## 30(b)(6) Deposition Testimony of Matthew Stobart on behalf of CB&I (Excerpt) (2/16/2021)

## In the Matter Of: ACT v PSCAA MATTHEW STOBART February 16, 2021 LEXITAS

	ACT v Matthew Stobard PSCAA 30(b)(6) February 16, 2021
1	124
T	the most conservative calculators we could find, and
2	it's why one of the reasons why we used it. It
3	gave us cushion, basically, to not violate
4	something.
5	Q. Okay. Well, the 75.7 number, though,
б	would be would be more conservative, wouldn't it?
7	A. I think not than the new met
8	calc Cummins calculation method. I think they'd
9	probably be pretty similar. I'm not sure.
10	Can you scroll up?
11	I think we might actually say what it
12	is.
13	Q. Oh, yeah, sure. I'm just trying to get
14	whatever information you have to share on this.
15	(Witness reviewing document.)
16	A. No, it doesn't look like it's
17	Yeah, you can scroll down again, please.
18	Q. Okay. Do you know what of this
19	information was communicated to PSE?
20	A. I think we told them that that, you
21	know, it looked like the composition had changed
22	again, and we were able to basically, the message
23	we were passing on to them is that the design will
24	still work, but in order to maintain a methane
25	number of 80, we may have to turn down the LNG

	ACT v N PSCAA 30(b)(6) Fe	/latthew Stobart bruary 16, 2021
1	production rate a little bit.	125
2	Q. Okay. And that means well, all	
3	right. Let me ask a little differently.	
4	So is based on the 2019 feed gas	
5	composition, is Tacoma LNG capable of producing	
6	250,000 gallons of LNG per day with a methane num	ber
7	of 80?	
8	A. Based on the calculations from this	
9	exact composition, we would not, no. We would	we
10	would have to turn down the production level a	
11	little bit in order to not exceed the heat, the	
12	maximum heat to the flare.	
13	Q. And, you know, I was saving that till	
14	later, but let's talk about that now for a minute	•
15	Can you tell me what the max heat inp	ut
16	is for the flare's large warm burner?	
17	A. Yeah. On a lower heating value, I th	ink
18	it's 34; and higher heating value, it's 37.2.	
19	Q. Okay. So that	
20	A. Million BTUs per hour, right? Sorry.	
21	Q. Yeah. That's sort of the source of m	Y
22	misunderstanding because I've seen some different	
23	information on that.	
24	You know, my understanding was that 3	7.2
25	was a creature of the flaring cases but that the	

	ACT v PSCAA	30(b)(6)	Matthew Stobart February 16, 2021
1	, ,		126
T	burner mig.	nt be able to actually handle more.	
2		And I'm wondering, as CBI was, you	know,
3	involved i	n in designing the facility, what	
4	what maxes	out the flare? Like, how how muc	ch can
5	the flare i	handle?	
6	А.	Oh, on the warm side, it's the numb	oers I
7	just state	d: 34 million on a million BTUs per b	nour
8	lower heat	ing value; and 37.2 on the upper.	
9	Q.	Okay. Okay.	
10		So anything above and beyond 37.2 i	in the
11	flare, the	flare can't combust it?	
12	А.	I do not know what will happen to i	Lt.
13	That's a b	etter question for LFG; that that's t	che
14	capacity t	hat they've stated. It's the capacit	cy we
15	asked for.	And I would imagine they have some	
16	contingenc	y built into it. I mean, the thing i	ls not
17	going to f	all apart if you get to 37.3 or somet	ching,
18	but it's -	- it's not designed to do that.	
19	Q.	Okay. Well, am I right that it's -	
20	it's th	e burner is the same size as the larg	ge
21	cold burne	r?	
22	А.	No, it's not. The large cold burne	er is
23	actually 1	arger.	
24	Q.	Okay. Well, we'll take a look at s	some
25	pictures o	f it a little later, and you can y	you

	ACT v PSCAA	30(b)(6)	Matthew Stobart February 16, 2021
_			127
1	can help me	e with that. Let's stay on this for	now.
2	A.	Okay.	
3	Q.	All right. Just staying on this	-
4	Mr. Mullen'	s email for a moment.	
5		Do you see the portion of this	
6	discussing	limitations CBI is working under and	l the
7	lever th	ere's a discussion of levers to pull	L?
8	А.	Yeah. Uh-hmm.	
9	Q.	Okay. Can you explain that to me a	and
10	help me wit	h cut temperatures for heavies and t	hat
11	information	1?	
12	А.	Yes. The cut temperature for heave	les is
13	the tempera	ture that we, basically, run the gas	s at
14	through the	e first heat exchanger to try to know	k out
15	some of the	e heavies, and it is adjustable; we o	an
16	adjust it.	We can raise that temperature or lo	ower
17	that temper	ature. If we want to take out more,	r
18	we'll lower	the temperature; if we want to take	e out
19	less, we ca	n raise the temperature.	
20		And that's the first lever that we	re
21	talking abc	out. We we have flexibility. You	1
22	know, some	LNG plants don't have that flexibility	ity.
23	It's a set	number and it's not variable based of	on the
24	design that	they use. But we we have a flex	cible
25	design wher	e we can we can vary it.	