BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

Respondent.

DOCKET NOS. UE-190529 and UG-190530 (Consolidated)

CARLA A. COLAMONICI ON BEHALF OF PUBLIC COUNSEL UNIT

EXHIBIT CAC-6

Puget Sound Energy Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 91

November 22, 2019

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Puget Sound Energy 2019 General Rate Case

PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 091:

Re: Prefiled Direct Testimony of witness William T. Einstein, Exh. WTE-1CT at 7:13-20:

PSE will provide these customers with the same options as customers who do not have fully depreciated equipment:

- 1) Transfer the rental agreement to the new owner and continue to make monthly payments;
- 2) Request to end the current rental agreement and take ownership of the equipment; or
- 3) Request to end the current rental agreement and that the equipment be removed by PSE.

Why does PSE plan to offer customers that have fully depreciated equipment the same three options as those that do not have fully depreciated equipment?

Response:

Puget Sound Energy believes that customers should have options as we transition the water heater leasing business. These choices include offering customers who have fully depreciated equipment the choice to continue the service they receive today which includes maintenance, repair and replacement of their water heater tank. The second and third options exist today.

Person who Prepared the Response: Lori Roth

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: William T. Einstein