#### **Public Works**

Aaron Reardon
County Executive

2930 Wetmore Avenue Everett, WA 98201 (425) 388-3488 FAX (425) 388-6494

July 29, 2004

Ahmer Nizam Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 Evergreen Park Dr So PO BOX 47250 Olympia WA 98504-7250

RE: Reiter Road Railroad Crossing – WUTC # 2A 1747.72, DOT # 084-534Y, Snohomish County RC# 1350 petition paperwork.

Dear Mr. Nizam:

Enclosed are the signed original and a signed copy of the petition for your review. If all is in order, permission to construct is requested.

If you have any questions regarding this information, please contact me at (425)-388-3488 ext. 2330 or Mike Cowles at 206-625-6146.

Thank you for your support.

Sincerely,

Dreg Niction

Greg Nixon

Transportation Technician

cc:

Mike Cowles - BNSF

Max Phan - Snohomish County Project Manager

### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

		Docket No. TR	<u>- 0413</u> 72		
The Burlington Northern and		-		- <u>S</u> -:	
Santa Fe Railway Company	)	PE	TITION		3 3.
Patitioner	)	Dood Nome	Dioton Dood		2
Petitioner, Vs	)	Road Name	Rieter Road	<del>-</del>	
Snohomish County	)	WUTC Crossing	No 2A 17	47.72	most to analysis of the second
Respondent	)	DOT Crossing No	o. <u>084-534Y</u>		8
Application is hereby made to the Worder (check one or more of the follo		n Utilities and Tran	sportation Comn	nission for	r an
[ ] directing the(construction - recons	struction-rel	of a	grade crossing;		
[ ] directing installation of automatic grade ca	rossing sign:	al or other warning devic	e (other than crossbuc	cks) at a new	crossing.
[xx ] directing <u>upgrade</u> (replacement-change-upgrade)	of wa	rning devices at an existi	ng crossing;		
[ ] allocating funds from the "grade crossing ] devices;	protective fu	and" for (installation and/or ma	aintenance)	_ of active w	varning
[xx ] authorizing the construction of the projection (ISTEA) in cooperation with the Washing	ect, funding ton State De	to be pursuant to the Interpartment of Transportati	ermodal Surface Trans on Local Programs D	sportation Ef ivision;	ficiency
at the railroad grade crossing identified above by (check one of the following)	and describe	ed in this petition. This a	application seeks the r	elief specifie	ed above
[ ] hearing and order		[xx] order without he	earing		
[xx [] Has application for funding, pursua YES NO been made to the Local Programs I			ion Efficiency Act		
[ ] [ xx If the answer is yes to the question YES NO Efficiency Act been denied?	above, has t	he funding requested und	ler the Intermodal Sur	face Transpo	ortation
I certify under penalty of perjury that th	Petitioner	John	landa	correct.	
	<u>John M</u> Print Nam		: Public Projects	<del></del>	
	2454 C Street Add	Occidental Avenue South dress	, Suite 1-A		
		www. Washington 98134 te - Area Code			

## INTERROGATORIES Use additional paper as needed [1]

State name of highway	and railway at	t crossing intersection:
-----------------------	----------------	--------------------------

Existing or proposed high	vay Rieter Road 5 <sup>th</sup>	1500 mile post 6.30 - 6.32
Existing or proposed railw	ay The Burlington Northern ar	nd Santa Fe Railway mile post 1747.72
Located in _ 1/4 of the _ 1	./4 of Sec Twp. N Range	<u>E</u> W.M.
WUTC crossing number _	2A 1747.72	DOT crossing number 084-534Y
Street	City	County
	[2]	]
Character of crossing (indicate wi	ith <b>X</b> or numbers where appl	icable):
(a) Common Carrier (xx ) Logg	ging or Industrial ( )	
(b) Main Line (xx) Branch Line	() Siding or Spur ()	
(c) Total number of tracks at crossing (Note: A track separated 100 feet or		stitutes a separate crossing).
(d) Operating maximum train speed	:	Legal maximum train speed:
Passenger <u>40</u> MPH Freight <u>40</u> MPH		Passenger 40 MPH Freight 40 MPH
(e) Actual or estimated train traffic i	n 24 hours:	
Passenger Trains <u>2</u> (Note: Round trip counted a	as two trains. Include switch m	Freight Trains 25 novements).
	[3]	
Character of Roadway:		
(a) State Highway-Classsification _		
(b) County Highway-Classification		
(c) City Street-Classification		
(d) Number of traffic lanes existing	in each direction:	Number of additional traffic lanes proposed:
(e) Posted vehicle speed limit: Aut	omobile MPH Tr	rucks MPH
(f) Estimated vehicle traffic in 24 ho school bus trips. Proje trucks and school	ected traffic in years: t	ncluding trucks and cotal, including

	[4]			
(a)	) If temporary, state for what purpose crossing is to be used and for how long.			
	N/A			
(b)	If temporary grade crossing, will you remove the crossing at completion of the activitiy requiring the temporary crossing?			
	N/A			
	[5]			
(a)	State whether or not a safer location for a grade crossing exists within a reasonable distance in either direction from the proposed point of crossing, and if so, what reason, if any, why this safer location should not be adopted, even though in doing so, it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway or railway.			
	No			
(b)	Are there any hillsides, earth, or other embankments, buildings, trees, orchards, side tracks (on which cars might be spotted), loading platforms, etc., in the vicinity not feasible to move, which may obstruct the view and which can be avoided by relocating the proposed crossing. Would it be practical to do so: Please describe.			
	No			
	[6]			
(a)	Is it feasible to construct and use an over or under crossing at the intersection of said railway land highway? If not, state			
	why? No. It is economically infeasible and does not warrant a grade separation at this time.			
(b)	Does the railway line at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing pass over a fill or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an under or overpass, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway to reach that point?			
	No			
(c)	If a suitable place for an under - or over - crossing exists in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, state the distance from the proposed crossing; the approximate cost of construction; and what, if any, reason exists why it should not be constructed.			
	N/A			

(a)	State approximate distance to nearest public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved by mile west - mile east -	ierein.	
(b)	If there is an existing crossing near vicinity, or if more than one crossing is proposed is it feasible to divert highways served and to be served by existing and proposed crossings, thus eliminating the need for more than one crossing? No.		
(c)	If so, state approximate cost of highway relocation to effect such changes. N/A		
(d)	Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings in the vicinity? If approximate distance to the crossing or crossings.  No	so, state direction and	
(e)	If this crossing is authorized, do you propose to close any existing crossing or crossings?		
	[8]		
Ap	proaching crossing from(direction) an unobstructed view to		
	right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	feet	
	right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	feet	
	right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	feet	
	right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	feet	
	right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	feet	
	left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	feet	
	left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	teet.	
	left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	feet	
	left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	feet	
Apj	proaching crossing from(opposite direction) an unobstructed view to		
	Right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	feet	
	Right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	feet	
	Right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	feet	
	Right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	feet	
	Right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	feet	
	Left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	feet	
	Left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	feet	
	Left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	feet	
	Left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	feet	
	Left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	feet	

[9]

Attach one or more prints showing a vicinity map and a layout of railway and highway, as well as profiles of each, also showing percent of grade, 500 feet of highway and railway when approaching crossing from all four directions. On the prints, spot and identify obstructions of view located in all four quadrants. Provide a traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signing of the intersections.

See Exhibit "A" attached

[ 10 ]

- (a) Is it feasible to provide a 25 foot level grade crossing on both sides from center line of railway at point of crossing? Yes
- (b) If not, state in feet the length of level grade it is feasible to obtain. N/A
- (c) Is it feasible to obtain an approach grade, prior to the level grade of five percent or less? If not, state why, and state the percent approach grade possible.

Yes

[11]

Do you know of any reason not appearing in any of the answers to these interrogatories why the proposed crossing should not be made at grade or lat the point proposed by you? If so, please state same fully.

No

Interrogatories 12 and 13 are to be completed only if this petition involves installation, replacement, or changing of automatic grade crossing signal or other warning device, other than crossbucks.

[ 12 ]

(a) State in detail, the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices (other than crossbucks) proposed to be installed. (This portion should be filled in only after conference between the railroad and the petitioning local government agency.)

Installation of 2 automatic flashing light traffic control devices, shoulder mount type, with gates and CWT.

- (c) State a cost estimate for maintaining the signals or devices for 12 months, as obtained from the respondent railroad company ......\$
- (d) If this is an existing crossing, what will the proposed warning devices replace in the way of existing devices.

N/A

(e) As the petitioner, are you prepared to pay or will you promise to pay to the respondent railroad company, your share of the cost of installing the warning devices proposed as provided by law?

() Yes () No

(xx) N/A – Railroad is petitioner

[ 13 ]

Furnish a brief statement of why the public safety requires the installation of the automatic signals or devices as proposed? Relocation of the northerly signal will improve the safety of the motoring public.

Installation of active warning devices will improve the safety of the motoring public.

DATE 5/17/04 BY JIM C

ELEVATION No Scale VIEW

PROJECT NO. STEXP RAILROADIST nohem ish AND SANTA HE RY. Edge of pavement — Thru Lane KNRUNGTUN NURTHERN KOAD 6, MIN. EDGE OF SHLDR. Cuordroil ITEM NO. S-1.75/11/8/11/8-B'MIN. O 2.AIN 16" ALTERNATE REFLECTORIZED RED AND WHITE BOTH SIDES Signal Base Gate length Number of flashing lights be protected Amount of future widening Number of lanes to U U Cu DIMENSIONS ea. way n / 0 1 Jattimeus

FIOR

SHONFDEB SEC

WELEVATION VIEW

# RESPONDENT'S WAIVER OF HEARING Docket No. \_\_\_\_ Petition of I have investigated the conditions existing at and in the vicinity of the proposed crossing changes. As a result, [check one or more of the following, as appropriate:] [ xx ] I am satisfied that conditions are as represented in the petition and the interrogatories and that the petition should be granted. [xx ] The cost of installation (estimated at \$ 138.167 is acceptable. [xx] subject to approval and apportionment pursuant to the Intermodal Surface Transportation Act by the Washington State Department of Transportation Local Programs Division. [ ] as apportioned between the parties [ ] to be paid by petitioner. Other conditions to waiver of hearing: Per the agreement between the parties hereto. The undersigned hereby waives hearing and further notice. The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission may enter a final order without further notice of hearing. Dated at \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_, Washington, on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_, 2004 Print Name: Steve THOMSIN

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

#### General

Petition forms with the interrogatories fully and correctly answered should be filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Chandler Plaza, 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, Washington, 98504. Blank forms may be obtained from the same address. All pleadings herein shall conform with WAC 480-09-420 and 425 of the Commission's Rules and Procedure.

#### **Number of Copies**

File the original and one copy if the "Waiver of Hearing by Respondent" is filled out. If petitioner intends that the Commission serve the respondent, the original and two copies should be filed. If the petitioner serves the respondent, a certificate of service in conformity with the requirements of WAC 480-09-120 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure must be filed.

#### Parties Who May Petition or Respond

In general, the following persons may file or respond to a petition: highway authorities, (city, county, or state), railroad companies, and state agencies with lawful authority to construct and maintain public highways (RCW 81.53.030 and 060). In situations where there may be more than one party of interest as either a petitioner or a respondent, all parties should be joined.

#### Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

The proceeding can usual be expedited by submitting the applications to the respondent and securing the execution of the "Waiver of Hearing by Respondent". As an alternative, respondent may file a separate "Answer." If the pleadings show that the respondent has no objection, an order may be entered without hearing at the discretion of the Commission, unless the public interest appears to require hearing and unless hearing is required under the terms of RCW 81.53.030 or 060. In all other cases, the petition shall be set for hearing.

#### **Crossing Construction**

Application for crossing state highways should be submitted in duplicate to the District Highway Engineer in the locality for his recommendation to be attached and forwarded to the State Department of Transportation Secretary, Olympia.

A party, after having been granted authority by the Commission to construct a crossing, must acquire right of way or easement because the order of the Commission merely relates to public safety and grants only toe right to cross, subject to acquiring a right of way or easement.

#### Time for Replying to a Petition

A petition not answered within 20 days of the date of service, shall be deemed denied and may be set for hearing. If a qualified or conditional answer is filed by the respondent, the petitioner may file a "Replay" within 10 days of the date the "Answer" is served.

(PLEASE REMOVE THIS SHEET BEFORE FILING PETITION)