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| 1 | This is Joe Dallas, Assistant Attorney General on behalf |  | framework of the staff's proposed schedules sent to me |
| 2 | of staff. | 2 | earlier, or we could go off the record and allow the |
| 3 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, buddy. | 3 | parties to discuss this issue. So l'm welcome to input |
| 4 | THE COURT: Sounds like someone might need | 4 | from the parties, and I'll start with the company. |
| 5 | to mute themselves. It's perfectly understandable. But | 5 | MR. KUMAR: Your Honor, I think either |
| 6 | might want to check those mute buttons. Could we have | 6 | option could work for the company. If you have proposed |
| 7 | an appearance for public counsel? | 7 | dates based on a December 3rd hearing date, I think we |
| 8 | MS. SUETAKE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. | 8 | would like to hear that, and that could help inform the |
| 9 | This is Nina Suetake, Assistant Attorney General for | 9 | parties' discussions, if necessary. But we'll also -- |
| 10 | public counsel. | 10 | we'd like to hear what staff's thoughts are and the |
| 11 | THE COURT: Thank you. And for AWEC? | 11 | other parties' thoughts are as well. |
| 12 | MR. COLEMAN: Your Honor, Brent Coleman on | 12 | THE COURT: Mr. Dallas, would you like to |
| 13 | behalf of the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers. | 13 | weigh in? |
| 14 | THE COURT: Thank you. Could we have | 14 | MR. DALLAS: Yes, Your Honor. Due to the |
| 15 | appearance for Walmart? | 15 | amount of parties, it might be more efficient to go off |
| 16 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes. Vicki Baldwin on behalf | 16 | the record and propose something. You know, the big |
| 17 | of Walmart Inc. | 17 | date is the hearing date, and we can schedule everything |
| 18 | THE COURT: And could we have an appearance | 18 | around the December 3rd hearing date. But there's a lot |
| 19 | for the Energy Project? | 19 | of parties, and we do have the holidays coming up. So |
| 20 | MR. ZAKAI: Hello. This is Yochanan Zakai; | 20 | it might be best to go off the record and propose |
| 21 | I'm an attorney with Shute Mihaly \& Weinberger, and I'm | 21 | something to the Commission, but l'm not opposed to what |
| 22 | here on behalf of the Energy Project. | 22 | the company has stated. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Thank you. So that brings us to | 23 | THE COURT: All right. Does -- does any |
| 24 | the petitions for intervention. Are there any petitions | 24 | party have any concerns that they'd like to address |
| 25 | for intervention, other than the ones that have been | 25 | before we go off the record for a discussion amongst the |
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| 1 | filed in writing? Hearing none, we will proceed. | 1 | parties? |
| 2 | So we have received three petitions to | 2 | Okay. Hearing none, we are off the record for |
| 3 | intervene: one from the Energy Project; one from | 3 | the moment. |
| 4 | Walmart; and one from AWEC. I did not receive any | 4 | (Discussion held off the record.) |
| 5 | written objections to these petitions in the docket. | 5 |  |
| 6 | Are there any objections today? | 6 | THE COURT: Let's be back on the record. We |
| 7 | MR. KUMAR: Your Honor, the company has no | 7 | have taken a brief recess, and the parties have |
| 8 | objections. | 8 | conferred on the procedural schedule, and l've been |
| 9 | THE COURT: Thank you. Would any other | 9 | informed that the parties have agreed on a procedural |
| 10 | party like to speak to this issue? All right. Hearing | 10 | schedule for this case. |
| 11 | no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted, | 11 | Mr. Dallas, would you mind reading that into |
| 12 | and that will be reflected in the prehearing conference | 12 | the record? |
| 13 | order. | 13 | MR. DALLAS: Yes, Your Honor, and I'll start |
| 14 | So let's next turn to the procedural schedule. | 14 | at the very top. So at the top of our agreed to |
| 15 | So before the conference call today, the parties and I | 15 | schedule we have the tariff filing on June 1, 2021. And |
| 16 | were discussing possible dates for the hearing. | 16 | then we have the tariff suspension date and the date |
| 17 | PacifiCorp indicated that a hearing date in the first | 17 | discovery is commenced on June 16, 2021. We have the |
| 18 | week of December might be best for the company. So, at | 18 | prehearing conference, which is today, on June 24, 2021. |
| 19 | this point, I'm suggesting Friday, December 3. That | 19 | We have a workshop scheduled on July 20, 2021. We have |
| 20 | looks like it might work for the Commissioners, but it | 20 | a settlement conference scheduled on September 1, 2021. |
| 21 | looks like December 1st, 2nd, 6th, or 7th would also | 21 | The date response testimony is due is September 30, |
| 22 | work. | 22 | 2021. And then we have the date for the notice of the |
| 23 | So we could take a couple of difference | 23 | public comment hearing is to be determined, but it will |
| 24 | approaches to this today. I could read off a proposed | 24 | be at least 30 days prior to the public comment hearing. |
| 25 | schedule based on a December 3rd hearing date using the | 25 | Then we have the public comment hearing, which is to be |
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| 1 | determined. After that, we have the date for rebuttal | 1 | participated with PacifiCorp in some of the other |
| 2 | and cross answering testimony, which is October 29, | 2 | proceedings in other jurisdictions, and this framework |
| 3 | 2021. After that we have the discovery cut off, which | 3 | has turned out to be successful. |
| 4 | is November 16, 2021. Then we have the date for the | 4 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. In that |
| 5 | filing of cross-examination exhibits, witness list, | 5 | case, I anticipate that this procedural schedule should |
| 6 | errata sheets, and email cross-examination time | 6 | work well for the Commission, and I will plan on |
| 7 | estimates on November 23, 2021. Then we have the | 7 | incorporating that into the prehearing conference order. |
| 8 | hearing scheduled on December 3, 2021. | 8 | Let me just -- sorry, Microsoft Word on my computer is |
| 9 | And then, Your Honor, in this case we agreed to | 9 | choosing to have some problems right now. Just a |
| 10 | two rounds of briefing. So the first round of | 10 | moment. Sorry, everyone. Just a moment. I've got to |
| 11 | post-hearing briefing is going to be on December 17, | 11 | close out that program. |
| 12 | 2021, and then the second round, the post-hearing reply | 12 | All right. In terms of just a few more |
| 13 | briefs will be on December 23, 2021, and we pushed back | 13 | housekeeping matters, on the issue of data requests, we |
| 14 | the requested final order to February 1, 2022. | 14 | frequently include a requirement in the prehearing |
| 15 | And also, another note, we did agree to a | 15 | conference order that any data requests and responses |
| 16 | five-day turnaround, I believe five business day | 16 | are shared with every other party. It may make it |
| 17 | turnaround for discovery requests. | 17 | easier for the parties in this case if we include such a |
| 18 | THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dallas. And | 18 | requirement. Is there any objection to my including |
| 19 | did -- I did note the language about the turnaround time | 19 | that in the prehearing conference order here? All |
| 20 | on data requests. The footnote in the proposed schedule | 20 | right. Hearing no objection, I will plan on including |
| 21 | refers to it being five business days as of the filing | 21 | that requirement in the order. |
| 22 | of response testimony, and then it uses a phrase, on the | 22 | And we already have a protective order in this |
| 23 | best -- "based on the best efforts of the parties." I | 23 | docket. On the issue of the electronic filing and |
| 24 | am slightly concerned that that language would be hard | 24 | electronic service, the Commission requires electronic |
| 25 | to -- hard to enforce if there were any disputes. Is | 25 | filing of documents for formal filing. We are |
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| 1 | everyone comfortable with that phrase? Are we | 1 | continuing to suspend the requirements for paper copies |
| 2 | comfortable including that phrase? | 2 | of filed documents in light of the COVID-19 pandemic; |
| 3 | MR. KUMAR: I can maybe provide some context | 3 | that will be included in the prehearing conference |
| 4 | around that phrase, Your Honor. We do often use that | 4 | order. And as you all may be aware, the Commission's |
| 5 | phrase. It's from the way we conduct our proceedings in | 5 | rules provide for electronic service of documents. The |
| 6 | Oregon where we often, again, as you get closer to | 6 | Commission will serve the parties electronically, and |
| 7 | hearing you do shorten the amount of the discovery | 7 | parties will serve each other electronically. |
| 8 | period, and it's just oftentimes because in certain | 8 | If any party has not yet designated a lead |
| 9 | power costs proceedings, if there's certain voluminous | 9 | representative for service, please do so via an email to |
| 10 | data requests that involve, you know, heavy data and | 10 | me as soon as possible. My email is |
| 11 | certain modeling, it can often require us more than five | 11 | Michael.Howard@UTC.law.gov. If anyone would like to add |
| 12 | days to procure them. So having a hard deadline of five | 12 | names and email addresses of other representatives or |
| 13 | days is often difficult, and we usually strive very hard | 13 | support staff who should receive electronic courtesy |
| 14 | to meet the five business day deadline, usually just | 14 | copies of all documents filed in this proceeding, please |
| 15 | we're almost always the party getting served the | 15 | email that to me as well. |
| 16 | discovery data requests. And so -- but we just like to | 16 | Is there anything else we should address today |
| 17 | be able to have the opportunity to work with parties to | 17 | before we go off the record? |
| 18 | -- if things go beyond the five days. | 18 | MS. BALDWIN: Your Honor, this is Vicki |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. Unless anyone else | 19 | Baldwin for Walmart. I was just wondering if we |
| 20 | has other concerns or anything they'd like to comment on | 20 | included that information in our motion for leave to |
| 21 | that issue, I am comfortable with that. All right. | 21 | intervene, is that adequate, or should we also email you |
| 22 | MR. COLEMAN: To the extent -- | 22 | that information? |
| 23 | THE COURT: Oh, go ahead. | 23 | THE COURT: It should be sufficient that |
| 24 | MR. COLEMAN: I apologize, Your Honor. | 24 | it's included in your petition to intervene. I will |
| 25 | To the extent that it matters AWEC has | 25 | double check. I will double check those are included in |
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