)	Main Line □ Branch Line □ Siding or Spur 🇷			
(c)	Total number of tracks at crossing			
(d)	Operating maximum train speed: Legal maximum train speed:			
٠	Passenger MPH Passenger MPH Freight Z5 MPH			
(e)	Actual or estimated train traffic in 24 hours:			
	Passenger Trains Freight Trains One (Note: Round trip counted as two trains. Include switch movements.)			
	[3]			
Character of Roadway:				
(a)	State Highway - Classification			
(b)	County Highway - Classification <u>Local access</u> (Field access)			
(c)	City Street - Classification			
(d)	Number of traffic lanes existing in each direction:  Number of additional traffic lanes proposed:  ———————————————————————————————————			
(e)	Posted vehicle speed limit: Automobiles <u>25</u> MPH Trucks <u>75</u> MPH			
(f)	Estimated vehicle traffic in 24 hours: Current total, including trucks			
	and school bus trips. Projected traffic in years: total,			
	including <u>o</u> trucks and <u>o</u> school bus trips.			

(a) If temporary, state for what purpose crossing is to be used and for how long.

N/A

(b) If temporary grade crossing, will you remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing?

N/A

[5]

(a) State whether or not a safer location for a grade crossing exists within a reasonable distance in either direction from the proposed point of crossing, and if so, what reason, if any, why this safer location should not be adopted, even though in doing so, it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway or railway.

The terrain is flat and open, no safer location was identified

(b) Are there any hillsides, earth, or other embankments, buildings, trees, orchards, side tracks (on which cars might be spotted), loading platforms, etc., in the vicinity not feasible to move, which may obstruct the view and which can be avoided by relocating the proposed crossing. Would it be practical to do so? Please describe.

No

[6]

(a) Is it feasible to construct and use an over or under crossing at the intersection of said railway and highway? If not, state why.

Construction costs would be prohibitive to provide grade separation for this low train and automobile volvine crossing

(b) Does the railway line at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing pass over a fill or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an under or over crossing, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway to reach that point?

No

(c) If a suitable place for an under - or over - crossing exists in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing; the approximate cost of construction; and what, if any, reason exists why it should not be constructed.

Page 3

(a)	State approximate distance to nearest public or private of railroad involved herein.  Proposed crost No. 902 is 14 mile to south	ate crossing in each of	lirection Highway	
	No. 402 is 14 mile to South	along railroad	۲,	
(b)	If there is an existing crossing in near vicinity, or if me proposed, is it feasible to divert highways served and proposed crossings, thus eliminating the need for this crossing will function as a private of a public maintained road. The right of access only. Spokene County will consider vacation if so, state approximate cost of highway relocation to Negotiations for land acquisition would cost.			
(c)	If so, state approximate cost of highway relocation to Negotiations for land acquisition would cost.	on of right of way if a perfect such changes of determine	alternative as to land-lock parcels is acquired.	
(d)	Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for on in the vicinity? If so, state direction and approximate crossings. Yes, crossing at main enfrance is located six miles northwest will be eliment of the Geizer Spur.	e distance to the cross	sing or	
(e)	If this crossing is authorized, do you propose to close crossings?  Remove crossing at FAFB entire [8]		g or	
State the lengths of views which are now available along the line of railway to travelers on the highway when approaching the crossing from either side of the railway and when at points on the highway as follows:				
Appr	oaching crossing from <u>wes</u> t(direction) an unobstruc	stad viou to		
- 4-1		SIEU VIEW IU		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2000	feet	
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of		feet feet	
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing ofright when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	2000	<del></del>	
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	2000 2000	feet	
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000	feet feet	
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	feet feet feet feet feet	
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	feet feet feet feet	
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 5000	feet feet feet feet feet	
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 5000	feet feet feet feet feet _ feet	
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000 2000 5000 5000	feet feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	
Appr	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000 2000 5000 5000 5000 5000	feet feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	
Appr	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when crossing from .e.st. (opposite direction) an observations.	2000 2000 2000 2000 5000 5000 5000 5000	feet feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	
Appr	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highw	2000 2000 2000 2000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 structed view to	feet feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	
Appr	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when crossing from .e.st. (opposite direction) an observations.	2000 2000 2000 2000 5000 5000 5000 5000 structed view to 5006	feet feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	
Appr	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000 2000 5000 5000 5000 5000 structed view to 5006 5000	feet feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	
Appr	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000 2000 5000 5000 5000 5000 structed view to 5000 5000 5000	feet feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	
Appr	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	2000 2000 2000 2000 5000 5000 5000 5000 structed view to 5000 5000 5000 5000	feet feet feet feet feet feet feet feet	

2000

2000

2000

feet

feet

feet

left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of \_\_\_\_\_

left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of \_\_\_\_\_

left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of \_\_\_\_

Attach one or more prints showing a vicinity map and a layout of railway and highway, as well as profiles of each, also showing percent of grade, 500 feet of highway and railway when approaching crossing from all four directions. On the prints, spot and identify obstructions of view located in all four quadrants. Provide a traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signing of the intersection.

#### [10]

- (a) Is it feasible to provide a 25 foot level grade crossing on both sides from center line of railway at point of crossing?
- (b) If not, state in feet the length of level grade it is feasible to obtain.
- (c) Is it feasible to obtain an approach grade, prior to the level grade of five percent or less? If not, state why, and state the percent approach grade possible.

*yes* [11]

Do you know of any reason not appearing in any of the answers to these interrogatories why the proposed crossing should not be made at grade or at the point proposed by you? If so, please state same fully.

 $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}$ 

Interrogatories 12 and 13 are to be completed only if this petition involves installation, replacement or changing of automatic grade signal or other warning device, other than sawbucks.

## [12]

- (a) State in detail, the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices (other than sawbucks) proposed to be installed. (This portion should be filled in only after conference between the railroad and the petitioning local governmental agency.)

  Crosslocks
- (c) State a cost estimate for maintaining the signals or devices for 12 months, as obtained from the respondent railroad company . . . \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_/A
- (d) If this is an existing crossing, what will the proposed warning devices replace in the way of existing devices?
- (e) As the petitioner, are you prepared to pay or will you promise to pay to the respondent railroad company, your share of the cost of installing the warning devices proposed as provided by law?

XYes □ No

Provide any additional information supporting the proposal (i.e. what public benefits would be derived from its implementation?)

The construction of the realignment of the Geizer Spur railline is necessary to continue service to the existing manufacturers and industries located on the line while accommodating the U.S. Dept. of Defense mandate to remove the railroad from FAFB for homeland security.

# **RESPONDENT'S WAIVER OF HEARING**

	Docket No.
Petition of New Crossi	<u> </u>
Petition of <u>New cross</u> , for <u>Hallett Rd</u> Ge	zer Spur
I have investigated the condition	ons existing at and in the vicinity of the proposed crossing ne or more of the following, as appropriate:]
I am satisfied that continued interrogatories and t	onditions are as represented in the petition and the hat the petition should be granted.
M The cost of installati	on (estimated at \$)
	val and apportionment pursuant to the Intermodal Surface ct by the Washington State Department of Transportation Division.
⋈ as apportioned b	etween the parties.
[ ] to be paid by pet	itioner.
Other conditions to waiv	ver of hearing: railline both owned by Spokane County
The undersigned hereby waive and Transportation Commission hearing.	es hearing and further notice. The Washington Utilities on may enter a final order without further notice of
Date at <u>Spokane</u> of <u>December</u>	, Washington, on this <u>26</u> day , 20 <u>06</u> .
	Respondent Spokane County
	by Coll Buggem
1	Print Name Robert Bruckeman P.E.
	Title Acting County Engineer



