BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION							
			No.	TR-06/920	RECEIVED RECORDS MANAGEMENT		
^		Wh. 4147		P	PETITIONS DEC 27 AM II: 57		
CASCADE AND COLUMBIA RIVER RR VS.			Road	Name <u>A' 576</u>	REET STATE OF WASH. UTIL. AND TRANSP. A 37.08011551011		
	^ HE	LAN County Respondent	t				
	U	<i>A ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (</i>	D,Q,T	Г. Crossing No. <u>09</u> 6	52217		
Application is hereby made to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission for an order (check one or more of the following)							
	directing the of a grade crossing; (construction-reconstruction-relocation)						
1.1	directing installation of automatic grade crossing signal or other warning device (other than crossbucks) at a new crossing;						
	direct	ting (replacement-change-u	ıpgrade	of warning devices at a	an existing crossings;		
17	allocating funds from the "grade crossing protective fund" for						
Ш	Surfa	authorizing the construction of the project, funding to be pursuant to the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) in cooperation with the Washington State Department of Transportation Local Programs Division;					
at the secks	: railroa s tho re	ad grade crossing identified elief specified above by (che	above ∍ck one	and described in this part of the following)	etition. This application		
		□ hearing and order		Forder without heari	ing		
[ ] Yes	No	Has application for funding Efficiency Act been made					
[ ] Yes	[ ] No	If the answer is yes to the under the Intermodal Surf	questic ace Tra	on above, has the fundi ansportation Efficiency	ing requested Act been denied?		
		I certify under penalty of p petition is true and correct	t. <u>Cas</u> Petitio Print N 90/ Street	CADE AND COLUMN ONER ACK D. WORKMAN	BLA REVER R.R. VP+ GM		

## 5098263866 INTERROGATORIE\$

Use additional paper as needed

[1]

State	name of highway and railway at crossing intersection:							
	Existing or proposed highway \\(\frac{1\frac{1}{7}}{1}\) mile post \(\frac{-\delta 6}{2}\)							
	Existing or proposed railway CSCD mile post 38.76							
	Located in NE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec. 31 Twp. 27 Range 23 W.M.							
	WUTC crossing number 35A 37.80 DOT crossing number 0962217							
	Street City CHELAN FALLS County CHELAN (if applicable)							
	[2]							
Char	acter of crossing (indicate with X or numbers where applicable):							
(a)	Common Carrier 🕱 Logging or Industrial 🗆							
(þ)	Main Line ★ Branch Line □ Siding or Spur □							
(c)	Total number of tracks at crossing							
(d)	Operating maximum train speed: Legal maximum train speed:							
	Passenger $\sqrt{A}$ MPH Passenger $\sqrt{A}$ MPH Freight 25 MPH							
(e)	Actual or estimated train traffic in 24 hours;							
Passenger Trains — O — Freight Trains								
	[3]							
Char	acter of Roadway:							
(a)	State Highway - Classification							
(b)	County Highway - Classification Rural/Local Access							
(c)	City Street - Classification							
(d)	Number of traffic lanes existing in each direction:  Number of additional traffic lanes proposed:							
(e)	Posted vehicle speed limit: Automobiles <u>25</u> MPH Trucks <u>2.5</u> MPH							
(f)	Estimated vehicle traffic in 24 hours: Current total $25$ , including trucks							
and school bus trips. Projected traffic in years: total								
	including trucks andschool bus trips.							
	[4]							

(b) If temporary grade crossing, will you remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing?

[5]

- (a) State whether or not a safer location for a grade crossing exists within a reasonable distance in either direction from the proposed point of crossing, and if so, what reason, if any, why this safer location should not be adopted, even though in doing so, it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway or railway.
- (b) Are there any hillsides, earth, or other embankments, buildings, trees, orchards, side tracks (on which cars might be spotted), loading platforms, etc., in the vicinity not feasible to move, which may obstruct the view and which can be avoided by relocating the proposed crossing. Would it be practical to do so? Please describe.

[6]

- (a) Is it feasible to construct and use an over or under crossing at the intersection of said railway and highway? If not, state why. NO DUE TO LIMITED SPACE + TO POGRAPHY.
- (b) Does the railway line at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing pass over a fill or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an under or over crossing, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway to reach that point?
- (c) If a suitable place for an under or over crossing exists in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing; the approximate cost of construction; and what, if any, reason exists why it should not be constructed.

[7]

(a) State approximate distance to nearest public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. North to Public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein.

- (b) If there is an existing crossing in near vicinity, or if more than one crossing is proposed, is it feasible to divert highways served and to be served by existing and proposed crossings, thus eliminating the need for more than once crossing?
- (c) If so, state approximate cost of highway relocation to effect such changes.
- (d) Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings in the vicinity? If so, state direction and approximate distance to the crossing or crossings.
- (e) If this crossing is authorized, do you propose to close any existing crossing or crossings? NO

[8]

State the lengths of views which are now available along the line of railway to travelers on the highway when approaching the crossing from either side of the railway and when at points on the highway as follows:

Approaching crossing from W. £\$T. (direction) an unobstr	ructed view to	
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	N/A	feet
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	N/A	feet
right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	750	feet
right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of		feet
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	<b>√</b>	feet
left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	N/A	feet
left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	NIA	feet
left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	1,000+	feet
left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	1	feet
left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	<b>V</b>	feet
Approaching crossing from EasT. (opposite direction) and	obstructed view to	
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	<u>\$</u> 0	feet
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of		feet
right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of		feet
right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	<u></u>	feet
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	150	feet
left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	50	feet
left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of		feet
left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of		feet
left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	<u> </u>	feet
left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	500	feet
[9]		

Attach one or more prints showing a vicinity map and a layout of railway and highway, as well as profiles of each, also showing percent of grade, 500 feet of highway and railway when approaching crossing from all four directions. On the prints, spot and identify obstructions of view located in all four quadrants. Provide a traffic control layout

showing the location of the existing and proposed signing of the intersection.

.

[10]

- (a) Is it feasible to provide a 25 foot level grade crossing on both sides from center line of railway at point of crossing?
- (b) If not, state in feet the length of level grade it is feasible to obtain. ROADS ON EITHER SIDE ARE LOWER THAN TRACK
- (c) Is it feasible to obtain an approach grade, prior to the level grade of five percent or less? If not, state why, and state the percent approach grade possible.

[11]

Do you know of any reason not appearing in any of the answers to these interrogatories why the proposed crossing should not be made at grade or at the point proposed by you? If so, please state same fully.

Interrogatories 12 and 13 are to be completed only if this petition involves installation, replacement or changing of automatic grade signal or other warning device, other than sawbucks.

[12]

- (a) State in detail, the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices (other than sawbucks) proposed to be installed. (This portion should be filled in only after conference between the railroad and the petitioning local governmental agency.) | PMDII COMSTANT WARNING W/LIGHTS

  AND GATES SUPPLIED FROM STATE SURPLUS.
- (b) State an estimate of the cost for installing the signals or other devices proposed, as obtained from the respondent railroad company. . . \$ 40,000
- (d) If this is an existing crossing, what will the proposed warning devices replace in the way of existing devices? CR055 Bucks
- (e) As the petitioner, are you prepared to pay or will you promise to pay to the respondent railroad company, your share of the cost of installing the warning devices proposed as provided by law?

□Yes □ No

[13]

Provide any additional information supporting the proposal (i.e. what public benefits would be derived from its implementation?)

## **RESPONDENT'S WAIVER OF HEARING**

	Docket No.	
Petition of		
for		
l have investigated the changes. As a result	he conditions existing at and in the vicinity of the t, [check one or more of the following, as approp	proposed crossing riale:]
	fied that conditions are as represented in the pet pries and that the petition should be granted.	ition and the
[ ] The cost o	of installation (estimated at \$	)
Transp	Lto approval and apportionment pursuant to the ortation Act by the Washington State Departmer Programs Division.	Intermodal Surface nt of Transportation
[ ] as app	ortioned between the parties.	
[] to be p	aid by petitioner.	
Other condition	ons to waiver of hearing:	
The undersigned her	reby waives hearing and further notice. The Wa Commission may enter a final order without furth	shington Utilities er notice of
Date at	, Washington, on this	day
oi	Respondent	<del></del>
	by	
	Print Name	
	Title	

