Agenda Date: Item Number:	October 27, 2011 B4
Docket:	TG-111681
Company Name:	Mason County Garbage Company, Inc., G-88
<u>Staff:</u>	Dave Gomez, Deputy Assistant Director

## **Recommendation**

Staff recommends the commission issue a complaint and order suspending revisions to the company's tariffs and allowing monthly recyclable commodity revenue adjustments filed by the company on September 16, 2011, as revised on October 17, 2011, and revenue sharing to become effective on November 1, 2011, on a temporary basis, subject to refund.

## **Discussion**

On September 16, 2011, Mason County Garbage Company, Inc. (Mason or company), filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (commission) revisions to its currently effective Tariff No. 13, designated as Tariff pages 1, 21 and 21A. The company filed replacement pages 21 and 21A on October 17, 2011, to revise the monthly recyclable commodity revenue adjustment originally filed on September 16, 2011. The stated effective date is November 1, 2011.

In its filing of September 16, 2011, Mason proposes to increase the amount it pays to single family customers for the value of the recyclable materials that the company collects in its residential recycling collection service. The monthly credit for single family customers would increase from \$1.75 to \$2.13. The company also requested the commission allow it to retain thirty percent of the revenue it receives from the sale of recyclable materials that it collects in its residential single-family recycling collection service from November 1, 2011, to October 31, 2012.

RCW 81.77.185 states that the commission shall allow a solid waste collection company collecting recyclable materials from residential single and multi-family customers to retain "up to fifty percent of the revenue paid" to the company for the material if the company submits a plan to the commission that is certified by the appropriate local government authority as being consistent with the local government solid waste plan and that demonstrates how the revenues will be used to increase recycling. The remaining revenue must be passed through to residential single and multi-family customers.

On September 16, 2011, Mason filed a letter, signed by company representative, Richard P. Fredrickson and John A. Cunningham, Interim Program Manager for Mason County Utilities and Waste Management, confirming continuance in the 2011-2012 plan year of the revenue sharing plan approved in 2010-2011. The 2010-2011 plan sets forth actions that Mason will take to increase recycling using recyclable commodity revenues retained by the company. The plan calls for thirty percent of the actual value of retained recyclable commodity revenue to be awarded to the company on the basis of:

- <u>Criteria "A" Detail</u> Meeting or exceeding data monitoring and reporting requirements 10 percent award;
- <u>Criteria "B" Detail</u> Achieving and demonstrating an increase in recycling per household per month, year-over-year 10 percent award; and
- <u>Criteria "C" Detail</u> Assisting the county in providing and operating a glass recycling box at county transfer stations 10 percent award.

Mason's filing of September 16, 2011, also included a letter from John A. Cunningham where he stated that the company had demonstrated to the satisfaction of Mason County that it had met the goals and objectives specified in the 2010-2011 plan.

Absent in the letter of September 16, 2011, was certification by Mason County (county) that the 2011-2012 plan is consistent with the county's solid waste management plan and that the plan demonstrates how the revenues will be used to increase recycling. On October 19, 2011, the county filed with the commission its certification of the 2011-2012 plan which included an updated performance plan criteria scorecard from the one used in 2010-2011. The updated scorecard reflects new dates and objectives required for the company to retain thirty percent of the of the actual value of retained recyclable commodity revenue for the 2011-2012 plan period.

Staff examined the data submitted by the company in its filing of September 16, 2011, and concluded that the company had failed to achieve the performance requirements specified in the 2010-2011 approved plan, specifically Criteria "B" Detail. Table 1 below shows that to receive the full ten percent award, Mason would have had to increase household recycle pounds permonth to 31.85 pounds. The company's actual result was 31.19 pounds per household. Consequently, the company must refund to customers \$8,491.

On October 11, 2011, the company filed revised pages 21 and 21A to increase the monthly recyclable commodity revenue adjustment from \$2.13 to \$2.39, reflecting the refund. On October 19, 2011, the county notified staff that it concurred with the reduction in retained revenue. Table 2 below shows the amounts Mason retained and spent as part of its 2010-2011 plan.

 Table 1, Mason County's 2010 – 2011 Company Recycling Plan: Single-Stream Recycling Program Scorecard

Mason County Commodity Revenue Share Ten	nplate			
2010 - 2011 Program Period				
Summary				
•			Percent	Percent
Criteria Topic			Eligible	Approved
A Ongoing Implementation and Data Repo	orting		10%	
B Increased Recycle Tons per Household			10%	
C Glass Management	10%			
o oldoo management			30%	0%
			30%	0 /6
Criteria A Detail				
			Percent	Percent
Monthly Meetings	Requirement	Date	Eligible	Approved
In person and/or SWAC - Monthly	4th Quarter 2010		1%	
In person and/or SWAC - Monthly	1st Quarter 2011		1%	
In person and/or SWAC - Monthly	2nd Quarter 2011		1%	
In person and/or SWAC - Monthly	3rd Quarter 2011		1%	
		Complied?	Percent	Percent
Recycle Cart Size Option Program	Requirement	Yes/No	Eligible	Approved
	Maintain Program		1%	
			Percent	Percent
Annual Data Delivery	Requirement	Date	Eligible	Approved
Glass Program Tracking	April, 2011	Duto	1%	Approtec
Service Change, On-call/Vacation Detail	April, 2011		1%	
Customer complaint Summary & Detail	April, 2011		1%	
Cart Swap Summary	April, 2011		1%	
Completed Annual Report	April, 2011		1%	
oompeted Amounteport	April, 2011		170	
Criteria B Detail (only one applies)				
			Percent	Percent
Per Household Increases and Decreases	9/1/09-8/31/10 Baseline	Goal	Eligible	Approved
Increase Recycle Lbs per month per household-1%		31.23	4%	
Increase Recycle Lbs per month per household-2%		31.55	7%	
Increase Recycle Lbs per month per household-3%	30.92 lbs	31.85	10%	
Criteria C Detail				
Criteria C Detail			Maximum	Percent
Criteria C Detail	Measurement	Actual	Maximum Eligible	
	Measurement Manage and Haul per county			
Glass Program - Shelton Transfer Station			Eligible	
Criteria C Detail Glass Program - Shelton Transfer Station Glass Program - Belfair Transfer Station Glass Program - Union Transfer Station	Manage and Haul per county		Eligible 4%	Percent Approved

District 2149: Mason County Garbage						
Summary of (	Summary of Commodity Credit Justification Support 9/2010 - 8/2011					
Total Amount Retained by Company		\$84,910				
Expense Description	Expense Narrative	Expense Amount				
Mason County Blue Box	Fiscal year loss on County Blue Box recycling	\$43,650				
Recycling Contract & Glass	contract and glass hauls. 1,044 (hauls for					
Hauls	period) x \$41.81 (\$102.30 cost per haul -					
30 YD Glass Box - Shelton	Monthly hauling of glass box at \$125 per	\$1,500				
Transfer	month.					
Community Event Recycling -	In-kind service donated by MCG to various	\$5,222				
Donated Service	civic events throughout the County (multiple					
Mason County Business	Indirect and direct booth expense to MCG for	\$1,301				
Expo - Recycle Promotion	business expo, with MCG booth promoting					
Booth	recycling. Includes supplies, table, and					
Mason County Annual Shred	Free shred event, paid for by MCG, in	\$1,274				
Event	conjunction with LeMay Shredding. Includes					
Regulated Comingle	Recycle promotion and graphics on side of 53'	\$1,951				
Commodity Transport	transport trailer - "Another Load Saved From					
Trailer Signage	The Landfill."					
TOTAL DOCUMENTED COMMODITY RETENTION EXPENSE - 2010:		\$54,898				
Amount Not Spent by Company		\$30,012				
Performance Penalty		\$8,491				
Final Retention Amount		\$21,521				

## Table 2, 2010-2011 Recyclable Commodity Revenue Retained vs. Revenue Spent

WAC 480-70-351 (1) provides, "[T]he commission encourages solid waste collection companies to develop programs intended to increase recycling. The commission will, among other things, consider whether a proposed program:

- (a) Provides an incentive to the party who controls the actions or behaviors that the program intends to change;
- (b) Defines measurable outcomes reasonably attributable to the proposed program; and
- (c) May have any unintended results or consequences."

Staff notes that the company did not spend \$30,012 (35 percent) of the retained revenue, and the company proposes to keep \$21,521 (25 percent) of the retained revenue only because staff found the company had failed to meet a performance standard. Retained revenue is defined as a percentage (thirty percent in this case) of total revenue. Because total revenue increases or decreases with the change of tons collected and prices paid, the amount of retained revenue will also change. The potential for even greater amounts of unspent retained revenue exits if tons or prices increase. This issue is demonstrated in Dockets TG-111674 and TG-111672, revenue sharing filings by companies that share common ownership with Mason, that reported unspent retained revenue of \$505,131, or 52 percent of retained revenue. Staff believes that the amount of

Mason's unspent revenue is an unintended result or consequence of the Company's 2010-2011 plan and is otherwise contrary to the public interest. Further, Staff contends that there are no changes or safeguards in Mason's proposed 2011-2012 plan that would prevent even greater unspent revenues. Consistent with WAC 480-70-351, the Commission should consider whether the proposed 2011-2012 plan may, likewise, have any unintended results or consequences.

Mason has not yet demonstrated the proposed revenue sharing is fair, just, reasonable and sufficient and, as a result, might injuriously affect the rights and interests of the public. Staff therefore recommends that the commission issue a complaint and order suspending revisions to Mason's Tariff No. 13, allowing monthly recyclable commodity revenue adjustments filed on September 16, 2011, as revised on October 17, 2011, and revenue sharing on a temporary basis, subject to refund.

Staff recommends the commission issue a complaint and order suspending revisions to Mason's Tariff No. 13, allowing monthly recyclable commodity revenue adjustments filed on September 15, 2011, as revised on October 17, 2011, and revenue sharing on a temporary basis, subject to refund.

## **Conclusion**

Issue a complaint and order suspending revisions to the company's tariffs and allowing monthly recyclable commodity revenue adjustments filed by the company on September 16, 2011, as revised on October 17, 2011, and revenue sharing to become effective on November 1, 2011, on a temporary basis, subject to refund.