### Docket No. TS-160479 - Vol. IV

## In re Application of MEI Northwest LLC

February 15, 2017



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

Olympia | **360.534.9066** Spokane | **509.624.3261** National | **800.846.6989** 

email: info@buellrealtime.com



# BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

IN RE APPLICATION OF )

MEI NORTHWEST LLC )

DOCKET NO.

TS-160479

FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC )

CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO )

OPERATE VESSELS IN FURNISHING)

PASSENGER FERRY SERVICE )

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### **EVIDENTIARY HEARING**

Volume IV, Pages 333 - 582

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER

\_\_\_\_\_\_

9:01 a.m.

February 15, 2017

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

REPORTED BY: Nancy M. Kottenstette, RPR, CCR 3377

	334
1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
3	MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER
4	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
5	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1285
6	mfriedla@utc.wa.gov
7	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
8	JULIAN BEATTIE Assistant Attorney General
9	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
10	Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1225
11	jbeattie@utc.wa.gov
12	FOR ARROW LAUNCH SERVICE, INC.:
13	DAVID W. WILEY BLAIR I. FASSBURG
14	Williams Kastner 601 Union Street, Suite 4100
15	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.628.6600
16	dwiley@williamskastner.com bfassburg@williamskastner.com
17	FOR MEI NORTHWEST, LLC:
18	DANIEL R. BENTSON
19	Bullivant Houser Bailey PC 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1810
20	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.521.6420
21	dan.bentson@bullivant.com
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page: 335

	335		
1	FOR PACIFIC CRUISES NORTHWEST, I	NC.:	
2	JUDITH A. ENDEJAN		
3	Garvey Schubert Barer 1191 Second Avenue Suite 1800		
4	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.464.3939		
5	jendejan@gsblaw.com		
6	INDEX OF EXAMINATION		
7	PAGE		
8	MARC RELZY AIKIN		
9	Direct Examination By Mr. Bentson:	337	
10	Cross-Examination By Mr. Wiley:	339	
11	Cross-Examination By Ms. Endejan:	378	
12	Cross-Examination By Mr. Beattie:	384	
13	Redirect Examination By Mr. Bentson:	388	
14	Recross-Examination By Mr. Wiley:	402	
15	Recross-Examination By Ms. Endejan:	404	
16			
17	WELDON BURTON		
18	Direct Examination By Mr. Fassburg:	419	
19	Cross-Examination By Mr. Bentson:	420	
20	Redirect Examination By Mr. Fassburg:	443	
21			
22	JACK HARMON		
23	Direct Examination By Mr. Wiley:	451	
24	Cross-Examination By Mr. Beattie:	453	
25			

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN	3
1	BRIAN WESTAD	
2	Direct Examination By Mr. Wiley: 467	
3	Cross-Examination By Mr. Bentson: 469	
4	Redirect Examination By Mr. Wiley: 473	
5		
6	DEBRA K. COLLINS	
7	Direct Examination By Mr. Fassburg: 475	
8	Cross-Examination By Mr. Bentson: 476	
9		
10	DOUG COBURN	
11	Direct Examination By Mr. Wiley: 480	
12	Cross-Examination By Mr. Bentson: 482	
13	Redirect Examination By Mr. Wiley: 485	
14		
15	JACK HARMON (Continued)	
16	Cross-Examination By Mr. Bentson: 488	
17	Redirect Examination By Mr. Wiley: 559	
18		
19		
20	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
21	NUM. DESCRIPTION PAGE	
22	Exhibit JLH-16 Screenshot of an e-mail dated 5 September 16, 2016	64
23	30ptom501 10, 2010	
24		
25		

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 337
1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; February 15, 2017
2	9:01 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go on the
5	record. We are here for a continuation of the
6	Evidentiary Hearing in Docket TS-160479. I believe
7	we're going to begin with the examination of
8	Mr. Aikin.
9	MR. BENTSON: Yes, Your Honor.
10	Mr. Aikin, if you could go to that seat over
11	there, the judge will be the one that swears you in,
12	so please stand when you get to your seat.
13	
14	MARC RELZY AIKIN, witness herein, having been
15	first duly sworn on oath,
16	was examined and testified
17	as follows:
18	
19	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You can be seated.
20	Mr. Bentson.
21	MR. BENTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	
23	DIRECTEXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BENTSON:
25	Q Good morning, Mr. Aikin. Could you please

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 338
1	state your full name for the record and spell your
2	first and last name.
3	A Yes. My full name is Marc Relzy Aikin. My
4	first name is spelled M-A-R-C, last name A-I-K-I-N.
5	Q What company do you work for?
6	A I'm employed by Crowley Maritime, a subsidiary
7	of Crowley Petroleum Services.
8	Q What is your title at Crowley?
9	A I'm the director of engineering for the ATB
LO	fleet.
L1	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, permission to
L2	approach the witness with RSE-8?
L3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine.
L4	Q Mr. Aikin, I'm handing you what's been
L5	previously marked as RSE-8, your shipper support
L6	statement.
L7	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor?
L8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I have it. Thank
L9	you.
20	Q Mr. Aikin, do you recognize RSE-8?
21	A Yes, I do.
22	Q Is that the shipper support statement you
23	provided on behalf of MEI's application in this case?
24	A Yes. That is the statement I provided with
25	aid of my in-house counsel.

#### **EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN** 339 1 Q Is there anything you wish to correct or 2 change on that statement? 3 A No, not at this time. 4 MR. BENTSON: Thank you. RSE-8 has 5 already been admitted in the record, so I think at 6 this time the witness is available for 7 cross-examination. 8 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 9 Mr. Wiley or Mr. Fassburg. 10 MR. WILEY: Mr. Wiley. 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 12 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WILEY: 14 15 Q Good morning, Mr. Aikin. My name is Dave 16 Wiley, and I'm counsel for Arrow Launch Service, Inc., 17 which is the existing provider in the applied-for 18 territory. 19 A Good morning. 20 Q How long have you been with Crowley? 21 A Well, the first time was 13 years. The second 22 time was 14 years, and there was a ten-year break 23 between. 24 Q And could you tell me in the ten-year break 25 what did you do?

	DOCKELI	NO. 13-100479 - VOI. IV	2/13/201
		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 340	
1	Α	I was a consulting marine engineer and a	
2	ship	yard cost estimator.	
3	Q	So you've been in the maritime industry for a	
4	long	time; correct?	
5	Α	Yes, I have.	
6	Q	Just briefly, can you give me a little bit of	
7	back	ground on your job titles and functions over the	
8	years	s at Crowley?	
9	Α	I started from a sailing engineer, worked my	
10	way	ashore as a port engineer, engineering manager. I	
11	work	ced in Crowley's shipyard as a superintendent and	
12	coor	dinator. I managed an engine rebuild facility for	
13	Crov	vley. Currently, I'm director of engineering for	
14	the V	West Coast.	
15	Q	And where are you based?	
16	Α	Out of Seattle.	
17	Q	And how long have you been director of	
18	engir	neering for the West Coast for Crowley?	
19	Α	I would guess the title changed about three	
20	year	s ago.	
21	Q	And when did you come to the Puget Sound area	
22	for C	rowley?	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Q Okay. So have you been based here in your 13-

A 1980.

and 14-year stints?

23

24

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 341
1	Α	No. Actually, I left I got all these
2	gold	en promotions ashore, so I moved south to San
3	Fran	cisco Bay area, worked there, and then I moved to
4	L.A.,	worked in Long Beach.
5	Q	And when did you return to the Puget Sound?
6	Α	1993.
7	Q	So in that well, 14 years you were in that
8	break	as a consulting engineer for part of that time.
9	Was	that in the Seattle area as well?
10	A	Yes. That was in the Seattle area.
11	Q	So when did you return in your most current
12	stint t	o Crowley?
13	Α	2003.
14	Q	And that was based in the Puget Sound or was
15	that b	pased
16	Α	Correct. That was in Seattle.
17	Q	And you've been here ever since?
18	Α	Correct.
19	Q	Can you tell us just briefly what your
20	intera	actions with the launch services are in your
21	capa	city as director of engineering for Crowley?
22	Α	Launch services in general? Is that what you
23	mear	1?
24	Q	Yeah, we'll start with general.

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 342	<b>EXA</b>	MINATION	I BY WI	LEY / A	AIKIN	342
----------------------------------	------------	----------	---------	---------	-------	-----

1	responsible for both our warehouse facilities in			
2	Anacortes, Washington, and all of the repair			
3	activ	ities up and down the West Coast. I have a port		
4	engii	neer that's stationed in southern California, and		
5	my p	my port engineers utilize launch services to perform		
6	main	tenance activities on board our tugs and ships.		
7	And	so our warehouse in Anacortes provides or utilizes		
8	laun	ch services for storing.		
9	Q	For stores and provisions?		
10	Α	Stores and provisions, correct, slops		
11	remo	ovals, things like that.		
12	Q	And describe, again, your port engineers'		
13	intera	action with launch service.		
14	A	Port engineers will order up a launch to		
15	coor	dinate for a contractor primarily to take a		
16	cont	ractor out to anchor to attend the vessel to make		
17	repai	irs.		
18	Q	So that would be passengers going out to		
19	vesse	els?		
20	Α	Correct.		
21	Q	Would it be a fair separation that your		
22	ware	house facility deals, generally, with more freight		
23	issue	s and your port engineers with more passenger		
24	issue	s, or is it a mix of both?		
25	Α	We co-mingle the activities when that makes		

	<b>EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN</b> 343
1	sense because it's economically the better way to go.
2	So, generally, they are separate activities, but they
3	do co-mingle for efficiency.
4	Q And that's combination combining launches?
5	When you talk about co-mingling, you mean combining?
6	A Right. I'm putting stores on and I'm putting
7	personnel to go out to the vessel to take advantage of
8	that launch run.
9	Q So you would be combining, say, different
10	departments' requirements, warehouse, and engineering
11	in that combined launch; is that correct?
12	A Correct. And, in addition, we would have
13	crew. We would do crew personnel changes.
14	Q How many departments or divisions or entities
15	are there at Crowley, to your knowledge, who deal with
16	and order launch services? Can you state that for the
17	record, please?
18	A I would state that it would be probably
19	well, within my group, there's three separate groups
20	that would order launch services.
21	Q Could you list those for the record, please.
22	A There might be four. So it would be the
23	engineer. So port engineers would be the primary ones
24	ordering it. And then you would have procurement,

which would be the warehouse and port captains.

#### **EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN** 344

$\circ$	Port	car	otains	•
Q	T UI L	car	uanis	١.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α	And then crewing, marine personnel we called
it.	

- Q How about dispatch by Crowley, would they potentially order launches?
- A Yes. Dispatch could order launches acting as an agent. So for some of our vessels, our dispatch service acts as an agent but not all of our vessels.
- Q We have had some testimony in this record on direct examination about shippers' agents or customers' agents. Could you describe what that is in the context of dispatch acting as an agent?
- A An agent would coordinate services for vessels coming from sea or going to sea. So a vessel would order through the agent coordination of launch, stores, other services such as customs and border, immigration, things like that, so the agent would act on behalf of the owner.
  - Q The principal?
- A The principal to order the launch or order whatever services they may need.
- Q So that's conduct by Crowley where it acts as an agent, not a direct principal, but an agent for a principal for vessel services; is that correct?
  - A Yeah. Not to confuse the issue too much, we

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 345
1	treat	different vessels differently depending on the
2	custo	omer. For some of our vessels, our dispatch,
3	whic	h is a separates division within the organization,
4	acts	as an agent. Where for others, we actually use
5	an o	utside agency.
6	Q	Do you know how big Crowley is in terms of
7	I kno	w it's privately held. In terms of its annual
8	rever	nues, it's in the billions, isn't it?
9	Α	Yes.
LO	Q	And it's an international company?
L1	Α	Correct.
L2	Q	Based in Jacksonville?
L3	Α	Based out of Jacksonville, correct.
L4	Q	Does operations, Crowley operations, have an
L5	ability	to order directly launch vessels as well?
L6	Α	Yeah. When you say operations, that's what I
L7	woul	d refer to as a port captain.
L8	Q	Okay.
L9	Α	That typically would be the operations group.
20	Q	Is port engineering and port captains
21	differ	ent, or are they the same thing in your mind?
22	Α	They are different.
23	Q	Could you just describe port engineering

A So you can look at it this way. The simplest

versus port captains.

24

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 346
1	explanation is the port engineer deals with the
2	machinery. Port captain deals with the vessel, the
3	personnel, and the logistics of the operation of the
4	vessel.
5	Q But it's true that all of those separate
6	divisions within Crowley could place independent
7	orders for launch?
8	A Yes.
9	Q There's no real central clearinghouse at
10	Crowley, is there, for launch service ordering?
11	A No. We did make a concerted effort back in
12	late '15 to create a centralized ordering through our
13	warehouse facility to share common launches.
14	Q That's the launch combination issue, but it's
15	still the case, is it not, that these separate
16	divisions, five or six that you've listed, could order
17	launches separately?
18	A Absolutely.
19	Q What does engineering at Crowley specifically
20	do? I assume it's focused on vessel maintenance; am I
21	correct?
22	A You are correct.
23	Q And that's your particular area of expertise,
24	I take it?
25	A Correct.

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 347
1	Q	So you're sort of like I apologize. I may
2	not b	e using the right nomenclature. You're a vessel
3	engir	neer in terms of how it works?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	All the operating systems, propulsion, all
6	that?	
7	Α	Correct.
8	Q	That's what you really are in charge of for
9	Crow	ley?
10	Α	Correct.
11	Q	I'd like do you have that shipper support
12	state	ment? Yes, you do.
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	I'd like to focus on that, because that's
15	really	the only evidence we have from you that I can
16	ask y	ou about in this case.
17	A	Sure.
18	Q	That statement, if you look at page 2, you
19	signe	ed that statement, kind of ominous looking, under
20	pena	Ity of perjury. Do you see that?
21	A	I see that.
22	Q	And you're under oath here, as you know. So I
23	want	to ask you about some of the statements.
24	A	Certainly.
25	C	Yesterday Mr. Sevall from the WLITC Staff

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 348
1	testified about a telephone survey he had done of some
2	of Arrow's customers, and the only one who expressed
3	dissatisfaction was Crowley. And he identified the
4	person with whom he spoke as a Lindy Evans. Are you
5	familiar with who she is?
6	A Yes, I am.
7	Q Mr. Aikin, would it be fair to say that the
8	bulk of the critiques in the information on ALS
9	service by Crowley in SS-8, the shipper support
10	statements, comes from Ms. Evans of procurement?
11	A The bulk of it?
12	Q Yeah. The majority of the information that
13	critiques Arrow service came from Ms. Evans?
14	A It's possible you could characterize that,
15	yes.
16	Q Are you aware that she has repeatedly told
17	Arrow that she is under a corporate mandate to cut
18	costs?
19	A I'm not aware of that specific language, but
20	it wouldn't surprise me if that was her belief, yes.
21	Q In preparation for your testimony today, did
22	you talk with Ms. Evans?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. And but Ms. Evans isn't here to
25	testify?

#### EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 349

•	_		-
Λ	Co	rra	∩t.
$\overline{}$	$\mathbf{v}$		·ι.

Q By the way, who asked you to sign and supply this statement? You alluded to it with Mr. Bentson briefly, but I want to understand better.

#### A It was our in-house counsel.

Q And it's true, is it not, that this statement was prepared, in large part, by attorneys either for Crowley or MEI or both?

### A Not by MEI. It was all by Crowley.

Q Okay. And does the statement, to your knowledge, rely in large part as well from Ms. Evans?

#### A Yes.

Q Okay. Can you tell us if it's true that what Crowley is really seeking in supporting MEI's application is some financial benefit?

A Crowley is seeking consistent service, timely service, and competition in the marketplace. Our market sector continues to grow. We are adding more vessels. We utilize the anchorages in Anacortes to a great extent. We have multiple vessels at anchor at any given time in the north Puget Sound all needing to be serviced. We have vessels in Port Angeles. We have more vessels coming to this coast.

So we're concerned long-term that we need to have quality service delivered in a timely fashion.

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 350
1	And	let me say that Arrow Launch has always done a
2	good	job for us. I can't say that they have not done
3	a go	od job.
4	Q	You can't say that they've ever failed or
5	refus	ed to provide service to you, can you?
6	A	No, I can't. I can say that
7	Q	What?
8	Α	I can say that they have delayed services, but
9	I can	not say that they've ever refused services.
L O	Q	Or failed?
L1	Α	No.
L2	Q	Okay. We'll explore the delay issue.
L3	Α	Sure.
L4	Q	But are you aware whether Crowley has, in
L5	fact,	sought reductions in rates from Arrow for
L6	ancill	ary services, such as forklifts and cranes?
L7	Α	Yes.
L8	Q	And in supporting the application for MEI,
L9	would	d Crowley hope to get further reduction in
20	ancill	ary services through a competition?
21	Α	Through a competition, yes, we would hope that
22	woul	d be the outcome, yes.
23	Q	Referring to your statement, the second

sentence, I wanted to ask you briefly about that. You

talked about some delays. And when you make the

24

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 351
1	statement referring to timing and reliability, do you
2	see that sentence there?
3	A Yes, I do.
4	Q Are you referring to the time the launch
5	vessel arrives at the ship or the dock, for instance,
6	the time you receive service from the launch? Is that
7	what you mean by delays?
8	A Yes. There would be the time in which the
9	launch departs or arrives at our vessel, either one.
LO	Q In making that statement, do you have in mind
L1	the instruction or protocol that you alluded to from
L2	Ms. Evans of procurement at Crowley by which launches
L3	should be combined, even if it causes a slight delay,
L4	to maximize efficiencies?
L5	A Could you explain that again? I'm not quite
L6	sure I'm following.
L7	Q Yeah. In making that statement about timing
L8	and reliability problems, are you aware or have you
L9	factored in at least the 2015 instruction that you
20	talked about that's still ongoing about combining
21	launches even if it should cause a slight delay to
22	maximize efficiencies for Crowley?
23	MR. BENTSON: Object as to form,
24	mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.
25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm a bit confused

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 352
1	as to where that statement came from in the first
2	place.
3	Q Okay. Mr. Aikin, do you see the second
4	sentence where you say "This has created timing and
5	reliability issues for us as our customers
6	( major oil companies) work on a tight schedule"?
7	A Correct. I see that.
8	Q Have you factored in this protocol or policy
9	that you've discussed about combining launches in
10	making that statement assessing whether if that
11	combination of launches could cause a slight delay in
12	order to provide efficiencies for Crowley?
13	A If I understand your question, yes, we have
14	factored that in.
15	Q And it's true, is it not, that when you
16	combine launches for various departments to provide
17	more rate or cost efficiency that that could cause
18	some slight delays in arrival of ships of launches
19	at vessels, could it not?
20	A Certainly, it could. But if you coordinate
21	the launch properly, it shouldn't impact it.
21	the launch properly, it shouldn't impact it.  Q But who is that coordination the
22	Q But who is that coordination the

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 353
1	We know our needs.
2	Q And so the internal communication about
3	enhancement of efficiencies is the burden of Crowley,
4	is it not?
5	A Correct, yeah.
6	Q With respect to these timing and reliability
7	issues that you speak of in that statement, are you
8	aware of any repeated attempts by Arrow to convene
9	meetings with Alan Jones, Mark Homeyer, and/or other
LO	Crowley management personnel that were scheduled by
L1	ALS, Arrow Launch, and Crowley and canceled by Crowley
L2	that were never rescheduled by Crowley despite
L3	requests by Arrow to do so.
L4	A No, I'm not aware of that.
L5	Q Are you aware of any e-mail traffic between
L6	Arrow and Crowley where Arrow repeatedly attempted to
L7	inquire as to ordering logistics that would enhance
L8	communication between Arrow and Crowley?
L9	A No, I'm not aware.
20	Q Did you, by any chance, happen to review the
21	surrebuttal testimony of Mr. Harmon regarding the
22	service history of Arrow to Crowley in the year prior
23	to the filing of the application?

A No, I did not.

24

25

Q Do you have any awareness or knowledge of the

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 354
1	number of service orders that Crowley placed for Arrow
2	Launch in that interval?
3	A In I don't know the time interval, no. I'm
4	aware of what we've expensed.
5	Q The time interval, just for your information,
6	is from June 2015 to May of 2016.
7	A Okay.
8	Q And Mr. Harmon, the record will show,
9	testified about his review of all the invoices of
10	Crowley when we received your statement.
11	A Sure.
12	Q Would it surprise you to learn that in that
13	batch of invoices you placed two out of a 900-plus
14	number of orders for launch in that interval? You
15	personally placed two orders? Would that be a
16	surprise?
17	A Me, myself?
18	Q Yeah.
19	A No, that wouldn't be a surprise.
20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Before you go on,
21	the 900 that you're talking about, is that 900 from
22	Crowley or 900 total for that time period?
23	MR. WILEY: It's 900 invoices from
24	Arrow to Crowley in that time period.
25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And two were placed

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 355	
1	by Mr. Aikin?	
2	MR. WILEY: Yes. And two were placed	
3	by Mr.Aikin.	
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.	
5	BY MR. WILEY:	
6	Q So your testimony was that wouldn't surprise	
7	you? You're not	
8	A No. It wouldn't surprise me, because I	
9	coordinate through our warehouse for launch services.	
10	Q And that's primarily Ms. Evans who is in	
11	charge of procurement?	
12	A Ms. Evans or one of her subordinates would do	
13	that or one of my other port engineers if I'm going	
14	out.	
15	Q And some of those port engineers, would that	
16	be a Mr. Giorgio?	
17	A Yeah, Giorgio.	
18	Q And a gentleman named Louie whose last name	
19	A D'Andrea.	
20	Q That's who you would be coordinating from.	
21	Let's keeping going on in your statement.	
22	MR. BENTSON: Can you spell those for	
23	the record just for the court reporter.	
24	MR. WILEY: Sure, if you can.	
25	THE WITNESS: Mr. Giorgio is	

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 356
----------------------------------

- 1 G-I-O-R-G-I-O, and Mr. D'Andrea is D, apostrophe,
- 2 | A-N-D-R-E-A.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 3 BY MR. WILEY:
  - Q Mr. Aikin, let's go on to your statement. The third sentence there says: The lack of competition reduces our ability to meet the needs of our customers.

Can you tell us how the existence or absence of competition, as opposed to vessel number availability, would impact your needs to service customers?

A Well, let me approach it from a slightly different angle. We use launch services up and down the West Coast in every port. San Francisco, if I call Marine Express and they say, I'm sorry, I don't have a launch available, I can call across the bay and get the same service provided to Anchorage 9 without any delay or disruption to my operation.

So if I have a machinist that earns \$250 an hour, it's important for me to get him on the vessel, and I have a vessel that earns \$35,000 a day. I need to get him out there.

- Q Timing is very important.
- A Timing is very important, so I have options.
- I have -- the same option exists in L.A., Long Beach

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 357	
1	and Los Angles, two separate launch services.	
2	Q Let's talk about Long Beach. MEI is in Long	
3	Beach.	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Do you use them in Long Beach?	
6	A Occasionally, we do, but, primarily, we use a	
7	different company.	
8	Q Why is that?	
9	A Because of location relative to our office	
LO	down there and relative to where they typically anchor	
L1	and come in and out.	
L2	Q So let's go back to my original question. Can	
L3	you tell me how the existence or absence of	
L4	competition as opposed to numbers of vessel	
L5	availability impact your needs? In other words,	
L6	Mr. Aikin, if, say, there were 12 authorized launch	
L7	companies with one vessel each and one company with	
L8	12 vessels, how would that circumstance impact your	
L9	ability to serve your customers?	
20	MR. BENTSON: Objection, compound.	
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't think that	
22	was a compound question, but if it helps you to answer	
23	it to break it down, that's fine. I believe it was	
24	just one question.	
25	A So simple math would say, no, it wouldn't make	

a difference. But any launch company that has 12 vessels has a structure to support that. They have to have vessel personnel that are rested and ready to go. Now, I don't know Mr. Harmon's business. I don't know his model, and I don't care to know it. But it stands to reason that two companies have a better opportunity to service my needs and not interrupt vessel reliability and vessel delivery.

Q So you're saying that the number of vessels has no bearing on the ability to serve you?

A Well, the total number of vessels does.

Q And you've said also in your testimony just now that you don't know anything about Arrow-specific vessel numbers or availability; is that correct?

A No, I don't.

Q You next say in a sentence in your statement that "Crowley's operations run on a 24-hour basis. We need a vendor who is able to provide prompt and reliable service on a 24 hour basis to and from our vessels"; correct?

A Correct.

Q Are you aware that Arrow provides service on a 24-hour basis?

A Yes, I am.

Q Do you know how long they have held themselves

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 359				
1	out to	provide that service?				
2	Α	How many years?				
3	Q	Yes.				
4	Α	No, I do not.				
5	Q	Do you know anything about their availability				
6	on nights, weekends, or holidays?					
7	A	They're available 24/7/365.				
8	Q	And you, in fact, have ordered them on a				
9	Sunday evening at 7:00, haven't you?					
10	Α	Yes, I have.				
11	Q	Did you have any problem in terms of their				
12	availa	ability at that time?				
13	Α	I don't have specific recollection of that				
14	parti	cular event.				
15	Q	Would you acknowledge, then, that in the last				
16	year	you've utilized them after hours, nights,				
17	week	ends, and holidays?				
18	Α	Absolutely.				
19	Q	So when you say you need a vendor to provide				
20	prom	pt and reliable service on a 24-hour basis to and				
20 21	•	pt and reliable service on a 24-hour basis to and your vessels, that wasn't a criticism of Arrow?				
	•					
21	from	your vessels, that wasn't a criticism of Arrow?				
21 22	from A Q	your vessels, that wasn't a criticism of Arrow?  Not at all, none whatsoever.				

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 360						
1	schedule. This need is not currently being met.						
2	Delays in transporting crew to our vessels have						
3	occurred in the past. This puts our customers behind						
4	schedule."						
5	Could you tell us over the past year in the						
6	period, again, from June 2015 to May 2016, the number						
7	of occasions on which Crowley has had to hire multiple						
8	vessels in the Anacortes/Vendovi area?						
9	A I'm not aware of any to be honest.						
10	Q So at no time in that period did you have						
11	A None in that period.						
12	Q We've got to try to get the reporter is						
13	trying to get both of us, so we're going to try not to						
14	talk over each other.						
15	So with respect to that sentence, you're not						
16	aware of anything in the last year where any time						
17	in the last year where Crowley had to hire multiple						
18	vessels?						
19	A That's correct.						
20	Q Okay. So do you know if Arrow has ever been						
21	asked to provide multiple, quote, ferry vessels,						
22	unquote, and has been unable to provide them?						
23	A I can't recall any particular time. I don't						
24	have knowledge of that.						
25	Q When you say that the need is currently is						

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 361					
1	not currently being met, as you do in that statement					
2	there, you're not suggesting that you have been unable					
3	to obtain service from Arrow, are you?					
4	A I'm not suggesting the service. I'm					
5	suggesting the time.					
6	Q And have you ever contacted Arrow Launch					
7	Management to discuss their ability to satisfy your					
8	time requirements?					
9	A I have not.					
10	Q Okay. Have you ever spoken with, for					
11	instance, Mr. Jack Harmon about their availability to					
12	Crowley?					
13	A No.					
14	Q In fact, didn't you just meet Mr. Harmon for					
15	the first time today?					
16	A Yes, I did.					
17	Q Could you describe your statement says					
18	"Delays in transporting crew to our vessels have					
19	occurred in the past."					
20	Can you describe every instance in which					
21	delays in transporting crews to your vessels have					
22	occurred in the past year due to service by Arrow					
23	Launch?					
24	A I think that's kind of an unrealistic					
25	question. We just stated for the record there were					

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 362
1	900 invoices. I can't document that.
2	Q Are you aware whether any of those instances
3	involved any complaint by service about service by
4	Crowley to Arrow?
5	A I don't know. I can't
6	Q I'll tell you we have all those invoices in
7	the hearing room, and I'm just asking you if you know
8	of any notation, any indication of problems with
9	service by Arrow?
10	A I don't know of a specific case. I know that
11	on several occasions and, you know, I can
12	document I think Jack has probably done a good job
13	of documenting those invoices, and they're very
14	accurate. And we don't have any issue with that, but
15	if I call the dispatch and the dispatcher says, well,
16	you want to get there at 03, but we don't have a
17	launch because I got to get a guy there, so about 04
18	will be okay, that's a delay. That's not a delay of
19	record. It's a delay to my operation.
20	Q And you think that's occurred?
21	A No. I know it has occurred to me personally.
22	Q When you talk about delay and notice that is
23	required for ordering service, does Crowley itself
24	have protocols about its customers about notice to

Crowley for ordering tugs?

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 363
1	A Say that one more time, please.
2	Q When you talk about delay in service being
3	provided from 0300 to 0400, does Crowley itself have
4	protocols or policies with respect to its customers
5	about notice for ordering?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been
8	marked as MA-1. It's a cross-exhibit.
9	MR. WILEY: Is it MA-1 or MA-2, Your
10	Honor? I want to make sure I don't screw up the
11	record here.
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Just a second.
13	MR. WILEY: I believe it's 1.
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I believe it is as
15	well, but just let me check really quick.
16	Q So, Mr. Aikin, take a gander at that, if you
17	would.
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: The schedule of
19	tugboat rates and terms?
20	MR. WILEY: Yes, Pacific Northwest
21	schedule of tugboat rates and tugs.
22	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's MA-1.
23	BY MR. WILEY:
24	Q Do you see on that sheet a policy about notice
25	by Crowley?

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 364				
1	A	Notice is required for ordering tugs, that				
2	one?					
3	Q	Yes. Could you read it, please.				
4	A	Line 7?				
5	Q	Could you read that, please.				
6	Α	"Any notification for service must be within				
7	six hours of the time designated for tugs to arrive at					
8	the v	essel."				
9	Q	So Crowley has a policy for its customers				
10	abou	t advanced notice for orders, does it not?				
11	Α	Yes, it does.				
12	Q	And I assume that when you say you want launch				
13	on de	emand you do not expect a similar policy or a				
14	one-h	nour or two-hour policy even to be imposed on				
15	Crow	ley; is that correct?				
16	A	Yeah, that is correct.				
17	Q	I want to continue on with your statement				
18	here.	Again, I've asked could you be more specific				
19	abou	t when you say there's been delays in				
20	trans	porting crews to our vessels, what time period				
21	and v	vhat specific provider are you referring to?				
22	A	In this particular statement, it's referring				
23	to Ar	row Launch for provisions.				
24	Q	When did that happen and under what				
25	circur	nstances, please?				

#### EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 365

1	Α	I don't know the specific date. The				
2	circu	circumstances were launch delivery for stores to				
3	vess	els in the Puget Sound, north Puget Sound. And it				
4	was	multiple vessels were loaded and delivered to				
5	vessels because there was not two vessels available					
6	for the two requests we made.					
7	Q Now, first of all, that is not first-hand					
8	information that you have.					
9	A	No.				
10	Q	I assume it came from Ms. Evans again?				
11	A	Yes, you're correct.				
12	Q	Did she tell you when that occurred and what				
13	ships	were involved in that movement?				
14	A	No, she did not.				
15	Q	So it could have happened in 2012, or it could				
16	have	happened				
17	A	Yesterday.				
18	Q	You don't know?				
19	A	I don't know.				
20	Q	How frequently has that happened, do you know?				
21	A	I would be speculating.				
22	Q	Do you know if anybody in you said you				
23	have	n't communicated with Arrow in the past about such				
24	delays. Do you know if anybody else at Crowley has?					
25	And i	f so, whom, so we can ask Mr. Harmon about that?				

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 366				
1	Α	I believe Ms. Evans has.				
2	Q	But you don't know when that occurred?				
3	Α	I don't know, no.				
4	Q	Or how many times that's occurred?				
5	Α	(Witness shakes head negatively.)				
6	Q	If one of your customers had been put behind				
7	schedule, as this statement says, due to delays in					
8	trans	porting crews to vessels, wouldn't you expect				
9	that A	Arrow would be would, A., have been notified;				
LO	and, B., demurrage would have been sought to be					
L1	recovered from Arrow Launch?					
L2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: What is demurrage					
L3	befor	re I get an answer on that?				
L4	Q	Let's, first, ask the witness what he				
L5	unde	rstands what demurrage or detention is in				
L6	trans	portation law parlance.				
L7	Α	Demurrage is a charge for delays as a result				
L8	of so	ome fault.				
L9		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.				
20		MR. WILEY: Thank you, Your Honor.				
21	BY M	IR. WILEY:				
22		If that had occurred, wouldn't you have				
23		ght that Crowley would have sought to recover				
24		urrage from Arrow?				
25	Α	Generally speaking, no. And the reason I				

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 367	<b>EXA</b>	MINAT	<b>ION B</b>	Y WIL	EY /	AIKIN	367
----------------------------------	------------	-------	--------------	-------	------	-------	-----

wouldn't do that is the same reason I wouldn't I
don't penalize my contractors for doing what they can
do with the tools and the equipment they have. If I
have a contractor that goes on board and fails to
perform

Q Then you would?

A -- then I would begin to seek through them.

But in the case of a launch, if the delay is specifically just getting personnel on board and then that personnel has to perform work in order to meet a schedule, it's a compounding effect. It's hard to quantify and say, okay, Arrow Launch you're responsible for ten minutes here. This guy is responsible -- it's not practical.

Q That brings up a point we talked about yesterday. In the scheme of things, in the chain of involvement for service to vessels at anchor, there's a lot of players, aren't there?

#### A Yes, there are.

Q There's suppliers. There's vendors. There's provisioners. So delays are hard to attribute to one specific actor, which is what, I think, you were just saying about demurrage claims. It's hard to identify who's responsible?

A It's hard to apportion that responsibility.

FYAMI	NATIO	N BY WI	I FV /	VIKIN	368
	IVALIUI	<b>4 DI 441</b>		AIM	300

Q And so in instance let's talk about the
instance when Ms. Evans supposedly found a delay in
getting a launch to a vessel. Do you know personally
whether that was solely allocable as fault to Arrow,
or could it have involved vendors, provisioners, ships
chandlers, someone else, plural, in the chain of
service?
A I don't know.

Q For instance, when Crowley gets in a spot about its own equipment, isn't it true that Crowley has had to hire tugs from Foss during peak times to provide tug assist to your own customers?

# A Absolutely. We have a mutual arrangement to do that.

Q So you do that frequently to cover equipment availability issues?

# A Because there's competition in the marketplace. They have the ability to subcontract out.

Q Let's say there wasn't competition in the tug marketplace. Wouldn't Crowley just buy more tugs potentially?

# A If it was financially justifiable. That's a pretty big nut to crack.

Q If a launch company wants to expand its fleet

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 369					
1	to better serve its customers, you wouldn't dispute					
2	that they have a right to do that, would you?					
3	A Absolutely not.					
4	Q Let's go on to the next statement that you					
5	make. It says "When a petroleum tanker operated by a					
6	major oil company is behind schedule, it becomes a					
7	serious problem for Crowley."					
8	So I think I understand this one pretty					
9	easily, but I want to check. So you're saying by this					
10	that your oil companies' problems are your problems;					
11	correct?					
12	A Correct.					
13	Q Okay. In your view, what factors are					
14	associated with a delay in an oil tanker's schedule					
15	while operating in the Anacortes/Vendovi area? In					
16	your view, what factors are associated with a delay in					
17	an oil tanker's schedule while operating in the					
18	Anacortes/Vendovi area? What factors could involve					
19	A Could delay them?					
20	Q Yes.					
21	A Well, weather.					
22	Q Right. That's the first one that I thought					
23	of. What else?					
24	A We already talked about launch service.					
25	Q And provisioners?					

#### EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 370

Α	Operations challenges, maintenance on board,
regu	latory constraints, the dock itself not being
read	y, other ships in the line. So all those factors
went	in. There's a lot of variables.

Q That's what I wanted to ask you about. For instance, would vessel traffic and available dock space and anchorage space impact a vessel's schedule, an oil tanker's schedule?

#### A Yes.

Q What type of notice do you feel -- looking at your own policy about advanced notice, what type of notice do you feel is reasonable to give a launch provider about service to Crowley?

# A I haven't really thought about that. I would say a couple hours probably.

Q And you say six hours for Crowley's policy, don't you?

A In this particular case, yes. This is a separate division of Crowley that I'm not in, but, yes. And I've never read that for the record.

Q But now that you see there's a six-notice provision -- six-hour notice provision. Excuse me.

#### A Correct.

Q Does Crowley itself, in your view, ever play any role in possible scheduling delays?

#### EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 371

Α	Does	Crowley	itself?	Certainly
---	------	---------	---------	-----------

Q Let's talk about -- we're nearing the homestretch here. If this application is denied, how would this affect your business that -- do you see that question there in bold? It's the third block.

#### A Correct.

Q And then you have a series of sentences there that I'm going to ask you about but probably as a group rather than individually. When you say you would be less able to provide a reliable, timely, and cost-effective service for your major oil companies, again, you agree that Arrow provides around-the-clock service and -- is that a yes?

#### A Yes.

Q And, to your knowledge, the launch has the launch vessels to serve multiple vessels, does it not?

# A To my knowledge, yes.

Q When you say that less reliable, timely, and cost-effective service for oil companies affects the retail market, are you saying that the local, regional, and national pump prices are, in fact, affected by delays that Crowley experiences in the Anacortes anchorage zone? I'm trying to understand what you're saying there.

# A Any delay in shipping creates additional

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 372
1	costs.
2	Q As you've testified, the delay in shipping
3	could be caused by a host of actors; correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q But I just wondered would that kind of broad
6	statement I realize counsel may have drafted it.
7	This, in turn, ultimately, impacts the retail market.
8	Can you be a little bit more specific about what you
9	mean? Are you talking about cost pass-throughs,
LO	retail price adjustments? I'm just not sure what
11	you're saying there.
L2	A Well, the additional cost of shipping would
L3	reflect in the cost of transportation. Cost of
L4	transportation is a fairly small percentage of the
L5	cost of the goods at the pump, for example.
L6	Q Yeah.
L7	A It does impact our business within that
L8	market, and it impacts our relationship in that
L9	market.
20	Q It's pretty minor is my point and any
21	impact
22	A To the retail market.
23	Q To the retail market; correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q By this statement, I assume you are not

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 373
1	suggesting that Arrow Launch Service delays could
2	increase the retail price of gas, are you? You
3	haven't established that there have been any delays
4	other than what you referred to. I hope you're not
5	blaming Arrow by that statement for retail gas price
6	increase.
7	A No.
8	MR. BENTSON: Objection, asked and
9	answered.
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And he has answered
11	it, so
12	MR. WILEY: We're moving on.
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yeah. We're moving
14	on.
15	BY MR. WILEY:
16	Q When you note your belief there that allowing
17	another company in the market would, quote, lower the
18	price charged to all customers in the area, what do
19	you mean specifically?
20	A Creating competition in any environment
21	creates more competitive pricing, and in this case,
22	it's regulated. So the rate that will be charged
23	won't change. So the number of launches times the
24	number of hours will still be the same. It's all the
25	other ancillary charges. All the other ancillary

		<b>EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN</b> 374			
1	serv	ices is what we would anticipate			
2	Q	And you understand, do you not, that all those			
3	other	ancillary charges, like forklifts, cranes, etc.,			
4	are n	ot regulated by this Commission whatsoever?			
5	Α	Correct. I thought that's what I just tried			
6	to st	ate.			
7	Q	So your point is that competition will mean			
8	that the regulated rates will not change, but the				
9	ancill	ary service rates will; is that correct?			
10	Α	Correct.			
11	Q	Okay. And you understand that to provide the			
12	forklifts and cranes, etc., you don't need a				
13	certif	icate from this Commission?			
14	Α	Yes.			
15	Q	So you're aware, then, that MEI has proposed			
16	tariff	rates that match Arrow's rates?			
17	Α	Yes, I am.			
18	Q	And those rates, then the rates that the			
19	Com	mission has jurisdiction over would then not go			
20	dowr	if that tariff was approved?			
21	Α	Correct.			
22	Q	Do you have any knowledge about the specific			
23	tariff	proposal that MEI made has filed in this			
24	application?				
25	Α	No, I do not.			

# **EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN** 375 Q Are you aware that MEI intends to impose a

requirement that invoices greater than \$5,000 are due

3 | upon receipt?

1

4

6

7

9

11

13

17

22

24

MR. BENTSON: Objection, lacks

5 | foundation.

MR. WILEY: Your Honor, it's in the

record. I'll show him the exhibit and show counsel

8 the exhibit if you want.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Go ahead. Let's go

10 through the foundation.

MR. WILEY: Okay. Okay. Let me get

12 the exhibit.

Why don't we take just a couple-minute break

14 | for me to pull it out.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.

16 We'll go off the record for a couple minutes.

(A break was taken from 9:44 a.m. to

18 9:44 a.m.)

19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. We'll go

20 back on the record.

21 | BY MR. WILEY:

Q I'm handing you what's been marked as RES-10.

23 **A Okay.** 

Q I assume you've not seen that before?

A No, I have never seen this.

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN	376
------------------------------	-----

Q I don't mean to put you on the spot,
Mr. Aikin, but if you go to the second page and read
the last note.

#### A The last note under "Terms."

	MR. WILEY:	lf I	can	approach	the
witness a	and point this?	)			

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes.

A Okay. Net 30 days. On the 31st day past the original invoice date, a 1 1/2 percent late charge will be added per month to the outstanding balance until full payment is received. Late charges are automatically applied on every consecutive 30-day payment interval and not subject to proration. Invoices greater that 5,000 are due upon receipt.

Q So my question was: Were you aware of that?

And your testimony was you were not?

#### A No.

Q Thank you. To your knowledge, does Arrow Launch have such a requirement about payment of cash if the services exceed \$5,000?

#### A I'm not aware.

Q And talking further about the competitive advocacy that you've made here, are you aware that in a regulated environment that a loss of customers to a certificated provider might also have an adverse

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 377
1	effect on prices? Do you understand that concept?
2	A No. But you could explain it.
3	Q In other words, if Arrow loses customers in a
4	high-density, lower-cost service area like Anacortes,
5	it's possible, is it not, that its remaining costs to
6	serve other areas could increase because it doesn't
7	have that revenue?
8	A Certainly.
9	Q So in that construct, prices could go up if
10	approved by the Commission if the carrier's costs were
11	going up; correct?
12	A Key phrase being "if approved by the
13	Commission."
14	Q That goes without saying. If the Commission
15	approved that, then it would have the converse effect
16	that you talked about at least to other customers
17	potentially?
18	A Right. But other operators would have the
19	same opportunity.
20	Q But for the existing provider, their cost
21	could go up, hence, their rates could go up, hence,
22	their customers' costs, the remaining customers that
23	the applicant can't serve, that could go up; correct?
24	A As I've stated, though, our concern is
25	long-term growth in our business, and that wouldn't

	EXAMINATION BY ENDEJAN / AIKIN 378
1	impact it in that manner.
2	Q Speaking of that issue, Mr. Aikin, have you
3	discussed have you or anyone at Crowley, to your
4	knowledge, discussed Crowley's long-term growth plans
5	with your existing provider and asked them how they
6	could serve them and serve them with increased service
7	enhancements if you desire that?
8	A No, we have not.
9	MR. WILEY: No further questions at
10	this time, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
12	I have Ms. Endejan.
13	MS. ENDEJAN: Yes. Thank you.
14	
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. ENDEJAN:
17	Q Good morning, Mr. Aikin. My name is Judy
18	Endejan, and I represent Dr I'm sorry. It's too
19	early. I represent Pacific Cruises Northwest, Inc.
20	A Good morning.
21	Q And I just have a few follow-up questions to
22	those asked by Mr. Wiley. And I believe he asked you
23	a question about the Crowley tariff, which is
24	Exhibit MA-1. Do you still have that in front of you?
25	A This document?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### 79

EXAMINATION BY ENDEJAN / AIKIN 3		
Q Correct. Thank you. And he asked you some		
questions about the six-hour notice period, and that		
is in paragraph 7 of this. Do you see that?		
A Yes, I do.		
Q Okay. So if Crowley meets that six-hour		
period, would it be fair to say that you would		

consider that providing reasonable service?

A As stated previously, this is a business unit that I'm not a part of, so I -- I would say -- if it was my business unit, yes, I would say I would meet it, but I can't speak for this one.

Q What I'm getting at here, Mr. Aikin, is kind of your -- when you use the term "delay" what you mean by that. When you use the term "delay," do you mean delay by the provider who does not meet what you want the provider to meet?

In other words, if you say I need you there in half an hour and the provider says I can't get there for an hour, do you consider that to be -- is that what you mean by delay?

A That's an impact on our schedule, yes, but, typically, it's not that short of time.

Q If the provider meets, you know, say in Crowley's instance, the six-hour notice period, would you consider that still delay if you call up and say I

	EXAMINATION BY ENDEJAN / AIKIN 380
1	want a tugboat here in two hours and they can't get
2	there for six?
3	A I would still consider it a delay, but it
4	meets the terms of this particular tariff. So it's
5	still a delay to the operator who wanted it hour one
6	but didn't receive it until six, but still they're
7	within their task of contract, if you will.
8	Q And the task of contract, would you agree, is
9	intended to set up kind of the reasonable terms and
10	conditions of service?
11	A That's yes, I would say that's a good
12	interpretation.
13	Q Okay. Got it. And based on your testimony
14	here, you said our concern is long-term growth in our
15	business. You just said that.
16	A Correct.
17	Q I believe those are your exact words. So
18	would it be fair to state that a lot of the concerns
19	that you express in RSE-8 are more future oriented
20	toward meeting your future business objectives as
21	opposed to a statement about your past experience?
22	A Well, but our future projection is based on

A Well, but our future projection is based on our past experience. Our future concern is based on past experience, and not to say that the past experience has been bad, but there are incidents where

23

24

### **EXAMINATION BY ENDEJAN / AIKIN** 381 1 it would predict potential problems in the future. 2 Q Okay. But they haven't occurred yet? 3 A We have had delays. 4 Q Okay. Well, and I believe that the last 5 portion of RSE-8 where you state "We believe that 6 allowing another company into the market . . . ," 7 etc., your last sentence, that reflects, would it be 8 fair to say, Crowley's belief that competition is 9 always better? 10 A Correct, yes. 11 Q Okay. And is that also based on the 12 assumption that the market in which you're operating 13 can support more than one provider? 14 A Yes. That would be an assumption, yes. 15 Q Okay. And are you aware of the costs that a 16 provider such as Arrow must incur to be able to 17 provide launch services throughout the entire Puget 18 Sound region, not just Anacortes? 19 A I have a reasonable understanding. I don't 20 have any exact knowledge. 21 Q Okay. And, similarly, you have not studied 22 whether there would be sufficient demand to support 23 more than one launch provider throughout the entire

A I haven't studied that, no.

Puget Sound region?

24

#### **EXAMINATION BY ENDEJAN / AIKIN 382**

Q So, basically, you are not in a position to
say one way or the other today whether that would be
possible, that there would be sufficient demand to
support more than one provider?

## A From a technical answer, no.

Q Okay. Thank you. And if I could hand you -	
if I may approach the witness, Your Honor a sta	tute
that is involved in this proceeding, which is	
RCW 81.84.020.	

Mr. Aikin, I'm not asking you as an attorney.
But have you ever seen this statute before?

# A No, I have not.

Q And so you were not -- and you're not a lawyer; correct?

#### A Correct.

Q So you wouldn't be in a position one way or the other to state whether or not Arrow has failed or refused to furnish reasonable and adequate service within the meaning of this statute, are you?

MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, before the witness answers, I object to that question. We've already established he's not a lawyer. He's never seen the statute before. He lacks foundation to answer it. And, third, the -- well, I think those -- he doesn't have any advance notice of the cross-exam

	EXAMINATION BY ENDEJAN / AIKIN 383
1	exhibits that Pacific Cruises plan to use on
2	Mr. Aikin. In any event, for all these reasons, this
3	question should be I object.
4	MS. ENDEJAN: Your Honor, it's a
5	statute. It's not something that has to be marked as
6	a cross-exhibit, and I'm just asking this witness's
7	the purpose of this witness's testimony here today
8	and maybe let me rephrase the question. That might
9	solve the problem.
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And taking into
11	account you're not an attorney, we understand that
12	will guide your answer.
13	BY MS. ENDEJAN:
14	Q So, basically, you're not here today to state
15	that Arrow has failed or refused to provide Crowley
16	with adequate service so that this Commission would
17	take action to allow another certificate-holder to
18	serve in the marketplace?
19	A No. In fact, we have a very strong
20	relationship with Arrow. We've used Arrow for many
21	years. We've been happy with their service. There
22	are periodic problems that we've had. Those are just
23	problems of operation. I don't want in any way this

to slander or blind my relationship with Arrow Launch.

No, I don't have that knowledge.

24

	EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / AIKIN 384
1	MS. ENDEJAN: Thank you. Nothing
2	further.
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Do we
4	have redirect?
5	MR. BENTSON: Is the Staff going to
6	MR. BEATTIE: Your Honor, we did not
7	indicate any time, but Mr. Aikin's testimony has
8	raised some questions for Staff. So I'd ask for about
9	10 minutes of cross?
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm amenable to
11	that. I don't think there's any we're not bound by
12	the estimates, so please go ahead.
13	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. BEATTIE:
17	Q Mr. Aikin, I'm Julian Beattie. I'm with the
18	State Attorney General's Office, and I'm representing
19	the Commission Staff in this proceeding.
20	Crowley is a user of launch services. Do I
21	have that correct?
22	A You are correct.
23	Q I believe I heard you say that you, as in
24	when I say "you," I mean Crowley. Crowley uses launch
25	services to transport personnel?

### EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / AIKIN 385

Δ	$C \cap$	rre	ct
<b>A</b>	υU		Lι

Q Can you tell me more about these personnel? What do you mean by that?

A Well, we've got shipboard personnel, which are our mariners. We have got regulators that we transport out for vessel inspections. Indirectly, pilots would be transported out and then repair personnel, so contractors, and then Crowley personnel, shoreside personnel.

Q Answer if you know. A Crowley vessel at anchor, would you consider that to be public property or private property?

# A Private property.

Q Would Crowley allow anybody from the general public to board one of its vessels at anchor that came on a launch service on a launch?

#### A No.

Q Mr. Aikin, yesterday we heard testimony and there has always been prefiled written testimony in this matter that Crowley -- excuse me. That the Commission has never received a complaint about Arrow Launch's service from Crowley. Do you think that that statement is accurate?

A I would have no way of knowing whether that's accurate or not.

		· · · ·	
	EXAMINATI	ON BY BEATTIE / AIKIN	386
1	Q Have you pers	sonally complained to the	
2	Commission ever at	oout Arrow Launch's service	?
3	A No, I have no	t.	
4	Q Do you know	if anybody else within Crow	ley has
5	ever complained abo	out Arrow Launch's service	?
6	A I know that L	indy Evans has communi	cated with
7	the UTC about a va	ariety of concerns, but I do	on't think
8	they were complain	nts. She was trying to un	derstand
9	the process, the sy	ystem.	
10	Q Can you tell u	s a little more about that, wl	nat
11	you know?		
12	A Part of her in	quiry was around a solici	tation
13	that we put out on	the street. She wanted to	make
14	sure that what we	were doing was legal. So	we put ou
15	a solicitation for la	unch services in the Nort	hwest
16	actually, up and do	own the coast.	

Q Are you aware that Ms. Evans contacted the Commission in July of 2013 to make an inquiry about Arrow Launch's charges?

A I don't know specifically, but that would not surprise me.

Q Do you understand that Washington state regulates launch services currently?

A Yes, I do.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Are you aware of any other state that

		EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / AIKIN 387
1	regul	ates launch services?
2	Α	I do believe California does as well.
3	Q	Can you tell us a little bit about
4	Califo	ornia's regulation?
5	Α	I don't know the regulations specifically, so
6	I can	't really speak to it.
7	Q	Do you know if California regulates the rates
8	of lau	ınch services?
9	A	I'm not sure.
LO	Q	Do you know if California provides service
L1	territo	ory protection as in allows monopolies?
L2	A	No. I don't know.
L3	Q	You did testify that there are multiple
L4	provi	ders operating in particular markets in
L5	Califo	ornia?
L6	A	Correct, in San Francisco and in Los Angeles.
L7	Q	Are you able to tell us anything about how
L8	Arrov	v's rate structure compares to the rate structure
L9	of co	mpanies operating in California launch services?
20	Α	Unfortunately, I don't compare those, so I
21	don'	t know. I don't see those invoices.
22	Q	We heard some talk today about ancillary
23	charç	ges versus charges that relate to launch boat
24	hours	s, if you will. Can you tell us anything about
25	how	much what percentage of the money that Crowley

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 388	
1	pays to Arrow is for launch boat hours versus	
2	ancillary charges?	
3	A Again, I don't know the specific breakdown of	
4	it.	
5	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you. No other	
6	questions.	
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	
8	Redirect, Mr. Bentson?	
9	MR. BENTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
10		
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
12	BY MR. BENTSON:	
13	Q Mr. Aikin, you testified on cross-examination	
14	that you are the director of West Coast engineering	
15	petroleum services at Crowley?	
16	A That's correct.	
17	Q I don't know if we got a summary can you	
18	give a short summary of what your job description is,	
19	what your duties are in that position?	
20	A The simplist form is I keep them running.	
21	Q Do you care to elaborate on that?	
22	A Yeah, a little bit. My responsibility is to	
23	make sure that all the vessels that are under my care	
24	and custody are within regulatory compliance, that	
25	they meet all the standards, that they operate	

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 389	
1	properly, that my engineers on board manage them	
2	properly and maintain them according to the rules that	
3	we've established internally as well as external	
4	regulations.	
5	Q And does your oversight for those	
6	responsibilities does that extend across the entire	
7	West Coast?	
8	A Yes, it does.	
9	Q You were asked about invoices. I think it was	
10	900 and some odd invoices that were mentioned during	
11	your cross-examination that Mr. Wiley mentioned. Do	
12	you know how much Arrow invoiced the gross amount	
13	Arrow invoiced Crowley in 2015?	
14	A 2015 my recollection is about 980-ish,	
15	somewhere in that range.	
16	Q And how about in 2016, if you know?	
17	A 2016 was less. We were at 750 approximately,	
18	750,000, and that's just for the Northwest Arrow.	
19	Q How often does Arrow does Crowley use Arrow	
20	in Anacortes?	
21	A Probably every day or darn near.	
22	Q Do you know how many launch vessels Arrow	
23	keeps in Anacortes every day?	
24	A Not specifically. I've seen three of my own	
25	eyes, but they move between Port Angeles and Anacortes	

### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 390**

on a need basis, I assume.

Q To your knowledge, do they typically keep four vessels there?

A I've never seen four, but that -- I don't know where Jack ties all his boats up.

Q The Staff, during their cross-examination, asked you about being contacted by Lindy Evans about Arrow Launch's charges, and you said that wouldn't surprise you. Why is that? What is it about the charging that wouldn't surprise you?

A Well, in 2013 Lindy took over the warehouse facility, and we were growing the facility. And she became very frustrated with that service.

Q Okay. Can you explain what the source of the frustration was?

A The source of the frustration was that the ancillary charges were fairly high and inconsistent in the billings. The director of operations at the time put some onus on her to look into it to get a handle on it, because it was just a total -- it was the cost of our business. So we wanted to manage that cost. She was asked to look into it, and she became frustrated trying to get answers and address the details of the invoice.

Q Are you familiar with a practice in your

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 391
1	industry sometimes referred to as triple
2	booking/triple charging?
3	A Well, double and triple charging, yes, I am
4	familiar with that.
5	Q What is that phrasing?
6	A Well, in the case what we were referring to
7	specifically with the launch services is if I have two
8	vessels out at anchor and another vessel is owned by
9	another customer and we need to provide service to
10	each of the two vessels of ours, one vessel one
11	launch could go to all three. Each individual vessel
12	was charged the standard tariff rate even though the
13	entire transaction took less than two hours.
14	Q Was that a source of Crowley's frustration?
15	A Yes, it was.
16	Q You mentioned that Crowley also had
17	frustrations with ancillary charges. Anything
18	specific? Any specific equipment forklifts,
19	cranes?
20	A There was crane service was one of the bigger
21	ones that I heard Lindy mention to me.
22	Q Why is that?
23	A The crane service
24	MR. WILEY: Objection, hearsay. Your
25	Honor, I've got to have more foundation on this. This

Page: 392

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 392
1	is all through Ms. Evans.
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I would like some
3	more foundation on it as well, Ms. Bentson.
4	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, I'd just say
5	in response that Mr. Wiley asked extensive questions
6	of the witness according to what Ms. Evans told him.
7	He's come here as a representative of Crowley
8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right.
9	MR. BENTSON: having spoken with
10	many of his subordinates, and rather than bring all of
11	them in, he's speaking on behalf of the company.
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I get that. What
13	I'm asking, though, is for more foundation,
14	specifically on the crane charges.
15	BY MR. BENTSON:
16	Q Okay. So, Mr. Aikin, what's your familiarity
17	with the crane charge problem that Crowley has?
18	A The crane that's located there was there's
19	a port crane that is in Anacortes, the Cap Sante
20	Marina, and that port crane had a if I as a
21	business within Anacortes, I could get the key and
22	operate it through a monthly charge to the port.
23	Arrow was charging a fairly high rate. I
24	don't know off the top of my head what that was, but I
25	was told it was a high rate for that use of that

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 393
1	crane. And, yet, as the crew had used that crane,
2	but then they would also use their boom truck. It was
3	inconsistent as to what was being used and the charges
4	that were being incurred.
5	Q When you say the charges for the port crane,
6	are you referring to the labor cost of the crane
7	operator?
8	A No. It's operated by the individual. It's
9	got a cord that comes down. It's a boom. You push
10	the buttons, and you can operate yourself as a
11	business.
12	Q So it's not the labor charge that frustrated
13	Crowley. It was the actual cost of using port-owned
14	equipment?
15	A That we were
16	MR. WILEY: Objection, leading,
17	extremely leading.
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And it's this
19	one is a bit compound. So try to take it a little bit
20	more slowly and ask it one at a time.
21	MR. BENTSON: It was an attempt to
22	summarize the testimony he had already given.
23	BY MR. BENTSON:
24	Q Mr. Aikin, if you can, what was the
25	frustration with the crane charge?

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 394
1	A The frustration was they were excessive
2	considering what equipment was being used.
3	Q Was Crowley and was Crowley concerned by
4	the labor charge?
5	MR. WILEY: Objection, foundation,
6	hearsay.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Bentson?
8	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, he has
9	already testified that Crowley was concerned about a
LO	charge with the crane. I'm trying to explore the
L1	foundation of that frustration and complaint.
L2	MR. WILEY: This appears to all be
L3	based with conversations with Lindy Evans about her
L4	perception of the crane. He's in Seattle managing
L5	engineering. We're getting very detailed about this
L6	issue right now, Your Honor, and I think it's hearsay.
L7	MR. BENTSON: And, Your Honor, the
L8	rules allow hearsay.
L9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I know. I'm going
20	to get to that. We have allowed a great deal of
21	latitude to Arrow, so I'm going to allow Mr. Bentson
22	and MEI a great deal of latitude to explore with
23	Mr. Aikin Ms. Lindy's concerns or Ms. Evans's
24	concerns. I apologize.

So I will allow the questioning, but I think

24

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 395
1	we need to have a conversation after this about why
2	Ms. Evans is not here. Because it does appear that
3	she was the one mainly responsible for the interaction
4	with Crowley I'm sorry. With Arrow.
5	MR. BENTSON: Yes. Your Honor, we can
6	have that conversation.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Please continue.
8	BY MR. BENTSON:
9	Q So, Mr. Aikin, if I understand correctly,
10	Crowley's concern was not about the labor charge?
11	A Yes. That's correct.
12	Q So the concern was with the equipment charge?
13	A The concern was with the equipment charge,
14	because it was equipment that Arrow, basically, didn't
15	pay for that doesn't pay for that other than a
16	\$30-a-month charge to utilize that crane. Yet, there
17	was no savings passed on. There was no anyway,
18	there was no savings passed on. Let's put it that
19	way.
20	Q You testified during the Staff's
21	cross-examination to a solicitation Crowley sent to
22	launch service providers?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Can you tell us more about that solicitation?
25	Δ The solicitation was published through our

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 396
1	procurement group to seek launch services up and down
2	the West Coast. So what we were looking to do was to
3	have a direct relationship with a launch provider to
4	provide consistent services in each of the ports.
5	Q Who was the solicitation sent to?
6	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I am going to
7	object to this. You specifically limited our cross to
8	the statement by Mr. Aikin. Now we're going way far
9	afield with RFPs that were brought out by counsel. I
10	didn't know counsel was going to be asking questions.
11	Now here we're going way off the statement.
12	I objected and sought to strike the statement
13	because I claimed it wasn't proper rebuttal. Now here
14	this witness is becoming a much broader witness than
15	you intended by your ruling.
16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I did allow the
17	statements of Mr. Aikin regarding the RFP or the
18	proposal or the solicitation to go into testimony.
19	I'm going to allow it here, and I'm going to allow
20	some redirect on it because he did testify as to it.
21	BY MR. BENTSON:
22	Q Again, Mr. Aikin, who was that solicitation
23	sent to?
24	A It was Arrow Launch, Marine Express,

U.S. Water Taxi, and I think there was one other one

### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN** 397 1 in L.A. that I can't remember the name. 2 Q Do you know if it was sent to MEI? 3 A Yes. That would be Marine Express. 4 Q And did Crowley receive a response to that 5 solicitation? 6 A We received responses from MEI or Marine 7 **Express and U.S. Water Taxi but not from Arrow.** 8 Q To your knowledge, did you receive that 9 response before MEI applied for its certificate? 10 A I don't know the dates. 11 Q I'm sorry. I may have not heard you. Did you 12 receive response from Arrow Launch? 13 A No, we did not. 14 Q What was the purpose of the solicitation? 15 A The purpose of the solicitation was to look at 16 the cost options and potential savings for our launch 17 operations up and down the coast. 18 Q Was any other information provided in that? 19 Did it express satisfaction with current service 20 providers or anything to that effect? 21 A No. We didn't allude to whether we were happy 22 or sad. We just simply stated these were the services 23 we were looking to contract. 24 Q Why did you want to send that solicitation?

A It was -- it initiated out of the frustration

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / AIKIN 398
1	that Lindy had in 2013.
2	Q You testified that your area of responsibility
3	extends to all the ports on the West Coast?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And I believe you testified earlier that in
6	every other port except the Puget Sound on the West
7	Coast you have multiple launch service providers?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And does Crowley still support MEI's
LO	certificate application?
L1	A Yes.
L2	MR. BENTSON: No further questions,
L3	Your Honor.
L4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank
L5	you. Mr. Wiley, were you
L6	MR. WILEY: Yes, I have a few redirect
L7	on the RFP as you suggested.
L8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, that's
L9	not redirect. That's recross.
20	MR. WILEY: Excuse me.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And we don't allow
22	that.
23	MR. WILEY: So you're allowing redirect
24	but no recross on a topic that I didn't know was going
25	to come up?

1	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, redirect
2	examination of if I can be heard, redirect
3	examination of topics raised during the witness's
4	testimony are expressly allowed under
5	WAC 480.07.470(12). The witness testified about the
6	solicitation on cross, and I followed up with redirect
7	questions about it. The rules specifically allow that
8	to happen.
9	MR. WILEY: I'm not disputing the
10	rules, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let me ask
12	Mr. Bentson a question. Was anything about the
13	solicitation in the shipper support statement that was
14	filed on Mr. Aikin's behalf by MEI?
15	MR. BENTSON: The shipper support
16	statement, RSE-8, does not reference the solicitation,
17	but the solicitation was part of the circumstances
18	under which I think the process by which this whole
19	process began.
20	But the point is I'm not saying it was in
21	this statement. It was asked about I mean,
22	Mr. Wiley asked several questions about did you ever
23	communicate with Arrow any concerns? Were meetings
24	canceled with top management at Crowley? There was a
25	series of questions on whether anything was

	400
1	communicated to Arrow on and that was the purpose
2	of Crowley's cross. I think it would be dishonest to
3	ignore the fact that a solicitation was sent to Arrow.
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wiley.
5	MR. WILEY: Yes, Your Honor. First of
6	all, if that was a comment at impugning our questions,
7	I really take issue with that.
8	Now, if I could say, Your Honor, that it is
9	completely true that no reference was made to an RFP
10	whatsoever in RSE-8, nor was it a subject of any
11	cross-examination by me. It was first raised,
12	apparently, by Mr. Beattie. I didn't know he was
13	going to be asking questions. He did. Then it was
14	followed up on in redirect by Mr. Bentson.
15	I think a few questions, because it's a
16	surprise, would be appropriate, and I don't intend to
17	go beyond the RFP issue. We will have testimony this
18	afternoon from Mr. Harmon about the RFP. So I can do
19	that, but I would like to ask Mr. Aikin a couple
20	questions.
21	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, to clarify,
22	in no way did I mean to disparage Mr. Wiley who has
23	behaved like a gentleman throughout the entire
24	proceeding. I didn't mean to behave dishonest
25	might be the wrong word. I just meant the record

	401
1	itself wouldn't be accurate if it didn't reflect that.
2	If Mr. Harmon is going to testify to the RFP
3	later and Arrow has independent knowledge of it
4	through its own witness, I don't see the purpose of
5	the recross. I'll leave it at that.
6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Beattie.
7	MR. BEATTIE: Just one point.
8	Mr. Wiley expressed surprise that this came out during
9	Staff's cross-examination. Actually, Staff didn't ask
10	a question about the RFP. It was volunteered by the
11	witness, and it was actually a surprise to the
12	questioner.
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
14	And that's my recollection as well, that this was
15	something that Mr. Aikin brought up.
16	So I think it was perfectly acceptable for it
17	to be the subject of redirect if counsel wanted to
18	explore this, but I do believe that, in a very limited
19	circumstance, Mr. Wiley should have the opportunity
20	for recross. I do not want to open this up, though,
21	as a common practice. We don't usually allow recross.
22	MR. WILEY: Thank you. I agree, Your
23	Honor.
24	
25	

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 402
1	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WILEY:
3	Q Mr. Aikin, briefly, when you say that Arrow
4	did not respond to the RFP, what's that statement
5	based on?
6	A It's based on no final solicitation response
7	that included the full comprehensive bid.
8	Q So are you saying that there was a preliminary
9	response, or are you saying that Crowley never
LO	received a response from Arrow?
L1	A There was communication from Arrow as well as
L2	other bidders.
L3	Q And are you disputing that on September 16,
L4	2016, Arrow Launch submitted a response to the RFP?
L5	A I did not say that
L6	Q Disputing that Arrow Launch submitted a
L7	response to the RFP to Crowley on September 16, 2016?
L8	A I don't believe their response included met
L9	the intent of the proposal. I don't know.
20	Q Who is giving you this what's your
21	knowledge based on about that it didn't meet the
22	intent of the proposal?
23	A Conversations with Lindy Evans who was the
24	manager of that RFP.
25	Q Okay. Did she ever communicate, to your

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / AIKIN 403
1	knowledge, or has anyone at Crowley ever communicated,
2	to your knowledge, that Crowley felt it wasn't in
3	complete response?
4	A That was the communication I received is it
5	was not in full response.
6	Q No. That's not my question.
7	A I'm sorry.
8	Q My question is: Did Ms. Evans or anyone else
9	at Crowley, to your knowledge, ever communicate to
10	Arrow Launch that their September 16, 2016, submission
11	was incomplete or otherwise unsatisfactory?
12	A I do not have first-hand knowledge of that.
13	Q So you wouldn't dispute it if there was
14	testimony from Mr. Harmon that there was no such
15	communication, you wouldn't dispute it?
16	A I wouldn't have the knowledge to dispute it.
17	Q So you really don't know anything about their
18	response, do you?
19	MR. BENTSON: Objection, asked and
20	answered.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'd like to hear
22	it. Please go ahead and answer.
23	A I'm aware of e-mail exchanges around the
24	context of the bid, and a statement I read last night
25	in an e-mail was that they were not going to provide

	EXAMINATION BY ENDEJAN / AIKIN 404
1	pricing.
2	Q Is that in Washington or in California or
3	where?
4	A In Washington.
5	Q Okay. They provided their tariff, did they
6	not?
7	A I do not know.
8	MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your
9	Honor.
10	MS. ENDEJAN: Your Honor, could I just
11	ask one question?
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No. No. We don't
13	allow recross. What is this question regarding?
14	MS. ENDEJAN: It's about the
15	solicitation. It does not go beyond that.
16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You get one
17	question. That's it.
18	
19	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. ENDEJAN:
21	Q Okay. Mr. Aikin, would you agree that Crowley
22	put out this RFP with the hope it would get just one
23	provider, one-stop shopping, for its needs, and,
24	thereby, it would save Crowley money in the long run?
25	A We were not under the illusion that we would

1	have one single operator up and down the entire West
2	Coast. We would have multiple operators. One in each
3	port was the theory.
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. I have
5	no clarification questions. So I appreciate your
6	testimony. Thank you very much, and you're excused.
7	I think at this time we do need to have a
8	discussion about the availability of Ms. Evans,
9	because it would appear to me that MEI's case is
10	resting, if not entirely, on Crowley. And I have some
11	very big concerns about the fact that we've got a
12	witness who is testifying about significant billing
13	disputes when he's not necessarily the person
14	responsible for billing in the company, and Ms. Evans
15	appears to be that person.
16	Am I misunderstanding, Mr. Bentson?
17	MR. BENTSON: Well, Your Honor, just to
18	clarify, Crowley is not my client, so I do not get to
19	select who when a request is gone to Crowley, I
20	don't have control over who they provide in any sense.
21	The shipper support statement was submitted by
22	Mr. Aikin. It's his statement. That was who
23	Crowley
24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let me ask you: Is
25	it his statement when he's testified that the bulk of

4	$\sim$	$\sim$
71	11	h
-	u	u

	400
1	it was filled out by Ms. Evans?
2	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, I don't
3	believe that was his testimony. He did not testify,
4	to my knowledge, that Ms. Evans filled this out. He
5	said that the testimony he's consulted with
6	Ms. Evans and a lot of the content of what is in the
7	statement came from discussions with her. But he
8	consulted with the general counsel in drafting this
9	statement.
LO	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry to
L1	interrupt. I think we need to review the transcript
L2	and find out exactly what was said, because my
L3	understanding is that he indicated under questioning,
L4	cross-examination from Mr. Wiley, that the bulk of the
L5	statement was related to information either provided
L6	to him or originally sourced from Ms. Evans.
L7	MR. BENTSON: Sorry, Your Honor. I
L8	misunderstood your description of it. I thought you
L9	said that Lindy Evans wrote the statement. You're
20	right. He did testify to that.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So who has direct
22	knowledge of the information? Is it Mr. Aikin or
23	Ms. Evans?
24	MR. BENTSON: Well, it sounds like
25	Ms. Evans has the most personal knowledge, but he's

1	$\sim$	7
4	u	1

	407
1	testifying on behalf of a company. It's fairly
2	typical for a 30(b)(6) witness for a company to
3	testify on the company's behalf if they've done
4	appropriate research.
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: If they've done
6	appropriate research, I would say yes. The problem
7	with this is many questions have been raised by the
8	interveners as to specifics that Mr. Aikin is not in a
9	position to answer. These are better left, in my
10	opinion, to Ms. Evans.
11	MR. BENTSON: And that's fair, Your
12	Honor. I don't how would the Court, I guess, ask
13	us to proceed? We can make a request to Crowley they
14	provide Ms. Evans at a later date. I don't know what
15	else I could do on that.
16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I think we're going
17	to have to have Ms. Evans. And I don't know if this
18	is going to also open up another round of discovery or
19	not, but it's frustrating from my perspective that
20	when we proceed with shipper statement shipper
21	support statement I expect that that shipper is the
22	individual who has direct knowledge about what is
23	being stated in the support statement.
24	I understand that corporations are large.
25	They have multiple people who are in positions that

	408
1	are related to each other and can certainly adopt
2	someone's testimony. The problem is I've got an
3	engineer who is adopting testimony of someone who is
4	directly relating to billing and disputes relating to
5	billing.
6	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, if I could
7	clarify just one thing
8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine.
9	MR. BENTSON: is it is subject to
10	the data request. And after the Court opened up the
11	discovery, Arrow sent data requests to MEI about the
12	circumstances surrounding the shipper support
13	statement. We provided the e-mail that was sent from
14	MEI to Lindy Evans requesting the statement.
15	That was then forwarded to Crowley's general
16	counsel who responded and said the statement would be
17	signed by someone else. The person provided was
18	Mr. Aikin. This was not MEI I just I would just
19	want to implore the Court. MEI had no control over
20	who Crowley who at Crowley provided the statement.
21	And we, in fact, made the inquiry to Lindy Evans. We
22	can put this data request in the record, if necessary.
23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wiley, was any
24	discovery conducted by Arrow to ask direct questions
25	of Ms. Evans?

	100
1	MR. WILEY: No. Because they're a
2	third party. Crowley is a third party, so we can only
3	propound data requests to MEI. Clearly, I understand
4	your inquiry right here. Again, I think if you look
5	at the statements that we provided, they are from
6	people who order launches and who have direct
7	experience with Arrow Launch.
8	I can't control how the supporting shipper
9	corporately develops evidence and decides who they
10	want to put forward. That's the burden of the
11	applicant to resolve, not to protestant. And, Your
12	Honor, this would be a point where I move in a trial
13	for a directed verdict.
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Bentson, do you
15	have anything to say?
16	MR. BENTSON: Well, other than, Your
17	Honor, that the data requests I think Mr. Wiley
18	would not dispute that they did receive the data
19	request responses I just described. If the issue was
20	that Marc Aikin, who supervises not he's not the
21	black-line supervisor, but he's the dotted-line
22	supervisor of Ms. Evans. The fact that if they had a
23	problem with her supervisor providing the statement
24	and then coming to testify, I just don't know why this
25	wasn't raised earlier.

	410
1	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: What does that even
2	mean, he's the dotted-line supervisor?
3	MR. BENTSON: That was his description
4	to me when I asked him what his role what his
5	supervisory role was with respect to Ms. Evans.
6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I think I'm
7	going to take a break. I'm going to take a brief
8	recess. I'm going to consider this and consider the
9	potential that we may need to expand the procedural
10	schedule so that we can have Ms. Evans testify in
11	front of the Commission.
12	MS. ENDEJAN: Your Honor, if I might
13	propose an alternative and I know the motion for
14	directed verdict is pending. It would appear that
15	this statement should be stricken from the record
16	because the witness who was cross-examined by
17	Mr. Wiley and myself, clearly, is not in a position to
18	support the allegations contained in it.
19	If the statement is stricken, then and it
20	is the applicant's burden to put forth sufficient
21	evidence of alleged service issues as opposed to
22	billing issues, and it's clear that this statement
23	should not go into the record because it's not
24	supported by an individual with first-hand knowledge.
25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wiley?

1	MR. WILEY: Yes, Your Honor. At this
2	time, as you know, we previously sought to strike the
3	statement. You denied that motion. At this time,
4	based on the testimony, based on the fact that he
5	found no service problems personally at all, I move to
6	dismiss MEI's application.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Bentson, do you
8	wish to respond to that?
9	MR. BENTSON: Yes, Your Honor. First
10	of all, the statement MEI's certificate application
11	should not be dismissed at this stage. The purpose of
12	the the key argument here is that the witness
13	doesn't have personal knowledge, which is not a
14	requirement to testify at this hearing. The rules
15	expressly allow witnesses to rely to provide
16	hearsay testimony into the record. Crowley has done
17	that today. It's in the record. The evidence is
18	there.
19	The Court denied the motion to strike RSE-8
20	before. Counsel is rehashing the same arguments.
21	Those have been rejected. It's not about striking the
22	statement. The statement is already in the record.
23	It would have to be withdrawn.
24	Mr. Aikin has testified to these matters on
25	behalf of Crowley. He spoke with multiple people whom

	• • -
1	he supervises across the port in Anacortes and other
2	ports that he supervises. I think that information is
3	reliable and the type like I said, we rely on it in
4	a civil trial and a 30(b)(6) deposition.
5	If those were if that's the topic a
6	corporate designee is designated to speak to, they can
7	do inquiries including talking to specific people to
8	inform the company's opinion. So that's who he's here
9	testifying on behalf of the company itself. And if
10	they needed a specific fact witness with personal
11	knowledge, they had the opportunity to seek that after
12	they got our data request responses and after the
13	prefiled testimony was submitted.
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wiley?
15	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, it's not our
16	burden to seek the appropriate witness for the
17	supporting shipper. This is a case where the
18	applicant's case in chief no support was put on
19	whatsoever. We, of course, then answered testimony,
20	and we got in rebuttal the support that should have
21	come first.
22	It is certainly not our responsibility to
23	identify the appropriate person who would not have
24	hearsay-based testimony to come to the proceeding.
25	That is the applicant's burden, and they have not met

1	it, which is why I am now moving I could have moved
2	earlier at the initial applicant stage. My client
3	wanted to be able to respond. Now I'm moving. That
4	statement has no foundation. It's pure hearsay.
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I've heard enough.
6	I'm ready to rule. I'm denying the motion to strike
7	the exhibit. It's filed on behalf of a company, and
8	there are many employees in a company. What I believe
9	we have here is an employee maybe we have someone
10	who is a dotted-line supervisor but is not in direct
11	knowledge of the actual facts that are represented in
12	this statement. We need that person. We need
13	Ms. Evans to testify.
14	And Mr. Wiley is absolutely correct. This is
15	the burden of the applicant to have the correct
16	shipper the correct employee of the shipper's
17	company to testify, and I believe that's going to be
18	Ms. Evans. Based on the testimony we've received
19	today, we need to have Ms. Evans as the witness for
20	Crowley.
21	MR. BENTSON: Understood, Your Honor.
22	We can we'll make that inquiry of Crowley today.
23	MR. WILEY: Where does that leave us,
24	Your Honor, in terms of the protest in this case? We
25	have witnesses who are going to be here.

1	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We're not
2	continuing the hearing, at least not until all of the
3	witnesses that we have scheduled to appear today have
4	appeared. But we may have to have an additional time
5	set up for Ms. Evans, and that includes adequate
6	cross-examination, clarification questions from the
7	bench.
8	MR. WILEY: Would I also be allowed to
9	put my applicant principal witness back on after she
10	testifies? That's what normally would happen in the
11	order.
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Here's where I'm at
13	a loss. We don't have her testimony in the record, so
14	I don't know what she's going to say and neither do
15	any of you. I assume she's going to adopt the shipper
16	support statement and elaborate on it, but I have no
17	knowledge of what she would be testifying to. I just
18	know that the person who is in the best vantage to
19	give me information is not here today.
20	So we will continue with the rest of the
21	scheduled hearing, and we'll have to establish at the
22	end of the hearing today an alternative time in which
23	we can have Ms. Evans testify before the Commission.
24	And I believe it would be advantageous to all of us if

she had some prefiled testimony in the record as well

	710
1	so that we can anticipate what she's going to say.
2	So why don't we go ahead and take a break now.
3	We'll reconvene with Mr. Harmon. And we'll reconvene
4	probably with, I'm imagining, Mr. Harmon, maybe
5	Mr. Burton before lunch. We'll take a lunch recess,
6	and then we'll come back and address the rest of the
7	witnesses.
8	In the meantime, Mr. Bentson, I would expect
9	that you're going to be contacting and in discussions
10	with Mr. Aikin as far as the availability of
11	Ms. Evans?
12	MR. BENTSON: Understood, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Let's
14	go ahead and take a 15-minute recess.
15	(A break was taken from 10:33 a.m. to
16	10:50 a.m.)
17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I think we're ready
18	to go back on the record. Mr. Wiley had indicated
19	there was something that he wishes to address. And
20	then afterwards, I think we'll call Mr. Harmon up to
21	the stand.
22	MR. WILEY: Mr. Burton. Is that okay
23	with you, Your Honor?
24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You're switching?
25	MR. WILEY: Yeah. Mr. Harmon is going

	410
1	to take so much longer, and we do have the shippers
2	coming in the early afternoon. We thought we'd put
3	Mr. Burton on and then the shippers and then
4	Mr. Harmon because he'll take much more time.
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Is there any
6	objection to that?
7	MR. BENTSON: No, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. We'll
9	go ahead and do that.
10	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, my just a
11	point of technicality, we're within the
12	reconsideration period for orders right now, and I
13	would based on the testimony of Mr. Sevall
14	yesterday, I would ask you to reconsider Order 02 on
15	striking the testimony of Mr. Harmon's in surrebuttal
16	at page 10 because it's no longer a leap to attribute
17	that Staff survey attribution of dissatisfaction to
18	Crowley, and that's the basis upon which you struck
19	the testimony.
20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. Because we
21	didn't have in evidence the six shippers that
22	Mr. Sevall had contacted, and now we know that Crowley
23	was one of them. So I will reconsider that and deny
24	the motion as to striking that portion of the
25	testimony.

1	And, Mr. Bentson, I assume that you are
2	acknowledging you're willing to acknowledge that
3	Mr. Sevall has addressed that yesterday in his
4	cross-examination and answers that Crowley was,
5	indeed, one of the shippers he called?
6	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, yes, I agree
7	with that statement. I could make the effort to argue
8	why I still think the motion to strike is valid, but
9	at this point, I'm not sure it would be worth the
10	gain. The Court has already ruled.
11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Yeah.
12	So I will it's noted in the transcript. I'm not
13	going to re-enter an order on it, but the motion is
14	denied.
15	So if we're ready to call Mr. Burton if
16	there's nothing else to address?
17	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, just with
18	respect to the issue we were discussing before, I
19	don't know if the Court wants to wait on that. I did
20	have a chance to speak with Crowley during the recess
21	there, and Crowley needs 24 hours to respond to our
22	request that they provide Ms. Evans in response to the
23	Court's request. And so that's you know as much as
24	I know at this point.
25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. That's

	410
1	fine. Is there so they need 24 hours. Why don't
2	we go ahead and do this: After we've handled
3	Mr. Burton, if there's time before the lunch recess,
4	we'll go ahead and discuss some possible dates for
5	testimony. And right now we'll just continue with the
6	scheduled testimony that we have for today.
7	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I just wanted
8	to clarify. My motion for dismissal, you're either
9	denying it or taking it under advisement at this
10	point. I just wanted to remind you that was still
11	pending.
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I must have
13	misunderstood you, because I thought you said that in
14	trial you would have normally asked for a dismissal.
15	MR. WILEY: True.
16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Are you asking for
17	dismissal?
18	MR. WILEY: Yes. Then I came back on
19	and said based on all of the circumstances developing
20	today I would move for dismissal.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And I'm
22	going to hold that in abeyance until we hear from
23	Ms. Evans. It would appear that the company MEI's
24	case is based almost entirely on Crowley's testimony
25	and statements, and I need to hear from Ms. Evans

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / BURTON 419	
1	befor	e I can make a ruling on that motion. So why	
2	don't	we call Mr. Burton up at this time.	
3			
4	WEL	DON BURTON, witness herein, having been	
5		first duly sworn on oath,	
6		was examined and testified	
7		as follows:	
8			
9		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. You can	
10	be se	eated.	
11		Mr. Fassburg.	
12		MR. FASSBURG: Yes.	
13			
14		DIRECTEXAMINATION	
15	BY M	IR. FASSBURG:	
16	Q	Good morning, Mr. Burton. Would you please	
17	state	your full name for the record and spell your	
18	last n	ame.	
19	Α	Weldon Burton, B-U-R-T-O-N.	
20	Q	Mr. Burton, have you submitted prefiled	
21	testimony in this proceeding?		
22	Α	Yes, I have.	
23	Q	And I believe that is marked WB-1T?	
24	Α	Yes.	
25	Q	Although I understand that's already been	

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 420				
1	admi	tted, is this your testimony?				
2	Α	That is correct.				
3	Q	Are you adopting it here today under oath?				
4	Α	Yes, I am.				
5	Q	Do you have any corrections or revisions that				
6	are n	ecessary for your testimony?				
7	A	No, I don't.				
8		MR. FASSBURG: With that, Your Honor,				
9	we're ready for cross-examination.					
10		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.				
11	And Mr. Bentson.					
12		MR. BENTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.				
13						
14		CROSS-EXAMINATION				
15	BY M	IR. BENTSON:				
16	Q	Good morning, Mr. Burton.				
17	A	Good morning.				
18	Q	I want to turn to your prefiled testimony				
19	that's	been labeled WB-1T. Do you have WB-1T in front				
20	of yo	u?				
21	Α	Yes, I do.				
22	Q	If you could turn to page 1 of your personal				
23	back	ground section there, lines it looks like				
24	lines	3 through 16. You worked with Arrow Launch				
25	since	2013; is that right?				

#### EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 421 1 A That is correct. 2 Q And one of your specializations as an 3 accountant is in providing litigation support 4 services; isn't that right? 5 A I've done numerous litigation support cases, 6 yes. 7 Q And you identify that as specialization in 8 your curriculum vitae? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Have you worked with Arrow's attorney in the 11 past? 12 A Yes, I have. 13 Q About what percentage of your work relates to 14 assisting Mr. Wiley's clients? 15 A This is the only common client I have with 16 Mr. Wiley at the present time. Occasionally, garbage 17 collection -- refuge collection companies certified by 18 this Commission will hire me and Mr. Wiley to work 19 with them on an issue at the Commission. 20 Q Did Mr. Wiley, is that who introduced you to 21 Arrow? 22 A Yes, he did. 23 Q And do you have any relatives or family 24 members that work for Arrow?

A No, I don't.

#### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON** 422 1 Q Other than serving as Arrow's accountant, do 2 you have any other sort of relationship with the 3 Harmons or Arrow Launch? 4 A I do their individual tax returns. 5 Q Would you consider them friends? 6 A Yes. We have relationships. 7 Q I want to turn now to lines -- stay there on 8 page 1 and go over to page 2, but lines 24 through --9 to line 7 of your testimony where you discuss kind of 10 a summary of your view of MEI's financial fitness. Do 11 you see that portion of your testimony? 12 A Are you referring to page 2, line 24 through 13 page -- and then through line 7 on page 3? 14 Q No. I'm sorry. I was referring to page 1, 15 line 24. 16 A I'm sorry. 17 Through line 7 of page 2. 18 A Yes. 19 Q You concluded that MEI's financial statement 20 was incomplete and didn't balance; isn't that correct? 21 A That is correct. 22 The reason you thought it was incomplete is 23 because no liabilities were listed? 24 A Or capital shown.

Q But one of the reasons that you concluded it

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 423	
1	was incomplete was the lack of liabilities listed?	
2	A That's correct. It did not balance.	
3	Q Is it possibility there were no liabilities?	
4	A No. Mr. Esch testified yesterday that there	
5	was a \$300,000 loan to the parent company.	
6	Q Okay. I'm going to take you back in time to	
7	when you filed this testimony. At the time you filed	
8	this testimony, were you aware of Mr. Esch's testimony	
9	yesterday?	
LO	A No.	
L1	Q And so at that time, for all you knew at that	
L2	time, MEI had no liabilities; isn't that right?	
L3	A There were none shown.	
L4	Q And that's the same thing as saying you didn't	
L5	know if there were?	
L6	A No. There were none shown. I didn't have any	
L7	knowledge of their liabilities or capital structure	
L8	because they did not show any.	
L9	Q Did you at that time, when you provided	
20	your testimony, did you treat \$300,000 as a liability?	
21	A Did I see \$300,000 as a liability?	
22	Q No. Did you treat it as a liability for	
23	purposes of your analysis?	
24	A I didn't know how to treat it, sir, because	
25	there was no that would have required me to form a	

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 424
1	conc	lusion which I had no evidence.
2	Q	So because you didn't know how to treat it,
3	was i	t not incorporated into your financial analysis?
4	Α	It was not included because it was not shown.
5	Q	Did you conclude, as part of your analysis,
6	that N	MEI would operate at a loss of more than
7	\$300	,000 over the next 12 months?
8	Α	No. I concluded in my estimate that they
9	woul	d operate at a loss of somewhere around
10	\$90,0	000 for the first year.
11	Q	Which is less than \$300,000?
12	Α	Absolutely.
13	Q	Now, you mentioned that Mr. Esch yesterday
14	during	g his testimony referred to the \$300,000 as a
15	loan.	Did you say that?
16	Α	That's what he said, yes.
17	Q	Do you know the terms of that loan?
18	Α	He said there were no terms. There was, I
19	belie	ve, an open loan for three years with no
20	inter	est.
21	Q	Do you know whether it needs to be serviced in
22	the n	ext 12 months?
23	Α	I have not seen any documents to that.
24	Q	And it's your understanding there's no
25	intere	est on it?

#### EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 425 1 A That's what he said. 2 Q In fact, you don't even know for certain that 3 it is a loan? 4 A That's what he said, sir. 5 Q Do you know whether it's a loan? 6 A No. I've not seen any documents. 7 Q Have you reviewed RCW 81.84.020? Did you 8 review that statute before providing your testimony? 9 A I believe I read that statute, yes. 10 Q Had you read it before you provided your 11 prefiled testimony? 12 A I'm sure I have in the past, sir. I don't 13 specifically recall that. 14 Q Do you understand that that statute provides 15 guidance for determining whether an applicant is 16 financially fit to receive a certificate? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Is that the standard you were applying? 19 A I was applying a reasonable business standard, 20 sir. As a business, he's going to operate either 21 profitably or unprofitably, and I was attempting to 22 establish a reasonable profitability standard.

25 A That was my answer.

23

24

you off.

Q I'm sorry. Please finish your answer if I cut

#### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 426**

Q	Okay.	Do you	see	in that	statute	where
there'	s a refe	erence to	o a 1	2-mont	th time I	imit?

A I would have to take the time to read it carefully. If you could specifically point to the section, it would be helpful.

Q Sure. If you look at the first sentence in subsection 2 --

#### A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q -- do you understand that under that statute the Commission is supposed to determine whether the applicant had the financial resources to operate the proposed service for at least 12 months based upon the submission by the applicant of a pro forma financial statement of operations?

#### A Yes.

Q And you were aware of that standard as you did your financial analysis?

#### A That's correct.

Q Is it your understanding that the UTC Staff has a statutory obligation to independently verify the information submitted in MEI's pro forma?

#### A Yes, I am aware of that.

Q You believe that the Staff has an obligation to independently verify the pro forma?

#### A I do, yes.

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 427
1	Q	So if an applicant submits a pro forma,
2	they'	re not supposed to take the statements at face
3	value	?
4	A	The Staff?
5	Q	Yes.
6	Α	The Staff, I believe, takes the statement at
7	face	value.
8	Q	And is that what they're supposed to do, to
9	your	knowledge?
10	Α	I don't know all the Staff rules, sir.
11	Q	You don't know whether they're supposed to
12	take	it at face value?
13	Α	I believe Mr. Sevall said they took it at face
14	value	e yesterday in his testimony.
15	Q	Because it's supported with a sworn affidavit;
16	corre	ct?
17	A	That's correct.
18	Q	When you were making your assessment of MEI's
19	finan	cial fitness, did you conclude that MEI would not
20	be at	ole to stay in operation for at least 12 months?
21	A	No, I did not.
22	Q	I want to turn now to lines stay on page 2,
23	begir	nning on line 12, and then it's your testimony
24	that's	going to continue on page 3 through line 7.
25	Are v	ou at that portion of your testimony?

# EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 428 A Yes, I am.

Q And you're discussing in that part MEI's projected revenues that were included on their proforma. Do you recall that part of your testimony?

A Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you questioned MEI's projection of \$500,000 in annual revenues from launch services, didn't you?

#### A That's correct.

Q But if you turn to page 3 at line -- beginning on the end of line 4, the first sentence that begins on line 4, you say and I quote: If they can maintain four times a week launch service for eight hours per day, they will achieve their targeted revenue, and the sentence goes on.

But isn't that your statement? Did I read that correctly?

#### A That is correct.

Q Your concern was just they couldn't verify the customer support?

## A That's correct. They have no verification of customer support in the application.

Q If they had that verification, by your calculations, they would hit that target; correct?

A By my calculations, they would have achieved

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 429		
1	at th	e tariff rates their revenue based on the hours		
2	shov	vn in the table.		
3	Q	Based on the parameters in the sentence I just		
4	read	?		
5	A	That's correct.		
6	Q	And were you in the hearing earlier today when		
7	Mr. A	sikin testified that Crowley alone in 2015		
8	invoi	ced Arrow Launch 980-some-thousand dollars?		
9	Α	I was here, and I heard that. And I have also		
10	done	an analysis of the Crowley launch services in the		
11	Anac	cortes area only based on Arrow's records, and that		
12	number is not that high. So I suspect and I can			
13	only	assume that Mr. Aikin was looking at Puget Sound		
14	over	all instead of just the Anacortes launch area.		
15	Q	So you understood that he was referring to the		
16	Puge	t Sound area when he testified?		
17	A	That's correct.		
18	Q	And you understood he wasn't including the		
19	areas	s outside the Puget Sound?		
20	A	That's my understanding.		
21	Q	Because Arrow Launch doesn't provide services		
22	outsi	de the Puget Sound; is that right?		
23	Α	No.		
24	Q	No, they do not?		
25	Α	They do not provide services. They have		

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 430
1	certificated territory in Grays Harbor, but they do
2	not provide service.
3	Q Do you understand that Anacortes is the port
4	in the Puget Sound that requires the most service by
5	Crowley?
6	A I don't know exactly who where Crowley
7	requires all their services, sir.
8	Q So you don't know whether Anacortes is
9	Crowley's kind of busiest area?
10	A I would only assume based on the work that
11	Arrow does there that it's a very busy port.
12	Q And there's, in fact, multiple refineries in
13	the Anacortes area which increases the amount of
14	petroleum traffic?
15	A That's my understanding.
16	Q Now, by regulations MEI is MEI, if their
17	tariff that they presented was granted, they'd be able
18	to bill in two-hour increments; isn't that right?
19	A That is what they put in their tariff, yes.
20	Q That's in Arrow's tariff as well?
21	A No. There's no two-hour minimum for regulated
22	services.
23	Q So Arrow doesn't bill in two-hour increments?
24	A Not in regulated services.
25	Q What are the billable increments for Arrow?

#### EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 431 1 A One hour. 2 Q And they're regulated services? 3 A That's correct. 4 Q I want to turn -- if you stay on page 3 but go 5 down to where your testimony begins on line 19 and it 6 will carry over onto page 4 through line 8, do you see 7 that portion of your testimony? 8 A Yes, I do. Q And there you're discussing MEI's fuel cost 10 projections? 11 A Yes. 12 Q You were critical of those fuel cost 13 projections? 14 A Yes. 15 Q You questioned whether \$15,000 was too low? 16 A That's correct. 17 Q Now, do your calculations there assume a 18 constant rate of 1,900 rpm or 75 percent throttle? 19 A That's approximately correct. 20 Q Have you ever operated a launch vessel? 21 A Yes. I've operated my personal private boat, 22 which is about the same size as launch services twin 23 diesel, and I've operated it for over ten years, 24 including travels to Alaska in it. 25 Q My question was a little bit different. Have

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 432	
1	you ever operated a launch vessel?	
2	A No. I've ridden on launch vessels, but I've	
3	not operated one because I don't have the Coast Guard	
4	qualifications to operate that vessel.	
5	Q And it's not your testimony today that your	
6	personal boat is a launch vessel?	
7	A That's correct. It is not a launch vessel.	
8	It is a personal vessel.	
9	Q You have never held a certificate to provide	
10	launch services in Puget Sound?	
11	A No, I have not.	
12	Q This isn't just sour grapes over your	
13	certificate being denied, is it?	
14	A No. I never applied for one.	
15	Q That last question was a joke. Little levity	
16	for today.	
17	Okay. So does a launch vessel ever operate at	
18	a lower throttle, to your knowledge, than 75 percent?	
19	A I'm sure they do when they start up at dock	
20	and, hopefully, proceed at low speeds through the	
21	harbor without creating any wake, and then when they	
22	get to open water, I'm sure they open up full throttle	
23	because they need to get their passengers and/or the	
24	freight to the vessel they're servicing as soon as	

possible.

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 433
1	Q	Do you know what throttle they operate at
2	while	they idle?
3	Α	Generally, probably I'm sorry. About six
4	to se	ven hundred rpm.
5	Q	And do you assign a percentage to that?
6	Α	It would be about 10 percent, 20 percent.
7	Q	Do you have any way of any basis for
8	ident	fying what percentage of the time the vessel
9	opera	ates at 75 percent throttle and what percent of
10	the ti	me it operates at, say, less than 25 percent?
11	A	Not specifically, no. I can only I can
12	only	estimate that based on my personal experience of
13	oper	ating pleasure boats within many areas where
14	laund	ch services are provided.
15	Q	So it's your personal experience that your
16	boat,	generally, operates at 75 percent throttle?
17	Α	That's correct or greater.
18	Q	But you've never operated a launch boat?
19	Α	That's correct.
20	Q	And you don't know what percentage of the time
21	it sits	idle?
22	Α	That's correct.
23	Q	Did you assume, for purposes of your

calculation, that higher fuel costs by MEI would

result in a lower profit margin for them?

24

#### EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 434 1 A That's correct. 2 Q Couldn't higher fuel costs reflect more 3 service and thus increase revenue? 4 A Not necessarily, because fuel prices have gone 5 up by 20 cents a gallon since I made this calculation. 6 Q My question was a little different. I didn't 7 ask you if it was necessarily the case. I asked you 8 if it was possibly the case. 9 A There's a possibility. 10 Q Turning -- let's go down to page -- over to 11 page 4, beginning on line 9, and this portion of your 12 testimony carries over to page 5, line 4, where you 13 discuss MEI's labor cost estimates. 14 A That's correct. 15 Q Do you see that portion of your testimony? 16 A Yes, I do. 17 Q And you questioned whether the \$220,000 MEI 18 allocated annual wages was sufficient? 19 A That's correct. 20 Q Does your -- now, your analysis assumes that 21 both the captains and the deckhands are paid hourly; 22 isn't that right? 23 A That is correct. That's standard in the 24

Q To establish -- now, wait a second there.

industry.

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 435
1	Didn'	t you tell me this is the first time you've done
2	an ap	pplication hearing for a launch service provider?
3	Α	But I've worked with Mr. Harmon's operation
4	and s	seen his operations, and they're all hourly wage.
5	Q	But you don't know what information is
6	stanc	lard operating procedure provided to the
7	Comi	mission to make this determination, do you?
8	Α	Say that again, please.
9	Q	Do you have experience providing this type of
10	inforr	nation to the Commission in the past?
11	Α	For motor launch companies?
12	Q	For launch service providers.
13	Α	For launch service providers, no.
14	Q	So you said your analysis assumes that both
15	capta	ins and crews are paid hourly; correct?
16	Α	That's correct.
17	Q	And to establish the hourly rates, you used
18	the 2	016 marine employees compensation survey; isn't
19	that r	ight?
20	A	That's correct.
21	Q	Do you know what the purpose of that survey
22	is?	
23	A	The state provides that survey as a service.
24	They	identified in their introductions and I don't
25	reme	mber I can't quote it specifically.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON** 436 Q Is it your understanding that that survey is given to arbitrators to help them handle bargaining discussions with respect to the Washington State Ferry system? A That is not the only -- I'm sure that that's probably a use of that survey, yes. Q But you don't think that's one of the primary uses? A I don't know all the primary uses of it, sir. Q It could be the primary use? A It could be, yes. Q If the survey said that was a primary use, would you believe it? A I would have to look and see. I don't recall.

- 15 Q Have you read the entire survey?
  - A Yes, I have.
  - Q Do you recall the portion of the report that says that discretion should be used when interpreting the salary results, especially among samples of less than 15 organizations?
  - A Yes, I do.
    - Q And, in fact, the average rate of captain pay you choose, which was \$48.18 per hour before taxes, before it's burdened by taxes, that came from only a single organization; isn't that right?

#### EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 437

A I believe it came from two organizations as shown on the survey results.

Q Other than the Washington State Ferries, what was the other organization?

A I don't remember, sir. I compared that figure to the average salary per hour for Arrow's captains, and they have apprentices and journeymen and, basically, beginners. And their hourly wage was higher by -- not 20 percent, per se. They had three individuals or 20 percent of their captains were at a higher rate than the \$48.

Q You said 20 percent?

A Approximately, 20 percent. They had captains at a lower rate -- just a minute. I don't have it specifically with me, but they had a lower rate dropping on down slightly lower than the \$48.

Q We can come back to what Arrow pays their captains. I want to get back to where you got this 48.18 per hour number that you testified to in your prefiled testimony. That number came from not a comparison with Arrow, but, initially, in your testimony, it came from this compensation survey; correct?

A It came from the compensation survey published by the Office of Financial Management.

#### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 438**

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 430	
1	Q That's right. And so for that \$48.18 per hour	
2	wage, as it's identified in the survey, it came	
3	from it only came from one organization, the	
4	Washington State Ferries; is that right?	
5	A That's what they identified.	
6	Q So it's actually just one organization, not	
7	two like you testified earlier?	
8	A They have all participants to they don't	
9	give an average salary range in that row.	
10	Q The only participant identified is the	
11	Washington State Ferries?	
12	A That's the identified participant, yes.	
13	Q So that's a sample size even if there's	
14	two, that's a sample size a lot smaller than 15;	
15	correct?	
16	A That's correct. I think they sent out	
17	responses to 15 organizations or sent out	
18	questionnaires to 15 organizations.	
19	Q But 15 was also the threshold that the survey	
20	itself provides for when you should use discretion	
21	about clients' results; correct?	
22	A That's correct. This was the reliable	
23	information that was published at the time I prepared	
24	my testimony.	

25

Q You also identified, based on the survey, a

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 439
1	pay r	ate for deckhands at \$26.21 an hour before taxes;
2	isn't t	hat right?
3		MR. WILEY: Line 19.
4	Α	Yeah. Just a minute. I'm looking at the
5	surv	ey. 26.21, yes.
6	Q	Okay. And that was based off of a survey of
7	just fi	ive organizations; correct?
8	Α	That is correct.
9	Q	And that's a lot less than 15 too?
LO	Α	That's again, that's five organizations for
L1	able-	bodied seaman.
L2	Q	But it's 10 organizations less than the
L3	thres	hold provided by the survey?
L4	Α	Correct.
L5	Q	Now, do you know whether MEI's captains are
L6	salar	ied or hourly?
L7	Α	I do not.
L8	Q	So some of those captains aren't paid by the
L9	hour	at all?
20	Α	I don't know.
21	Q	Do you know whether some of the captains, in
22	addit	ion to their captains duty, have other management
23	dutie	s?
24	A	I do not.
25	Q	So that may be included as part of their

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 440
1	when their compensation is decided, those may be
2	included as well; is that right?
3	A I couldn't comment on that, because I have no
4	knowledge.
5	Q Do you think Arrow asks its captains to
6	provide management services without compensating them?
7	A I don't think they ask them to provide
8	management services. I think captains are the
9	captains of the vessel.
10	Q And you don't to your knowledge, they don't
11	provide any management services in addition to their
12	captains duties?
13	A I don't believe so.
14	Q So when you're talking about how you compared
15	this salary data to the rates that you picked the
16	hourly rates you chose from the survey, you were doing
17	that comparison were you just comparing that with
18	hourly employees at Arrow, or were you also comparing
19	it with salaried employees?
20	A Hourly.
21	Q You didn't compare it to their salaried
22	employees?
23	A No.
24	Q You didn't compare it to their salaried
25	captains?

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 441
1	A	No.
2	Q	Didn't compare it to their salaried deckhands?
3	A	No.
4	Q	They probably don't have salaried deckhands?
5	Α	All their employees are paid on an hourly
6	wage	e, all the vessel employees, I should say. Excuse
7	me.	
8	Q	What I'm referring to is a deckhand. Is that
9	what	you're going for there? The captains are still
10	salar	ied. You're not contradicting yourself?
11	Α	No. I don't believe all our captains are
12	salar	ried either.
13	Q	But some are?
14	Α	There may be one or two.
15	Q	The more senior ones?
16	Α	Pardon me?
17	Q	The more senior captains?
18	A	Probably.
19	Q	And so you determined that Arrow's captains,
20	the h	ourly paid ones, make that all those captains
21	make	e 48.18 an hour or more before taxes?
22	Α	I said about 20 percent of those, their
23	capta	ains, made greater than 48.18.
24	Q	And so that means 80 percent don't make
25	great	er than 48.18 per hour; isn't that right?

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / BURTON 442	
1	A You could interpret that.	
2	Q And then for the deckhands, did you determine	
3	that Arrow's deckhands make \$26.21 an hour before	
4	taxes?	
5	A Once again, I determined a portion of Arrow's	
6	deckhands made above that and a portion made below.	
7	And they have starter deckhands, you might say, and	
8	then apprentice and then journeymen.	
9	Q What portion what percentage portion did	
10	you determine made more than that \$26.21 per hour	
11	threshold?	
12	A I believe it was 15 to 20 percent again.	
13	Q So that means between 80 and 85 percent of	
14	their deckhands made less than \$26.21?	
15	A Once again, you can interpret that, yes.	
16	MR. BENTSON: Thank you. I have no	
17	further questions.	
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. We	
19	don't have anybody any other parties scheduled for	
20	cross-examination. Is there a wish for	
21	cross-examination?	
22	MR. BEATTIE: No.	
23	MS. ENDEJAN: No, Your Honor.	
24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	
25	Redirect?	

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / BURTON 443
1		MR. FASSBURG: I'll try to keep it
2	brief.	
3		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
4		
5		REDIRECTEXAMINATION
6	BY M	IR. FASSBURG:
7	Q	Good morning, Mr. Burton.
8	Α	Good morning.
9	Q	You were asked a question about 81.84.020. Is
LO	it you	r understanding that the applicant is required
L1	to sul	omit a pro forma financial statement?
L2	Α	That is correct.
L3	Q	What is your understanding of what a financial
L4	state	ment should include?
L5	Α	The application requires not only a balance
L6	shee	t but a pro forma statement of operations for
L7	12 m	onths forward.
L8	Q	Can you explain for the Commission what is a
L9	balan	ice sheet?
20	Α	A balance sheet lists assets, liabilities, and
21	equit	y, and, generally, assets are listed on the
22	left-h	and side of the financial statement.
23	Liabi	lities are on the right-hand side, and equity is
24	also	on the right-hand side. But some people do it
25	diffe	rently.

## **EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / BURTON 444**

Q On the application submitted by MEI, which I
believe has been previously marked as an exhibit I
believe it was RSE-4 was there a balance sheet that
matched the description that you just gave?

A There was. In Section 12 of the application, there is a provision for a financial statement. There were assets listed with no liabilities shown with a handwritten notation "See financial statement attached."

Q Now, on the financial statement attached, is there anything that matches the description of a balance sheet that you've just provided?

A No. That was the pro forma financial statement. I would have to call it results of operations or profitability.

Q On that financial statement, is there anywhere that liabilities are included?

A No.

Q Back on the page within the application itself under Section 12, does it ask for liabilities to be listed?

A Yes, it does.

Q And the statement which refers to the financial statement included is the only writing in that portion other than what's been whited out; is

	2 18/201
	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / BURTON 445
1	that correct?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q So is this a complete application and
4	financial statement in your opinion?
5	A Excuse me. No. No, it's not a complete
6	financial statement.
7	Q When you were providing financial analysis or
8	CPA services with respect to reviewing a financial
9	statement, if you were to find that it is missing a
10	key component of what you say is the core description
11	of the financial statement, do you believe it would be
12	prudent to investigate what information is missing?
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q You were asked a little bit about whether or
15	not you had ever captained a launch vessel, and I
16	believe you provided some response with respect to
17	your personal experience.
18	Can you tell us about the boat that you own?
19	A The boat I own is a 56-foot what's called a
20	pilothouse trawler built in 1982. I personally
21	repowered it in 2005. It had small Perkins diesels in
22	it. I put John Deeres in it.
23	Q Does the boat have similar dimensions to the

25

launch vessels used by Arrow Launch?

A It's 56-foot long and has a breadth of about

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / BURTON 4	146
------------------------------------	-----

15 feet, so	it's somewhat equivalent.	lt's
fiberglass.	It's not steel or aluminum	i <b>.</b>

Q What about with respect to the engines?

A The John Deere engines that I put in were about 265-horsepower each, which is somewhat equivalent to the one launch vessel that MEI is proposing to bring up here. I believe it's called Stephanie Lynn.

Q Do you believe that based upon your personal experience with use of your vessel you have the experience to opine upon a fuel calculation?

A Yes, I do. When I was doing the engine repower, I was studying the fuel graphs and fuel extensively, not only with mechanics, but with marine architects.

Q You were asked a question a little while ago with respect to the revenue available to Arrow as testified -- I'm sorry. Let me restate that.

You were asked a little while ago with respect to the revenue available to Arrow from Crowley as testified by Mr. Aikin. I want to ask you a follow-up to that.

Is it your understanding that the revenue in the Anacortes area for which MEI Northwest has applied is less than the figure provided by Mr. Aikin?

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / BURTON 447
1	A	That's my understanding, yes.
2	Q	So it is not, in fact, something in the range
3	of \$9	00,000 that Arrow earns in the Anacortes area
4	from	Crowley?
5	Α	That's correct.
6	Q	You were also asked with respect to the ship
7	capta	ains' pay, specifically with respect to what
8	Crow	ley pays its ship captains. Do you have any
9	unde	rstanding of whether or not ship captains are
10	eligib	le for overtime?
11	A	I do not know.
12	Q	With respect to a loan between companies, does
13	the IF	RS impute interest on a loan if there's none
14	state	d?
15	A	Absolutely.
16	Q	So if the documentation ultimately provided in
17	respo	onse to the bench request by MEI were to show
18	there	are no stated terms, would it be fair to say
19	that,	nonetheless, the IRS will impute that interest
20	shou	ld be provided?
21	Α	The IRS has an imputed interest standard which
22	they	apply when there's no interest rate stated in a
23	loan	document.
24	Q	Can you elaborate on that for us and explain
25	what	they do?

## EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / BURTON 448

1	A I can't quote you the specific interest rate
2	right now. It's somewhere, I think, in the range of 2
3	to 3 percent. I would have to go check the federal
4	statutes, but, basically, if they determine that it is
5	a loan, they will impute interest to the borrower and
6	also to the provider. So the provider has interest
7	income; the borrower has interest expense.
8	Q Mr. Burton, were you here in the room
9	yesterday when I asked Mr. Sevall questions?
10	A Yes, I was.
11	Q Do you have an understanding of the meaning of
12	the term "cream skimming"?
13	MR. BENTSON: Objection, Your Honor,
14	outside the scope of cross.
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Fassburg?
16	MR. FASSBURG: I think that's actually
17	a fair statement. I can move on.
18	BY MR. FASSBURG:
19	Q Just touching again on your personal use of
20	your vessel, I believe you mentioned you had operated
21	it to Alaska. Have you also operated that vessel down
22	to Mexico?
23	A I have crewed for similar vessels for friends.

A I have crewed for similar vessels for friends.

I have not personally run my personal vessel to

Mexico. I have acted as crew several times from

24

25

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / BURTON 449
1	Puerto Vallarta to San Diego and then San Diego on up
2	to San Francisco and up the coast.
3	Q And that also was part of the experience that
4	you have in understanding fuel calculations?
5	A That's correct. Because on the coast of Baja,
6	it's 900 miles and one fuel stop.
7	Q So you were asked a few questions about the
8	survey upon which you provided your estimations of
9	what the crewing should cost MEI. Despite the fact
L0	the survey includes a disclaimer, was that the best
L1	information available to you with respect to what
L2	those crew pay or what crew members should be paid
L3	in the Anacortes area?
L4	A That is one. There was another survey done by
L5	Labor and Industries, which I chose to ignore, in
L6	Skagit County because it discussed prevailing wages,
L7	and this is not a prevailing wage job, as I understand
L8	it.
L9	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you for your time,
20	Mr. Burton. No further questions.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. And I
22	have no clarification questions. So thank you for
23	your testimony and you're dismissed.
24	So we have Mr. Westad.
25	MR. WILEY: They're all coming on after

	450
1	the lunch break, Your Honor. That's when I scheduled
2	them.
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. But we
4	still have some time left. Are we going to get into
5	Mr. Harmon's testimony then?
6	MR. WILEY: Can we take a break right
7	now to check on that so I can talk about it? We
8	hadn't planned that. I thought his testimony was
9	going to take longer.
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine.
11	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, if it helps,
12	I don't object to an early lunch break so they can put
13	their witnesses on in the order they want to. I don't
14	have a problem with that.
15	MR. BEATTIE: Another possibility is I
16	could finish my cross before well within before
17	noon
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. All right.
19	MR. BEATTIE: of Mr. Harmon. We
20	could get that out of the way.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yeah. That's true.
22	I was hoping to give MEI the first bite at Mr. Harmon.
23	MR. BENTSON: I don't have an objection
24	to Staff's proposal.
25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Then if

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 451
1	Mr. Wiley, why don't you have a conversation with your
2	client, and we'll go off the record for five minutes.
3	(A break was taken from 11:30 a.m. to
4	11:34 a.m.)
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I think we are
6	ready to go back on the record. I'll go ahead and
7	swear Mr. Harmon in, and then we'll begin with
8	foundation.
9	
LO	JACK HARMON, witness herein, having been
L1	first duly sworn on oath,
L2	was examined and testified
L3	as follows:
L4	
L5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wiley or
L6	Mr. Fassburg?
L7	MR. WILEY: Me, Your Honor. Thank you.
L8	
L9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. WILEY:
21	Q We're improvising a little bit for efficiency
22	sake on time. Bear with me if I'm a little rocky on
23	the exhibits right now.
24	Mr. Harmon, could you please state and spell
25	your last name and provide your business address for

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 452
1	the re	ecord.
2	Α	Jack Harmon, H-A-R-M-O-N, P.O. Box 2376, Port
3	Ange	eles, Washington.
4	Q	Would you please try to speak into the
5	micro	pphone.
6	A	I'm sorry. I thought the red light had me.
7	Is tha	at better?
8	Q	Mr. Harmon, what company are you associated,
9	pleas	se?
LO	Α	Arrow Launch.
L1	Q	And what is your position with Arrow Launch?
L2	Α	President.
L3	Q	And in the context of your presidency and
L4	owne	ership of Arrow Launch, did you cause to be filed
L5	in thi	s proceeding certain prefiled testimony?
L6	A	Yes, I did.
L7	Q	And calling your attention, Mr. Harmon, to
L8	Exhib	oits JLH-1T, JLH-9T, and JLT-10T, is that, in
L9	fact,	the testimony to which you just referred?
20	Α	Yes, it is.
21	Q	In looking at Exhibits JLH-1T, 9T, and 10T, do
22	you h	nave any present revisions at the current time?
23	Α	No, I do not.
24	Q	And do you, in fact, adopt Exhibits JLH-1T,
25	9T, a	nd 10T as your testimony in this proceeding?

1	A Yes, I do.
2	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I believe I
3	have no further questions right now on foundation, and
4	I tender the witness to Mr. Beattie.
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
6	Mr. Beattie.
7	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge.
8	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. BEATTIE:
11	Q Mr. Harmon, if you could, please turn to
12	page 5 of your opening testimony, JLH-1T, line 24,
13	please.
14	A You said 24?
15	Q Yes, please. And here you testified that
16	Arrow provides launch services transporting people to
17	and from ships as well as the transportation of
18	freight and goods, including food, supplies, and
19	equipment to and from ships at anchor underway or
20	alongside docks.
21	Now, this may not seem very important, but
22	just to help those of us in the room that don't
23	understand this terminology, could you just tell us
24	what "at anchor" means?
25	A "At anchor" means when a ship is at anchor in

1	the various ports which we have certificated authority
2	in Puget Sound.
3	Q How about the word "underway"?
4	A "Underway" is the thing the ships will come to
5	the certain ports, not drop their anchor, and continue
6	to a dock or continue to the ocean. That's
7	"underway."
8	Q Okay. And at risk of sounding kind of silly
9	here, can you tell me if there's anything I need to
LO	know about the phrase "alongside docks," or is that
L1	self-explanatory?
L2	A That's pretty self-explanatory.
L3	And I apologize. I'll wait until you finish
L4	your question.
L5	Q I want to know more about the people that you
L6	transport. Can you tell me about a typical passenger
L7	on one of Arrow's launches?
L8	A I think it was stated fairly clearly by most
L9	of the previous testifiers with the exception that it
20	really is a the public. In my opinion, I believe
21	in previous Commission orders, it speaks directly to
22	the fact that it's the public may not be the
23	general public, the commercial public.
24	We could take and quite often do I would
25	disagree with Mr. Aikin's statement earlier. It's

1 quite often, maybe not -- maybe that's a wrong term. 2 But it does happen that we would take a chief 3 engineer's wife, a chief engineer's son, a chief 4 engineer's family/friend to one of the Crowley ATBs. 5 What we do is we make sure that the ship is 6 going to give them permission to board, but we will 7 take jointing crews. We will take chandlers. We will 8 take repair technicians, so just about anybody we'll 9 take to or from the ship, Department of Ecology, 10 Department of Agriculture. So I can't think of 11 somebody -- literally, children, infants, the general 12 public. 13 Q If you took a spouse of a crew member, for 14 example, would you charge that spouse a separate fare? 15 A There has actually been times where the 16 individual will want their own launch, their own time 17 frame, not conductive to the time frame that the 18 schedule has been set by the ship's master or the 19 ship's agents. And in those cases, we will ask who is 20 paying for this -- you or the ship or the agent? And, 21 quite often, they'll say we're paying for it. We'll 22 bill them direct. 23 Q Do you sell tickets? 24 A We give them an invoice. I don't think a 25 ticket is really relevant to whether it's the general

1	publi	c or not, but we don't sell tickets.
2	Q	Well, actually I didn't ask you whether
3	ticket	s was relevant to anything. I just wanted to
4	know	how your operation works, and it sounds like your
5	answ	er is, no, you do not sell tickets?
6	Α	My answer is, no, we do not sell tickets.
7	Q	Do I understand correctly that you transport
8	both	passengers and freight at times in the same
9	vesse	el?
10	Α	That's correct.
11	Q	What percentage of your business, measured in
12	terms	of gross earnings, would you say comes from
13	trans	porting passengers as opposed to freight?
14	Α	Are you asking percentage of business or
15	perce	entage of the vessel's revenue as my understanding
16	the R	CCW says?
17	Q	Gross earnings.
18	Α	Okay. Gross earnings of the vessel or gross
19	earni	ngs of the company?
20	Q	Gross earnings of the company.
21	Α	In passengers or freight?
22	Q	I asked for a ratio or a percentage.
23	Α	So it varies year by year. It may be
24	70 pe	ercent passengers/30 percent freight. It could go
25	50 pe	ercent passengers/50 percent freight. But the fee

1	base that we pay the UTC under our rate methodology
2	for almost 30 years, 27 or 28 years, is that it's
3	based on the gross earnings of the vessel.
4	And in our case, almost all of our vessels
5	exceed the 10 percent in passengers, and so we pay the
6	fee base to the Utilities and Transportation
7	Commission on the full gross of the vessel, whether
8	it's freight or passengers. So it doesn't matter.
9	We don't even really monitor it, because our
10	vessels are as the you'll see there's a document
11	that's in I believe it's my testimony and I
12	apologize to the Court in this testimony I didn't get
13	my own exhibits. If I speak to an exhibit and you
14	need to see it but it's actually requested by the
15	UTC at our annual report time in May, and it lists all
16	of our equipment that we use, the regulated equipment,
17	and that equipment is listed and fee based on
18	100 percent of the gross of the vessel. So passengers
19	it's 10 percent.
20	Q That was quite a bit of information. I do
21	want to zero in. It sounded as though you did say you
22	could not measure passengers versus freight or you do
23	not measure or both?
24	A No. I didn't say that. I said it's
25	immaterial to use It's measured and it's actually

1	articulated on our annual report, so you have that
2	information in the Staff's files.
3	Q Just indulge me. It's material to my question
4	now. Are you saying you do not have the information
5	or cannot obtain it or what are you saying?
6	A I'm saying that I feel that I've answered your
7	question, and if you want to know specifically what
8	year the percentages are passengers versus freight,
9	we'd have to go to the annual reports because it's
10	actually in the annual report.
11	Q Okay. And, Mr. Harmon, what did your attorney
12	just hand you?
13	A I believe he handed me the exhibits that were
14	attached to JLH-1T. As I just explained to the Court,
15	I didn't grab these exhibits. There's a copy of our
16	certificate. There's the fleet report that's included
17	in our annual report. There's several example launch
18	schedules from ships that set their schedules which we
19	run from a fixed on a fixed schedule by the ship's
20	master or its agents. There's e-mails from several of
21	our customers in which we have solicited the
22	purpose of this e-mail, I quote, it's from me
23	Q Okay. I'm going to have to cut you off here.
24	Are you just telling us what's in your exhibits?
25	A Yes, that's what you asked me.

1	Q I just wanted if your counsel hands you
2	something, I'm entitled to know what it is.
3	A Yeah. I'm trying to tell you which one it is.
4	It really isn't identified any other way than what I
5	just started to read.
6	Q Okay. That's fine. Why don't we take a look
7	at one of the exhibits that Staff has prepared for
8	purposes of your cross-examination.
9	MR. BEATTIE: Your Honor, I'm trying to
10	find the number.
11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. I
12	think it's actually, I need to find it too.
13	MR. BEATTIE: JLH-11CX.
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
15	MR. BEATTIE: I apologize. I
16	MR. WILEY: I have it. But can we just
17	identify what CX is? Is it the terms and conditions?
18	MR. BEATTIE: This is Arrow Launch's
19	tariff.
20	MR. WILEY: Okay.
21	MR. BEATTIE: Do you have a copy of
22	that handy?
23	MR. WILEY: I'm handing him one.
24	BY MR. BEATTIE:
25	Q Okay.

1	Α	Yes, sir.
2	Q	If you could turn to what is labeled "Tenth
3	revise	ed page 1." It's also page 2 of the exhibit.
4	Α	Could you tell me what it says again? "Tenth
5	revis	ed page."
6	Q	Page 2 of 3 of the exhibit.
7	Α	Okay.
8	Q	Perhaps that's easier.
9	Α	I have a "Tenth revised page 1."
10	Q	Correct.
11	Α	I have a "Seventh revised page 2. Tenth
12	revis	ed" yeah. These are both the same documents.
13	One	is these look identical. I'm trying to see
14	what	the difference is between those two.
15	Q	If you could please turn your attention to
16	"Tent	h revised page 1."
17	Α	So that's the first page.
18	Q	Yes, please.
19		THE WITNESS: Was I excuse me. Was
20	I acci	dentally just handed two copies of the same
21	thing	?
22		MR. WILEY: Yes, you were.
23		THE WITNESS: I just wanted to was
24	that a	a trick question, Dan? Part of your humor?
25		MR. BENTSON: Your lawver handed you

1	two of the same thing. That's not my fault.	
2	MR. WILEY: True.	
3	A I apologize. Yes, I'm looking at page	
4	"Tenth revised page 1," yes, sir.	
5	Q And, again, Mr. Harmon, this may seem obvious.	
6	But do I understand correctly that by looking at your	
7	tariff that Arrow Launch charges by the hour?	
8	A Yes, we do.	
9	Q It would be accurate to say that Arrow Launch	
10	does not charge by any other method, for example, per	
11	head, per ticket sold, etc.?	
12	A That is correct.	
13	Q Is it true that Arrow Launch has no time	
14	schedule?	
15	A That would not be true. We that would not	
16	be true.	
17	Q What is your time schedule?	
18	A We offer two types of service. We are	
19	predominantly, it's scheduled service by the ship's	
20	master, the ship's agent, or its representative. The	
21	ship's master, I apologize, for the Court's	
22	information, happens to be the ultimate authority on	
23	the vessel.	
24	So as one of these documents that we just	
25	discussed earlier, it's a schedule that's set by the	

1	ship's captain and often modified by the ship's
2	captain or the ship's agent. In between the scheduled
3	services, often the services are either interrupted by
4	the ship and cancelled or additional services
5	scheduled. But it's a schedule that's set by the
6	ship's master, its agent.
7	Q So it's not a schedule that's external to the
8	demands of your customers; correct? Do you understand
9	what I mean?
L0	A No. I apologize. I do not.
L1	Q Let me put it this way: Do you have a boat
L2	that leaves, for instance, pick a time, 8:00 a.m.
L3	every morning?
L4	A 7:30.
L5	Q Every single morning?
L6	A If a ship's captain has scheduled it, yes.
L7	Q If a ship's captain has scheduled it?
L8	A Yes. Or its agent or its owners.
L9	Q Sure. But the point is you operate on demand
20	as opposed to on a set schedule; correct?
21	A We would call that a set schedule, and we do
22	have on-demand service as well.
23	Q Well, perhaps we have a difference in
24	terminology. But it sounds as though you're
25	confirming that you operate based on the needs of your

1	customers and not based on a schedule external to your
2	customers?
3	A Not meaning to argue with you, but, actually,
4	I'm operating on a schedule that I've been told by the
5	Commission by rule that we need to that launch
6	services has been defined as providing scheduled
7	service set by the ship's captains, agents, or its
8	owners. I believe that's under the definition in the
9	rules for the launch servicer.
10	Q Okay. But you do not operate, for example, if
11	nobody has called you that day?
12	A If there's not a request for the service,
13	that's correct. There will be the vessel won't
14	leave. That's correct. Where is it going to go? So
15	correct.
16	Q Right. And one last question about time
17	schedule. You've said that you do, in essence, have a
18	type of time schedule. Is that filed publicly with
19	the Commission?
20	A No, it's not.
21	Q Okay. I would like to start a new line of
22	questioning. If you could, please turn to your
23	rebuttal testimony, and that's JLH-9T.
24	MR. WILEY: Mr. Beattie, is it
25	cross-answering testimony; correct? Entitled

1	cross-answering						
2	MR. BENTSON: Yeah. That's probably						
3	the correct name.						
4	THE WITNESS: I'm confused.						
5	Q Page 8.						
6	A I have it.						
7	Q Lines 15 through 16.						
8	MR. WILEY: Page?						
9	MR. BEATTIE: Page 8.						
LO	Q I will submit to you that in this testimony						
L1	you have critiqued Staff for, in your view, supporting						
L2	competition because, quote, it feels good. And I want						
L3	to know where in Staff's testimony Mr. Sevall said he						
L4	supports competition because it, quote, feels good?						
L5	MR. WILEY: Objection, argumentative.						
L6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I didn't hear any						
L7	argumentative tone to the question, so I'd like the						
L8	witness to answer it.						
L9	A To answer your question right now, I need his						
20	statement so I could review it to where I made that						
21	conclusion.						
22	Q Okay. It's not very long. Maybe your						
23	counsel						
24	A But I don't have it in front of me. I don't						
25	have Mr. Sevall's testimony. But if you get me						

1	Mr. Sevall's testimony, I'll go through it and try to					
2	get to that line that led me to that conclusion.					
3	MR. WILEY: You're referring,					
4	Mr. Beattie, to the testimony of Scott Sevall,					
5	November 1, 2016?					
6	Q Perhaps I could save some time and ask you					
7	just to tell us why you think that why you					
8	characterize Staff's testimony in that manner? Do you					
9	really believe that Staff supports competition because					
10	it, quote, feels good?					
11	A That was my conclusion after reading					
12	Mr. Sevall's testimony, yes.					
13	Q What's the basis for that conclusion?					
14	A Okay. I apologize. Then let me go re-read					
15	just because that was my feelings. You're asking me.					
16	That was my conclusion and my feelings after reading					
17	the testimony. Do you want me to go to the line and					
18	tell you which one it is?					
19	Q I think I will just move on.					
20	A It's my conclusion.					
21	Q Okay. I will also submit to you that there's					
22	been much discussion in the various testimonies today					
23	about whether MEI or any of its witnesses or any other					
24	witnesses did any studies to determine whether the					
25	market could support an additional launch service					

1	provider. Do you know what I'm referring to?							
2	A In a vague way, yes, I understand what you're							
3	saying.							
4	Q Did Arrow provide any studies or do any							
5	research to determine that the market could not							
6	support another provider?							
7	A As Mr. Aikin's testimony today showed, the							
8	revenues to Arrow from Crowley alone have decreased							
9	significantly in the last year.							
10	Q So you rely on Mr. Aikin for your conclusion?							
11	A I think that's a leap, Mr. Beattie. I rely on							
12	the statistics that are in my financials that show							
13	that the revenues from Crowley have significantly							
14	decreased along with other customers has decreased.							
15	Those are irrefutable facts. That's not just some							
16	nebulous conclusion. That's a fact. The dollars have							
17	decreased.							
18	MR. BEATTIE: Okay. I think I'm							
19	finished with this witness. Thank you.							
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.							
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. I							
22	assume we want to save redirect for after the entirety							
23	of cross-examination?							
24	MR. WILEY: Yes, Your Honor.							
25	THE WITNESS: Unless you can get it							

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / WESTAD 467						
1	done in five minutes.						
2	MR. BENTSON: I need a sandwich.						
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: In that case, how						
4	long do we need for lunch? We're doing fairly well on						
5	time.						
6	MR. WILEY: I'd say an hour and						
7	15 minutes.						
8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we just						
9	make it 1:30, and we'll come back at 1:30. We're off						
LO	the record.						
L1	(A luncheon recess was taken from						
L2	11:54 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.)						
L3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: If you would, go						
L4	ahead and stand and raise your right hand.						
L5							
L6	BRIAN WESTAD, witness herein, having been						
L7	first duly sworn on oath,						
L8	was examined and testified						
L9	as follows:						
20							
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. You can						
22	be seated.						
23							
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION						
25							

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / WESTAD 468								
1	BY M	Y MR. WILEY:							
2	Q	Mr. Westad, good afternoon. Could you please							
3	state	tate and spell your last name and provide your							
4	busin	ess address for the record.							
5	A	Yes. My name is Brian Westad, B-R-I-A-N,							
6	W-E-	S-T-A-D.							
7	Q	And by what company are you employed, please?							
8	A	I'm employed by Inchcape Shipping Services.							
9	Q	What is your business address for the record,							
LO	pleas	se?							
L1	A	Business address is 1011 Southwest Klickitat.							
L2	Do y	ou need me to spell that?							
L3	Q	Sure.							
L4	A	K-L-I-C-K-I-T-A-T, Klickitat Way, No. 103,							
L5	Seattle, Washington 98134.								
L6	Q	And what is your title at Inchcape Shipping,							
L7	please?								
L8	Α	My title is port manager of Puget Sound.							
L9	Q	And, Mr. Westad, did you cause to be filed							
20	testimony in this matter under your name?								
21	Α	Yes.							
22	Q	And it's been identified as BW-1T. Is that,							
23	in fac	ct, the testimony that I just asked you about?							
24	Α	Yes.							
25	Q	And do you have any changes or revisions to							

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / WESTAD 469					
1	that testimony?					
2	A Not at this time, no.					
3	Q Do you adopt that testimony as your sworn					
4	testimony for admission into the record in this					
5	proceeding?					
6	A Yes, I do.					
7	MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your					
8	Honor. I tender the witness.					
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.					
LO	Mr. Bentson.					
L1	MR. BENTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.					
L2						
L3	CROSS-EXAMINATION					
L4	BY MR. BENTSON:					
L5	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Westad. Can you explain					
L6	to me Inchcape Shipping is a shipping agent; is that					
L7	correct?					
L8	A Yes, we are.					
L9	Q And can you explain what the shipping agent					
20	does exactly?					
21	A Yes. The shipping agent is, basically, a					
22	representative for the principal connected to the					
23	ship. It could be either the charter or it could be					
24	the owner. The charter or the owner historically,					
25	a vessel or an owner or charter is responsible for					

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

# **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / WESTAD 470**

the vessel wherever it is in the world.

So you could have the owner who is in Germany and their ship is in Singapore. So pretty much by law, maritime law, and historical precedent, they have to have representation at the port of which the vessel is in. So they hire what's called a ship agent to act on their behalf. We have the authority to work with that vessel, handle all government regulations regarding that vessel, as well as provide any services they may need through vendors in the local area.

- Q And is one of those services acting as kind of a middleman and arranging launch services for the principal?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And do you do that here in Puget Sound?
- 16 A Yes.
  - Q And the only launch services provider in Puget Sound currently is Arrow Launch Services; isn't that right?
  - A No. They're not the only ones that provide the service, no.
  - Q What other companies provide launch services that you arrange for your principals?
- A Well, the ones that are available, are, I
  believe, Argosy Cruises, another one called Seattle

EXAMINATIO	AL DA	/ DENI		/ VA/EOTA	<b>D</b>	71
H X A WIIN A I I( )	$\mathbf{N} + \mathbf{Y}$	H H N	1 > 0	/ WHSIA	11) 4	71

Tourist or I honestly don't remember the name of it
because I've never used it. The other launch service
provided in an unofficial matter, you could say, would
be the towage companies being Foss and Crowley.

Q Okay. So you arrange launch services for Foss and Crowley?

A They will at times -- the services that we need -- these are actually very specific to piloted -- pilotage. Pilots will -- if a vessel is at anchor and a -- and the pilot needs to get to the ship by law has to move with the ship. Wherever the ship goes, they have to have a pilot on board. Your options are to either use a launch service like Arrow, or you can use tugs that will pick up the pilot if they're in that area and take it to the ship or take them to the ship.

Q Okay. So just to make sure I understand, are Crowley and Foss included in your base of principals that you provide these -- arrange these services for?

A Well, they're not principals. They're vendors. They provide other services for that ship in which they bill us for.

Q I see. So you're arranging the tug services from Crowley and Foss --

A Foss, yes.

Q -- for your principals?

## EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / WESTAD 472

Δ	Yes
$\overline{}$	163

Q And you're also arranging launch services for your principals from Arrow Launch?

### A Yes.

Q And safe to say, Inchcape isn't a direct consumer of those launch services? You're sort of a middleman between the principal and the company providing those services?

A At times myself or my staff have to go out to the vessel in which we use Arrow Launch Services for. You can use a launch service either for personnel -- that could be crew, that could be technicians, that could be regulatory authority such as Coast Guard or United States Customs and Border Protection -- or they could be the agents that need to go on board, grab some official documents, and bring it back. In a way, you could say that Inchcape can be a recipient of the services as well.

- Q Does Inchcape own its own vessel?
- A In the world?
- Q In the Puget Sound.
- **A No.** 
  - Q And so you don't need launch services for Inchcape's vessels in the Puget Sound?
    - A We don't have vessels or boats, yeah.

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / WESTAD 473				
1	Q Now, with respect to BW-1T, which I think you					
2	have a copy of in front of you?					
3	A	Yes.				
4	Q	Did you type BW-1T?				
5	Α	No.				
6	Q	Who typed it?				
7	Α	I believe it was the law offices of David's				
8	firm.					
9	Q	And then after they typed it, they provided it				
10	to yo	u for review?				
11	Α	Yes.				
12	Q	Did you make any corrections?				
13	A	I don't believe so, no.				
14		MR. BENTSON: Thank you. I have no				
15	furthe	er questions.				
16		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.				
17		Any redirect?				
18		MR. WILEY: Yes.				
19						
20		REDIRECTEXAMINATION				
21	BY MR. WILEY:					
22	Q	Mr. Westad, when you said you didn't provide				
23	any c	corrections, you were involved in drafting the				
24	state	ment with our offices, were you not?				
25	Α	That's correct, yeah.				

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / WESTAD 474						
Q And as a matter of fact, the information in						
the statement came from you, did it not?						
A Yes.						
Q And it's all accurate and fully comprehensive						
to the best of your knowledge?						
A Yes. Upon reviewing it, it's all accurate and						
representative of my testimony.						
Q You said in response to Mr. Bentson's						
question about other launch or launch-like companies,						
is your testimony regarding tugs that they provide a						
launch type of service in transporting passengers to						
vessels for you?						
A Yes.						
MR. WILEY: No further questions.						
JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. And I						
have no clarification questions, so thank you for your						
testimony and you're excused.						
Are we going now with Ms. Collins?						
MR. WILEY: Yes.						
DEBRA K. COLLINS, witness herein, having been						
first duly sworn on oath,						
was examined and testified						
as follows:						

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / COLLINS 475							
1	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You can be seated.							
2	Mr. Fassburg.							
3								
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION							
5	BY MR. FASSBURG:							
6	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Collins. Will you please							
7	state your full legal name and spell your last name							
8	for us.							
9	A Debra K. Collins, C-O-L-L-I-N-S.							
LO	THE REPORTER: Can you spell your first							
L1	name.							
L2	THE WITNESS: D-E-B-R-A.							
L3	Q And with whom are you employed?							
L4	A Blue Water Shipping Company.							
L5	Q Did you cause to be filed prefiled testimony							
L6	in this proceeding?							
L7	A Yes.							
L8	Q Do you have a copy of that in front of you?							
L9	A Yes, I do.							
20	Q And is that the exhibit labeled at the top							
21	DC-1T?							
22	A Yes.							
23	Q Have you had an opportunity to review that							
24	prefiled testimony?							
25	A Yes. I have.							

### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / COLLINS** 476 1 Q Are you adopting that testimony here today for 2 admission into the record? 3 A Yes. 4 Q And I understand you're here today to provide 5 testimony on behalf of Arrow Launch; is that correct? 6 A Yes, it is. 7 MR. FASSBURG: I have no further 8 questions, and we'll tender the witness. 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 10 Mr. Bentson. 11 MR. BENTSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. BENTSON: 15 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Collins. 16 A Hi. 17 Q Now, you were in the room previously when 18 Mr. Westad just testified; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q You work for a shipping agent as well? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And did you generally agree with Mr. Westad's 23 explanation or would you describe what a shipping 24 agent does differently? 25 A No. It's pretty accurate depending on what

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / COLLINS 477
1	type	of agent you are.
2	Q	And the type of agent you are is similar to
3	Mr. V	Vestad that you act as sort of the middleman
4	betwe	een the principals and the service providers, such
5	as la	unch service providers, in the Puget Sound?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	And you have worked for Blue Water since 2004?
8	Α	Correct.
9	Q	During that period, have all of your
10	respo	onsibilities been in Puget Sound?
11	A	Yes. Well, also Grays Harbor.
12	Q	And in both Grays Harbor and the Puget Sound,
13	the o	nly launch service provider you've worked with in
14	those	e areas is Arrow Launch; isn't that correct?
15	A	In Puget Sound. There is no launch service in
16	Gray	s Harbor besides tugs.
17	Q	And with respect to your testimony, DC-1T, did
18	you t	ype that yourself?
19	Α	No.
20	Q	Did you draft it longhand and then send it to
21	some	eone else to be typed?
22	Α	No.
23	Q	Did you have a conversation with Mr. Wiley or
24	some	eone in his office and then they provided you a
25	typed	I copy?

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / COLLINS 478
1	A Yes, they provided me a copy.
2	Q Was that a verbatim transcript of your
3	conversation or sort of a paraphrase?
4	A No. It was accurate.
5	Q But was it a verbatim copy, verbatim
6	transcript
7	MR. WILEY: Objection.
8	Q of what you discussed?
9	MR. WILEY: Objection, Your Honor. I
10	mean, this wasn't sworn it wasn't a deposition.
11	When he says verbatim, I don't know what he's
12	implying.
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Bentson?
14	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, we can see if
15	the witness understands the question. If she doesn't,
16	I can try again.
17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Go
18	ahead.
19	BY MR. BENTSON:
20	Q Ms. Collins, do you understand what I mean by
21	verbatim transcript?
22	A Yeah. Whatever I told him, he wrote down, and
23	then I got the final one. That's
24	Q Do you understand the difference between a
25	verbatim transcript and a paraphrase?

### EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / COLLINS 479 1 A Well, verbatim would be what I said. 2 Paraphrase would probably be -- I'm not really sure, 3 to tell you the truth. 4 Q That's no problem. Right here in this 5 courtroom today there's a court reporter, and she's 6 taking a verbatim transcript down of what we're 7 saying. 8 A Right. Q But sometimes I have a conversation loosely 10 with someone, and then I say this is the gist of what 11 they said. This is generally what they said. I'm 12 asking: When you provided this statement when they 13 typed it, did they type up a verbatim transcript of 14 the conversation you had or was it just a paraphrase? 15 A As far as I know, it was what I said. 16 Q And so then they provided you the written 17 transcript and you reviewed it? 18 A Yes. 19 Q And you didn't have any changes to it? 20 A As far as I know, no. 21 MR. BENTSON: No further questions. 22 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 23 Any redirect? 24 MR. FASSBURG: I don't think I do.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / COBURN 480
1	Mr. Wiley, were you going to say something?
2	MR. WILEY: No. I was just getting
3	ready for the next witness.
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You were grabbing
5	the mike.
6	MR. WILEY: Sorry.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I have no
8	clarification questions, so thank you for your
9	testimony and you're excused.
LO	Mr. Coburn.
L1	
L2	DOUG COBURN, witness herein, having been
L3	first duly sworn on oath,
L4	was examined and testified
L5	as follows:
L6	
L7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. You can
L8	be seated.
L9	Mr. Wiley.
20	
21	DIRECTEXAMINATION
22	BY MR. WILEY:
23	Q Mr. Coburn, thank you for your appearance here
24	today. Could you please state and spell your first
25	spell your last name for the record, please.

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / COBURN 481
1	Α	Doug Coburn, last name is spelled C-O-B-U-R-N.
2	Q	By whom are you employed?
3	A	General Steamship.
4	Q	What is your business address, please?
5	Α	I have to look and remember what that is,
6	beca	use I don't write it very often. Suite 360,
7	1902	0 33rd Avenue West in beautiful Lynnwood,
8	Was	hington.
9	Q	What is your title at General Steamship,
LO	pleas	se?
L1	Α	Assistant vice president.
L2	Q	And, Mr. Coburn, did you cause to be filed in
L3	this p	proceeding in the application of MEI Northwest,
L4	LLC,	an exhibit that's been identified as DSC-1T?
L5	A	I did, yes.
L6	Q	And is that your testimony of General
L7	Stea	mship in this proceeding?
L8	Α	Yes, it is.
L9	Q	And do you have any corrections or revisions
20	to tha	at testimony?
21	Α	No, I do not.
22	Q	Do you, in fact, adopt that testimony as your
23	swor	n testimony for admission into in this record?
24	Α	Yes.
25		MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / COBURN 482	
1	Honor. I tender the witness.	
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	
3	Mr. Bentson.	
4	MR. BENTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
5		
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
7	BY MR. BENTSON:	
8	Q Mr. Coburn, you were in the courtroom as well	
9	when Mr. Westad of Inchcape testified; is that	
LO	correct?	
L1	A That is correct.	
L2	Q And General Steamship is also a shipping agent	
L3	like Inchcape?	
L4	A That is correct also, yes.	
L5	Q Did you generally agree with Mr. Westad's	
L6	description of what a shipping agent does?	
L7	A Yeah. I think he did a very good job	
L8	actually.	
L9	Q Anything you want to add to that?	
20	A No. I'd say it was pretty clear.	
21	Q And then so it's safe to say General	
22	Steamship, like Blue Water and Inchcape, acts as a	
23	middleman between the principals and the service	
24	providers, such as launch service providers?	
25	Δ That's correct	

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / COBURN 483
1	Q	If I understand correctly from DSC-1T, you
2	bega	n working with Arrow in 1989?
3	Α	Uh-huh. When they first started, that's
4	corre	ect.
5	Q	And have they been the sole launch service
6	provi	der since '89, or was there a period where there
7	was	some overlap?
8	Α	There was a period there was some overlap.
9	Ther	e was still Seattle Harbor Tours or Argosy of
10	Seat	tle.
11	Q	And about I guess with the exception of
12	Argos	sy, do you recall when Arrow became the sole
13	launc	ch service provider?
14	Α	No, not right off the top of my head.
15	Q	Is Arrow Launch the sole launch service
16	provi	der you deal with in the Puget Sound?
17	A	Yes, definitely.
18	Q	Have you ever dealt with a different launch
19	servi	ce provider in the Puget Sound?
20	A	Well, I did before Arrow came into the
21	pictu	re, yes.
22	Q	Before '89?
23	A	Before '89 and maybe early for a year or so
24	after	, because I don't think they started in all the
25	ports	s in Puget Sound when they started.

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / COBURN 484
1	Q	Was your testimony also typed by Mr. Wiley's
2	office	9?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Or did you type it yourself?
5	Α	Oh, no. I didn't type this up. I'm too lazy
6	for th	nat.
7	Q	Did you make any corrections?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Can you show me what corrections you made or
10	do yo	ou recall?
11	A	No. I would have to go back and look.
12	Q	Do you recall of anything substantive or was
13	it pre	tty minor?
14	Α	I think overall it was pretty minor. I might
15	have	added something to it once I had a chance to look
16	at it a	and think about it.
17	Q	But sitting here today, you don't recall what
18	you a	added?
19	A	No.
20		MR. BENTSON: I have no further
21	ques	tions, Mr. Coburn.
22		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Any
23	redire	ect?
24		MR. WILEY: Yes, a couple questions,
25	Your	Honor.

#### EXAMINATION BY WILEY / COBURN 485

-	
1	
_	

2

# 3

4

5

6

## 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILEY: Your Honor, he just -- I'm

following up on his answer which was in response to

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WILEY:

Q Mr. Coburn, before 1989, what was the launch services like that you had experienced before the advent of Arrow?

## A Unprofessional.

Q And by that could you compare, for instance, the communication interaction that you have with Arrow versus what you had before?

A Well, it was a lot different because they were covering -- the other launch operators were covering different areas, so it wasn't as easy to get things done when there was movement between different ports. It just made it a little more difficult, and, quite frankly, the service that was provided was not anywhere near as safe. They usually just had a driver, and then we had to jump on the Jacob's ladder.

Q Do you see any difficulty posed by dealing with multiple providers as opposed to one provider in terms of simplicity of logistics and service ordering? MR. BENTSON: Objection, outside the scope.

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / COBURN 486
1	Mr. Bentson's question, and I think it's a fair
2	question based on his comparison of 1989 and what
3	who he used before. And he just said he used multiple
4	providers, and I'm asking him if there were
5	difficulties posed by using multiple providers.
6	MR. BENTSON: You're asking him in the
7	past tense, not the future potential?
8	MR. WILEY: Yeah. Right now I'm asking
9	him in the past tense to be consistent with the scope
LO	of the cross.
L1	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I'll allow
L2	that.
L3	BY MR. WILEY:
L4	Q Were there complications posed in terms of
L5	communicating with multiple launch providers in the
L6	past?
L7	A Well, yes, there was just because you're
L8	talking about different ports and ships moving not all
L9	the time going necessarily to one location, sometimes
20	going to multiple ports.
21	Q Do you have a preference about dealing with
22	one versus multiple launch providers?
23	A One is definitely simpler and easier for all
24	the logistics that we have to handle to have one

provider, yes.

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / COBURN 487
1	MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your
2	Honor.
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. I have
4	no clarification questions, so you're excused, and
5	thank you for your testimony.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Are we ready now to
8	bring up Mr. Harmon?
9	MR. HARMON: I'd like to take five
10	minutes. I'd like to thank the witnesses and use the
11	washroom.
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. We'll
13	take a very quick five minutes.
14	(A break was taken from 1:49 p.m. to
15	1:54 p.m.)
16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll be back on
17	the record. Mr. Harmon has taken the witness stand,
18	and I believe we have cross-examination from MEI.
19	MR. BENTSON: Yes, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And you're
21	reminded, Mr. Harmon, that you're still under oath.
22	So Mr. Bentson.
23	MR. BENTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	
25	

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 488
1		CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY M	IR. BENTSON:
3	Q	Good afternoon, Mr. Harmon.
4	Α	Hi.
5	Q	We're going to be referring today mainly to
6	your	testimony in JLH-1T. Do you have that in front
7	of yo	u?
8	A	Yes, I do.
9	Q	And so the first area I'd like to focus on is
L0	on pa	age 2 of that testimony if you can get that in
L1	front	of you.
L2	Α	Okay.
L3	Q	Now, between lines 7 and lines 25, you give a
L4	little	of your personal background.
L5	A	Yes.
L6	Q	So you started working in the maritime
L7	indus	stry at a pretty young age, it sounds like?
L8	Α	Yes.
L9	Q	And why don't you tell us a little about that.
20	Α	As my testimony says here, my crib was on a
21	boat	literally. My family owned commercial work
22	boat	s. It might not say my crib was on a boat. I'll
23	have	to re-read it again, but that is the fact. My
24	crib	was literally on a commercial fishing boat, and
25	almo	st every dollar I've earned in my entire life has

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 489
1	been	on the water in some form or another as outlined
2	here.	This is a fairly accurate description of my
3	the h	istory.
4	Q	I understand. And I apologize for speaking
5	while	you were talking.
6	Α	That's okay.
7	Q	So it sounds like if your crib was on a boat
8	it was	s a family business? Your family was in the
9	indus	try?
10	Α	That would be correct.
11	Q	And that started out you were in southern
12	Califo	ornia at the time?
13	Α	That's correct.
14	Q	That's where your family business was?
15	Α	That's correct.
16	Q	And you eventually in 1989 moved up to the
17	Puge	t Sound area?
18	Α	That's correct.
19	Q	Was that when you purchased Seawolf?
20	Α	That's correct.
21	Q	And at the time you purchased Seawolf, they
22	alrea	dy had a UTC certificate; is that right?
23	Α	That's correct.
24	Q	And so that's why you were able to at that
25	time i	immediately begin to perform launch services

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 490	
1	beca	use the company you purchased had the certificate?	
2	A	That's correct.	
3	Q	And you didn't have to apply for a certificate	
4	at tha	at time?	
5	A	There was a transfer process, transfer it from	
6	Seawolf to Arrow.		
7	Q	So is that, yes, you didn't have to apply for	
8	a certificate at that time?		
9	A	I apologize. I don't know if that's a legal	
10	term	in the transfer application process if that's	
11	apply	ying or not. I'd have to defer to counsel,	
12	because I don't know if that's an application. I		
13	mear	n, it's a process.	
14	Q	That's fair. It wasn't meant to be a trick	
15	ques	tion.	
16	A	Yes. I just don't know the answer.	
17	Q	But, as you testified, you purchased a company	
18	that h	nad the certificate, and then some sort of	
19	trans	fer occurred?	
20	A	Yeah. You have to come to the Commission, and	
21	there	s's a process that's an application process.	
22	Q	And then a few years later because at the	
23	time	you purchased Seawolf, you purchased it with a	
24	busin	ess partner?	
25	Α	That's correct.	

### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 491**

Q And, eventually, you and your wife bought o	ut
that business partner and reorganized into Arrow	
Launch?	

#### A That's correct.

Q And since that time, with the exception of Argosy, have you been the sole launch service provider in the Puget Sound?

#### A That's not correct.

Q Who have been the other launch services providers?

A There were quite a few, several almost in every port, so I believe that Mr. Sevall identified most of those certificates in his exhibit. I believe it might have been SS-2. I apologize, but it articulated the 1977 era of the issuance of the certificates. There was quite a few certificates.

Q Sorry. So I'm talking about the 1990s after you formed Arrow Launch. When you formed Arrow Launch, there were multiple launch service providers?

#### A That is correct.

Q And I don't recall hearing Mr. Sevall testify to that. Do you know who those other launch service providers were?

A I don't know all of them, and, again, that was -- 1989 was a long time ago. So are you talking

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 492			
1	abou	t in 1989 or are you talking about in 1977?		
2	Q	Neither. I'm talking about after you formed		
3	Arrov	v Launch.		
4	Α	Well, Arrow Launch was formed in 1989.		
5	Q	I misunderstood that from your testimony. I		
6	thoug	ht you reorganized into Arrow Launch later in the		
7	'90s?			
8	Α	It's always been Arrow Launch. Arrow Launch a		
9	partr	ership and then Arrow Launch, Inc. So to me, I		
10	apolo	ogize, when you say Arrow Launch, I go to 1989		
11	wher	it started and forward.		
12	Q	No apology necessary. I'll try to clarify.		
13	What	year did you incorporate Arrow Launch?		
14	Α	I'd have to go back and look at the records.		
15	It wa	s '90, '91, '92, '90.		
16	Q	So just a couple years after you came up?		
17	Α	Yeah.		
18	Q	And is that the same time that you bought out		
19	your	business partner?		
20	Α	Yes, I believe that was.		
21	Q	Since that time, have there been other launch		
22	servi	ce providers besides Arrow?		
23	Α	Yes.		
24	Q	When did Arrow become the exclusive launch		
25	servi	ce provider in Puget Sound?		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

## EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 493

A I don't believe we are the exclusive launch provider in Puget Sound, because there's other launch providers.

- Q Who do you consider your competition in that market?
- A There's, for sure, Argosy is still providing launch service. The tugs themselves, Crowley and Foss, on a regular basis provide launch service. I don't know to what degree they do. I do know that it's quite often.
- Q Do you consider Crowley and Foss competitors of Arrow Launch?
- A To an extent, yes.
- Q Just to your tug services or also to your launch services?
- 16 A No, to launch service.
  - Q If we can go to page 4 of your testimony -- and this is a section -- lines 1 through 22 of page 4, you're addressing why you believe the Puget Sound can't support two launch service providers; is that right?
- A Line 3 says, no, I do not.
- Q But that's in -- line 3 is in response to a question that says, reading lines 1 and 2 -- I'll read and you can follow along -- do you believe that the

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 494
1	territories in which MEI is applying can support two
2	full-time viable launch providers? Did I read that
3	correctly?
4	A Yes, you did.
5	Q So I'm trying to clarify. You testified
6	earlier you believe there's multiple launch service
7	providers. Here you're testifying that the Sound can
8	only support one, not two. Can you clarify that for
9	me? I think there is an answer. I don't know how to
LO	articulate it.
L1	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I'm going to
L2	make an objection, a form objection, to the question,
L3	because the question that's keying this is the
L4	territories in which MEI is applying. His question
L5	goes broader than the application scope. I think I
L6	don't want the witness to be confused by that.
L7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I think with that
L8	caveat the witness can answer to the best of his
L9	ability and the best of his knowledge.
20	THE WITNESS: So what's the question
21	again, please?
22	MR. BENTSON: Can it be read back, Your
23	Honor?
24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine.
25	(Record read back as requested.)

#### EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 495

A I'm not sure really how to answer your
question. I'm not sure to the extent that Crowley or
Foss provide launch services except for I do know a
significant amount to the pilots. I'm not sure what
the annual report would sustain for Argosy, but I do
know that our revenues have decreased. I do know that
ships coming into the Puget Sound have decreased.

There's many factors that are impacting our business, and if this MEI application was granted and if the revenues that they project are taken from our bottom line, I don't see how two launch service companies could survive.

Q And that's what I'm trying to get at. What's the area in which you're saying two launch services can't survive?

A Well, that's a -- I would have to ask you what's the area? To me it's -- no launch service provider has been able to sustain themselves in a significant port. There were other launch providers. They've, for multiple reasons, put their businesses up for sale, gone out of business. It's a very fluctuating-type business.

In other words, there's -- there are demands in certain ports. They're seasonal. They're very much seasonal. Whether it's an oil port or a grain

#### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 496**

port, they are very much seasonal. And if you
don't if you're not diversified as a launch company
and large enough to have facilities and locations
throughout Puget Sound, when you're very slow in Port
Angeles right now we're very, very, very slow in
Port Angeles and have been for months. So we can only
hope that our south sound grain ports are busy. If
they're in their off seasons, then, hopefully, our
north sound oil ports are busy.
Historically, no launch service has been able
to sustain itself in a single port of operation. So
it may seem a little complicated because it's Puget
Sound, but it's a geographically, there are huge
differences in the types of services that are types
of ships that are calling on the different ports.
Q Okay.
A . I leave a libra anancement

- A I hope I've answered your question.
- Q Unfortunately, no, but that may be the fault of the questioner. Maybe we can unpack it a little bit. Do you know which -- what are the territories you understand that MEI is applying to provide launch services in?
- 23 A I believe Anacortes.
- Q Are there any other territories that you believe they're applying to operate in?

## EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 497 1 A I don't think so. 2 Q So when you say that you do not believe the 3 territories in which MEI is applying can support two 4 full-time viable launch providers, you're referring 5 just to Anacortes? 6 A No. I'm referring to any port in Puget Sound 7 couldn't sustain two viable launch operators. 8 Q Including Anacortes? A Including -- yeah, that was -- yes. 10 Q The -- and you're -- Arrow is the exclusive 11 launch service provider in Anacortes? 12 A No. Because we have the competition from the 13 tugs in Anacortes a lot. 14 Q So you can see my confusion there? 15 A lapologize. I can't. 16 Q You say that it can't support more than one, 17 but you're now telling me there's more than one. 18 Which is it? 19 A There's one certificated launch service 20 provider in Anacortes that's operating today that I 21 know of. I believe the Staff's documentation shows 22 that there's actually other certificates. I don't

know what the other launch providers are doing. I

know if you put -- maybe it's -- all I can tell you is

that if there's a further erosion of the revenues for

23

24

## **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON** 498 1 Arrow Launch, it could and would be very devastating. 2 Q Is it your testimony today that there are 3 other launch service providers operating in Anacortes 4 besides Arrow? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Can you identify those other launch service 7 providers? 8 A Crowley, Foss. Q Anyone else? 10 A Not that I'm aware of. 11 Q Now, so it's the Anacortes area that you're 12 referring to that you don't think can support two 13 launch service providers or any single port. You've 14 clarified that. 15 A Thank you. 16 Q And you give some reasons for that in your 17 testimony here on page 4; isn't that correct? 18 A Yes. 19 Q One of those reasons is mergers in the 20 marketplace. That's the first reason you identify? 21 A Yes.

A Mergers have resulted in fewer ships calling

Q And the reason you identify that reason is --

you're implicit in that is that mergers will result in

fewer ships calling on the port; isn't that right?

22

23

24

## **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON** 499

- on the port, on Puget Sound as a port.
  - Q How about on Anacortes?
- A On Anacortes, yes.
  - Q Which mergers have caused fewer ships to call on Anacortes?

A There at one time was three different companies all operated by British Petroleum -Keystone -- I apologize. I hope I can remember the other name, because there was three different ship companies. Keystone -- three different ship companies, and they've all merged into Alaska Tanker Company. That's one of them.

Other companies have flat stopped providing service. Texaco, Chevron no longer provide service. ARCO has sold, and they've downsized to five ships where ARCO used to have a fleet of about nine to ten. SeaRiver Maritime used to have a huge fleet. They've downsized quite a bit. And maybe that's not a merge, but they've downsized huge. They used to have five or six ships that call on Puget Sound, and now they're not even calling on Puget Sound.

We have had one SeaRiver ship this year for about four days, and last year I don't think we had them at all, so reorganization of shipping lines. The mergers. Keystone -- and I apologize. I just can't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

23

24

25

#### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 500**

think of the name. There were three major shipping
companies that all operated about five to seven ships
of their own giving you about 15-plus ships, 16,
almost 20 ships have now formed ATC, and they operate
four ships. That's the major that's the main
merger.

- Q Are you aware of any mergers in which you had companies that merged together into one entity but it didn't result in a decrease of vessel traffic, you just had the same number of vessels operating under the same company name?
- A No.
  - Q That's never happened in your experience?
- 14 A No.
  - Q Do you have -- you testified that the number of ships operating is decreasing. Do you know specifically that it's decreasing in Anacortes?
- 18 A The number of ship visits, yes.
  - Q When you say it's decreasing, what time period are you considering when you judge that decrease?
- 21 A That was an annual basis.
- 22 Q So between 2015 and 2016?
  - A And even prior to that. I would say that the peak -- I'm going off of memory here. I'm going to say the peak traffic was 2011, 2012. I'm just going

Page: 501

	DENITOON		ENA
EXAMINATION BY	DENIOUN	/ DARIMUN	501

off of memory. It may be somewhere in this document, but it was quite a few years ago. And it's just been on a steady decrease since then.

Q You also mention in your testimony on page 4 another reason you give is that larger ships are now being used.

#### A Yes.

Q And it's your testimony that larger ships being used results in fewer ships and thus a decrease in launch services required?

A Puget Sound has a stipulation that no more than 120,000 deadweight tonnage ship can come into Puget Sound. What all of the majors have done is they've built bigger ships, 225s. Most of them are, like, 225,000 deadweight tons.

And what they'll do is instead of having two

120s -- ARCO was the perfect example of that. Most of

ARCO's ships were 120 deadweight tons, 90,000

deadweight tons, or 70,000 deadweight tons. What they

did is ConocoPhillips built larger

225,000-deadweight-ton vessels. Those vessels that go

to Valdez, load a full load of cargo, and then go to

Los Angeles or Long Beach and discharge and discharge

either a partial load so then they can come into the

Puget Sound. And they have dual load marks, and

<b>EXAMINATION</b>	RY RENT	AH / MOS	RMON	502
CARIVINATION	DI DENI	SUN / NA	RIVION	JUZ

they're calling them a 120. And then they'll allow it into Puget Sound.

Sometimes that same ship will actually go -or reverse that. It will go to Valdez and just load
to the 120,000-deadweight-ton limit and then come to
Puget Sound. But it's reduced -- the federal
government allowing the multiple load lines on a
single vessel has made it now you don't need two 120s.

You can buy a 225 and run it as a 120 when it fits your market needs to come into Puget Sound.

Q But if I understood you correctly, you can't bring the 225 to Puget Sound?

A You can bring that same ship into Puget Sound. It's registered both -- many of the class societies will call your ship a 225 if it's loaded to a 225 level. But when you only load it to a 120 level, even though it has the ability to carry 225,000 deadweight tons of cargo, you load it to 120, you're legal to come into Puget Sound. So that signal, 225, can replace two 120s or a couple of 70s.

Q Do larger ships sometimes require more launch services?

A No.

Q Larger ships never require more crew?

A Actually, the crewing is about the same. Most

2.

EXAMINATION BY	BENTSON	/ HARMON	503

of if anything, in fact, since 1989 the U.S. crews
have been able to downsize slightly. They used to
have 37, approximately 37, crew persons. Today
they're 28 to 30. And with the advent of the ATBs,
ATBs they run a nine-person crew.

Q But it's not your testimony today that since 1989 the demand for launch services has been decreasing in Puget Sound?

A I believe what I said from 2011 it's been decreasing, about 2011. It did do a peak. When we purchased the business -- it's not so much that the pie is getting smaller. The pie is about the same. Whether it's 1989 or 2017, the pie is the same. Arrow's size of the pie got a little bit bigger.

As a matter of fact, the pie is getting a little smaller since about 2011, but Arrow's pie -- because we had to, to be able to sustain ourself because we would have been out of business. We would have been one of those failed companies if we would have stayed only in Anacortes. We would have been one of those failed companies if we stayed only in Port Angeles.

Port Angeles right now is virtually zero revenues for months. I think today we have an American tanker there. We're blessed we have an

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 504
1	Ameri	can tanker there today. You can see what I'm
2	saying	g. There's months upon months where Seattle or
3	Tacom	na there are zero ships, nothing.
4	Q S	So let me see if I understand your pie analogy
5	that yo	ou just testified to. So you're saying that
6	Arrow	the growth we've seen in Arrow is because the
7	size of	Arrow's pie has been growing?
8	Αl	Jh-huh, yes.
9	Q A	And that's because of you started to is
10	that gr	owth coming from other launch service providers
11	no long	ger competing with you?
12	A 1	No. It's because our seeing that we're going
13	to go	out of business in Anacortes only, so we've
14	sough	t other opportunities.
15	Q /	Was there a time when you only operated in
16	Anaco	rtes?
17	A	Yes, there was.
18	Q F	For how long was that?
19	A F	Probably not very long, just several months
20	when	we first started getting going. Actually, our
21	custor	mers said we would like to see you in Port
22	Angel	es as well.
23	Q \	When you purchased the Seawolf certificate or

the entire sound or just Anacortes?

transferred the Seawolf certificate, did that cover

24

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 505
1	Α	The entire Puget Sound.
2	Q	But it took a few months before you started
3	opera	ating in other ports?
4	Α	Yes, sir.
5	Q	How many years until you were operating across
6	all the	e ports in Puget Sound?
7	Α	We right away started in Anacortes
8	imme	ediately. And within several months, we stationed
9	at lea	est one boat in 1989 in Port Angeles. And
LO	prob	ably within two years maybe, three years at the
L1	most, we stationed a boat in Seattle. And there were	
L2	multi	ple providers in those ports at that time as well
L3	in Se	attle and Tacoma, but our customers said your
L4	servi	ce is superior. We love you in Port Angeles.
L5	We'd	like to see you in Seattle.
L6	Q	So when we talk about your pie analogy and
L7	Arrov	v's pie growing, that all came from just operating
L8	in nev	w ports?
L9	Α	Not all of it, no.
20	Q	Where did the other growth come from?
21	Α	Some ancillary sales, you know, some waste
22	strea	m management, some other opportunities like that.
23	Q	It didn't come from eliminating competition?

business -- I can't tell you what percentage the

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

A I would assume that as they went out of

24

б

EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 506		
previous launch services were providing versus and/or		
when we got a significant portion of the launch		
services because it was a slow process over several		
years.		
Q So there were some launch service providers		
that went out of business over that time?		
A Yes.		
Q And that contributed to Arrow's pie growing?		
A Possibly.		
Q And then you said at some point Arrow's pie		
that growth peaked?		
A I would I would say so. If you want to put		
it that way, yeah. I'd put it like that. The pie		
I kind of look at the amount of ships that come into		
Puget Sound as a pie. That's why I'm telling you in		
my statement I feel that the pie is getting smaller is		
because there's less ships coming into Puget Sound.		
Q And that shrinking pie began in 2011, if I		
understand your testimony correctly?		
A Approximately, yes, sir.		
Q On page 14 when you talk about the number of		
tankers, it's a statement you can turn there if you		
want. You may just remember. You testified that you		
looked at the number of oil tankers, and you compared		

the number of oil tankers -- the 285 oil tankers in

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 507	
1	1992 and said in 2015 there were only 89 oil tankers.	
2	Do you recall that part of your testimony?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q And you said that that was a 69 percent	
5	decrease in the market?	
6	A That's correct.	
7	Q What I'm over that time period, what I'm	
8	having a hard time understanding is it seems Arrow has	
9	done quite well during that period.	
LO	A Was that a question? I apologize.	
L1	Q Yes. Is that correct, that Arrow has done	
L2	quite well between 1992 and 2015?	
L3	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, quite well	
L4	isn't really very well defined. Could we have some	
L5	parameters on the question so it could be answered?	
L6	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, may I be	
L7	heard?	
L8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.	
L9	MR. BENTSON: If Mr. Wiley has an	
20	objection to the question, that's fine, but if the	
21	issue	
22	MR. WILEY: Object to the form.	
23	MR. BENTSON: If the I'm not sure	
24	that's an objection when we're outside of a	
25	deposition. But when it comes to a question, if it's	

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 508
1	an issue of understanding, it should be the witness
2	who determines whether or not the question is
3	understandable, not his counsel.
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Did you understand
5	the question, Mr. Harmon?
6	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I really
7	don't. May I explain to you why I don't understand
8	the question?
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine.
10	THE WITNESS: Quite well to you may be
11	significantly different to me. I would disagree and
12	say not quite well. Arrow Launch has operated under
13	the revenue guidelines of the Utilities and
14	Transportation Commission.
15	They've established a rate methodology about
16	27 years ago working with them of a 7 percent net
17	profit before taxes and interest on the bulk of our
18	business. So you take interest and taxes out of that
19	7 percent and that brings out a percentage of quite
20	well to a real tight margin. I think that we've been
21	innovative. We've worked really hard.
22	Quite well is really we're blessed to be in
23	the Northwest doing what we like to do. My wife and I
24	bought Arrow Launch because we wanted to surround

ourselves with people that like to take care of other

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 509
1	peopl	e in the launch industry, but there's huge
2	sacrif	ices. It's a 24/365. So quite well we've
3	done	if I say fine, I don't know if I'm answering
4	his qu	uestion. We've done okay. I wouldn't say quite
5	well, no, sir.	
6	That's why it's a vague question, and I	
7	apologize. I'm not trying to not answer your	
8	question, but it's a very difficult it's real tight	
9	margins.	
10	Q	Your answer is perfectly fine, Mr. Harmon.
11	And I'll make you a deal. If I don't understand your	
12	answer, I'll ask you to clarify; and if you don't	
13	understand my question, please ask me to clarify. We	
14	can d	lo that moving forward.
15	Α	Fair enough.
16	Q	Now, in 1989 when you purchased Arrow Launch,
17	you g	rossed \$169,000 that year?
18	Α	Yes, sir.
19	Q	And you now gross \$6.5 million a year.
20	Α	Gross revenues of regulated and nonregulated
21	sales	in that time frame, yes.
22	Q	And the 169,000 figure in '89 was regulated
23	and n	onregulated services; correct?
24	Α	It would have probably been predominantly
25	regul	ated. We've had to think out of the box to try

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 510	
1	to fig	ure out how we're going to stay in business.
2	Q	There weren't other revenues in '89 that
3	you're	e not capturing that number, are there?
4	Α	No, sir.
5	Q	So by my calculation, the growth between '89
6	and y	our 6.5 million year is, roughly, 4,000 percent.
7	Does	that seem close enough to you of an estimate?
8	Α	Fine.
9	Q	It's certainly a lot more than 7 percent a
10	year?	
11	Α	There's some mathematical issues. There's a
12	price	of a dollar today versus in 1989, but it still
13	come	es down to a 7 percent net profit.
14	Q	But that increase identified is correct? It's
15	in you	ur testimony.
16	Α	The increase that's in here is very accurate
17	testir	mony, yes, sir, on regulated and nonregulated
18	revei	nues for Arrow Launch that are reported to the
19	UTC.	
20	Q	And your fleet has grown during that time as
21	well?	
22	Α	Yes, sir.
23	Q	You started out in '89 with just three
24	vessels?	
25	Α	That's correct.

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 511
1	Q	You now have 12 vessels according to your
2	testin	nony.
3	Α	That's correct.
4	Q	So that's a growth of about 400 percent in
5	terms	s of your fleet size.
6	Α	Okay. If you say so.
7	Q	Three times four.
8		How many employees did you have in '89?
9	Α	I can't recall in 1989 how many employees we
10	had.	
11	Q	Did you have less than ten?
12	Α	I couldn't honestly tell you how many
13	empl	loyees we had in 1989.
14	Q	Do you have any idea if it was less than 20?
15	Α	For the sake of making you happy, we'll say it
16	that	was ten.
17	Q	You don't need to make me happy.
18	Α	So my answer is I can't remember exactly how
19	man	y employees we had in 1989.
20	Q	My question is a little different. I'm not
21	askin	g you to remember exactly how many employees you
22	had.	I'm asking if you remember if it was less than
23	ten?	
24	Α	I can't remember.
25	Q	Do you remember if it was less than 20?

## EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 512 1 A I can't remember. 2 Q Do you know if it was less than 42? 3 A I can't remember. 4 Q So you don't know if you've grown at all in 5 terms of the number of employees since 1989? 6 A I'm sure that we've grown the number of 7 employees that we have in 2017 versus 1989. 8 Q And you have 42 employees, as I understand 9 from your testimony, right now? 10 A FTEs, yes, full-time employees, yes. Plus 11 another handful of part-time. 12 Q And you know there's growth between 1989 and 13 today. You just don't know how much? 14 A Of the employee pool? 15 Q Yes. 16 A Yes. 17 Q You've recently entered into three new leases 18 in Port Angeles; isn't that right? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And each of those leases is for a five-year 21 term? 22 A Fifteen-year term. Excuse me. You're 23 correct. They're five years with two five-year 24 options. 25 Q And you requested the two five-year options?

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 513
1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Because you foresee yourself being there at
3	least	15 years?
4	Α	I hope so.
5	Q	And you have to pay monthly rent of those
6	lease	es?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And you have to post bond for utilities on
9	those	e issues?
LO	Α	Actually, the bond I'm not sure if it's for
L1	the u	itilities. It's a requirement of the municipality
L2	that	we made those leases with that you have a bond.
L3	lt's a	ctually a bond on the lease payments. It's not
L4	on th	ne utilities.
L5	Q	You have to pay excise taxes with respect to
L6	those	e leases?
L7	Α	Yes.
L8	Q	And to obtain those leases from the Port of
L9	Port .	Angeles, you had to make certain submissions?
20		MR. WILEY: Objection, Your Honor,
21	outsi	de the scope of direct and relevance.
22		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Bentson.
23		MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, the witness
24	testif	ied on direct that there's been the market is
25	dimir	nishing, and Arrow has been diminishing. It

EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON				

peaked in 2011. It's fighting for a smaller piece of the pie. I'm just trying to explore to cross-examine the witness on the basis of that if it's really true that Arrow is shrinking or whether it's expanding and growing.

MR. WILEY: Your Honor, what does facilities leases have to do with growth necessarily? People move their offices. I mean, I don't see any parallel between the fact that Arrow's volume in oil shipping has decreased versus their taking out leases in their home headquarters.

MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, if Mr. Wiley would like to clarify on redirect, the purpose of this could somehow show that it's not relevant when he argues it in his brief. That's fine. I think they're fair questions to ask about what Arrow Launch is doing as a business when we've had direct testimony given that they're shrinking.

MR. WILEY: Your Honor, he made constant objections about outside the scope of direct. I'm making one and making a record on that. This is not relevant to anything that was in the direct examination.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Where did these new leases come from? Where did this information come

1 | from, if not in direct?

MR. WILEY: They were filed as

cross-exhibits by the applicant, Your Honor.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And how did the applicant get that information, if not from the company?

MR. WILEY: Apparently, they either got it off the website. We checked to see if there had been a public records request at the port. There hadn't been, so it appears they got them off the website of the port.

MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, the Port of Port Angeles, that information was available online, and so I have a good faith -- the standard for asking questions on cross-examination would be I have a good faith basis for the questions I do. The witness has clarified that.

MR. WILEY: In depositions, that might be true, Mr. Bentson; but in Commission proceedings, we are not allowed to stray outside the scope of direct examination and cross-examination. We didn't put these issues or leases into the record, and we never raised discussion about Port of Port Angeles leases. They just are not relevant to the issues the Commission is addressing.

1	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I have to say
2	this: Relevance or not, Mr. Harmon has already
3	answered the fact that the questioning has already
4	gone too far to reel it back in. We're already there.
5	He's already admitted that the leases are out there.
6	I'm going to allow questioning for now, but I hope
7	that you're going to develop some relevancy.
8	Otherwise, I'm striking this, and we're cutting it
9	off.
10	MR. BENTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	BY MR. BENTSON:
12	Q Mr. Harmon, as part of applying for those
13	leases, did Arrow represent to the Port of Port
14	Angeles that at that time it currently had
15	40 employees?
16	A I can't remember how many there were
17	discussions with the property manager who would have
18	said, How many employees do you have or what are you
19	hoping to do in the future?
20	We would hope to grow our business. We would
21	hope to ironically, we're not anticipating the
22	launch service to grow. We're hoping to do some
23	other we're just hoping that we can sustain
24	ourselves. Those leases are replacement leases. We
25	had previously been in like facilities through private

#### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON** 517

entities, and those entities have either gotten one facility with BK. The other person retired, and we just -- it's a like-for-like swap of facilities.

The exact same facilities that we leased in those three leases are the exact same types of facilities that we've been in for the last almost 27 years. So, if anything, we did it -- it actually slightly reduced our expenses, because we've seen this decrease in revenue. And that's the fact of the matter. It's just a like-for-like swap of the facilities.

Q And as part of your application for those leases, did you also project that you would be increasing your workforce to 50 employees in the next three years?

A We had discussions that we hope to be able to -- we had discussions all over the board regarding what the use of the facilities would be for.

Remember, this is with the property manager, not directly with the Commissioners. And so they would say, What do you want to use the facilities for? What are you going to do with them? How many employees do you have? What are the positives that you see maybe happening? But we actually had to share the negatives that we may be see happening. So we were all over the

EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 518
board in disclosing to the port staff the intended use
of the facilities.
Q My question was a lot narrowing than your
answer. My question was about the projection that you
currently had 40 employees and you projected in three
years you would have 50. Did you represent that to
the Port of Port Angeles?
A Is that in my testimony?
Q It's not in your testimony. I'm asking you
whether or not you made that representation.
A Well, if it's not in my testimony, I don't
know I apologize. Because, like I said, I've
represented quite a few different things to the port
regarding those leases.
Q So is the answer you don't know whether you
made that representation?
A That would be my answer then.
Q Do you anticipate Arrow to continue to grow?
A I couldn't I honestly couldn't answer that.
Not that I don't want to. I don't know what's going
to happen. I see our revenues decreasing. For the
first time ever in 2016, we had to lay some people

off. We've never laid people off before ever, and we

laid some captains off, some deckhands off. And we're

devastated about that. We've never laid anybody off,

## **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON** 519 1 and that was directly in relationship to reduction in 2 income. 3 Q From launch services? 4 A Yes, sir. 5 Q How many employees did you have before the layoff? 6 7 A Probably over 50 full-time. Right about 47, I 8 think it was, 48, 47, 48 FTE. 9 Q So you went from 47 to 42? 10 A Approximately, yes. 11 Q When was that? 12 A I believe it was January 2016. 13 Q Do you recall what Arrow's gross revenues were 14 in 2011? 15 A No, I do not. 16 Q Do you recall if it was greater than 6.5 million? 17 18 A No, it was not. 19 Q Was it lower than 6.5 million? 20 A Yes, it was. 21 Q Was it more than million dollars lower than 22 6.5 million? 23 A lapologize. I couldn't tell you. 24 Q Do you have any -- given some of the hard 25 times, do you have any plans to sell any of your

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 520
1	vesse	els?
2	Α	Not currently, no.
3	Q	Did you have two of your vessels listed as for
4	sale	earlier in 2016?
5	Α	They were for sale, but they would never have
6	been	sold without either a replacement vessel. That's
7	why	we didn't sell them because we couldn't find
8	adeq	uate replacement vessels for those two boats.
9	Q	During the period that you had listed those
10	two v	ressels for sale, were they still providing launch
11	servi	ces?
12	Α	Absolutely.
13	Q	Do you have plans to lay off additional
14	empl	oyees due to this diminishing need for launch
15	servi	ces?
16	Α	I hope not.
17	Q	Do you have any plans to sell any vessels?
18	Α	No.
19	Q	Let's go to page 11 of your testimony. And if
20	you l	ook at line 3, that's where we're going to start.
21	Betw	een line 3 and then the next page, page 12,
22	line 1	2, you're talking about operations in Anacortes
23	in this	s general portion of your testimony. Do you see
24	that s	section of your testimony that I'm identifying?
25	Α	I'm sorry. Where did you say specifically

## **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON** 521 1 talking about Anacortes? 2 Q Begins at 11, 3. 3 A On page 11, line 3? 4 Q Yes. And then ending at page 12, 12. So the 5 questions will be on that section of your --6 A And then ending on page 12, line 12? 7 Q Yes. That's the section I want to focus your 8 attention on. 9 A Give me a moment. 10 Q So --11 A Could you give me a moment, please. 12 Q Sure. I'm sorry. 13 A Thank you. Ending on 12, 12? 14 Q 12? 15 A Page 12, line 12? 16 Q Yes. 17 A One moment. Thank you. 18 Q Okay. If you look at page 11, line 5, you say 19 that Arrow typically keeps four boats in each of our 20 three regions; is that correct? 21 A Yes, sir. 22 Q And you go on to testify that four of those 23 boats are kept in the north sound, which in 24 parentheses you refer to Anacortes? 25 A Yes, sir.

Q If you turn to page 12 and you look at line 6,
you say that there were actually only a few times in
the year that four boats are needed in Anacortes. And
in those rare instances, as noted, Arrow routinely has
four boats in Anacortes. Did I read that correctly?

A Yes, sir.

Q So if four boats are rarely needed in Anacortes, why do you keep four boats there?

A Because if I need that fourth boat, it's there. The way that our customer base has worked out is it works very efficiently to have four boats in the north sound, four in the west sound, four in the south sound. And then based on weather conditions, customer needs, we can relocate as best fits the customers.

Q So if four vessels are typically there but rarely required, does one of those vessels typically sit idly throughout the day?

A Quite often two or three vessels sit idly for all day, days upon days, and then you'll end up with several days that you need two boats, two hours that you need three boats. This gives us the flexibility if we need that fourth boat. If we had a starter fail, if we had a mechanical issue, if we needed to send a boat to another location.

So it gives us the flexibility to move vessels

<b>EXAMINATION BY BENTSON /</b>	HARMON	523
---------------------------------	--------	-----

around to match our customers' needs. So basing them in these satellites of Anacortes, Port Angeles, and Seattle/Tacoma, that works very efficiently for our customers' needs.

Q Are there multiple refineries in the Anacortes area?

#### A Yes.

Q Does that increase the amount of petroleum traffic that you see in that area?

A I'm sorry. I don't understand your question, because I'm not intimately aware of the input and output of each actual refinery and what it's going to need on -- so you'll have to restate your question, because I apologize. It was unclear to me.

Q No apology necessary, and that's what I prefer you do if you feel you don't understand the question.

Do more petroleum vessels, vessels carrying petroleum, call on the Port of Anacortes than would normally call on a port of that size?

A That size, what do you mean? You can't really compare Anacortes is a -- there's four refineries in the north sound, but there's actually refineries in the south sound. And there's also storage facilities, multiple storage facilities, British Petroleum has a location. Conoco has a location. Kinder Morgan has a

## **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON** 524 1 location, Manchester Fueling Depot in Seattle. 2 So trying to say a port of this size doesn't 3 really fit into this question. So I apologize. Your 4 question is still I don't quite understand what you're 5 asking me. 6 Q It's okay. You said there's four refineries 7 in the north sound? 8 A Yes, sir. Q So there's a relationship between the 10 refineries and the vessels that carry petroleum into 11 that region; is that correct? 12 A Yes, sir. 13 Q Are there other areas in the Puget Sound that 14 have four refineries? 15 A They have multiple refineries. I'm not 16 positive of the actual refining capabilities of Kinder 17 Morgan. I know the Manchester Fueling Depot, I 18 believe, is a just a fueling depot, but there's 19 multiple refineries. Tacoma has a couple of 20 refineries. U.S. Oil, Targa, so there's other

Q Well, in your testimony on page 11, you divide your operations into three regions.

refineries in -- so trying to base it as a port --

A Yes, sir.

21

22

23

24

25

Q You identify the north sound?

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 525	
1	Α	Uh-huh.	
2	Q	You identify the south sound; yes?	
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	And you identify the west sound; correct?	
5	A	Yes, sir.	
6	Q	You just testified there's four refineries in	
7	the n	orth sound.	
8	Α	Yes, sir.	
9	Q	Are there four refineries in the south sound?	
10	A	No, not to my knowledge.	
11	Q	Are there four refineries in the west sound?	
12	A	No.	
13	Q	Okay. Thank you. Is Crowley your largest	
14	custo	mer in Anacortes?	
15	A	I don't believe so.	
16	Q	Who is your largest customer in Anacortes?	
17	A	By Anacortes I couldn't tell you. I'd have to	
18	go look at my books. I didn't prep for that question.		
19	I didn't think it would be asked who was our largest		
20	Anac	cortes customer, so I don't know. I don't know. I	
21	don't	have that answer for you.	
22	Q	Were you in the hearing today when Mr. Aikin	
23	testifi	ed regarding triple booking and triple	
24	charg	ging?	
25	Α	Yes.	

EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 52	BY BENTSON / HARMON	526
------------------------------------	---------------------	-----

Q	Do you	recall	that	testimony?
---	--------	--------	------	------------

A Yes.

2.

Q Do you disagree with his characterization that that's the way Arrow bills?

A I don't know if you would call it triple booking or triple charging. That was a term that I hadn't heard before. Arrow does have, as MEI has applied for, a line in its tariff. This is a rate design issue that's been blessed by the UTC staff that it's per vessel.

So when I say vessel, I mean, for the sake of this discussion and for the Court, I'd like to say that a vessel is a ship and a launch is a launch. So the rate design allows the launch to simultaneously go to multiple vessels and bill each vessel.

So if you want to call that triple billing -or what did you call it? Triple booking? I don't
know if I would call it triple booking or triple,
because it may only be two ships or maybe five, but
it's not. But so that's a rate design question that
MEI has applied for the exact same rate.

Q Let me ask you a hypothetical and see if I understand your testimony. So if there are two vessels sitting out in the north sound and there are three personnel, say, two from Crowley and one from

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 527
1	another customer, and they need one of these
2	personnel and one of the Crowley personnel need to get
3	to Vessel A and the other Crowley personnel needs to
4	get to Vessel B and they all go out on the same
5	launch, does Crowley have to pay you twice and the
6	other customer pay you once for that one trip?
7	A Each by rate design, each ship is assessed
8	a two-hour minimum as MEI would be doing.
9	Q So does Crowley have two charges, then, one
10	for each vessel?
11	A Yes, they would.
12	Q And the other company is also charged for that
13	trip?
14	A I'm sorry. Maybe I misunderstood your
15	question. It sounded like we went to two Crowley
16	vessels.
17	Q Yes. There were three employees, two from
18	Crowley and one from another company, say Foss.
19	A Okay.
20	Q If the Crowley and the Foss employee need to
21	go to Vessel A and the other Crowley employee has to
22	go to Vessel B and Arrow takes one launch to do both
23	in one trip, there would be three charges; correct?
24	A No, sir, incorrect. There would be two
25	charges. Vessel A would get a two-hour minimum bill.

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 528		
1	and Vessel B would get a two-hour minimum bill.			
2	That's	s all that's assessed. That's why when you're		
3	callin	g it double or triple, I'm confusing, and I		
4	would	dn't call it that.		
5	Q	You're limiting it to the vessel, not to the		
6	indivi	duals?		
7	A	Yes, sir.		
8	Q	And it's your testimony today that by		
9	regula	ation you're required to bill it that way?		
10	A	That's correct. I didn't say by regulation.		
11	I said	by tariff. By our UTC-approved tariff as is		
12	the s	ame tariff that MEI has applied for.		
13	Q	You received the solicitation last year from		
14	Crowl	ley?		
15	A	Two solicitations, sir.		
16	Q	There was one that Mr. Aikin testified to		
17	earlie	r today. Was that one of those two or do you		
18	know	?		
19		MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I'm just noting		
20	for the	e record that these are all way in excess of the		
21	direct	exam testimony. If you disallow the objection,		
22	l just	need to make a record here. We're going so far		
23	outsic	de on all these questions.		
24		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I would say,		

though, yesterday we dealt with and even today dealt

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 529
1	with the solicitation, and it was discussed who should
2	actually be answering these questions. We don't have
3	Ms. Evans here today.
4	MR. WILEY: I understand.
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And there's a
6	question as to whether or not Crowley responded to the
7	solicitation not Crowley. I apologize. Arrow
8	responded to the solicitation, and so I feel that this
9	is relevant.
10	MR. BENTSON: And, Your Honor, I would
11	just add on page 12 there's a reference to how the
12	customers are satisfied with their needs and
13	communication with customers, so I think it is
14	relevant to ask about the substance of those
15	communications.
16	BY MR. BENTSON:
17	Q Mr. Harmon, you received you said you
18	received two solicitations from Crowley last year?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q What was the first solicitation?
21	A The first solicitation was, basically, for a
22	national nationwide waste management contract.
23	Q What was the second solicitation?
24	A The second solicitation was for launch
25	services and other ancillary services

Q	I just want to focus on that second
solici	tation.

## A Okay.

Q Did you understand when you received it that Crowley had sent that to multiple launch service providers?

A I was unaware of who they may or may not have sent it to.

Q Do you recall responding to that solicitation?

A Yes, sir.

Q How did you respond to that solicitation?

A I had a couple of questions regarding the solicitation, drafted my response, less the answers to the -- my questions. I didn't get answers from -- and the RFP requested that the responder contact Lindy Evans for specific questions. We sent -- I sent the questions to -- when I say "we," my staff and I prepared it. Sent our questions to Ms. Evans.

After -- there was -- in the RFP, I believe,
there was a time line of which they were -- questions
needed to be submitted. We submitted the questions
and never heard on anything on the questions, so I
sent an inquiry to Ms. Evans about are we going to get
the answer to our questions.

And I ended up with an e-mail back from

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 531
1	Crowley's counsel that they wouldn't be answering the
2	questions, and so then I submitted my final response
3	to the best that I could not having complete
4	information on the RFP. I submitted it to Lindy Evans
5	with a read receipt, to Marc Aikin with a read
6	receipt, to my staff, to myself.
7	When I say my staff, my operations manager so
8	we just had our own copy. And I can't think of who
9	else, but I actually have if the Court would like
10	to see it, I have a picture of the e-mail that I sent
11	to Mr. Aikin regarding that submission.
12	MR. WILEY: Yes, Your Honor. We will
13	mark and identify that when he is at the next
14	break. Okay?
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So you're asking to
16	have this as one of Mr. Harmon's exhibits?
17	MR. WILEY: Yes, Your Honor. It's come
18	into dispute, and I think it's very relevant.
19	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Are there
20	objections to this exhibit?
21	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, I don't know.
22	I would like to see it first myself. I haven't seen
23	it, so if we could see it at the break, we could talk
24	about it. Without seeing it, I can't say, but I don't

necessarily have an objection to it.

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 532
1		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Anyone else?
2		All right. That's fine.
3	BY M	IR. BENTSON:
4	Q	Mr. Harmon, I just want to break down that
5	answ	er was there's a lot in there. I'd like to
6	unpa	ck it.
7		So with respect to you did receive the
8	solici	tation about launch services; correct?
9	Α	Yes.
LO	Q	And if I understand you correctly, you
L1	respo	onded initially not with answers to the questions
L2	but w	rith your own questions about the solicitation; is
L3	that r	ight?
L4	A	That's correct.
L5	Q	And then in response to that, you received a
L6	respo	onse from Crowley's general counsel saying they
L7	were	n't going to answer your questions; is that right?
L8	Α	That's correct.
L9	Q	And then you after that provided a response,
20	to the	e best of your knowledge, to the questions you
21	recei	ved?
22	Α	To the RFP.
23	Q	To the original set of questions?
24	Α	Yes, to the two original RFPs.
25	Q	Do you recall what your questions to Crowley

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 533
1	were	in response to solicitation?
2	A	At this moment, I don't.
3	Q	Did you bring a copy of that e-mail with you
4	today	/?
5	A	I don't. I don't have it with me right now.
6	Q	Is that something you could easily access?
7	Α	Yeah, I think I could get it.
8	Q	Okay. Did you interpret Crowley's
9	solici	tation to in any way the questions to be
LO	critica	al of Arrow services?
L1	Α	No.
L2	Q	So the questions didn't have anything to do
L3	with -	your questions that you raised back didn't
L4	have	anything to do with being upset about being
L5	ques	tioned?
L6	A	No, no. As a matter of fact, I'd have to go
L7	revis	it, but I believe they just said they wouldn't be
L8	answ	vering any questions. So I wasn't sure if other
L9	peop	le asked questions as well or if it was just
20	direc	ted to my questions.
21	Q	Prior to that time, had you communicated at
22	all wi	th Lindy Evans about Crowley service in
23	Anac	ortes?
24	Α	Yes.
25	$\circ$	Had she ever expressed dissatisfaction?

#### EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 534

A Quite the contrary. Quite often she praised
our services, thanks for the instant-on services.
There was times she would call and say she had forgot
to schedule this or that somebody else needed
something right away and you guys are awesome. She
recently raved about our slops removal and the process
and specifically the rates.

Q Outside of what you've heard in this hearing, are you aware of any other criticisms from Ms. Evans?

A I wouldn't call it a criticism, no. I wouldn't call it a criticism. Outside of this arena, no.

Q Just what you've heard in testimony while you've been sitting in here?

A Yes, sir.

Q Was it important to you to know why Crowley wanted to send that solicitation to you and other launch service providers?

A I figured that was their procurements or contracts people. I wasn't surprised. There's other agencies that -- other shipping customers that want to make sure that they have their liability portions with their vendors their I's dotted and their T's crossed, so I assumed it was predominantly that. It also did include certain sections of that original waste stream

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 535
1	management portion in this RFP. So I assumed that it
2	was just tying the two together for a corporate
3	structure. I wasn't surprised at it.
4	Q Are you surprised that Crowley is supporting
5	MEI's certificate application?
6	A I was surprised that anybody I wasn't
7	surprised to hear that Mr. Aikin praised our services.
8	I wasn't surprised to hear his subordinates who have
9	told me recently what amazing services that we do. I
10	wasn't surprised that Lindy has said we do an awesome
11	job.
12	I was surprised that somebody wouldn't
13	yeah, I was surprised that they were supporting MEI,
14	but I wasn't surprised on the part of trying to get
15	more favorable rates on other services.
16	Q I want to turn now to page 16 of your
17	testimony. If you go down to beginning on line 14,
18	then we'll go through that page and on to the second
19	page.
20	A So start on page 16.
21	Q Line 14.
22	A And then go to when?
23	Q The relevant section ends 17, 19. Do you want
24	to read that first?
25	A Yes, sir.

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 536
1	Q	Let me know when you're done.
2	Α	Okay.
3		Okay. Thank you.
4	Q	Now, if you go to page 17, lines 13 through 15
5	that y	ou just read, it says in that second the
6	seco	nd first full sentence that begins on line 13:
7	Actua	ally, both the captain and deckhand were also
8	laund	ch crew employees of Arrow who both understood how
9	to sa	fely operate a launch vessel. Did I read that
10	corre	ctly?
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	So that's referring to the time that Arrow
13	chart	ered the Island Commuter II back in 2000?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	And in your testimony, you said that the
16	capta	nin and the deckhand were both Arrow employees; is
17	that r	ight?
18	Α	Yes, sir.
19	Q	The captain's name was Loran Cap?
20	Α	That's correct.
21	Q	And the deckhand's name was Max Joyce?
22	Α	Correct.
23	Q	Are you familiar with the difference between a
24	time	charter and a bareboat charter?
25	Α	Not specifically with the time charter, no.

1	Bareboat charter, fairly, but so to distinguish
2	between the two, no, I'm not an expert in charters.
3	Q Do you understand that on a time charter
4	I'm going to explain the difference real quick so we
5	have the same terminology. On a time charter, the
6	charter uses the vessel and crew of the owner; and on
7	the bareboat charter, the charter just gets the boat.
8	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I'm objecting.
9	The counsel is testifying. The witness has said he
10	doesn't know the difference. Now counsel is trying to
11	instruct him on the difference. He's asked the
12	question. The witness doesn't know. We're hearing
13	testimony now from the counsel.
14	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, I was
15	defining my terms so that Mr. Harmon would understand
16	the question.
17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll let it proceed
18	for now.
19	BY MR. BENTSON:
20	Q Does that distinction make sense, Mr. Harmon,
21	between the time charter and the bareboat charter?
22	A As you defined it to move forward with this
23	discussion, go ahead.
24	Q Do you understand that the Island Commuter II

was time chartered?

25

#### EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 538

A I can all I can tell you regarding that
incident is that Loran Cap at that time was working
for me full-time, not for Island Commuter. Whether he
was on the Island Commuter as he also worked in the
summer months it sounds like this went off. It
just changed. Sorry.

He also worked in the summer months for Pacific Cruises Northwest -- or I can't remember the name of the entity at the time. I believe it was Island Commuter. But so -- but he worked in the wintertime, which that was, for Arrow Launch.

Q So it's your testimony today that Captain Cap was an Arrow Launch employee at the time of this charter?

A Who paid Loran's wage that day, I cannot recall, but I can tell you that in that time period he worked for us full-time.

Q Now, I don't have a separate copy of RSE-6, but your counsel may have a copy. RSE-6, it's Arrow's trial brief in that action.

THE WITNESS: Can I ask a question,

22 | Your Honor?

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Who are you asking the question?

MR. WILEY: Of the Court. Can I ask

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 539
1	what an incident which there was no fault assessed
2	ultimately 16 years ago has to do with the relevance
3	of this case, Your Honor?
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm allowing for
5	the time being Mr. Bentson to establish that
6	relevance. If at the if at a certain time he does
7	not establish that relevance, then the rest of the
8	testimony will be stricken as will the questions.
9	And we discussed this yesterday, Mr. Bentson,
10	so that should come as no surprise.
11	MR. BENTSON: Yes, your Honor, and I
12	think we're there.
13	MR. WILEY: So we're asking about a
14	trial brief at this point?
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Which I believe is
16	related to the incident 16 or 17 years ago, so, yes.
17	MR. WILEY: Correct. The trial brief
18	has been handed to the witness, RSE-6.
19	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Bentson.
20	BY MR. BENTSON:
21	Q And, Mr. Harmon, if you could, turn to page 4
22	of RSE-6. The page numbers are on the bottom of the
23	page.
24	A Okay.
25	Q And if you look under the subsection 3.

- discussion, Arrow involvement, and you go down to the bottom of the page and we're dealing with the third to last full sentence, so it begins on line 22 begins with "in fact." Do you see that on line 22?
  - A Yes.

- Q It says: In fact, in the past Island had performed launch service on behalf of Arrow with the Island Commuter II and Island crew.
- I'm going to go on and read the next sentence.

  Schmidt readily agreed to provide the vessel Island

  Commuter II and it's captain, Loran Cap. Since it was wintertime, Island Commuter did not have its regular summer workforce, so Schmidt asked Harmon if Arrow could provide a deckhand. Did I read that correctly?
- **A Yes.** 
  - Q So what that's referring to is a time where you time chartered a vessel from Captain Schmidt who testified here yesterday; correct?
  - A I can't recall whether it was a time charter or not, but I can recall that Loran Cap worked for Arrow Launch full-time then. It's a little bit semantics of a 16-year-old case whether a person that worked for Arrow Launch in the winter and Island Commuter in the summer on the same boat when he was working for me in the winter on my water taxis and

### **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 541**

familiar with that boat whether he was an Arrow employee or an Island Commuter employee.

But if that's what this shows, this was prepared by Boyer Mahan, which was the attorneys for our insurance company. It was not prepared for by me. So all I can tell you is the facts that revolve around the case, and those are the facts.

Q Okay. Can you turn to page 5 and look at line 12, the sentence that begins on line 12 about halfway through. It says: In this case, since the vessel was at all pertinent times under the control, possession, command, and navigation of Island's employee, Captain Cap, it is apparent that Arrow did not bareboat charter the Island Commuter II. Did I read that correctly?

# A That's what it says.

MR. WILEY: Your Honor, again, an objection to form of these questions. This is a legal brief which means it's a legal argument by the insurance company lawyer for Arrow. This is not facts. These are legal arguments. He's being asked to critique the validity of the status of time versus bareboat charter, which is part of the legal argument that's being cited in the brief.

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 542
1	heard?
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes.
3	MR. BENTSON: This is entirely factual
4	submission submitted by Arrow. Whether or not Captain
5	Cap was an employee, it's directly contrary. A
6	briefing Arrow submitted in front of a federal court
7	says the exact opposite of Mr. Harmon's testimony.
8	I'm allowed to point that out. That's a deep
9	inconsistency here.
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So are you
11	asking him to confirm what the brief says, or are you
12	asking him of what the brief is saying is correct?
13	MR. BENTSON: I'm asking which is true.
14	His testimony says that the employees were Arrow's
15	employees. His brief says that was filed on behalf of
16	his company by his attorneys who represented him as
17	the only client in that case, not as insurer under
18	Washington law, said that it was a time charter
	Washington law, said that it was a time charter,
19	hence, the employees belonged to Captain Schmidt. I'm
19 20	
	hence, the employees belonged to Captain Schmidt. I'm
20	hence, the employees belonged to Captain Schmidt. I'm asking which is true.
20 21	hence, the employees belonged to Captain Schmidt. I'm asking which is true.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm confused,
20 21 22	hence, the employees belonged to Captain Schmidt. I'm asking which is true.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm confused,  Mr. Wiley. Was this brief filed on behalf of Arrow or

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 543
1	company, the insurer, represents both the insured and
2	the insurer.
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. This was
4	filed as a trial brief of defendant.
5	MR. WILEY: Of Arrow Launch.
6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So this was filed
7	on Mr. Harmon's behalf?
8	MR. WILEY: Apparently so, Your Honor.
9	It is legal argument, though. It is not fact. It's
10	an argument made by a counsel for the insurance
11	company and Arrow in 2000 or 2001, whenever the brief
12	was filed.
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I think Mr. Harmon
14	can answer about the facts relating to the case, and
15	whether or not there's legal argument involved in
16	that, we'll address it at that time. But the facts of
17	the incident involved in the trial brief and the
18	summation and in the trial brief Mr. Harmon can
19	answer. So please go ahead, Mr. Bentson.
20	BY MR. BENTSON:
21	Q I'll ask a direct question of fact. If you
22	look on the bottom of page 5, beginning on line 23,
23	the sentence that begins there, it says: The
24	essential terms of the agreement it's referring to
25	the agreement between Arrow and Island Commuter

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 544
1	were or Island. Excuse me. The essential terms
2	were that Island would provide the vessel and the
3	captain while Arrow would provide the deckhand and pay
4	the charter hire. Did I read that correctly?
5	A You read this correctly. Yes, you did.
6	Q And so I'm asking: Is that statement true or
7	false?
8	A I can't tell you the argument that was being
9	made by the attorneys at the time.
10	Q I'm not asking for the argument. I'm asking
11	is it true or false that the essential terms of your
12	agreement with Captain Schmidt were that Island would
13	provide the vessel and the captain? Was that your
14	agreement?
15	MS. ENDEJAN: Your Honor, I've got to
16	chime in here in terms of the amount of time that this
17	inquiry is wasting for the Court and for everybody
18	because this has nothing to do with the seminal issue
19	in the case, which is whether or not within the past
20	12 months Arrow has failed or refused to furnish
21	reasonable and adequate service.
22	And this questioning is asking him about an
23	agreement that's not in evidence from 15 years ago for
24	which there's no evidence that he's ever seen it. And

I guess I'm just wondering where this is all leading

25

and how it possibly relates to the seminal issue in the case.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: As I said before,
Ms. Endejan, I'm allowing it up to a certain point.
Now, I'm hoping we're going to get to the relevant
part here. In the meantime, I do believe that
Mr. Harmon can answer as to the facts of an agreement

that -- to whether or not he remembers is his own -- is up to you. But you can answer the facts that were -- that surround the trial brief that was filed on your behalf.

A Should -- I can answer this. To the best of my remembrance -- so I have two answers. What this says in paper is what it says in paper. I can't tell you the defense of the insurance company's attorney and Island Commuter's attorney how they worked with and came up with this exact language. I just -- that wasn't my job.

I can tell you that the financial arrangement
was so that the consideration that was given to Island
Mariner, Island Mariner paid for the actual wage of
the captain with the caveat that Arrow would only have
accepted Loran Cap because he was an Arrow employee as
a launch crew member in the wintertimes and because he
was extremely familiar with the safety sensitive

## **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON** 546 1 position and the intricate workings of the Island Commuter II. 2 3 Q So it's of your testimony today that Captain 4 Cap was Arrow's employee at the time of this incident? 5 A I'm not -- I can't tell you under a legal 6 definition whose employee he was. He was the only one 7 that we would have accepted as the captain on that 8 boat, but he also worked for Arrow on Arrow's 9 launches. 10 So in this particular case, in this case, 11 you'll have to leave it to the courts to tell you 12 whose employee he was. What I just represented to you 13 is exactly what would have been represented to the 14 insurance attorneys and to the courts at that time 15 because I'm not going to tell them what's not the 16 truth. 17 Island Commuter paid for the captain, I 18 believe, was the arrangement, but it was -- we would 19 only accept him as the captain because he was our 20 captain. 21 Q When was the last time Arrow had to charter 22 other vessels to provide launch services? 23 A I think this was the last time.

Q You haven't done it in the last ten years?

24

25

A No.

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 547
1	Q You haven't done it in the last 15 years?
2	A Well, we're now when you say 15 years,
3	because I'm going to have to look at the time line of
4	this
5	Q This was 17 years ago.
6	A Last 15 years, no.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So, Mr. Bentson,
8	are you coming to the relevancy of how this relates?
9	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, I think it is
LO	relevant that when Arrow provided evidence to a
L1	federal court it argued vigorously that Captain Cap
L2	was not its employee. The witness has testified in
L3	this proceeding that Captain Cap was actually Arrow's
L4	employee.
L5	That was a that is not part of the legal
L6	argument of this brief. That is one of the factual
L7	assertions this brief relied on. Let me finish,
L8	Mr. Wiley, please.
L9	MR. WILEY: I'm not interrupting you.
20	MR. BENTSON: That is that goes
21	directly to the witness's voracity, which is a proper
22	subject of cross-examination, whether a witness is
23	being completely candid with the tribunal. So I think

25

that's entirely relevant to this proceeding. I'm done

asking questions about it if that makes a difference,

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 548
1	and we can move on to another topic.
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I want to
3	know if we just wasted time, though. Will you please
4	read back, the court reporter, Mr. Harmon's answer as
5	to whether or not the captain was, in fact, Arrow's
6	employee?
7	(Record read back as requested.)
8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank
9	you. Let's move on.
10	MR. BENTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	BY MR. BENTSON:
12	Q Mr. Harmon, if we can turn to page 18 of your
13	testimony. And if you begin on line 14, it says after
14	your after the direct answer to the question, the
15	next sentence says: Arrow prides itself on providing
16	exemplary customer service and holds its customer
17	needs second only to safety. Did I read that
18	correctly?
19	A What lines are we going to? Do this like
20	we've been doing. What lines are you going to have me
21	reviewing here?
22	Q I'm going to do it my way. So start on
23	line 14, and I'm going to read the sentence. And it's
24	going to carry over on to line 15, and I'll ask you to
25	follow along. Do you see the sentence that begins

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 549			
1	with A	Arrow?			
2	A	No, I don't.			
3	Q	Okay.			
4	Α	Okay. I see on line 14, yes.			
5	Q	It says: Arrow prides itself on providing			
6	exem	plary customer service and holds its customer			
7	need	s second only to safety. Did I read that			
8	corre	ctly?			
9	A	Yes.			
10	Q	I'm going to continue on: We are constantly			
11	in touch with our customers on scheduling and service				
12	levels and could not have remained in this market this				
13	long if we were not innovative. Did I read that				
14	corre	ctly?			
15	Α	Yes.			
16	Q	So you're testifying here that Arrow is very			
17	sensi	tive to its customers' needs and demands. Is			
18	that a	a fair characterization of what you're testifying			
19	to?				
20	Α	Yes.			
21	Q	And you say you haven't received any			
22	comp	plaints from your customers.			
23	Α	Correct.			
24	Q	You don't consider Crowley's solicitation to			
25	you a	and other launch service providers to be a			

	EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 550				
1	complaint?				
2	A No.				
3	Q You say on line 20 that some of the evidence				
4	that you that you're providing these exceptional				
5	services that you've never been asked to cover the				
6	moorage fee for one of your customers; is that				
7	correct?				
8	A Which line?				
9	MR. WILEY: Twenty.				
10	Q Line 20.				
11	A That's correct.				
12	Q Is it how long of a delay would have to				
13	occur before the moorage fee would be assessed?				
14	A You'd probably have to check with an agent,				
15	such as the shippers' witnesses that were here this				
16	afternoon. You could have asked them that question.				
17	They would tell you that. I've heard of companies				
18	assessing it could you re-ask the question again or				
19	have her read it back?				
20	Q I'm just asking if you know. If you don't				
21	know, that's okay. I'm asking whether you know how				
22	long it takes how long of a delay it takes before a				
23	company is usually assessed a moorage fee?				
24	A It would depend. I don't know. That's too				
25	again, I apologize. That's too vague of a question.				

# **EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON** 551

1	There's too many different parameters.
2	Q Is the moorage fee typically assessed after a
3	day?
4	A Again, that's an open-ended question. It
5	could be after 15 minutes.
6	Q Okay. That's typical?
7	A I couldn't tell you what typical is. We've
8	never been assessed a demurrage fee.
9	Q Do you think a delay of two or three hours
10	would typically result in a demurrage fee?
11	A Absolutely.
12	Q Based on your customer responsiveness that you
13	describe in your direct testimony, were you surprised
14	yesterday when Mr. Sevall testified that three of your
15	six largest companies in Anacortes favored
16	competition?
17	A The word competition, no. I'm not surprised
18	anybody saying I would like competition.
19	Q They wanted competition in the launch services
20	market; isn't that correct?
21	A I paid a dollar for this bottle of water. If
22	I could get it for free, I'd take it for free, but I'm
23	not sure how long I would be able to get the bottle of

Competition, that's a very complicated question in the

24

25

water for free without paying the dollar.

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 552		
1	state	of Washington under regulated methodology for		
2	laund	ch services.		
3	Q	Can you please turn to page 25 of your		
4	testin	nony.		
5	Α	Okay.		
6	Q	And look at line 13. Do you see line 13 on		
7	page	25, Mr. Harmon?		
8	Α	Yes, I do.		
9	Q	And on that line it's fair to say that you		
10	challe	enge MEI's estimate of \$220,000 in labor costs,		
11	annu	al labor costs?		
12	Α	Yes.		
13	Q	Do you know how much MEI pays its captains in		
14	San F	Francisco?		
15	Α	No, I do not.		
16	Q	Do you know whether they're salaried or		
17	wheth	ner they're hourly?		
18	Α	I do not.		
19	Q	Do you know whether the rates that they're		
20	able to charge in San Francisco are higher or lower			
21	than the rates they would be able to charge on their			
22	tariff	here in the sound?		
23	Α	No, I do not.		
24	Q	Are Arrow's captains salaried or are they		
25	hourl	y?		

## EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 553 1 A We have a mixed blend of both. 2 Q I believe your accountant testified earlier 3 that 20 percent of your captains are salaried? 4 A I couldn't tell you the percentage. 5 Q How many captains does Arrow have? 6 A About 22. 7 Q And if you go down to line 23 of page 25, you 8 also criticize MEI's health care estimate of \$33,000. 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q You pay for health care for all of your 11 employees? 12 A Yes, sir. 13 Q Does some of your employees opt out of that? 14 A We've just had a benefits change, so I 15 couldn't tell you how many, if anybody, has opted out 16 of it or not. So there might be -- there may be one 17 that's opted out. I couldn't tell you. 18 Q How many of your deckhands are over the age of 19 35? 20 A Probably a third of them. 21 Q Do you find that premiums are typically 22 cheaper for a younger workforce? 23 A Generally. 24 Q Do deckhands tend to be entry level positions 25 in your industry?

## EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 554

Α	No.
	110.

Q If we go to page 26, line 18, you also criticize MEI's insurance estimate of \$30,000.

A What line? I'm sorry. Eighteen?

Q Line 18, page 26.

A Yes, sir.

Q When you're criticizing that estimate is unrealistic, are you making that estimate -- are you thinking about the cost of obtaining a whole new policy or just adding a vessel to an existing policy?

A It would actually depend on the coverage limits that they have. It would depend on the deductibles that they have. That would be -- in my opinion, that would be a great rate to get, either as a fleet policy or as a single -- and multivessel, I believe, that's for two boats. It would be difficult to get it for 30 grand. You might be able to, but you would have to probably reduce your -- increase your deductibles and change your -- you'd have to work on it.

Q And you have to purchase insurance for Arrow's 12 vessels; correct?

A Yes.

Q And if you had to add a 13th vessel to Arrow's fleet, do you estimate it would cost more than \$30,000

## EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 555 1 to do that? 2 A For a single boat? 3 Q Yes, for the policy premium. 4 A Because I looked at this as for multiple 5 vessels. I believe they represented they're bringing 6 two boats, so it's my understanding that pro forma 7 listed this 30,000 total for both boats. 8 Q I understand. Let's deal with my question 9 first. So you have 12 vessels right now. 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you purchase insurance for them. 12 A Yes. 13 Q Do you have a whole and owner's policy on 14 those? 15 A Yes. 16 Q You have a P & I policy? A Yeah. 17 18 Q When you talk about the premium, you're 19 talking about the whole insurance program; correct? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you anticipate that if you wanted to 22 add a vessel to your insurance program it would cost 23 \$30,000 to do so? 24 A It would depend on the boat and the 25 deductibles and the parameters around the policy that

		EXAMINATION BY BENTSON / HARMON 556			
1	l wou	uld get. Probably close to the 20,000 for a			
2	single vessel minimum. That's why I think 30,000 is				
3	too li	ittle for two boats.			
4	Q	To be added to an existing insurance program?			
5	Α	Yes, sir.			
6	Q	Do you agree that if MEI can bill four times			
7	per w	eek for eight hours in launch services it can			
8	achie	eve its targeted revenue goal?			
9	Α	Could you point me to where I commented on			
LO	that	here?			
L1	Q	Well, on page 27 at line 23, you reject their			
L2	\$500	,000 annual revenue goal.			
L3	Α	What line on this page 27?			
L4	Q	Line 23, page 27.			
L5	A	I don't believe I made my analysis on how you			
L6	just	stated your question.			
L7	Q	So I'm asking you about you reject their			
L8	rever	nue goal for a reason, I take it?			
L9	Α	Yes.			
20	Q	So I'm asking you about that opinion.			
21	Α	Okay.			
22		MR. WILEY: Are you referring him to			
23	his testimony on page 28, Counsel? Are you just				
24	talking about something else?				
25		MR_BENTSON: I'm referring to the			

	557			
1	testimony I just cited on page 27 where he says that			
2	he thinks the \$500,000 revenue pool is too high.			
3	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, just to be			
4	clear, he explains why he says that on page 28. So I			
5	think it's not fair not to refer the witness to the			
6	next page.			
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I think we're			
8	getting into the weeds. The witness can look at the			
9	next page of his testimony.			
10	BY MR. BENTSON:			
11	Q Mr. Harmon, do you agree with your			
12	accountant's testimony earlier today?			
13	A Yes.			
14	MR. BENTSON: Thanks. I have no			
15	further questions.			
16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Do we			
17	have any redirect?			
18	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, can we take our			
19	break now this afternoon? I'd like to tie up some			
20	questions.			
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Is 10			
22	minutes enough?			
23	MR. WILEY: How about 3:30? Is that			
24	okay?			
25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Is that all right			

	550
1	with everyone?
2	MS. ENDEJAN: It's fine with me.
3	MR. BEATTIE: Is it typical to take a
4	break at mid-witness?
5	MR. WILEY: Midafternoon it is.
6	MS. ENDEJAN: It's typical to take a
7	midafternoon break.
8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't think that
9	it typically is. I think we usually finish with a
LO	witness first. It depends on how long Mr. Wiley's
L1	redirect is going to take.
L2	MR. WILEY: I'm trying to cut the
L3	questions down right now, which is why I want to
L4	break, but
L5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: How long do you
L6	think it's going to take? How long do you project?
L7	MR. WILEY: Twenty minutes.
L8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I can wait. Is
L9	everyone else fine with that? Can we just go ahead
20	and continue through? And then afterwards we're going
21	to need to discuss procedure and post-hearing
22	scheduling.
23	MR. WILEY: I'm going to need a couple
24	minutes to get my notes in order. I don't think
25	that's asking too much of people, I hope.

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 559
1	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine.
2	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, we would be
3	amenable to a two-minute comfort break for some
4	people.
5	MR. WILEY: We appreciate it.
6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. We'll
7	be off the record for a couple minutes.
8	(A break was taken from 3:19 p.m. to
9	3:23 p.m.)
LO	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on
L1	the record. Mr. Wiley, if you're ready to continue
L2	with redirect.
L3	MR. WILEY: I may be jumping around a
L4	little bit, Your Honor, so bear with me because I'm
L5	trying to get all these questions back together.
L6	
L7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
L8	BY MR. WILEY:
L9	Q First of all, Mr. Harmon, let's talk a little
20	bit about the questions by Mr. Beattie. He was asking
21	you about the type of public that you serve. In your
22	view, is that the shipping public or how would you
23	describe it?
24	A It's the public. It's any person can get on
25	the vessel.

## **EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON** 560

Q And so that means that a vessel -- a launch service is a common carrier to the public? Is that what you're saying?

#### A Yes.

Q He asked you about revenue decline that you had been experiencing and asked you to also parse out, if you could, the percentage of gross earnings that pertain to passenger versus freight service, and your testimony, as I recall, was it fluctuated year to year; is that correct?

## A That is correct.

Q Was 2015, no pun intended, the high-water mark of your gross revenues in launch service in the state of Washington?

#### A Yes.

Q You never had a year like 2015 since or before; is that correct?

#### A Correct.

Q If you would, why was 2015 an unusual year?

A There was a one-time operation in Puget Sound that involved a vessel called The Polar Pioneer, which was a rig and a fleet of support vessels that descended upon Puget Sound for probably about a 10-month period in which they prepared to go drill in the Chukchi Sea, so there was a significant influx in

# **EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON** 561

service	to	that	flo	۵ŧ
service	LO	unai	ne	eц

Q That was an incident that was reported widely in the press about environmental protests and the home porting in the Port of Port Angeles of that equipment for a while, was that not?

## A Unfortunately, yes.

Q Now, I want to talk a little bit about -- and I hope I don't jump around too much. But there's been testimony both on cross-examination from Mr. Bentson and on direct examination of Mr. Aikin today about an RFP submission. Is it your testimony that, in fact, Mr. Aikin was incorrect and that you had, in fact, submitted a full response to the RFP to Crowley?

#### A Yes.

Q And the discussion that you were referencing regarding questions on the RFP that were invited in that RFP, you submitted those questions before the deadline for them to be submitted; correct?

#### A Yes.

Q And is it your testimony that you did not get any response or you got a response that said that Crowley would not answer those questions?

A I can't remember the exact verbiage in the response e-mail from Ms. Shemesh, but it was that they wouldn't be responding to -- I can't remember if it

б

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 562			
was Arrow's questions or to questions, period. So I			
don't know if other respondents had also asked			
questions and they just weren't responding to any of			
the questions.			
Q And Ms. Shemesh is the in-house counsel for			
Crowley; is that correct?			
A That's correct. For that project as far as I			
knew, yes.			
Q And with respect you were asked a question			
by Mr. Bentson as to whether you would construe the			
RFP for launch service as a complaint, and you said			
you didn't believe so. There was also testimony that			
that RFP was sent to U.S what's it called U.S.?			
A I think Mr. Aikin said that it went to			
Q MEI. And who was the other contractor?			
A U.S. Water Taxi, I believe.			
Q And MEI?			
A Correct.			
Q Would you consider that to be a complaint			
about their service in that they were also sent the			
RFPs?			
A No.			
Q Now, have you brought proof today that you			
did, in fact, submit the RFP response to Crowley			
including copies to Mr. Aikin, Ms. Evans, Tracy Odom,			

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 563
1	and Julia Shemesh?
2	A Yes.
3	MR. WILEY: Okay. Your Honor, during
4	the break, I handed out an exhibit of which we only
5	have three copies. I'll get Mr. Beattie one since we
6	had to burden the records center to get those copies.
7	Q But, Mr. Harmon, is that a screenshot of an
8	e-mail by which you transmitted an RFP to Crowley for
9	launch services?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And that is dated September 16, 2016; is that
12	correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And, again, Mr. Aikin is copied on that
15	e-mail, is he not?
16	A Lindy Evans is first, Marc Aikin is second,
17	Tracy Odom is third, Julia Shemesh is fourth, and it
18	was copied to Tracy Odom, Jeff Randall, Jeremy
19	Johnson, and to my wife, Terri Harmon.
20	Q And would you then state whether Mr. Aikin's
21	testimony that you didn't respond to that RFP was
22	correct or incorrect?
23	A I'm sorry. Could you ask me the question
24	again?
25	Q Would you then state whether Mr. Aikin's

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 564
1	testimony with respect to whether you transmitted that
2	RFP response to Crowley was correct or incorrect?
3	A Regarding this RFP, he must have been
4	incorrect.
5	Q I'm talking about the launch proposal, the
6	RFP.
7	A If he was looking at the launch RFP, he was
8	somehow mistaken.
9	Q Now, Mr. Bentson asked you a series of
10	questions
11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wiley, before
12	you go on, are you asking for this to become an
13	exhibit?
14	MR. WILEY: Yes.
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Are there any
16	objections to admission of this exhibit? And I
17	know can Mr. Beattie look on yours? Do you have a
18	copy?
19	MR. WILEY: Mr. Beattie has reviewed my
20	company, and MEI has no objection, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I'll
22	designate this as JLH-16 for the record, and it's so
23	admitted.
24	MR. WILEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	(Exhibit JLH-16 was admitted.)

# EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 565 1 Q Mr. Bentson asked you a series of questions 2 about ship visits, ship volumes, and decreases in 3 traffic. Is it your testimony that that began in the 4 Anacortes area or in Puget Sound in general, could you 5 clarify, in 2011? 6 A It was either 2011 or 2012. It was somewhere 7 right around that time frame. 8 Q And is that still your testimony? A Absolutely. 10 Q And when you were asked about your pattern of 11 revenues related to your overall activity as Arrow 12 Launch Service has reported to the Commission, is it 13 true that you report gross revenues? 14 A Yes. 15 Q And that may have nothing to do with net 16 profit or revenue margin under this system, might it? 17 A You're correct. 18 Q Okay. In 2017 have you also seen the pattern 19 of decreased vessel traffic continue? 20 A In 2017? 21 Q Yeah. 22 A This current year? The first portion of this 23 year, we have started out slow. We've had a few

will continue. But yes.

pretty good weeks, and we're hoping that the trend

24

<b>EXAMINATION I</b>	BY WILEY /	HARMON	566
----------------------	------------	--------	-----

Q	You mentioned Port Angeles, for instance. It
sound	ds like traffic has been significantly down there.
Is tha	t still the case?

A We have an American tanker right now, so I'm crossing my fingers it stays for a while.

Q With respect to American tankers, there was testimony yesterday that you -- that launch companies put customers to back of the line when there's an American tanker in port, is that correct, in terms of Arrow Launch's experience?

### A No.

MR. BENTSON: Objection, Your Honor, outside the scope of cross.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wiley.

MR. WILEY: Well, Your Honor, you've allowed a lot of leverage. There was testimony yesterday regarding ships going to the end of the line. He's just indicated that there's an American tanker in port. I thought it was an appropriate question with respect to that.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't believe that he was asked cross on this, though, and I am going to deny that question. So the objection is sustained.

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 567
1	BY MR. WILEY:
2	Q You also were talking about layoffs of
3	employees, and you seemed to be hard hit by that. You
4	indicated that that occurred in January 2016?
5	A I believe that's what month it was.
6	Q And why did that occur, please?
7	A Decrease in business.
8	Q And could you be a little bit more specific in
9	terms of does that mean you didn't have business to
LO	support their wages?
L1	A Correct, exactly, their wages, their benefits.
L2	Q There was a question from Mr. Bentson about
L3	the application of your tariff, and you testified that
L4	that was a matter of rate design. And you explained
L5	that both you and MEI proposes the same charge of
L6	design based on vessel. He also asked you if that was
L7	a requirement by regulation. Will you clarify what
L8	your understanding of that question was?
L9	A My understanding I'm sorry. My
20	understanding of his question? You'll have to ask it
21	again. I apologize.
22	Q In other words, is that by regulation or by
23	tariff design under an approved tariff by the
24	Commission?
25	A Approved tariffs by the WUTC.

# **EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON** 568

1	Q When you were asked and I do not want to
2	belabor the question about the employee status of your
3	vessel operator 17 years ago. But when you said
4	that's a question for the Court, is it true that the
5	issue of the status of employees is can be a very
6	complicated legal question that involves litigation?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. BENTSON: Objection, foundation.
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wiley.
10	MR. WILEY: I don't understand that
11	objection.
12	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, is the
13	witness, a nonlawyer, seriously being asked whether
14	employment the status of employment is a
15	complicated legal question? He's not a lawyer.
16	That's not it doesn't address the questions I
17	asked, and it's not something the witness, at least so
18	far as I know, is qualified to address.
19	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, I think
20	it does address an answer to one of your questions
21	where Mr. Harmon mentioned that, as a nonlawyer,
22	that's something that is left up to the courts. So I

that's something that is left up to the courts. So I believe it does answer the question -- it was in response to one of your questions, so the objection is

25 overruled.

23

## EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 569 1 A And I believe I answered it. 2 Q And your answer was yes? 3 A Yes. 4 MR. WILEY: Now, there was testimony 5 also about -- Your Honor, and if I get an objection to 6 the scope, this relates to Mr. Aikin's testimony, 7 which we haven't -- you've raised significant 8 questions about the foundation for his testimony 9 about -- should I reserve this until we resolve the 10 issue whether Ms. Evans is going to be here, or do I 11 get to ask a follow-up to that line of testimony that 12 lacked foundation for an explanation by Mr. Harmon? 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Ask me the 14 question, and I'll let you know. Because is this 15 something that is better addressed by Ms. Evans? 16 MR. WILEY: It could potentially be a 17 cross-examination question for Ms. Evans, but I 18 certainly would want Mr. Harmon to respond to it. It 19 relates to the crane issue in the Port of Anacortes. 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That is something 21 that was asked of Mr. Aikin. 22 MR. WILEY: Correct. 23 DFS ATTORNEY2: It was not brought up 24 in cross-examination. I would prefer it not be 25 addressed by Mr. Harmon at this time.

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 570
1	BY M	IR. WILEY:
2	Q	Okay. Mr. Bentson was asking you about your
3	use o	of about Crowley and Foss and the competition
4	that y	ou cited in providing launch service. One
5	quest	tion may have been confusing. And to clarify,
6	Mr. H	armon, does Arrow provide tug service to its
7	custo	mers?
8	Α	No.
9	Q	Arrow does not have any tugs in its fleet,
10	does	it?
11	Α	No.
12	Q	We had a series of questions about the growth
13	of the	e pie, the slice of the pie, that got very
14	mudo	lled. My question for you is whether the growth in
15	Arrov	v's slice of that shrinking pie that you referred
16	to inc	ludes increases in nonregulated service
17	rever	nues.
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	As a matter of fact, that's a very important
20	part c	of your revenue stream currently, is it not?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	What is the benefit of diversifying your
23	rever	nue streams with respect to regulated versus
24	nonre	egulated service?
25	Δ	The most immediate benefit is, hopefully.

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / HARMON 571
1	sustainability of the company being financially fit.
2	The offshoot of that is employee retention, quality
3	wages, wages and benefits for its employees.
4	Q With respect going back to the decline in
5	revenues, I meant to ask you: Do you have a
6	preliminary idea obviously, you'll report to the
7	Commission by May 1 as is required in the annual
8	reports. Do you have a preliminary idea about how
9	much your 2016 revenues declined in relation to 2015?
10	A I think it's going to be right at a million
11	dollars.
12	Q And that's your best estimate now?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Again, going back to the 2000 episode and
15	17 years ago, one more question, in that circumstance,
16	did you feel that Arrow failed or refused to provide
17	service to its customers?
18	A No. Actually, if the service hadn't been
19	provided, the incident although unfortunate, the
20	incident wouldn't have happened. The service was
21	being provided. The fact that we used it didn't
22	impact our Anacortes customers by using keeping
23	Anacortes boats in Anacortes and using the Island
24	Commuter II, which was located in Bellingham. And the

Coast Guard anchored the ship in Bellingham. It was

<b>EXAMINATION</b>	<b>BY WILEY</b>	/ HARMON	572

only logical to use the Island Commuter II there in response to --

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry to interrupt. Let me just stop you, because it was my understanding that cross-examination was limited to the voracity to showing -- an influence on voracity of Mr. Harmon based on conflicting statements with current testimony and a brief that was filed on his behalf.

I don't believe there were any questions regarding the reliability or the service quality that was being provided. I don't believe that it was being used at that time for those purposes under cross-examination. Is that correct, Mr. Bentson?

MR. BENTSON: That was my understanding, Your Honor.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, you would know what you were using --

MR. BENTSON: Yes, Your Honor. That was why it was offered today.

MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I couldn't tell. I thought it was broader than that by virtue of some of the rephrasing of the questions. If that's your understanding, you know, you get to make those rulings, but I thought he was going to the incident as

	5.5
1	an example of not being able to provide service, etc.
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I didn't take
3	it that way, and Mr. Bentson has clarified that wasn't
4	the reason it was intended to be used for. I'm
5	tempted to strike the whole discussion because of the
6	narrow purpose it was given for and the fact that
7	Mr. Harmon has already said it's a legal question that
8	he's not willing to answer. I will grant you we
9	spent a good deal of time on it. I will stop you,
10	though, and stop Mr. Harmon from answering this line
11	of questioning.
12	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, if I assume
13	you're still taking under advisement whether you're
14	going to strike the whole line?
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, I am.
16	MR. WILEY: Thank you. No further
17	questions.
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I have no
19	clarification questions for you, so thank you for your
20	testimony and you're excused.
21	So let's go ahead and discuss Ms. Evans, her
22	testimony, and especially cross-examination is going
23	to be very important.
24	Yes, Mr. Bentson.
25	MR. BENTSON: Your Honor, I have some

	574
1	new information on that front.
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
3	MR. BENTSON: I was contacted I
4	received a response from Crowley, and they are willing
5	to permit Ms. Evans to file prefiled testimony and be
6	subject to cross-examination.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.
8	Mr. Wiley.
9	MR. WILEY: Of course, I object to
10	that, Your Honor. It's not only out of time, but
11	there's been multiple bites at the apple in terms of
12	supporting this application with testimony. It's
13	prejudicial, and it's very costly.
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Explain to
15	me how it's prejudicial first.
16	MR. WILEY: First of all, it's
17	prejudicial in terms of cost attrition and having to
18	come back and back. They put on their case
19	now. This was the two days scheduled for hearing.
20	Now, you know, the fact that they put on a
21	witness who was not sufficiently did not have
22	sufficient foundation to answer their questions about
23	service is, again, not the protestant's burden. Here
24	we are, second day of hearing, they've had one witness
25	now which they put on in, quote, rebuttal, unquote.

#### 

Now we've got a fundamental witness that
should have been put on in their filing in October who
is now, supposedly, coming back to address all the
material elements of the case. I think it's unfair
and costly and prejudicial from that standpoint. I
think Ms. Endejan probably has something to say about
the impact on her client as well.

MS. ENDEJAN: Yes, Your Honor. This will cause my client to incur additional costs, and I would concur with Mr. Wiley in that the applicant here had the opportunity and the burden of proof here. And I think that it's inappropriate for, basically, the Commission to assist the applicant in making its case by requiring a witness to come forward and do something that if the applicant had intended to use Crowley as an example of how Arrow is not providing service within the meaning of the statute, well, then it should bear the brunt of its failure of proof here and not my client who is an intervener but involved.

And we thought that this would be the end of the case here with briefing, and I don't see the reason why, as a matter of law, applying proper burdens of proof, why this case should not be dismissed for failure of proof. And what appears to be happening now is the Commission is calling -- is

	5/6
1	allowing the applicant to, Lord only knows,
2	manufacture what kind of proof to bootstrap its way
3	into some kind of claim that Arrow is not providing
4	adequate service within the meaning of the statute.
5	It was not contemplated for in the schedule,
6	and I concur I think it's unfair and expensive for
7	everybody here to keep having to show up for something
8	that they should have thought of in the first place.
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Bentson.
10	MR. BENTSON: Yes, Your Honor. Well,
11	it's interesting. My perspective on this narrative is
12	a little different, and MEI objects to any suggestion
13	that it manufactured any evidence in this case. It
14	is I mean, that doesn't even deserve comment.
15	But MEI has this is an interesting game
16	we're playing. MEI presents a witness, and every time
17	the other side challenges the sufficiency of that
18	witness's testimony, they say we need this other
19	witness. And then when we agree to provide that
20	witness, it's like MEI didn't present that witness in
21	the first place. That's been the trend of this all
22	the way down.
23	Mr. Esch in his prefiled testimony submitted
24	evidence of customer complaints. They questioned
25	that, and they said there's no these customers

	311	
1	don't exist. And so Mr. Aikin's testimony was	
2	presented. They then said we want to cross-examine	
3	Mr. Aikin, and so Mr. Aikin was produced. And he came	
4	and he was subject to cross-examination. Now today	
5	they say Mr. Aikin's is insufficient. We need Lindy	
6	Evans, but it's prejudicial to us to allow Ms. Evans	
7	to testify. I don't understand how I can win in that	
8	situation.	
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Do you disagree,	
10	though, that Mr. Aikin was unable to answer very basic	
11	cross-examination questions relating to his own	
12	shipper statement?	
13	MR. BENTSON: Respectfully, Your Honor,	
14	I don't agree. Mr. Aikin testified on behalf of	
15	Crowley as a in my view, as tantamount to a	
16	corporate designee. He was familiar	
17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry.	
18	Corporate designee, what are you referring to?	
19	MR. BENTSON: As a representative of	
20	the company.	
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I get that.	
22	MR. BENTSON: He signed the shipper	
23	support statement. He did have specific instances	
24	which he could use to back up the testimonial claims	
25	he made. He did rely on hearsay, but that's permitted	

	5/8	
1	in this hearing. That seems to be the only real	
2	objection here is that it's not so much we think Lindy	
3	Evans will say something different than Mr. Aikin.	
4	It's we think that the the argument seems to be	
5	she's the only one with personal knowledge. So	
6	Crowley has agreed to produce her, and MEI incurs all	
7	the same costs as the other parties by having to do	
8	that.	
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Staff.	
10	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge. I have	
11	discussed this matter with Staff at multiple breaks,	
12	and we wish to say that we concur with Mr. Wiley on	
13	this matter.	
14	One thing I will add from a Staff perspective	
15	is well, I'd like to make two points. First, I	
16	think it's consistent with remarks I've made before	
17	that Staff sees itself as a neutral party. And part	
18	of that is that when an applicant comes before, the	
19	Commission Staff doesn't see its role as helping that	
20	applicant win its case, only helping shepherd the	
21	applicant through the process. We think that's also a	
22	proper role for the Commission. So if the applicant	
23	hasn't met its burden of proof, that should simply be	
24	the answer.	
25	The second point I'll make is that if the	

	5. 5
1	Commission were to grant the protest, which would have
2	the effect of dismissing the application, it's without
3	prejudice to the applicant and by that I mean the
4	applicant is free to refile its application at a later
5	date with some of the testimony that has been
6	identified as important.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. And so
8	then, Mr. Bentson, if I'm understanding your position
9	correctly, you don't feel that it's necessary to put
10	on Ms. Evans's testimony; is that correct?
11	MR. BENTSON: I feel it's necessary
12	based on the Court's statements that you needed to
13	hear from Ms. Evans to determine to make a proper
14	determination. I feel Mr. Aikin's testimony was
15	sufficient, and that was all that was everyone
16	was on notice that he was going to be the testifying
17	witness. He testified to his statement.
18	And the other avenues of testimony that he
19	provided were opened up on cross-examination questions
20	by the other parties, not by something MEI did. We
21	were allowed under the authority of the WACs to
22	explore that and we did that. And that evidence is in
23	the record.
24	I think at the very least, it would be
25	premature to dismiss MEI's certificate application

_	0	$\cap$
~	×	u

	580
1	without at the very least having briefing on the
2	record that we've created over the last two weeks.
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Again, just to
4	clarify, you don't think that Ms. Evans's testimony is
5	necessary?
6	MR. BENTSON: I believe Mr. Aikin's
7	testimony along with Mr. Esch's testimony is
8	sufficient to sustain my client's burden. However, we
9	have no objection if the Commission feels it would be
LO	helpful to make a just and equitable decision to going
L1	forward with having Ms. Evans testify.
L2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wiley.
L3	MR. WILEY: Yes, Your Honor, you have
L4	our motion for dismissal that you can take under
L5	advisement. With that acknowledgment by Mr. Bentson,
L6	I would suggest that you should await either further
L7	argument or assessment of the record, but it sounds
L8	like they don't feel that any additional proof is
L9	necessary from Crowley. And we can proceed with
20	resolution of this case.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I would agree. I
22	think that we're done. We will have briefs, and I
23	will take the motion to dismiss under advisement.
24	Is there anything further before we adjourn?
25	All right. Hearing nothing, thank you.

	581
1	THE REPORTER: Would you like to order
2	a copy of the transcript?
3	MR. BENTSON: Yes.
4	MR. WILEY: Yes.
5	MS. ENDEJAN: We would like a copy.
6	(The hearing concluded at 3:50 p.m.)
7	
8	* * * *
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	582
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF KING
5	
6	I, Nancy M. Kottenstette, a Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington,
8	do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the
9	evidentiary hearing on February 15, 2017, is true and
10	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill, and
11	ability.
12	I do further certify that I am a disinterested
13	person in this cause of action; that I am not a
14	relative of the attorneys for any of the parties.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
16	hand and seal this 28th day of February, 2017.
17	
18	
19	Nancy M. Kottenstette, RPR, CCR
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
21	
22	
23	