## HANIS & OLSON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MICHAEL M. HANIS GARY O. OLSON ANNETTE BOLSTER Legal Assistant



UW-011320 (F)

3900 East Valley Hwy. Suite 203 Renton, Washington 98055 (425) 251.9313 Fax (425) 251.0546

September 20, 2001

Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission ATTN: Carole Washburn 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250

try/M-Hi

Dear Ms. Washburn

Please find enclosed an original, 19 copies, and a copy to be conformed and returned to our office, the enclosed Complaint.

I believe I have sent all necessary copies and have complied with filing rules. Please let me know if anything additional is needed so that I can respond in a prompt manner. Thank you for your assistance.

Yours truly,

Hanis & Olson

Patrick M. Hanis

1 2	I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the state of Washington that on this day deposited in the mails of the United States America a properly stamped and addresse envelope directed to Rosano Officer. The containing a copy of the decument to which the declaration is attached.  Signed at King County , Washington	t of d  is n,		
3	this 20 day of September 18 200			
<b>4</b> 5	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION			
6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13	In the Matter of the Complaint of: David And Janis Stevens; Paul Carrick; Alan and Jim Wiemeyer; Chris and Cecily Flavell; Stan and Kay Miller; Michael and Colleen Stover; Richard and Paula Russell; Ben G. Marcin; Ronald and Victoria Montgomery; Charles and Michelle Clark; Paul Schulte; Sue Perrault; and Jorg Reinholt Complainants,  v.  Nessario Utilities, LLC Respondent.	NO. (ALC-OU32)  COMPLAINT OF FOR IMPROPER DISTRIBUTION OF WATER PERMITS BY RESPONDENT  STORY  99		
15	Kespondent. )			
16 17 18	COME NOW the above-named Complain  M. Hanis, and for complaint against Respondent	nants by and through their attorney, Michael , allege as follows:		
119 220 221 222 23 24 25 26	1. PAI  1.1 The Complainants are: David and Janis Stevens 2900 Park Newport, Apt 236 Newport Beach, CA 92660  Paul Carrick 93 Veneda Trail Eastsound, WA 98245  Alan and Jim Wiemeyer PO Box 1587 Eastsound, WA 98245	RTIES		
-0		111110 001 001		

**Declaration of Mailing** 

Complaint - 1

HANIS SOLSON
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

3900 EAST VALLEY HWY. SUITE 203
RENTON, WASHINGTON 98055
(425) 251-9313

1		Chris and Cecily Flavell
2		6516 24 <sup>th</sup> Ave. NW
~		Seattle, WA 98117
3		
		Stan and Kay Miller
4		PO Box 1983
5		Eastsound, WA 98245
6		Michael and Colleen Stover
		7731 SW Stillman Rd.
7		Powell Butte, OR 97753-1554
8		
8		Richard and Paula Russell
9		PO Box 208
		Eastsound, WA 98245
10		
11		Ben G. Marcin
		PO Box 1316
12		Eastsound, WA 98245
13		Ronald and Victoria Montgomery
10	(	30254 25 <sup>th</sup> Ave. SW
14		Federal Way, WA 98023-2316
		Todoral Way, Will 50025 2510
15	ļ ģ	Charles and Michelle Clark
16		PO Box 1162
•		Oracle, AZ 85623
17		
10		Paul Schulte
18		PO Box 5606
19		Sun City West, AZ 85376
20		Sue Perrault
21		6925 NE 170 <sup>th</sup>
21		Kenmore, WA, 98028
22		Y D 1 L
		Jorg Reinholt
23		28 Cliffhouse Court
24		Eastsound, WA 98245
	1.2	Complainants own real property within the service area of Respondent.
05	1.4	Complantation will real property within the service area of Respondent.

26

1.3 The Respondent is Rosario Utilities, LLC. Respondent is a public service company owning and operating a water system on Orcas Island, San Juan County, Washington, and is subject to regulation by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) with its principal place of business at 1400 Rosario Road, Eastsound, WA, 98245.

## 2. RULES/STATUTES AT ISSUE

- 2.1 The following statutes and provisions of the Washington Administrative Code may be brought into issue: RCW 80.28.110; RCW 80.28.090; RCW 80.28.270; RCW 80.16.020; RCW 80.28.010; RCW 80.28.020; RCW 80.28.040; RCW 80.04.440; RCW 80.04.405; WAC 480-110-325; WAC 480-110-345; WAC 480-110-385; WAC 480-146-360; WAC 480-146-350.
- 2.2 RCW 80.28.110 requires a water company to furnish to all customers who may apply for service, suitable facilities for furnishing and furnish water as demanded.
- 2.3 RCW 80.28.010 requires that all water companies furnish and supply such service as shall be safe, adequate and efficient, and in all respects, just and reasonable. All rules and regulations issued by any water company, affecting or pertaining to the sale or distribution of its product, shall be just and reasonable.
- 2.4 RCW 80.28.090 requires a water company not to make or grant any undue or unreasonable preference or advantage to any person in any respect whatsoever; or subject any such person to any undue or unreasonable prejudice or disadvantage in any respect whatsoever.
- 2.5 RCW 80.28.020 provides the Commission with jurisdiction over practices, acts or services of any water company including service connections. The Commission shall determine the fair, just, reasonable, and sufficient process for any aspect of service connections.
- 2.6 RCW 80.16.020 requires that every public service company shall file with the Commission for the furnishing of any service, right or thing made between a public service company and any affiliated interest. The filing must be made prior to the effective date of the contract or arrangement. The Commission may disapprove the contract or arrangement for non-compliance.
- 2.7 RCW 80.28.010 requires that all rules and regulations issued by any water company pertaining to the sale or distribution of its product shall be just and reasonable.
- 2.8 RCW 80.28.020 requires that if the Commission shall find that rates or charges demanded, exacted, charge or collected, or that the rules, regulations, practices or

contracts affecting such rates or charges are unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory or unduly preferential, or in any wise in violation of the provisions of law, the Commission shall determine the just, reasonable, or sufficient rates, charges, regulations, practices or contracts to be thereafter observed and in force, and shall fix the same by order.

2.9 RCW 80.28.040 requires that if the Commission shall find that any rules, regulations practices or acts of any water company are unjust, unreasonable, improper, insufficient, inefficient or inadequate, or that any service which may be reasonably demanded is not furnished, the Commission shall fix the reasonable rules, regulations, measurements, standard, practices, acts, or service to thereafter be followed and shall fix the same by order of rule. If a water company fails to comply with a Commission's order, the Commission may request that the department petition the court to place the company in receivership.

## 3. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 3.1 Complainants desire water service but have been unable to receive such service because of a moratorium on water connections which was recently lifted.
- 3.2 Complainants made inquiries of Respondent as early as 1995 regarding water connections for their property. Respondent kept Complainants informed of the status of a water treatment facility and the expected time frame in which the moratorium would be lifted and new water connections would be given.
- 3.3 Complainants received assurances by Respondent that they were on a list for water connections. One Petitioner was informed by Chris Vierthaler, agent for Respondent, that they were number 32 on the list.
- 3.4 On approximately May 23, 2001, a Complainant contacted Chris Vierthaler regarding the protocol for purchasing water connections. Complainants were instructed that no advance purchase of water connections was possible and that permits would be sold on June 15, 2001 at 9:00 a.m. at the office of Respondent.
- 3.5 Respondent failed to give adequate notice of the sale of water connections. It changed the location less than 24 hours prior to the sale from Respondent's office to the Discovery House, which is owned by Rosario Resort and on the Resort's property. The changed location occurred after the "priority connections were sold" and upon Chris Vierthaler learning that people were lining up outside the Respondent's office for the remaining water connections.
- 3.6 Despite having the names, addresses, and phone numbers of Complainants, Respondent did not contact Complainants to inform them of the changed sale location or the

opportunity to line up prior to the office opening at 9:00 a.m.

- 3.7 On June 14, 2001, Complainants were informed by Respondent that no line would be allowed to form earlier than 9:00 a.m. on June 15, 2001 for the sale of water connections.
- 3.8 Respondent, through Chris Vierthaler, informed Complainants that a Sheriff would be alerted and that any person in the parking lot overnight would be removed by the Sheriff for trespassing.
- 3.9 Some Complainants arrived late the night of June 14, 2001 to stand in line for water connections, upon learning from others that night of the changed location of the sale to Rosario Resort. Complainants were forced to leave by the Sheriff who was called by Rosario Resort.
- 3.10 Complainants arrived at the sale as early as 8:00 a.m. on June 15, 2001. No Complainant received a water connection.
- 3.11 The last water connection went to a person who lined up prior to 4:00 a.m.
- 3.12 Respondent failed to follow it's own guidelines in distributing the water connections by telling Complainants they could not arrive prior to 9:00 a.m., with threat of arrest for trespassing, while allowing others, including Rosario Resort, to line up at approximately Midnight the night before the sale.
- 3.13 Rosario Resort is an affiliated interest of Respondent, both of which are owned by Oly Rose, LLC. Oly Rose, LLC is the sole owner of Respondent.
- 3.14 Respondent failed to comply with affiliated interest filing requirements with regards to the sale of water connections to Rosario Resort.
- 3.15 Rosario Resort was given unfair advantages and unreasonable preferences by Respondent in the distribution of water connections. It was allowed to line up around Midnight on June 14, 2001 or very early on June 15, 2001. Rosario Resort was allowed to purchase approximately 16 water connections at one time without having to return to the end of the line for each connection. Rosario Resort was allowed to have an employee purchase the water connections rather than the property owner itself as required by the rules posted by Respondent.
- 3.16 Respondent's dealings with Rosario Resort in the sale of water connections resulted in collusion between the two entities. The affiliated interest statute rationale is specifically aimed at preventing this type of abuse (U.S. West Communications, Inc.

v. Washington Utilities and Trans. Commission, 134 Wash.2d. 74 (1997)).

- 3.17 Respondent failed to follow the process outlined in WAC 480-110-385 in handling complaints regarding the water connection sale received by Complainants. Respondent did not investigate the matter, report the results of the investigation, or take corrective action. Further, Respondent failed to inform Complainants that the decision could be appealed to a higher level in the company, or to the availability of the Commission to review the complaint. Respondent failed to inform Complainants of the Commission's address and toll-free phone number.
- 3.18 Respondent willfully failed to meet it's duty to act in a fair, reasonable and sufficient matter with respect to all aspects of the June 15, 2001 sale of water connections, and in handling complaints received from Complainants.
- 3.19 Complainants are entitled to compensation for damage, loss, injury, and attorney fees in any court of competent jurisdiction pursuant to RCW 80.04.440.

## WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- 1. That a formal hearing be held on the issues raised by this Complaint;
- 2. That Respondent be ordered to supply immediate service to the properties owned by Complainants;
- 3. Recovery of damages, losses, or injuries as permitted by RCW 80.04.440, including reasonable attorney's fees;
- 4. Penalties as allowed pursuant to RCW 80.04.405; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Commission deems just and equitable.

**DATED** this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2001.

HANIS & OLSON

Michael M. Hanis, WSBA #6166

Attorney for Plaintiff

22

23