E	BEFOR	RE THE WAS	HINGTON UTI	LITIES	AND TR	ANSPOR	RTATION C	OMMISSION
				No.	TR-0	<u>4)47/</u>		13 PH 3: 11
Tacoma Rail		il vs.	Petitioner	Road I	Name <u>SI</u>	R-7	PETITIC GTAL UTIL	ALD TRAKSE
		v o.		W.U.T	.C. Cross	sing No	20G 44.10	
WSD	ОТ		Respondent	D.O.T.	Crossing	g No <u>3</u>	97175B	· ·
			le to the Wash of the followin		Utilities a	nd Trans	portation C	Commission for an
	direct	ting the(cons	struction-recon	structio	n-relocat	of a	a grade cro	ssing;
	direct than	ing installatio crossbucks) a	n of automatic it a new crossi	grade ong;	crossing :	signal or	other warn	ing device (other
X	direct	ing <u>Upgrade</u> (replacen	e s nent-change-u	opgrade)	of warning	g devices	at an exist	ting crossings;
	alloca	ating funds fro	om the "grade o	crossing	protecti	ve fund"	for	
					,			
			active warning				(install	ation and/or
×	maint autho Surfa	of enance) orizing the conce Transporta	active warning	g device e projec v Act (IS	es; et, funding TEA) in c	g to be pu	(install ursuant to t on with the	he Intermodal
at the	maint autho Surfa State	of renance) orizing the conce Transporta Department of ad grade cross	active warning estruction of the ation Efficiency of Transportati	e project Act (IS on Loca	es; et, funding TEA) in d al Prograd	g to be pu cooperati ms Division	(install ursuant to t on with the on;	he Intermodal
at the	maint autho Surfa State	enance) prizing the conce Transporta Department of the grade cross lief specified	active warning estruction of the ation Efficiency of Transportati	e project Act (IS) on Loca above a	es; et, funding TEA) in e al Program and descr of the foll	g to be pu cooperati ms Division	(install ursuant to t on with the on; nis petition.	he Intermodal Washington
at the	maint autho Surfa State	of tenance) orizing the conce Transportate Department of the grade cross lief specified the aring a transportate that applicate the transportation of	active warning estruction of the ation Efficiency of Transportati sing identified above by (che	e project Act (IS on Loca above a ck one o	et, funding TEA) in cal Program and descr of the foll ant to Int	g to be pu cooperati ms Division ribed in the owing) r without	(install ursuant to to to with the on; hearing	he Intermodal Washington This application
at the seeks	maint autho Surfa State railroa the re	rizing the conce Transporta Department of digrade cross lief specified hearing a Has applicate Efficiency A	active warning estruction of the ation Efficiency of Transportati sing identified above by (che and order tion for funding	e project Act (IS on Loca above a ck one o	es; et, funding eTEA) in e al Program and descr of the foll sorder ant to Int ocal Progestion abo	g to be pu cooperations Division ribed in the lowing) r without ermodal signams Divive, has the	(install ursuant to to to with the on; hearing Surface Travision for the funding	he Intermodal Washington This application ansportation his project? requested
at the seeks [X] Yes	maint autho Surfa State railroa the re	rizing the conce Transporta Department of digrade cross lief specified Has applicate Efficiency Additional of the ansunder the International Certify under the International of	active warning astruction of the ation Efficiency of Transportation identified above by (check and order tion for funding of been made swer is yes to the termodal Surface.	e project Act (IS on Loca above a ck one of the quest ace Tran	es; et, funding eTEA) in e al Program and descr of the foll ant to Int ocal Program estion aborsportation	g to be puccoperations Division in the public of the publi	(install ursuant to to to with the on; hearing Surface Travision for the funding ncy Act bee	he Intermodal Washington This application ansportation his project? requested
at the seeks [X] Yes	maint autho Surfa State railroa the re	rizing the conce Transporta Department of digrade cross lief specified Has applicate Efficiency Additional of the ansunder the International Certify under the International of	active warning astruction of the ation Efficiency of Transportation identified above by (che and order tion for funding of been made swer is yes to the termodal Surfacer penalty of period of the penalty of period in the penalty of pe	e project Act (IS on Loca above a ck one of the quest ace Tran erjury the	es; et, funding eTEA) in e al Program and descr of the foll socal Program estion about the information	g to be puccoperations Division in the content of t	(install ursuant to to you with the on; his petition. hearing Surface Travision for the funding ncy Act bed provided in	he Intermodal Washington This application ansportation is project? requested en denied? n and with this
at the seeks [X] Yes	maint autho Surfa State railroa the re	rizing the conce Transporta Department of digrade cross lief specified Has applicate Efficiency Additional of the ansunder the International Certify under the International of	active warning astruction of the ation Efficiency of Transportation identified above by (che and order tion for funding of been made swer is yes to the termodal Surfacer penalty of period of the penalty of period in the penalty of pe	e project Act (IS on Loca above a ck one of the ques ace Tran erjury th Petition Chr Print N	es; et, funding eTEA) in eal Program and descr of the foll order ant to Int ocal Program et the inf er is Store ame	g to be puccoperations Division in the diving of the diving of the diving of the divinity of t	(install ursuant to to to yith the on; his petition. hearing Surface Travision for the funding ncy Act bed provided in oject Mana	he Intermodal Washington This application ansportation is project? requested en denied? n and with this
at the seeks [X] Yes	maint autho Surfa State railroa the re	rizing the conce Transporta Department of digrade cross lief specified Has applicate Efficiency Additional of the ansunder the International Certify under the International of	active warning astruction of the ation Efficiency of Transportation identified above by (che and order tion for funding of been made swer is yes to the termodal Surfacer penalty of period of the penalty of period in the penalty of pe	e project Act (IS on Loca above a ck one c g, pursu to the L che ques ace Tran erjury th Petitior Chr Print N 747	es; et, funding eTEA) in eal Program and descript the following ant to Interest the inferiors is Store	g to be puccoperations Division in the diving of the diving of the diving of the divinity of t	(install ursuant to to to yith the on; his petition. hearing Surface Travision for the funding ncy Act bed provided in oject Mana	he Intermodal Washington This application ansportation is project? requested en denied? n and with this

UTC RR (3/00) I:\TRAN\RAILROAD\FORMS\PETITION.DOC

INTERROGATORIES

Use additional paper as needed

[1]

State name of highway and railway at crossing intersection: Existing or proposed highway N/A mile post N/A Existing or proposed railway **TRMW** mile post **44.10** Located in NW 1/4 of the SE 1/4 of Sec. 20 Twp. 15N Range 05E W.M. WUTC crossing number **20G 44.10** DOT crossing number **397175B** Street SR-7 City Elbe County Pierce (if applicable) [2] Character of crossing (indicate with X or numbers where applicable): Common Carrier Logging or Industrial (a) (b) Branch Line Siding or Spur Total number of tracks at crossing 1 (one) (c) (Note: A track separated 100 feet or more from another track constitutes a separate crossing.) (d) Operating maximum train speed: Legal maximum train speed: Passenger 20 Passenger 20 MPH Freight 20 MPH MPH Freight **20** MPH Actual or estimated train traffic in 24 hours: (e) Passenger Trains 1 Freight Trains 1 (Note: Round trip counted as two trains. Include switch movements.) [3] Character of Roadway: (a) State Highway - Classification County Highway - Classification ______ (b) City Street - Classification _____ (c) Number of traffic lanes existing in each direction: (d) Number of additional traffic lanes proposed: Posted vehicle speed limit: Automobiles _____MPH Trucks ____ MPH (e) Estimated vehicle traffic in 24 hours: Current total _____, including ____ trucks (f) and _____ school bus trips. Projected traffic in ____ years: total ____,

including _____ trucks and _____ school bus trips.

[4]

(a)	If temporary, state for what purpose crossing is to be used and for how long.
(b)	If temporary grade crossing, will you remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing?
	[5]
(a)	State whether or not a safer location for a grade crossing exists within a reasonable distance in either direction from the proposed point of crossing, and if so, what reason, if any, why this safer location should not be adopted, even though in doing so, it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway or railway.
(b)	Are there any hillsides, earth, or other embankments, buildings, trees, orchards, side tracks (on which cars might be spotted), loading platforms, etc., in the vicinity not feasible to move, which may obstruct the view and which can be avoided by relocating the proposed crossing. Would it be practical to do so? Please describe.
	[6]
(a)	Is it feasible to construct and use an over or under crossing at the intersection of said railway and highway? If not, state why.
(b)	Does the railway line at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing pass
	Does the railway line at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing pass over a fill or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an under or over crossing, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway to reach that point?
(c)	If a suitable place for an under - or over - crossing exists in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing; the approximate cost of construction; and what, if any, reason exists why it should not be constructed.

- (a) State approximate distance to nearest public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein.
- (b) If there is an existing crossing in near vicinity, or if more than one crossing is proposed, is it feasible to divert highways served and to be served by existing and proposed crossings, thus eliminating the need for more than once crossing?
- (c) If so, state approximate cost of highway relocation to effect such changes.
- (d) Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings in the vicinity? If so, state direction and approximate distance to the crossing or crossings.
- (e) If this crossing is authorized, do you propose to close any existing crossing or crossings?

[8]

State the lengths of views which are now available along the line of railway to travelers on the highway when approaching the crossing from either side of the railway and when at points on the highway as follows:

Approaching crossing from(direction) an unobstructed view to	
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	feet
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	feet
right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	feet
right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	feet
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	feet
Approaching crossing from (opposite direction) an obstructed view to	
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	feet
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	feet
right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	feet
right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	feet
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	feet
left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	feet

Attach one or more prints showing a vicinity map and a layout of railway and highway, as well as profiles of each, also showing percent of grade, 500 feet of highway and railway when approaching crossing from all four directions. On the prints, spot and identify obstructions of view located in all four quadrants. Provide a traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signing of the intersection.

See attached map.

[10]

- (a) Is it feasible to provide a 25 foot level grade crossing on both sides from center line of railway at point of crossing?
- (b) If not, state in feet the length of level grade it is feasible to obtain.
- (c) Is it feasible to obtain an approach grade, prior to the level grade of five percent or less? If not, state why, and state the percent approach grade possible.

[11]

Do you know of any reason not appearing in any of the answers to these interrogatories why the proposed crossing should not be made at grade or at the point proposed by you? If so, please state same fully.

Interrogatories 12 and 13 are to be completed only if this petition involves installation, replacement or changing of automatic grade signal or other warning device, other than sawbucks.

[12]

- (a) State in detail, the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices (other than sawbucks) proposed to be installed. (This portion should be filled in only after conference between the railroad and the petitioning local governmental agency.)
- (b) State an estimate of the cost for installing the signals or other devices proposed, as obtained from the respondent railroad company. . . \$ 188,000.00
- (c) State a cost estimate for maintaining the signals or devices for 12 months, as obtained from the respondent railroad company . . . \$ 2,100.00
- (d) If this is an existing crossing, what will the proposed warning devices replace in the way of existing devices? Install new cantilever-mounted signals with 12" LED-type lights, signal bungalow, concrete crossing surface, and insulated joints.
- (e) As the petitioner, are you prepared to pay or will you promise to pay to the respondent railroad company, your share of the cost of installing the warning devices proposed as provided by law?

▼ Yes No.

Furnish a brief statement of why the public safety requires the installation of the automatic signals or the devices as proposed. Tacoma Rail strongly recommends upgrading this intersection along the Mountain Division Rail Line, in Pierce County. This intersection is dark and has equipment that is out of date, which slows freight traffic and puts the general public at risk. Upgrades to this intersection will both increase train speeds and public safety.

RESPONDENT'S WAIVER OF HEARING

	Docket No.									
Petition of Tacoma R	ail Mountain Division									
for the upgrading of	warning devices									
I have investigated the changes. As a result,	e conditions existing at and in the vicinity of the pro [check one or more of the following, as appropriate	posed crossing e:]								
	ed that conditions are as represented in the petition es and that the petition should be granted.	and the								
[] The cost of	installation (estimated at \$)								
Transpo	o approval and apportionment pursuant to the Inte rtation Act by the Washington State Department of ograms Division.	rmodal Surface Transportation								
[] as appor	[] as apportioned between the parties.									
[] to be pai	[] to be paid by petitioner.									
Other condition	s to waiver of hearing:									
	-									
	by waives hearing and further notice. The Washin ommission may enter a final order without further n									
Date at	, Washington, on this , 20	day								
<u> </u>	Respondent									
	by									
	Print Name									
	Title									

RR CROSSING SR 7 - NORTH ELBE



