

# Public Works

VERN M. REDIFER, P.E. - DIRECTOR/COUNTY ENGINEER
GARY N. EKSTEDT, P.E. - ASSISTANT DIRECTOR / TRANSPORTATION
DONALD H. GATCHALIAN, P.E. - ASSISTANT DIRECTOR / ENVIRONMENTAL

128 North 2nd Street, Room 408 Courthouse, Yakima, Washington 98901-2639 MAIN (509) 574-2300 / FAX (509) 574-2301 (Internet Address: www.pan.co.yakima.wa.us/pubworks/)

September 9, 2004

Mr. Ahmer Nizam Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Dear Nr. Nizam: Almed

O4. SEP 10 PM 12: 4.5

STATE OF WASH.
UTIL, AND TRANSP.
COMMISSION

SUBJECT: Petition for Crossing Upgrades on the White Swan Rail Line

Enclosed you will find five petitions for upgrades to rail crossing on the White Swan Rail line. We have also included a set of the construction plans to show the proposed upgrades.

Please let me know if you have any questions, or require any additional information.

Sincerely

Kent L. McHenry, P.E.

#### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

					PETITION				
Toppenish Simcoe			Petitioner Railroad	Ro	Road NameBrownstown Road				
vs. Yakima County				.U.T.C. Cros	39 A12.40				
			Respondent		D.O.T. Crossing No.		099 239N		
	direction		the following uction-reconst	ruction-re	elocation)	f a grade cros	AARO SE		
X	directi	ng <u>upgrade</u> (replacemer	nt-change-up։		rning device	es at an existi	ng crossings;		
Security Control of the Control of t	ma author Surfac	intenance)	of active war ruction of the on Efficiency	ning devic project, fo Act (ISTE	ces; unding to be A) in coopei	(installation pursuant to ration with the	the Intermodal		
		l grade crossin ef specified ab					. This application		
X Yes ⊏ Yes	No X No	Has application Efficiency Act of If the answer is under the Inter	been made to s yes to the q modal Surface penalty of per and correct.	pursuant the Loca uestion a e Transp jury that	to Intermod al Programs bove, has the ortation Effic the informat	lal Surface Ti Division for t ne funding red ciency Act be	his project? quested een denied? in and with this		
			- - - -	Print Name I 28 N. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street Addres	Street, Roos SS VA 98901	, Traffic Eng Title om 408 Court	ineering Manager		

## INTERROGATORIES Use additional paper as needed

[1]

State name of highway and railway at crossing intersection:								
Existing or proposed highway <u>Brownstown</u> mile post <u>3.09</u>								
Existing or proposed railway <u>TSWR</u> mile post <u>12.35</u>								
Located in <u>NE</u> 1/4 of the <u>NE</u> 1/4 of Sec. <u>31</u> Twp. <u>11</u> Range <u>18</u> W.M	].							
WUTC crossing number 39A 12.40 DOT crossing number 099 239N								
Street Brownstown City County Yakima (if applicable)								
[2]								
Character of crossing (indicate with X or numbers where applicable):								
) Common Carrier Ξ Logging or Industrial □								
(b) Main Line $\Xi$ Branch Line $\square$ Siding or Spur $\square$	Main Line ≡ Branch Line □ Siding or Spur □							
(c) Total number of tracks at crossing q (Note: A track separated 100 feet or more from another track constitutes a separate crossing.)	Total number of tracks at crossing q (Note: A track separated 100 feet or more from another track constitutes a separate crossing.)							
(d) Operating maximum train speed: Legal maximum train speed:								
Passenger30MPHPassenger30MPHFreight25MPHFreight25MPH								
(e) Actual or estimated train traffic in 24 hours:								
Passenger Trains 0 Freight Trains 4 (Note: Round trip counted as two trains. Include switch movements.)								
[3]								
Character of Roadway:								
(a) State Highway - Classification								
(b) County Highway - Classification Rural Major Collector								
(c) City Street - Classification	City Street - Classification							
(d) Number of traffic lanes existing in each direction: 1  Number of additional traffic lanes proposed: 1	Number of traffic lanes existing in each direction: 1  Number of additional traffic lanes proposed: 1							
(e) Posted vehicle speed limit: Automobiles <u>35/50</u> MPH Trucks <u>35/50</u> MPH	Posted vehicle speed limit: Automobiles <u>35/50</u> MPH Trucks <u>35/50</u> MPH							
(f) Estimated vehicle traffic in 24 hours: Current total <u>364</u> , including <u>40</u> trucks	3							
and <u>4</u> school bus trips. Projected traffic in <u>25</u> years: total <u>546</u> ,								
including 55 trucks and 4 school hus trips								

(a) If temporary, state for what purpose crossing is to be used and for how long.N/A

(b) If temporary grade crossing, will you remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing?

N/A

[5]

(a) State whether or not a safer location for a grade crossing exists within a reasonable distance in either direction from the proposed point of crossing, and if so, what reason, if any, why this safer location should not be adopted, even though in doing so, it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway or railway.

No other location exists for another grade crossing.

(b) Are there any hillsides, earth, or other embankments, buildings, trees, orchards, side tracks (on which cars might be spotted), loading platforms, etc., in the vicinity not feasible to move, which may obstruct the view and which can be avoided by relocating the proposed crossing. Would it be practical to do so? Please describe.

None

[6]

(a) Is it feasible to construct and use an over or under crossing at the intersection of said railway and highway? If not, state why.

It is not feasible due to flat grades in the area. Either an under or over crossing would require long distances and there are other intersections that would require relocation.

(b) Does the railway line at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing pass over a fill or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an under or over crossing, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway to reach that point?

No

(c) If a suitable place for an under - or over - crossing exists in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing; the approximate cost of construction; and what, if any, reason exists why it should not be constructed.

N/A

(a) State approximate distance to nearest public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein.

Private crossing 1600 feet west and 4900 feet east.

- (b) If there is an existing crossing in near vicinity, or if more than one crossing is proposed, is it feasible to divert highways served and to be served by existing and proposed crossings, thus eliminating the need for more than once crossing?

  No
- (c) If so, state approximate cost of highway relocation to effect such changes.
- (d) Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings in the vicinity? If so, state direction and approximate distance to the crossing or crossings.

No

N/A

(e) If this crossing is authorized, do you propose to close any existing crossing or crossings? Yes

[8]

State the lengths of views which are now available along the line of railway to travelers on the highway when approaching the crossing from either side of the railway and when at points on the highway as follows:

Approaching crossing from South (direction) an unobstructed view to					
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			
right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			
right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			
left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	0	feet			
left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	<u>0</u>	feet			
left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	0	feet			
left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	<u>0</u>	feet			
left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	0	feet			
Approaching crossing from North (opposite direction) an unobstructed view to					
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	0	feet			
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	<u>0</u>	feet			
right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	0	feet			
right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	<u>0</u>	feet			
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	<u>0</u>	feet			
left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			
left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			
left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			
left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			
left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	unobstructed	feet			

Attach one or more prints showing a vicinity map and a layout of railway and highway, as well as profiles of each, also showing percent of grade, 500 feet of highway and railway when approaching crossing from all four directions. On the prints, spot and identify obstructions of view located in all four quadrants. Provide a traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signing of the intersection. **See attached plans.** 

[10]

- (a) Is it feasible to provide a 25 foot level grade crossing on both sides from center line of railway at point of crossing?
- (b) If not, state in feet the length of level grade it is feasible to obtain. **See attached plans.**
- (c) Is it feasible to obtain an approach grade, prior to the level grade of five percent or less? If not, state why, and state the percent approach grade possible.

[11]

Do you know of any reason not appearing in any of the answers to these interrogatories why the proposed crossing should not be made at grade or at the point proposed by you? If so, please state same fully.

Interrogatories 12 and 13 are to be completed only if this petition involves installation, replacement or changing of automatic grade signal or other warning device, other than sawbucks.

[12]

- (a) State in detail, the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices (other than sawbucks) proposed to be installed. (This portion should be filled in only after conference between the railroad and the petitioning local governmental agency.) **See attached plans.**
- (b) State an estimate of the cost for installing the signals or other devices proposed, as obtained from the respondent railroad company. . . \$ \_\_168,770.00
- (c) State a cost estimate for maintaining the signals or devices for 12 months, as obtained from the respondent railroad company . . . \$ 2,000.00
- (d) If this is an existing crossing, what will the proposed warning devices replace in the way of existing devices? **Cross bucks and stop sign.**
- (e) As the petitioner, are you prepared to pay or will you promise to pay to the respondent railroad company, your share of the cost of installing the warning devices proposed as provided by law?

Ξ Yes □ No

Furnish a brief statement of why the public safety requires the installation of the automatic signals or the devices as proposed.

There have been several near misses at this location. The installation of gates and lights will greatly improve the safety of the intersection.

### **RESPONDENT'S WAIVER OF HEARING**

			Docket No.			
Petition of	TSWR					
for <u>Bro</u>	wnstown Road					
	gated the condition					ossing
	n satisfied that co errogatories and t				and the	
[ ] The	e cost of installati	ion (estimated	at \$			_)
[]	subject to approx Transportation A Local Programs	ct by the Wash	onment pursua nington State D	ant to the Inte Department of	rmodal S Transpo	urface rtation
[]	as apportioned b	etween the pa	rties.			
.[]	to be paid by pet	titioner.				
Other	conditions to wai	ver of hearing:				
	ned hereby waive tation Commission					ties
Date at Yak	imat		_, Washington	, on this	26	day
oi <u>Augus</u>	<u> </u>		, 20 <u>04</u> Yakıma			
		by	JMD			
		Print Name	Gary N. Ekste	dt, P.E.		
		Title <u>Coun</u>	ty Engineer			

#### INSTRUCTIONS

#### General

Petition forms with the Interrogatories fully and correctly answered should be filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Chandler Plaza, 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, PO Box 47250, Olympia, Washington, 98504. Blank forms may be obtained from the same address. All pleadings herein shall conform with WAC 480-09-420 and 425 of the Commission's Rules and Practice and Procedure.

#### **Number of Copies**

File the original and one copy if the "Waiver of Hearing by Respondent" is filled out. If petitioner intends that the Commission serve the respondent, the original and two copies should be filed. If the petitioner serves the respondent, a certificate of service in conformity with the requirements of WAC 480-09-120 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure must be filed.

#### **Parties Who May Petition or Respond**

In general, the following persons may file or respond to a petition: highway authorities (city, county, or state), railroad companies, and state agencies with lawful authority to construct and maintain public highways (RCW 81.53.030 and 060). In situations where there may be more than one party of interest as either a petitioner or a respondent, all parties should be joined.

#### Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

The proceeding can usually be expedited by submitting the application to the respondent and securing the execution of the "Waiver of Hearing by Respondent." As an alternative, respondent may file a separate "Answer." If the pleadings show that the respondent has no objection, an order may be entered without hearing at the discretion of the Commission, unless the public interest appears to require hearing and unless hearing is required under the terms of RCW 81.53.030 or 060. In all other cases, the petition will be set for hearing.

#### **Crossing Construction**

Applications for crossing state highways should be submitted in duplicate to the District Highway Engineer in the locality for his recommendation to be attached and forwarded to the State Department of Transportation Secretary, Olympia.

A party, after having been granted authority by the Commission to construct a crossing, must acquire right of way or easement because the order of the Commission merely relates to public safety and grants only the right to cross, subject to acquiring a right of way easement.

#### Time for Replying to a Petition

A petition not answered within 20 days of the date of service, shall be deemed denied and may be set for hearing. If a qualified or conditional answer is filed by the respondent, the petitioner may file a "Reply" within 10 days of the date the "Answer" is served.

(PLEASE REMOVE THIS SHEET BEFORE FILING PETITION)