

#	Impacted PIDs	States Impacted	Description of Change	Final Status of Issue
1A	GA-3 GA-4 GA-7 PO-2B PO-3 PO-5D PO-7 PO-8 PO-16 OP-7 OP-17 MR-11 BI-4 NI-1 NP-1 CP-3	AZ, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	Request to Remove PIDs from PAPs Subject to a Reinstatement/Removal Process Remove specific PIDs listed from the PAPs and make subject to a reinstatement/removal process. PIDs or their sub-parts (depending upon the particular PID) that are removed from the PAPs would be reinstated if the PID or PID sub-part missed the applicable aggregate standard for three consecutive months. Likewise, if reinstated, the PID or PID sub-part would be subsequently removed if the applicable aggregated standard is met for three consecutive months. Associated reporting, payment, and root cause analysis provisions will apply as they apply in Colorado.	1/25/2007 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest on removal of listed PIDs: 1. PIDs removed from being subject to a PAP's payment mechanism while providing an ongoing evaluation of the PID's performance to ensure that, if warranted, under the terms of the process, the PID will be reinstated as subject to PAP payments. 2. 4/26/2007 Changed original agreement to evaluate PO-3 and PO-7 at the regional level. Will be evaluated for PAP payment status at the state level. 3. Revised Reinstatement/Removal provisions in Section 3 and Appendix A of the CPAP to modify language from CPAP 3 rd year review to clarify PIDs were not removed from the PAP but removed from the PAP payment mechanism.
1B	BI-3	AZ, CO, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	Modify PID BI-3A – Change standard to benchmark of 95%	3/8/2007 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest on Qwest's 3/1/2007 proposal to: 1. Change the standard from parity with retail to a 98% benchmark. 2. Change payment structure from a flat dollar amount (\$25) per occurrence missed to a tiered structure of increasing dollar amounts per occurrence missed based on the Total Bill Adjustment. 3. Remove the application of escalation to BI-3A, in return for significantly increasing the monthly cap from a flat \$5,000 per CLEC per month to a variable, tiered structure of increasing caps going up to \$25,000 per CLEC in any given month, based on the Total Bill Adjustment.
1B	MR-6,	AZ, CO, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	MR-6 – Remove NTF, TOK TT from measurement	10/11/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest: 1. Qwest accepted CLEC compromise proposal to exclude No Trouble Found (NTF)/Test Okay (TOK) Trouble Tickets of 1 hour or less from MR-6
1B	OP-4	AZ, CO, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	OP-4 – Report intervals for Met Commitment orders	4/11/2007 Qwest agreed to withdraw request
2	All PIDs with benchmark or parity standards except interval	AZ, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR,	Request for One Allowable Miss Apply one allowable performance miss (for example, one order, LSR, or trouble ticket, as applicable) to benchmark and non-interval parity measurements where 100% performance would otherwise be required to meet	9/14/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest: 1. Qwest accepted CLEC counter proposal for one allowable miss provision for benchmark and non-interval parity

#	Impacted PIDs	States Impacted	Description of Change	Final Status of Issue
	parity measurements.	SD, UT, WA, WY	the standard. This change does not apply to interval parity measurements because, unlike benchmark and non-interval parity measurements, interval parity measurements are not based on a "yes/no" determination. In those situations involving interval parity measurements the permutation statistical test will continue to be used to account for the effects of low volumes where the requirement for 100% performance arises.	measurements where 100% performance would otherwise be required to meet the standard in cases where the CLEC aggregate results have met the standard. 2. The one allowable miss provision will also apply if the CLEC aggregate results have not met the standard, but would require 100% performance to meet the standard and with one allowable miss at the CLEC aggregate level CLEC aggregate results meet the standard.
3	Varies	AZ, ID, IA, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	Request to Modify Minimum Payments Revise the minimum payment provisions so that minimum payments are made only to smaller CLECs and operate so as to maintain a relationship with levels of performance. For example, as performance levels improve, minimum payments decrease.	12/21/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. Qwest accepted CLEC proposal for a tiered minimum payment structure based on payment levels. Less than \$200 – 0 minimum payment; \$201 – \$800 - \$1500; \$801 - \$1400 - \$2000; more than \$1400 - \$2500. 2. CLECs agreed to keep existing eligibility requirements based on order volume. 3. Upon Commission approval, change will be effective in 2007 and applied retroactively to the Minimum Payment determination made at the end of 2007 for the entire year.
4	OP-3 OP-4 OP-5 OP-6 MR-3 MR-5 MR-6 MR-7 MR-8 for low volume products	AZ, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	Request to Remove Low Volume Products Remove certain product disaggregations from the PAP where little or no order volumes have been reported in the metric OP-3, Installation Commitments Met, since the inception of the PAP through December, 2005. 8/17/2006 Revised list: Resale Centrex , Resale Centrex 21, Resale DS0--Non-Designed and Designed E911/911, Resale Frame Relay, Resale Basic ISDN--Non-Designed and Designed Resale Primary ISDN--Non-Designed and Designed, Resale PBX--Non-Designed and Designed, Resale DSL—Non-Designed and Designed, Sub-Loop Unbundling, UNE-P POTS, UNE-P Centrex, UNE-P Centrex 21. Qwest confirmed EEL DS0 in PAP in WA so removed from list to be discontinued.	8/17/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. CLECs reviewed Qwest's list and confirmed agreement to remove the listed products from the PAPs
5	All	AZ, MN	Request to Modify Data Retention Provision Incorporate the data retention requirements addressed by Section 14.4 of the Minnesota Performance Assurance Plan ("MPAP") pertaining to exclusion records into Section 13.1. Reduce the data retention requirements stated in Sections 13.1 of the MPAP and 15.6 of the Arizona Performance Assurance Plan ("APAP") from a total of six years to three years with the data being stored in an easy-to-access electronic form for one year after the performance reports have been produced and for an additional two years in an archived format.	7/12/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. CLECs agreed to change data retention provisions.

#	Impacted PIDs	States Impacted	Description of Change	Final Status of Issue
6	See Attachment 1 in the affected states' PAPs	NE, NM, SD, UT, WA, WY	<p>Request to Modify Tier Two Payment Provision (QPAP 7.3) Modify the PAP Provision 7.3 so that Tier 2 payments are based on the number of performance measurements exceeding the critical z-value for three consecutive months, rather than the number of performance measurements failing performance standards for the month. This modification would make this provision in the six states listed below consistent with Provision 7.3 in the Arizona PAP.</p>	<p>CLECs 9/28/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. Use MT 7.3 language. Pay Tier 2 after 3rd consecutive month OR For Tier 2 with Tier 1 counterpart: 2 out of 3 in last 12 months missed, then pay for 2nd consecutive month missed For Tier 2 without Tier 1 counterpart: 2 out of 3 in last 12 month missed, then pay for 1st month missed.</p>
7	All measures subject to Tier 1 in MPAP	MN	<p>Request to Eliminate Severity from the Minnesota PAP Remove the severity provisions of the Minnesota PAP to maintain alignment with the CPAP and simplify the MPAP. The Colorado Commission recently approved this change.</p>	<p>9/28/2006 agreement except for one CLEC position to be determined. 1. All but one participating CLEC agreed to accept as part of a package of PMP issues to be taken to states 1/11/2007 2. Outstanding CLEC accepted.</p>
8	All measures subject to Tier 1 in MPAP	MN	<p>Request to Modify Escalation and CLEC Not Opted into the Minnesota PAP Provisions Modify the escalation provisions of the MPAP so that escalation payments are calculated according to the escalation provisions in the Oregon PAP, with some modifications, remove section 10.3 that addresses consecutive performance misses of 50% or greater. Also, remove the provision that calculates tier two payments based on CLECs that have not opted into the MPAP.</p>	<p>1/25/2007 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. CLECs support CLEC counter proposal to adopt the Oregon PAP escalation language in MN and eliminate PAP payments to the State of MN for CLECs not opted into the PAP, as well as eliminate the split of Tier 1Y payments so that all payments go to the CLEC. In addition, the 6-month cap on escalation will be removed in CO, ID, MN, ND, OR, UT and WA Supporting proposal to reach agreement on PMP items. Qwest agrees. 3/8/2007 2. CLECs agree with Qwest's proposed changes to the WA Table 2A and with the removal of MPAP 10.3 as part of this proposal.</p>
9	All PIDs that include Tier 1A and Tier 1B PID sub-measures or disaggregations listed in Appendix A of the MPAP	MN	<p>Request to Modify the Smaller CLEC Provision in the Minnesota PAP Modify the smaller CLEC provision to align with the recently changed CPAP so that the smaller CLEC status is based on the CLEC's self- certification as to 6,000 lines in service or fewer every six months but not more than three years from the date the CLEC was granted a certificate of authority.</p>	<p>12/21/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. Qwest accepted CLEC proposal for a tiered minimum payment structure based on payment levels. Less than \$200 – 0 minimum payment; \$201 – \$800 - \$1500; \$801 - \$1400 - \$2000; more than \$1400 - \$2500. 2. CLECs agreed to keep existing eligibility requirements based on order volume. 3. MN will adopt eligibility requirements for small CLEC status used in other states and will be based on individual CLEC annual order volumes of no more than 1,200 as reported in OP-3. Change will be effective in 2007.</p>

#	Impacted PIDs	States Impacted	Description of Change	Final Status of Issue
10	PO-2	MN	Request to Modify PO-2 in the Minnesota PAP Modify the PO-2 provisions in the MPAP to align with the recently changed CPAP so that PO-2A is removed from the MPAP and not subject to the reinstatement/removal process, PO-2B is removed from the MPAP and subject to the reinstatement/removal process, evaluated on the basis of two consecutive quarters for the purposes of determining whether the metric is reinstated in or removed from the MPAP, and MPAP payments are calculated at the rate of \$2,000 for each 2.5% that the performance differs from its standard, but not to exceed \$16,000.	9/28/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. CLECs agreed to remove PO-2A from the MPAP permanently and to remove PO-2B from the PAP payment mechanism subject to the reinstatement/removal process.
11	PO-18	MN	Request to Eliminate PO-18 from the Minnesota PAP Remove the Minnesota-specific PID PO-18, Interface Versions Availability, from the MPAP. As a result of the Colorado 4th Six-Month Review of the CPAP, the Colorado Commission approved the removal of PO-18 from the CPAP. This change would align the MPAP with the CPAP.	7/20/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. CLECs agreed to remove PO-18 from the MPAP
12	PO-20	AZ, CO, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	Request to Make Administrative Clean Up Changes to PO-20 1. Revise to reflect implementation of all of PO-20's Phases. 2. Remove last two lines of Phase 4 LSR-Service Order Fields Evaluated.	9/14/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. CLECs agreed to PO-20 changes.
13	OP-5	AZ, CO, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	Request to Make Administrative Clean up Changes to OP-5 1. Remove reference to Long Term PID Administration in the "Product Reporting" section. 2. Add "(designed provisioning)" behind "Parity with retail ISDN BRI" to clarify what is being used as the retail comparative for OP-5A unbundled non-loaded loop (2-wire) and unbundled ISDN-capable loop.	9/28/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. All parties agreed to changes in OP-5. 4/26/2007 2. CLECs accepted addition of (Designed) to the standard of Parity with retail ISDN BRI for the non-loaded 2 wire loop and the ISDN capable loop disaggregations in additional OP and MR PIDs
14	MR-11	AZ, CO, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	Request to change title of MR-11 from "LNP Trouble Reports Cleared within 24 Hours" to "LNP Trouble Reports Cleared within Specified Timeframes"	9/14/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 1. CLECs Agreed to change in MR-11 title.
15	PO-1, OP-3, OP-4, OP-5A, OP-6, OP-15, MR-3, MR-4, MR-6, MR-7, MR-8, MR-10	AZ, CO, ID, IA, MN, MT, NE, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY	Request to Eliminate Resale DSL from PIDs and Modify PID and PAP references to Qwest DSL 1) Eliminate the Resale of Qwest DSL Qualification transaction (transaction type 8 from the PO-1, Pre-Order/Order Response Times, measure. 2) Eliminate references to "megabit resale" from Section 10 (Low Volume, Developing Markets) of multiple state PAPs. 3) Change the retail analog of "Qwest DSL", "Qwest DSL with dispatch",	10/26/2006 agreement from participating CLECs and Qwest 12/21/2006 1. Revised retail analogs as follows: Line Sharing – Res/Bus POTS Line Splitting – Res/Bus POTS xDSL-I Loop – DS1 PL (Except OP-6 which will be ISDN

#	Impacted PIDs	States Impacted	Description of Change	Final Status of Issue
			<p>“Qwest IDSL” and “retail DSL” with new analogs such that a parity comparison or a parity expectation on a diagnostic measure will continue to render a retail result to compare wholesale performance against.</p> <p>4) Terms & conditions for retroactive treatment: Qwest will recalculate PAP performance results and payment data, after state commission adoption/approval, retroactively as follows: For a maximum of 4 months results but no earlier than December 06 results. For CO and MN: - Tier 1A – Six Month Retail Average: compare retail based on the six month average. Modify because we can collect data Retail data will begin being collected effective with December 06 data. Therefore retail results will not be based on a full six month average until June 07 results. Until that time, retail average will be based on as many months as are available using Dec 2006 as the starting point.</p> <p>State adoption/approval will be sought through joint filings of the parties. All parties commit to actively pursue Commission approval should a Commission fail to act. Commission approval must be obtained by June 30, 2007. In order for Qwest to be willing to apply retroactive treatment.</p>	<p>BRI (designed) ADSL Loop - ISDN BRI (designed)</p>