

**EXHIBIT NO. ___(RCR-1T)
DOCKET NO. UE-11___/UG-11___
2011 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE
WITNESS: R. CLAY RIDING**

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

**Docket No. UE-11___
Docket No. UG-11___**

**PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF
R. CLAY RIDING
ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.**

JUNE 13, 2011

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

**PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF
R. CLAY RIDING**

CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. OVERVIEW OF THE REGION'S NATURAL GAS SYSTEM AND
PSE'S NATURAL GAS RESOURCES2

III. RECENT TRANSACTIONS.....7

IV. SUPPLY PRICING ASSUMPTIONS11

V. MARKET FORCES AFFECTING GAS SUPPLY12

VI. CONCLUSION.....16

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

**PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF
R. CLAY RIDING**

I. INTRODUCTION

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Clay Riding, and my business address is 10885 N.E. Fourth Street, Bellevue, Washington 98004. I am employed by Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (“PSE” or “the Company”) as Director, Natural Gas Resources.

Q. Have you prepared an exhibit describing your education, relevant employment experience, and other professional qualifications?

A. Yes, I have. It is Exhibit No. ____ (RCR-2).

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. My testimony addresses several issues related to natural gas supply, transportation and storage. First, I provide an overview of the region’s natural gas system and the Company's access to supply basins. Then, I address recent transactions between PSE and: (i) BNP Paribas Energy Trading Canada Corp. (“BNP”), which provides PSE with additional natural gas transportation capacity

1 on Spectra Energy’s Westcoast Energy BC Pipeline (“Westcoast”) system; and
2 (ii) Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc. (“Occidental”), which provides PSE with
3 additional natural gas transportation capacity on Northwest Pipeline GP’s
4 (“NWP”) system from the Stanfield, Oregon interconnection with TransCanada’s
5 Gas Transmission Northwest (“GTN”) system. I also address the assignment of
6 Jackson Prairie capacity from the core gas book to the power book. Further, I
7 discuss the pricing assumptions with respect to Stanfield gas supplies. Finally, I
8 discuss the current market forces and economics affecting PSE's natural gas
9 resource choices.

10 **II. OVERVIEW OF THE REGION'S NATURAL GAS SYSTEM**
11 **AND PSE’S NATURAL GAS RESOURCES**

12 **Q. Please provide an overview of the region's natural gas system.**

13 A. Pacific Northwest natural gas markets are served by three pipeline companies:
14 NWP, GTN and Westcoast. Upstream of these pipelines are various other
15 pipelines (e.g., TransCanada’s Foothills (“Foothills”) and Alberta (“NGTL”)
16 systems and soon, Ruby Pipeline (“Ruby”) currently under construction by a
17 division of El Paso Natural Gas), gathering systems and processing plants that
18 facilitate delivery of gas to markets.

1 Additionally, the Pacific Northwest has two underground storage facilities—
2 Jackson Prairie and Mist—and several LNG peaking facilities. Please see Exhibit
3 No. ___(RCR-3) for a schematic of the region’s natural gas infrastructure.

4 These pipelines provide Pacific Northwest markets with access to supplies
5 produced in the Rocky Mountains and the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin
6 (in both Alberta and British Columbia), and access to supply-area underground
7 storage facilities (Clay Basin in Utah, AECO in Alberta and Aitken Creek in
8 British Columbia).

9 **Q. Please describe PSE's natural gas transportation and storage resources.**

10 A. PSE has entered into various firm transportation and storage service contracts that
11 allow PSE to serve its firm customers under winter, peak-day conditions, and to
12 provide reliable natural gas supply for its gas-fired power generating facilities.
13 PSE has access to all three supply basins (Rockies, Alberta and British Columbia)
14 through resources it has acquired for the core gas book, and access to British
15 Columbia supply basins and the Stanfield market hub on GTN through resources
16 it has acquired for the power book. The Stanfield market hub provides access to
17 Alberta supplies and, beginning in mid-2011, will provide access to Rockies
18 supplies through the new Ruby pipeline. The power book has held pipeline
19 capacity that accesses Rockies supply through a non-renewable, third-party

1 contract that expires on June 30, 2011. Additional Rockies pipeline capacity is
2 generally not available.

3 PSE acquires sufficient firm natural gas resources to meet projected peak-day
4 requirements for both the gas and power portfolios, taking into account on-system
5 peaking and alternative fuel resources. Except for two small peak-shaving
6 facilities (the Swarr propane-air and Gig Harbor LNG facilities), deliveries to all
7 of PSE's core gas markets require NWP transportation services, as do all but two
8 gas-fired generating facilities (Whitehorn and Sumas). However, three gas-fired
9 generating sites (Whitehorn, Fredonia and Frederickson) can burn fuel oil and
10 have fuel oil on-site, so firm pipeline capacity is not required for those sites;
11 instead, they rely on non-firm transportation arrangements purchased from the
12 core gas book at market sensitive rates or from other parties, including NWP.

13 **Q. Please describe the Jackson Prairie storage service assignment from PSE's**
14 **core gas book to PSE's power book.**

15 A. PSE has assigned 50 million decatherms ("MDth") per day of storage
16 deliverability and 500,000 MDth of storage capacity to the power book from
17 April 1, 2011 through March 31, 2012. The power book purchased the capacity
18 for operational reliability and supply management, and retains all rights
19 associated with the service, with no restrictions beyond those governing PSE's
20 storage operations (fill requirements, withdrawal decline curve, etc.). The power

1 book may use the storage service for any purpose, including balancing load,
2 meeting peak-day requirements, or intra-day dispatching.

3 The power book is paying the core gas book \$68,900 per month during the term
4 of this assignment, which is a market-based value calculated using the same
5 methodology PSE uses to value storage services (either purchases or sales) in the
6 Pacific Northwest market. The assignment is determined on a year-to-year basis
7 based on the needs of the core gas book. Based on current load growth
8 projections in the core gas book, PSE currently expects the assignment to
9 continue for one or two years beyond the existing assignment term. If the storage
10 service assignment is extended beyond the current term, it will be at the then-
11 current market value.

12 **Q. What are the projected peak-day demand requirements for the core gas**
13 **book and power book?**

14 A. Projected peak-day demand for the core gas book requires NWP deliveries of
15 approximately 940 MDth per day for the 2011-2012 heating season. The power
16 book requires peak-day, natural gas deliveries of approximately 159 MDth per
17 day for its current combined-cycle combustion turbine ("CT") fleet that is served
18 through NWP, including duct-fired generation. As noted above, PSE's simple-
19 cycle CTs can operate on fuel oil and adequate oil storage is maintained on-site to
20 meet peak-day load requirements; however, if all of PSE generating facilities are

operating on natural gas, the generating facilities served through NWP can consume as much as 290 MDth per day. In addition, PSE generating facilities that have direct access to Westcoast, and do not require NWP service, can consume as much as 71 MDth per day.

Q. What is PSE's peak-day firm delivery capability for the core gas book and power book?

A. The table below reflects PSE's peak-day firm delivery capability as of May 1, 2012 based on its current NWP-based transportation capacity holdings from all three supply basins and market-area storage facilities:

NWP Pipeline Capacity From All Sources	May 2012 Capacity (MDth/day)*					
	Core Gas Book		Power Book		Total	
Gas Source and Route						
British Columbia	260	25%	139	83%	399	33%
Alberta	76	7%	-	-	76	6%
Stanfield	-	-	29	17%	29	2%
U.S. Rockies	184	18%	-	-	184	15%
Jackson Prairie	457	43%	-	-	457	38%
Plymouth LNG	70	7%	-	-	70	6%
Total Pipeline Delivery Capability	1,047		168		1,215	
*MDth is equal to 1,000 MMBtu						

In addition to the capacities on NWP detailed above, PSE holds the following pipeline transportation resources on certain upstream pipelines:

Upstream Pipeline	Core Gas Book	Power Book	Totals
	(MDth/day)*	(MDth/day)*	(MDth/day)*
Westcoast	130	73	203
NGTL	80		80
Foothills	79		79
GTN	90		90
*MDth is equal to 1,000 MMBtu			

1

2 The core gas book resources reflected in the preceding tables are held under long-

3 term contracts that contain rights-of-first refusal. Much of the power book

4 resources (89 MDth per day) are similarly held, while 29 MDth per day is held

5 under a long-term temporary assignment and the remaining 50 MDth per day is

6 currently held under short-term temporary assignments that will be extended until

7 permanent solutions commence. Such mid-term arrangements were made to

8 provide a bridge to permanent solutions. As explained later in my testimony, PSE

9 has secured 50 MDth per day of permanent capacity to replace the short-term

10 temporary contracts, effective November 1, 2014.

11 **III. RECENT TRANSACTIONS**

12 **Q. Please describe the Company's strategy for supplying its requirements at**

13 **Sumas.**

14 A. PSE has supply requirements related to firm NWP transportation capacity of

15 approximately 260 MDth per day at Sumas for the core gas book and 139 MDth

16 per day for the power book. In addition, the power book has additional demand

1 of 26 MDth per day at Sumas to supply the Sumas Generating Station. The
2 power book also has Sumas-sourced, non-firm, simple-cycle CT demands
3 approaching 175 MDth per day. PSE's long-term strategy is to supply
4 approximately 50 percent of the firm Sumas requirements from Northern British
5 Columbia supply areas at Station 2 via Westcoast T-South pipeline capacity. PSE
6 has been successful in procuring some of this Westcoast capacity at a discount to
7 maximum tariff rates.

8 **Q. Please explain the transaction PSE entered into with BNP.**

9 A. PSE took permanent assignment of approximately 26 MDth per day of Westcoast
10 T-South pipeline capacity from April 1, 2010 through October 2018 from BNP,
11 and BNP made a lump-sum payment to PSE to effect the discount.

12 PSE procured this capacity for the power book to work towards the 50 percent
13 Northern British Columbia supply strategy. With the acquisition, the power
14 book's ratio stands at 44 percent (Westcoast pipeline capacity compared to firm
15 NWP Sumas capacity plus Sumas Generating Station) and the core gas book ratio
16 is 50 percent.

17 **Q. Please explain the transactions PSE entered into with Occidental.**

18 A. In order to diversify its portfolio of supply sources, especially for the power book,
19 PSE had been considering acquisition of capacity from numerous proposed

1 pipelines, which would provide access to incremental Rockies or Alberta supply.
2 Ultimately, NWP's Blue Bridge proposal, utilizing the proposed Palomar
3 pipeline, emerged as the last remaining viable candidate. However, insufficient
4 market demand for either project has indefinitely postponed both projects.

5 Nevertheless, PSE continued to see value in the diversity benefits of incremental
6 supply from other supply basins. Ruby, which will extend from the Rockies to
7 Malin, OR, will be capable of introducing up to 1.5 Bcf per day of incremental
8 Rockies production into the region beginning in the third quarter of 2011. PSE
9 was aware that Occidental held a substantial quantity of firm NWP pipeline
10 capacity from the Stanfield, OR interconnect with GTN to Western Washington –
11 functionally the same access and route of the proposed Blue Bridge/Palomar
12 project – and entered into discussions. As a result, PSE was able to acquire two
13 blocks of firm pipeline capacity from Occidental through capacity release
14 transactions.

15 The first capacity release contract consists of approximately 29 MDth per day
16 from Stanfield to Deer Island, Jackson Prairie, and Bellingham; the release
17 commenced April 1, 2011 and terminates, without renewal rights, on March 31,
18 2025. The rate on this capacity is NWP's standard vintage pipeline rate and is
19 significantly lower than the expected rate of the proposed Blue Bridge/Palomar
20 rate. The contract can provide firm service to the Goldendale, Mint Farm, Freddy
21 1 and Encogen plants.

1 The second capacity release contract is for 50 MDth per day commencing
2 November 1, 2014 and terminating without renewal rights on March 31, 2025.
3 This second contract provides firm service from Stanfield to SIPI (SIPI is the
4 interconnect between NWP and FortisBC north of Bellingham), or effectively,
5 any points in between, including all PSE generating facilities served off of NWP.
6 (PSE has subsequently secured permanent rights to the 50 MDth per day Stanfield
7 to SIPI firm capacity through a ten-year, renewable contract directly with NWP,
8 commencing at the expiration of the second Occidental release.) This contract is
9 also priced at the NWP vintage firm rate and provides substantial savings over the
10 proposed new pipeline projects.

11 The first contract replaces a non-renewable contract with Occidental that expires
12 in June 2011 which provided firm service from Sumas (7 MDth per day) and
13 Rockies (17 MDth per day). The second contract will replace temporary capacity
14 release contracts that provide firm service from Sumas. Both of these contracts
15 will facilitate access to Rockies gas from the Malin terminus of Ruby or from
16 Alberta through the facilities of GTN. PSE has been approached by several
17 shippers holding rights on Ruby to discuss the potential of long-term supply
18 agreements at Stanfield.

19 As a result of these acquisitions, from mid-2011 through November 2014, PSE's
20 power book portfolio will be diversified to a mix of 83 percent British Columbia /
21 17 percent Alberta or Rockies; and for the period of November 2014 through

1 March 2025, the power book portfolio will be a 53 percent British Columbia / 47
2 percent Alberta or Rockies mix.

3 **IV. SUPPLY PRICING ASSUMPTIONS**

4 **Q. Please explain how the Stanfield source of supply should be priced for rate**
5 **making purposes.**

6 A. The introduction of Rockies supplies via Ruby will have a significant impact on
7 market dynamics on the GTN system. Ruby will be capable of delivering
8 approximately 1,500 MDth per day to Malin (1,000 MDth per day of which is
9 under long-term firm contracts) without any increase in southbound capacity into
10 California. The Ruby supply will certainly take the place of a significant portion
11 of Alberta gas that has been serving the Malin market for the past 50 years. PSE
12 expects Alberta gas to be readily available at Stanfield; in addition, as mentioned
13 above, several Ruby shippers have expressed an interest in delivering gas to
14 Stanfield as well. Finally, the development of substantial shale supplies in the
15 Eastern U.S. will displace Western Canadian supplies, increasing the supplies
16 available to serve the Western U.S. markets. Until a robust, liquid market
17 develops at Stanfield, PSE expects Stanfield supply pricing to be based on an
18 AECO related index.

19 For ratemaking purposes, gas and power prices must be forward looking to reflect
20 current market conditions; and that is how gas purchases at Stanfield should be

1 priced. Supplier quotes and forecasting estimates based on forward market prices
2 provide the most reasonable basis for commodity pricing.

3 **V. MARKET FORCES AFFECTING GAS SUPPLY**

4 **Q. Please describe the market forces affecting natural gas supply.**

5 A. Conventional natural gas supplies in North America have been in decline over the
6 last several years and are projected to continue to decline; the only region with
7 significant conventional gas growth projections is the U.S. Rockies. Given the
8 state of decline, many experts, just three years ago, were predicting that LNG
9 imports would be required to replace declining production as well as serve
10 growing demand, including the burgeoning gas-fired power generation market.
11 Several LNG import facilities were built. In 2007 and 2008, natural gas prices
12 increased dramatically on the global market, as LNG prices followed
13 skyrocketing oil prices. Those high prices had a tremendous effect on the North
14 American gas market as well. First, high international prices dramatically cut
15 LNG imports into the U.S. as suppliers chased higher value markets. Second, the
16 high prices and the U.S. recession tempered demand across all sectors. However,
17 high cash prices and promise of high future prices enticed producers to increase
18 exploration and development expenditures dramatically, and enabled
19 development of unconventional fields and formations that were previously
20 thought to be uneconomic.

1 Resulting discoveries and developments have radically changed the North
2 American natural gas supply landscape. Technology and efficiency advances
3 enabled producers to successfully develop unconventional production such as
4 shale and tight sand formations and increased recoverable reserve projections
5 dramatically. In recent years, unconventional production made up less than 40
6 percent of North American production; by 2020, it is projected that
7 unconventional production will make up 75 percent of total North American
8 production, with most of that growth in shale formations. Total North American
9 production is expected to grow from current production levels of 50 billion cubic
10 feet ("Bcf") per day to 60 Bcf per day by 2020. The most prolific U.S. shale plays
11 are in Texas, Louisiana and, most interestingly, in the Appalachian states of
12 Pennsylvania and West Virginia. Significant production in those eastern states
13 may have a profound impact on the North American gas market by reducing the
14 demand for Canadian gas in eastern markets, and possibly increasing the
15 availability of supplies from Western Canada for Western U.S. markets.

16 Promising Canadian shale plays, primarily in Northeast British Columbia are also
17 under development; and over the past 24 months, development and production
18 cost projections have been reduced to the point that Canadian shale is competitive
19 with many shale basins in the U.S. Current projections indicate that Canadian
20 shale will more than offset the decline in conventional production. Some large
21 Canadian gas producers are concerned about having sufficient market for the new

1 supplies. In fact, three producers are so concerned that they purchased interests in
2 the Kitimat LNG export terminal that is under development. Recently, plans for
3 development of at least two additional LNG export terminals in British Columbia
4 have been announced.

5 Global LNG supplies are expected to increase substantially in coming years
6 which may lead to near-term supply surpluses; however, LNG is generally
7 expected to play a minor role in the North American supply picture. North
8 American markets will be able to take advantage of surplus LNG supplies due to
9 the continent's tremendous storage capacity, which is much greater than any other
10 continent. However, baseload deliveries into North America are less likely.

11 **Q. How will growing demand in the Pacific Northwest be served?**

12 A. Eventually, Pacific Northwest growth will require new and/or expanded pipeline
13 projects to access supplies from the U.S. Rockies and/or British Columbia.

14 As discussed earlier, there is strong natural gas supply growth throughout North
15 America. Natural gas supplies are readily available, but pipeline capacity must
16 eventually be built to accommodate continued Pacific Northwest growth,
17 especially in the natural gas-fired power generation sector. The existing
18 infrastructure meets current regional requirements, but data compiled by the
19 Northwest Gas Association suggests that design-day Pacific Northwest demand is
20 approaching system capacity.

1 **Q. Does PSE expect to obtain additional pipeline capacity from Canada or the**
2 **Rockies?**

3 A. Yes, eventually that will be necessary. As noted above, PSE is projecting a
4 capacity shortfall in the core gas book in 2017, and the power book will need to
5 add capacity as it adds generating plants that do not have alternate fuel, or as
6 overall power generation requirements grow to the point that interruptible or
7 short-term firm capacity is not deemed to be sufficiently reliable.

8 PSE has several options available that will provide the Company additional
9 pipeline capacity. PSE's preferred strategy is to maintain a balanced U.S.-
10 Canadian supply basin portfolio. As discussed above, the new Ruby pipeline will
11 help the region become more balanced with the introduction of up to 1.5 MDth
12 per day of gas supplies into the region. (Ruby is expected to commence
13 operations in the third quarter of 2011). However, sufficient regional market
14 interest will be necessary to facilitate development of a cross-Cascades pipeline
15 that will allow access to those additional Rockies supplies. At this juncture such
16 regional interest has not materialized. PSE will look to take advantage of existing
17 capacity that becomes available to meet projected load, recognizing that
18 oftentimes PSE must react to opportunities when they present themselves, even if
19 such acquisitions are made in advance of needs. PSE will also continue to
20 participate in discussions regarding the development of new regional
21 infrastructure.

1 **Q. Is PSE considering a pipeline capacity expansion from British Columbia?**

2 A. PSE has explored expansion from British Columbia. Such an expansion may be
3 less complicated than a Rockies pipeline because it could accommodate a smaller
4 project and would largely be accomplished through additional compression (i.e.,
5 it would require very little additional pipe). Given the smaller project size, PSE
6 would not need other subscribers and could arrange for an NWP expansion from
7 British Columbia, if a cross-Cascades project proves unfeasible.

8 Although expansion from British Columbia will be less expensive when viewed
9 solely through the lens of fixed costs, the region would be increasingly subject to
10 Canadian market conditions. As mentioned previously, Northern British
11 Columbia shale development looks promising, but it is unclear where producers
12 will prefer to market their gas (Kitimat LNG, AECO, Chicago, East Coast, etc.).

13 **VI. CONCLUSION**

14 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

15 A. Yes, it does.