Docket No. UE-170917 - Vol. I

Stanzak v. Avista Corporation

February 20, 2018



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	DAVID STANZAK ON BEHALF OF THE) ESTATE OF DOREEN L. HODIN,)
5	
6	Complainant,) Docket No. UE-170917
7	V.)
8	AVISTA CORPORATION,)
9	Respondent.)
10	,
11	
12	TELEPHONIC BRIEF ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MARGUERITE FRIEDLANDER
14	
15	9:30 a.m.
16	February 20, 2018
	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
17	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032
21	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
22	1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840
23	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066 Seattle
24	360.534.9066 Olympia 800.846.6989 National
25	www.buellrealtime.com

	2
1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
4	MARGUERITE FRIEDLANDER Washington Utilities and
5	Transportation Commission 1300 So. Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250
6 7	Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1285 mfriedla@utcwa.gov
8	
9	FOR AVISTA CORPORATION (by phone):
L ₀	DAVID MEYER & KELLY NORWOOD Avista Corporation 1411 E. Mission Avenue
L1	Spokane, Washington 99220 509.495.4316
L2	david.meyer@avistacorp.com
L3 L4	FOR COMPLAINANT (by phone):
L5	DAVID STANZAK 11512 West Betz Road
L6	Cheney, Washington 99002 509.235.8157 davestanzak@gmail.com
L7	davestarizak@giriaii.com
L8	* * * *
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

EXAMINATION INDEX WITNESS PAGE DAVID STANZAK Direct by Mr. Stanzak Cross by Mr. Meyer Examination by Judge Friedlander LINDA GERVAIS Direct by Mr. Meyer Direct by Mr. Meyer (continued) Cross by Mr. Stanzak SHAWN BONFIELD Direct by Mr. Meyer Cross by Mr. Stanzak * * * * *

4 1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; FEBRUARY 20, 2018 2. 9:30 a.m. PROCEEDINGS 3 4 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Good morning. My name 6 is Marguerite Friedlander. I am the administrative 7 law judge presiding over this matter. We are here 8 before the Washington Utilities and Transportation 9 Commission this morning for a telephonic hearing in 10 Docket UE-170917, a complaint on behalf of the Estate 11 of Doreen L. Hodin, and I hope I pronounced that 12 correctly. 13 MR. STANZAK (by phone): Correct. 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. I'm going 15 to refer to it as the Hodin Estate, and this is a 16 complaint against Avista Corporation, doing business 17 as Avista Utilities. 18 We'll start by taking appearances from 19 both parties. I'd like you to give your name, spell 20 your last name, your mailing address, phone number and 21 email, after which I'll explain how the proceeding is 22 going to be run this morning. 23 So we'll begin with you, Mr. Stanzak. 24 MR. STANZAK: My name is David Stanzak,

and I'm the court-appointed administrator for Doreen

1	Hodin's estate. My last name spelling is
2	S-T-A-N-Z-A-K. Phone number telephone number
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Stanzak
4	MR. STANZAK: is 509
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Stanzak, this is
6	Judge Friedlander. Can you please slow down? We have
7	a court reporter who is transcribing all of the
8	information today that is going to be stated, so
9	please just speak clearly and slowly for the court
10	reporter. Thank you. If you could start back with
11	the spelling of your name.
12	MR. STANZAK: Last name spelling is
13	S-T-A-N-Z-A-K. That's Z, like zebra, A-K.
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. And then
15	please continue with the address.
16	MR. STANZAK: The address is 11512 West
17	Betz Road. That's Bravo Echo Tango Zebra. And that's
18	in Cheney, Washington
19	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Can you
20	MR. STANZAK: 99004.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Hold on just a
22	second. We're having some issues right now with
23	hearing you, so can you maybe speak closer into the
24	phone.
25	MR. STANZAK: I'm as close to the

1	microphone as I can get, and I can call you through
2	another line if you'd like.
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You know what? Let's
4	try to just speak a little bit slower, and if that
5	doesn't solve the problem, we'll have you call on that
6	other line.
7	MR. STANZAK: Okay. Where are we with the
8	court reporter?
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You need to repeat the
LO	address, please.
L1	MR. STANZAK: The address is 11512 West
L2	Betz Road, Cheney, Washington.
L3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And you said that was
L 4	where in Washington?
L5	MR. STANZAK: Cheney.
L6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Cheney. Okay.
L7	Thank you. And then phone number and email address,
L8	please?
L9	MR. STANZAK: (509) 235-8157. My email is
20	davestanzak@gmail.com.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
22	And appearing today on behalf of Avista?
23	MR. MEYER (by phone): Thank you,
24	your Honor. David Meyer, M-E-Y-E-R. I'm with Avista
25	Corporation. Our address is East 1411 Mission Avenue,

1	Spokane, Washington 99220. Our or my email address
2	is david.meyer@avistacorp, A-V-I-S-T-A-C-O-R-P.com,
3	and my phone number is (509) 495-4316.
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
5	And is there anyone else on the conference
6	bridge or in the hearing room who would like to put in
7	an appearance today?
8	Hearing nothing, Mr. Stanzak, because you
9	are not a frequent appearance or don't put in frequent
LO	appearances before the Commission, I'd like to just go
L1	through the procedures that we're going to do today.
L2	So this is an evidentiary hearing to allow
L3	you to present your side of the complaint. I'm going
L4	to swear you in in just a moment, and then you can
L5	tell me what has brought this complaint about and
L6	offer any evidence that will support your case,
L7	although I do note that you didn't file any exhibits
L8	last Friday by the deadline.
L9	MR. STANZAK: I think the complaint speaks
20	for itself pretty much. I do have some other
21	testimony I'd like to give, but there's no real
22	documentation that's necessary beyond the state law.
23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
24	And then Avista will have the opportunity

25

to object to any testimony that you do provide, and

1	I'll allow you to respond to their objections before I
2	rule.
3	When you have finished your statement,
4	I'll allow Avista to ask you questions about it. And
5	I may also ask you clarification questions if I need
6	further guidance.
7	After I've heard from you, I'll allow
8	Avista to present its side, and you may object to
9	Avista's testimony. Just as I did with you, I'll
LO	allow them to respond to the objection before I rule.
L1	When Avista has made its statement, I'll
L2	allow you to question the company's representative,
L3	and I may ask them brief clarification questions as
L4	well.
L5	When that's finished, I will adjourn the
L6	hearing and the Commission will enter an order within
L7	ten business days following this hearing.
L8	And I'll repeat that the hearing is
L9	telephonic, both parties are appearing via our
20	conference bridge. We have a court reporter in
21	Olympia with me recording the hearing and transcribing
22	it, so I will ask everyone to try to speak very slowly

24

23

So are there any questions before we

and clearly and to try not to talk over each other.

25 begin?

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. STANZAK 9

1	MR. STANZAK: None from me.
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. All
3	right.
4	With that, Mr. Stanzak, if you would like
5	to make your statement, you can do so. Oh, at this

to make your statement, you can do so. Oh, at this time, why don't we swear you in first. Please raise your right hand.

MR. STANZAK: Okay.

DAVID STANZAK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. You may proceed.

DIRECT TESTIMONY

BY MR. STANZAK: Well, I think that probably the easiest way to proceed is to ask the Court (bridge line interruption) the complaint that I sent in to begin with. And that complaint pretty much specifies what the issue is.

Avista has been refusing to return the power to homes that have been without power, gas and electric, for a period of 12 months or more. And it's

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. STANZAK 10

1	a pretty arbitrary number. There seems to be no real
2	evidence, and certainly whether a home is empty or
3	not, there is always a chance for a problem. Having
4	the power out doesn't increase or decrease the risk of
5	the hazard.
6	In addition, they're only allowed to keep
7	service off for folks if there is a reasonable hazard
8	or
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry,
10	Mr. Stanzak
11	MR. STANZAK: Yes.
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: we're getting some
13	interference or some additional noise when you're
14	testifying. So if I don't know if it's papers
15	moving or something else, but if I can have you just
16	try and maybe limit the extraneous noise. Or Avista,
17	maybe put something on mute so that we hear you much
18	clearer.
19	MR. MEYER: Avista will put ourselves on
20	mute.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
22	MR. STANZAK: Okay. Well, I'm not sure
23	where to continue at this point.
24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I apologize for
25	interrupting. I believe that you were talking about

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. STANZAK 11

the complaint and were going into the 12-month period.

MR. STANZAK: Well, Avista has a policy that is not based on law but based on their belief that states that they won't turn power back on for any customer or any property that has been without services for 12 months or more, and it's a pretty arbitrary rule.

In their answers, they state that they believe that that is a reasonable rule because they believe that there's the potential for hazard. And they have an obligation under several statutes to be reasonable in their investigation of what a hazard would be, including Section 480-100-128, which is very clear that they can only discontinue service after investigation.

Now, Avista's arguing that they shouldn't have to do any inspections. Well, nobody is asking them to do an inspection. That's fine. But they do have to have a reasonable presumption that there's a hazard existing in all the statutes, and that's just very clear.

So Avista -- I'm not arguing point to point here, but I certainly could. And I think at the time, it's a pretty simple argument that they have an arbitrary rule that affects thousands and thousands of

2.

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. STANZAK 12

customers, and myself included in this case, and there's no reason for it.

I don't see any problem for hazards. If they're noted, they should -- clearly there's plenty of statute that says we shouldn't allow the service on if there's hazards that, upon investigation, they see.

But there's no hazards, and there's no reason to believe there's a hazard. It's pretty presumptive of them to conclude that they should turn off or not or withhold power from a customer and force them to get an independent inspection when the home's already been inspected prior to having the original power turned on.

We can't build a home in this county or this state without having an inspection done already. So the redundancy there, it makes no sense whether or not -- any home can have an issue at any time. It doesn't mean that because it's empty that there is a hazard.

So the hazard that they're saying should be in a home that's been vacant or been without services for a period of time, hell, that's any hazard that could occur within a week, a month, overnight.

There's no guarantee that a hazard is going to happen in 12 months, and there's no evidence of hazard at the

1	location that I'm calling the attention of the Court
2	to, Ms. Doreen Hodin's estate or property.
3	So there certainly wasn't any hazard
4	there, but we went ahead and got the inspection
5	anyway, and of course there was nothing we needed to
6	do. The inspector found that it was just fine, so it
7	was a wasted trip and a hundred bucks.
8	And it's not about the money so much as it
9	is about the whittling away of the rights of the
10	citizens here, because it puts us through a minefield
11	of extra steps that and delays that everyone has to
12	go through because Avista just wanted to do their part
13	to be reasonable [sic] about the investigation.
14	If they think there's some hazard, they
15	have a right to not turn the service on, but unless
16	there's some reasonable belief that there's a hazard,
17	they shouldn't withhold services from anyone.
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
19	Mr. Meyer, did you have any questions for
20	Mr. Stanzak?
21	MR. MEYER: I just have a few.
22	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. MEYER:
25	Q. Good morning, Mr. Stanzak. I'm the attorney

1	for Avista, and I just want to get a few things	
2	clarified here based on what you said already this	
3	morning.	
4	When first of all, when was the home	
5	vacated?	
6	A. Well, I don't know when they picked up the	
7	body, but she was in the home living there until 2015.	
8	I don't know what	
9	Q. And when was, to the best of your knowledge,	
LO	service, electric and gas, shut off?	
L1	A. I have no idea when it was shut off. I really	
L2	don't.	
L3	Q. Is it, to the best of your recollection	
L4	and if you don't know, you just don't know and our	
L5	witness will testify to this but could it have been	
L6	in September of 2015?	
L7	A. I really don't know. I didn't get on the	
L8	estate until after almost two years after she	
L9	was (bridge line interruption).	
20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Mr. Stanzak, we are	
21	having a lot of interference and echoing on the line.	
22	So I'm not sure it might be better to call in on a	
23	different line, but if you can adjust either the mic	
24	or your proximity to it, we're just getting a lot of	
25	interference	

1	MR. STANZAK: I'm going to switch to a	
2	landline and call you right now.	
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. We'll take	
4	a brief recess, and please call back into the	
5	conference bridge line.	
6	MR. STANZAK: Okay. I'm calling now.	
7	Thank you.	
8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.	
9	(Brief pause in the proceedings.)	
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. So I	
11	believe we left off with Mr. Meyer cross-examining you	
12	on your statement.	
13	MR. STANZAK: Correct.	
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.	
15	BY MR. MEYER:	
16	Q. All right.	
17	And again, through my questioning of you,	
18	Mr. Stanzak, I'm just trying to establish just a few	
19	basic facts and then we'll move into our own	
20	presentation.	
21	But when was service restored?	
22	A. I honestly don't know that date, sir.	
23	Q. Okay.	
24	And did you ultimately make the effort to ask	
25	for an inspection so that service could be restored?	

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	And at some point service has been restored,
3	correct?	
4	A.	That's correct.
5	Q.	Both electric and gas service?
6	A.	That's correct.
7	Q.	Do you recall how long it took once your
8	reque	est was made for an inspection for the inspection
9	to occur and service to be restored?	
10	A.	No, I don't. It was I would say,
11	generally, it was less than a week. I don't recall	
12	the time being a problem, but there wasn't any urgency	
13	to the turn-on either.	
14	Q.	Okay.
15		And do you recall how much you paid to have
16	that inspection done?	
17	A.	It was just shy of a hundred dollars. I don't
18	recal	I the exact amount.
19	Q.	Okay.
20	And to the best of your recollection, did	
21	Avista offer to reimburse you for your cost of the	
22	inspection?	
23	A.	I don't know if Avista did directly, but I
24	certainly got word from the Commission that they were	
25	offer	ing to do that.

1	Q. All right.
2	And did you take Avista up on that?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Okay.
5	MR. MEYER: So as we sit here today in
6	February of 2018, we know that service has been
7	restored last year and that all payments have been
8	made to get that certification.
9	And so at this point, your Honor, I won't
10	move to dismiss the complaint, although there's
11	perhaps an argument that this complaint is moot. But
12	I would like to have Avista explain its position
13	better, and then just simply let this proceed to a
14	decision.
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.
16	MR. MEYER: With that, I have no further
17	cross-examination. Thank you, Mr. Stanzak.
18	MR. STANZAK: Sure. Thank you.
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:
21	Q. I just have one question that I guess maybe
22	will lead to others, but Mr. Stanzak, what is the
23	relief that you are requesting in your complaint?
24	A. Well, I don't know if I have actually
25	specified relief in the complaint, but there is

1	certainly and I've implied that they need to
2	double-check or do some kind of an investigation to
3	support the evidence or gather some evidence that
4	there's actually a hazard for any homeowner or any
5	property, not just jump to the conclusion that
6	automatically forces someone to jump through
7	additional hoops bureaucratically.
8	There's it just seems very unreasonable to
9	do that when there's no evidence of tampering, there's
LO	no evidence of a hazard, and they don't send I
L1	think it's their way of trying to avoid sending
L2	someone out, frankly, to inspect the property, to take
L3	a cursory look and see if there's any reason not to
L4	turn on the power. And in our case, there wasn't any
L5	reason why they shouldn't turn on the power as
L6	evidenced by the fact that the inspector came and went
L7	and nothing was required of us.
L8	The I think that, you know, this the
L9	oligopoly that is operating as Avista is has a lot
20	of power to their rule book. And they, frankly
21	they their employees are telling people it's the
22	law, that the law says that Avista has the power to
23	not turn on, and the law requires 12 months, and there
24	is no law.

Their people are misstating this. I heard

1	this from one of Avista's employees more than once,
2	and my wife heard it, and then I called the people at
3	the inspection office, they said, oh, yes, Avista
4	likes us to do that kind of in a joking sort of a
5	manner.
6	It's clear that Avista is making their own
7	rules here. And while it might be based on some
8	regulation in some other state or country or I don't
9	know where, it doesn't really matter. This is
10	Washington state and we have our own set of rules.
11	And that's why I'm appealing to the Commission to set
12	the matter straight.
13	Either adopt the rules or go about having them
14	do an investigation as WAC 480-100-128 says they do
15	their own investigation, which is really what I think
16	the appropriate thing is.
17	If there's a reasonable belief there's a
18	hazard, then, by God, don't turn the power on. But if
19	they're just jumping to that conclusion because it's
20	been a week or a month or 10 months or 12 months or
21	15 months, then that's not a reasonable thing. They
22	shouldn't make tens of thousands of people jump
23	through hoops just because they don't want to do an
24	investigation.

They got people out there all the time.

1	Anybody could run by and take a peek at the house and
2	see if the electrical wires are hanging out of the
3	wall, for God's sake. That's all the inspector does
4	anyway.
5	So anyway, I'm kind of passionate about it
6	because it's a it seems to be whittling away at
7	their at our rights, and more and more bureaucracy
8	and bureaucratic rules are coming up, and this is one
9	that got under my craw, so I'd like to see it fixed.
LO	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
L1	At this time, I'm going to allow Avista to
L2	make their statement. Who will be testifying or
L3	making a statement on behalf of the company today,
L4	Mr. Meyer?
L5	MR. MEYER: Yes, it will be Linda Gervais
L6	and Shawn Bonfield.
L7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
L8	MR. MEYER: We will start with Linda with
L9	her statement and then Shawn can supplement as
20	necessary.
21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
22	And please have them both spell their last
23	names for the court reporter. If both would raise
24	their right hands and I'll swear them in.
25	MR. MEYER: They're raised.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER / GERVAIS 21

1	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
2	
3	LINDA GERVAIS, witness herein, having been
4	first duly sworn on oath,
5	was examined and testified
6	as follows:
7	
8	SHAWN BONFIELD, witness herein, having been
9	first duly sworn on oath,
10	was examined and testified
11	as follows:
12	
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. You may
14	proceed.
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. MEYER:
17	Q. Would you first spell your name, Ms. Gervais,
18	and state by whom you're employed and what is your
19	title?
20	A. Yes. Thank you. My name is Linda Gervais,
21	and that's G-E-R-V, as in Victor, A-I-S. I'm employed
22	by Avista Utilities and my position is the senior
23	regulatory policy manager.
24	Q. Ms. Gervais, in that position, do you spend a
25	fair amount of time dealing with customer complaints

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER / BONFIELD 22

1	of all	sorts?
2	A.	Yes, I do.
3	Q.	And are you essentially the liaison with
4	Com	mission staff and customers when it comes to
5	comp	plaints of this sort?
6	A.	Yes, I am.
7	Q.	And is this the first complaint of this sort
8	that y	ou're aware of in the many years that you've
9	perfo	rmed in this area?
LO	A.	Yes, it is.
L1	Q.	So are you saying that no other customer has
L2	raise	d this issue while you've been with the company?
L3	A.	No, not in any of our jurisdictions.
L4	Q.	And you've been with the company in this
L5	capa	city for how many years?
L6	A.	Seventeen.
L7	Q.	Seventeen years. Okay.
L8		DIRECT EXAMINATION
L9	BY M	IR. MEYER:
20	Q.	And I'll ask some additional questions for
21	Ms. C	Gervais, but for the record, Mr. Bonfield, would
22	you e	explain or spell your name, give your title and
23	what	your responsibilities are?
24	A.	I would. My name is Shawn Bonfield, first
25	name	e S-H-A-W-N, last name B, as in boy, O-N-F-I-E-L-D.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER / GERVAIS 23

1	Му р	osition is a senior regulatory quality analyst
2	with A	Avista Utilities.
3	Q.	And much like Ms. Gervais, what duties do you
4	perfo	rm?
5	A.	In this capacity, I am the liaison between our
6	custo	omer service department and our regulatory
7	depa	rtment dealing with consumer and policy issues as
8	perta	nins to the WAC and the processes we have in
9	place) .
10	Q.	Thank you.
11		DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
12	BY M	IR. MEYER:
13	Q.	Turning back just for a few more foundational
14	ques	tions before Ms. Gervais issues her or delivers
15	her s	tatement, just to clarify certain facts, when,
16	Ms. 0	Gervais, was the power to this residence turned
17	off?	
18	A.	It was turned off on September 15th, 2015.
19	Q.	And according to company records, when was
20	servi	ce turned back on?
21	A.	Service was restored on October 23rd, 2017.
22	Q.	So approximately two years after service had
23	been	shut off?
24	A.	That is correct.
25	Q.	All right.

2.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER / GERVAIS 24

Would you please proceed, Ms. Gervais?

A. Yes. Thank you, your Honor, and thank you for your comments, Mr. Stanzak, as well.

First of all, I just want to say that, you know, our company policy has been designed and put in place most importantly to keep our employees and our customers safe.

In our experience, and in especially speaking with our field workers and people that are out there in the field, it has been their experience over time that when power has been disconnected for a length of time, and in particular a year or more, and in some of Spokane's -- especially Spokane and some of our outlying jurisdictions, a vacant home can sustain all kinds of -- whether it's weather related or theft or whatever, we just don't know. So the policy was there because when we do restore power in certain cases, there is a safety issue.

Our particular people that go out to restore power do not have the credentials to go in and inspect, and that's per the National Electric Code. So they can certainly look around the exterior of the house and -- but that doesn't tell them what's going on inside the panels or whether or not there's been copper wires stripped, et cetera.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER / GERVAIS 25

So not only has this been Avista's practice, and we feel that it's within the guidelines of the WAC as well as the RCW, but it's also municipal code in Spokane -- in the city of Spokane, which is our largest service area, as you well know, and also in our Idaho jurisdiction.

So keeping in line with that practice, we feel that this is the best way to keep our employees and our customers the most safe.

Q. Ms. Gervais, you mentioned the provisions of the administrative code. Was your reference there to WAC 480-100-123?

A. That's correct.

Q. And is it your understanding that that provision of the code allows a utility to refuse to provide new or additional service if, in the utility's reasonable judgment, the applicant's or customer's installation of wiring or electrical equipment is considered hazardous or such a nature that safe and satisfactory service cannot be provided?

A. That is correct.

Q. And do you believe that this policy reflects reasonable judgment -- this policy of requiring an electrical inspection before service is restored reflects the reasonable judgment of the company?

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER / GERVAIS 26

1	A. Yes, I do.	
2	Q. And is that reasonable judgment, or is that	
3	assumption also reflected in the City of Spokane's	
4	Municipal Code?	
5	A. Yes, it is.	
6	Q. And in that municipal code, is it	
7	MR. STANZAK: I want to object here,	
8	your Honor. The City of Spokane Municipal Code is not	
9	at question here. This is a state matter and this is	
LO	the city of Spokane Valley that we're housed in, not	
L1	the city of Spokane. Any reference to the city of	
L2	Spokane's code has nothing to do with the city of	
L3	Spokane Valley or Idaho.	
L4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Meyer?	
L5	MR. MEYER: Yes. The City of Spokane's	
L6	Municipal Code is some evidence of how other	
L7	authorities have viewed hazards associated with	
L8	property that has remained vacant, so it's not	
L9	dispositive. We don't claim it to be. But it is some	
20	evidence of whether Avista exercised its reasonable	
21	judgment in this instance.	
22	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.	
23	I am going to allow it, so the objection	
24	is overruled.	
25	MR. STANZAK: Very well. Thank you.	

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER / GERVAIS 27

1	MR. MEYER: Thank you, your Honor.
2	BY MR. MEYER:
3	Q. So turning to the City of Spokane's Municipal
4	Code, what do you understand that to provide?
5	A. So the city code provides it requires that
6	for power that has been off for more than one year,
7	and when a serving utility has or when someone has
8	requested service be turned on, that we it requires
9	an inspection.
10	Q. So if this if this matter had occurred
11	within the city limits of Spokane, could Avista have
12	restored service without getting an inspection?
13	MR. STANZAK: Again, your Honor, I object
14	to the "if" question. That's, like, asking, you
15	know I mean, if it rains tomorrow, then will we
16	have power? I it's just I don't know what you
17	guys call it in legal terms, but it should have no
18	bearing, "if."
19	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Meyer?
20	MR. MEYER: Yes. It's just to it's the
21	same response to the previous objection. It is just
22	some evidence of reasonable practice.
23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And, again, I'm going
24	to allow it. I believe that, as Mr. Meyer stated
25	before, this is just for illustrative purposes. It's

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEYER / BONFIELD 28

1	not to indicate that the municipal code is at issue in
2	this case. So the objection is overruled.
3	A. So the answer is yes, if a customer called
4	right now whether in the city of Spokane and
5	requested power and we know that it had been vacant
6	for that period of time, we would require an
7	inspection.
8	BY MR. MEYER:
9	Q. And from a broader company perspective, given
10	that we serve in multiple areas, is there benefit for
11	the company to have a consistently administered policy
12	throughout its service territory?
13	A. Yes, there is, for all customers, yes.
14	Q. Okay. Go ahead with your statement.
15	Do you have anything else to add to that?
16	A. I really do not. I think we're
17	MR. MEYER: Okay.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
19	BY MR. MEYER:
20	Q. Mr. Bonfield, anything else that you would
21	like to add?
22	A. If I may, I would just elaborate on what
23	points that Ms. Gervais mentioned and it pertains to
24	the certifications required by the National Electric
25	Code for who may do an inspection of downstream

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. STANZAK / BONFIELD 29

1	electrical wiring from the service point.
2	Avista's employees are only certified to
3	inspect up to the service point itself. Anything
4	beyond that point requires a certified inspector per
5	the National Electric Code to complete that
6	inspection. So for Avista even if we were to look
7	inside of a home that was vacant, we aren't certified
8	to call that an inspection.
9	MR. MEYER: Thank you. Your Honor, I
10	think those are the statements and testimony of
11	Avista.
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
13	MR. MEYER: If there's anything else that
14	you would like information on
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going
16	to allow Mr. Stanzak to question the witnesses and
17	then I do have some clarifying questions.
18	So Mr. Stanzak, do you have any questions
19	for Ms. Gervais or Mr. Bonfield?
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. STANZAK:
22	Q. Yeah. I think, in general, can you give me
23	some data on how many homes have been affected by
24	rulings in the county of Spokane, say, in the last
25	just the last month?

1	A. Mr. Stanzak, we do not have that information
2	available in terms of number of homes that have
3	been if you're asking about the number that have
4	been required to be inspected, I do not have that
5	information today.
6	Q. Do you have any information about the number
7	of homes that have been deemed hazardous, then, in the
8	last year, let's say?
9	A. I do not have that information.
LO	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L1	BY MR. STANZAK:
L2	Q. So you have no empirical evidence whatsoever,
L3	then, about how many homes are affected by this or
L4	what hazards may have may or may not have existed
L5	in these homes that you've required people to get
L6	inspections for?
L7	A. We could certainly find we do keep track of
L8	that, but in our capacity and in this in front of
L9	us right now, we don't have that information, but we
20	definitely do keep track of that.
21	Q. Okay. And yet you don't have that
22	information.
23	Now, can you offer a guess as to what it
24	would be?

MR. MEYER: We won't -- I won't have our

1	with a consequent of the second consequence is
1	witnesses speculate. If if your Honor would is
2	in need of this additional information to make the
3	decision, we will be happy to provide it upon request.
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I don't believe
5	that I need it for the decision in this case.
6	So are there any other questions,
7	Mr. Stanzak?
8	MR. STANZAK: Yes. I'd like to know who
9	observed the hazard that allowed Avista, in accordance
LO	with WAC 480-100-123, to refuse service. If what you
L1	say is true, Linda and Shawn, then you both know that
L2	the rules what that WAC code says, and specifically
L3	I'd like to read it to remind you.
L4	In the utility's reasonable judgment, the
L5	applicant's and customer's installation of
L6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Mr. Stanzak,
L7	you're moving way too fast for our court reporter.
L8	MR. STANZAK: Oh, I'm so sorry.
L9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yeah. Could you
20	please go back to if you could even just read the
21	citation, I think we all remember what the WAC
22	provision states.
23	MR. STANZAK: Okay. Well, I'd like to
24	read at least part of it, if you don't mind,
25	your Honor. It says in section 2B, it says [as

1	read],before electrical equipment is considered
2	hazardous or of such a nature that safe and
3	satisfactory service cannot be provided, period.
4	So I'd like to know of you folks, Linda or
5	Shawn, or anyone else that might be listening, who is
6	it that made the observation that this service being
7	turned on would or should be [sic] turned on
8	because of the hazardous nature of the home? There's
9	no observation that you have quoted here, and to my
10	knowledge you sent no one out. So can you tell me if
11	you sent someone out and I didn't know about it?
12	MR. MEYER: Your Honor, I'll object.
13	Yeah, Mr. Stanzak is asking the very question that
14	presupposes that there is an obligation to send
15	someone out and actually enter the dwelling, someone
16	who, as Mr. Bonfield has said, is not qualified in any
17	event to do the inspection.
18	And so the question is objectionable
19	because it presupposes a duty on the part of Avista to
20	actually enter the premise and perform that
21	inspection.
22	MR. STANZAK: Your Honor, may I rebuttal
23	to that?
24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, go ahead.
25	MR. STANZAK: WAC 480-100-128, which is

another section of the code that allows for Avista to
deny service, suggests that only after an
investigation can service be denied.
JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And
MR. STANZAK: They make a distinction
between investigation and inspection.
JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And Mr. Stanzak, I
believe that the provision that you're referring to,
WAC 480-100-128, is for disconnection of service, not
for failure to resume or restore service, so there's a
difference here.
MR. STANZAK: While that's true,
your Honor, it does imply that the legislature has an
idea that there's a difference between investigation
for denying services and the difference between
that and an inspection.
JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And I'm going
to sustain Avista's objection to the line of
questioning. I think if we can if you can continue
your questioning of the witnesses in a different line,
that would be helpful. So I'm going to sustain the
objection. I think we need to move forward.
MR. STANZAK: Okay. Then my last line of
questioning was about empirical evidence. There

25

doesn't seem to be any to substantiate these rules, so

1	I have no further questions, your Honor.
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. I do have
3	a couple of questions for Avista's witnesses.
4	Mr. Bonfield, you were mentioning at the
5	end of your statement that Avista employees are only
6	certified to inspect and I think you said outside
7	of the residence; is that correct?
8	MR. BONFIELD: That's correct, your Honor.
9	We can inspect our infrastructure or utility poles and
10	lines up to the service point itself on the electric
11	side, which is up to essentially the meter on the
12	outside of the home.
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
14	And so it's so Avista employees are not
15	certified to inspect inside the residence, whether or
16	not service has been off for two days, twelve months,
17	two years or anything like that?
18	MR. BONFIELD: You're correct. Any
19	electrical wiring or circuitry requiring inspection
20	beyond the meter, including the actual meter can
21	itself, must be inspected by a certified inspector per
22	the National Electric Code.
23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
24	And realizing that Avista has not offered
25	the Spokane Municipal Code for its legal basis here,

1	only as an illustrative example of a provision
2	regarding the 12 months' vacancy, can you give me the
3	citation to that Municipal Code?
4	MR. BONFIELD: I can, your Honor. It's
5	City of Spokane Municipal Code Title 17F, Construction
6	Standards, Chapter 17F.050, Electrical Code, Section
7	17F.050, Provision 150, Disconnection of Service.
8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
9	So you said 17F as in Frank?
10	MR. BONFIELD: Correct.
11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay.
12	And that's really all the clarification
13	questions that I had.
14	Mr. Stanzak, you had no further questions,
15	then, for the company?
16	MR. STANZAK: No, I don't believe I do,
17	your Honor.
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.
19	Is there anything else that we need to
20	address today before we adjourn or end the hearing?
21	MR. STANZAK: Just a closing statement
22	perhaps.
23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.
24	If you would like to make a closing
25	statement, that's fine.

1	MR. STANZAK: I'll be very brief,
2	your Honor.
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
4	MR. STANZAK: Having the power out doesn't
5	increase or decrease the risk of a hazard. Avista has
6	no idea whether there's a hazard there or not anywhere
7	unless they say they have some evidence of that.
8	And the law clearly states that unless
9	they have evidence of a hazard, reasonable evidence of
10	a hazard, that they don't have the right to deny
11	service. And they shouldn't have denied service to us
12	or any other customer unless there's some reasonable
13	reason to do it. And just having the power off is not
14	reasonable, not at all. And that's it. Thank you.
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
16	Does Avista wish to give a closing
17	statement?
18	MR. MEYER: Just just very, very
19	briefly.
20	Avista is permitted, as it should be, to
21	exercise its reasonable judgment in matters such as
22	this. And based on 125 years of experience and based
23	on experts who are in the field every day and based on
24	concerns of our experts who are quite uncomfortable

with sending anyone into a house that has been --

1	that's been vacant for a year or two, given vandalism
2	that might occur, there are genuine safety issues.
3	And the company does not want to expose
4	its employees to any risk of danger, and that you
5	know, a safe and reliable service to customers, yes,
6	but not at the expense of the safety of our own
7	employees. That's first and foremost. Thank you.
8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
9	I believe that that concludes our hearing
LO	today. I expect to have a decision entered within 10
L1	business days. So if there is nothing further, this
L2	hearing is adjourned. Thank you.
L3	MR. STANZAK: Thank you for your
L4	consideration, your Honor.
L5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
L6	MR. MEYER: Thank you.
L7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
L8	(Hearing concluded at 10:14 a.m.)
L9	
20	-000-
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF KÍNG I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 6th day of March, 2018. ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032