Docket No. TN-170156 - Vol. I

In the Matter of the Investigation of Buckley Senior Citizens, Inc.

April 19, 2017



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

Olympia | 360.534.9066 | Spokane | 509.624.3261 | National | 800.846.6989

email: info@buellrealtime.com



1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	In the Matter of the) Investigation of)DOCKET NO. TN-170156
5	BUCKLEY SENIOR CITIZENS, INC.)
6	For Compliance with WAC 480-31-130)
7)
8	,
9	BRIEF ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING, VOLUME I
10	Pages 1-34
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON
12	
13	April 19, 2017
14	9:32 a.m.
15	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
16	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
17	Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: TAYLER RUSSELL, CCR 3358
21	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
22	1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101
23	(206) 287-9066 Seattle (360) 534-9066 Olympia
24	(800) 846-6989 National
25	www.buellrealtime.com

	2
1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
3	RAYNE PEARSON
4	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
5	Olympia, Washington 98504
6	(360) 664-1160
7	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
8	JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI Assistant Attorney General
9	P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504
LO	(360) 664-1186 jcameron@utc.wa.gov
L1	jcameron@utc.wa.gov
L2	FOR BUCKLEY SENIOR CITIZENS:
L3	ELLEN BOYD 811 Main Street
L4	Buckley, Washington 98321 (360) 761-7841
L5	eboyd@cityofbuckley.com
L6	
L7	* * * *
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	3
1	EXAMINATION INDEX PAGE
234	SANDI YEOMANS Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski 8
5	MATHEW PERKINSON
6	Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski
7	Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski
8	
9	EXHIBIT INDEX
10	EXHIBITS ADMITTED FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE
11	Exhibit SY-1 Compliance Review Documentation 13
12	Exhibit MP-1 Safety Management Plan 19
13 14	Exhibit MP-2 Evaluation of Safety Management 19 Plan
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	4
1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; APRIL 19, 2017
2	9:32 A.M.
3	000
4	
5	PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Let's be on the
8	record. Good morning. This is Docket TN-170156, which
9	is captioned "In the Matter of the Investigation of
10	Buckley Senior Citizens, Inc. for compliance with WAC
11	480-31-130."
12	My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm the
13	administrative law judge presiding over today's brief
14	adjudicative proceeding, and today is Wednesday,
15	April 19th, 2017, at approximately 9:30 a.m.
16	So we are here today because on
17	March 16th, 2017, the Commission issued a notice of
18	intent to cancel certificate, notice of brief
19	adjudicative proceeding setting time for oral
20	statements. This was in response to a compliance review
21	conducted by Commission Staff in January of 2017, which
22	resulted in a proposed unsatisfactory safety rating for
23	Buckley Senior Citizens. The company was required to
24	file a proposed safety management plan which I

understand it has done, and we will be addressing how

	3
1	that affects the company's safety rating today.
2	And before we get started, we discussed
3	briefly off the record consolidating this matter with
4	the penalty assessment that was assessed in Docket
5	TN-170152 in the amount of \$9,500.
6	Ms. Boyd, you filed an application for
7	mitigation in that docket on March 30th and requested a
8	hearing. So I'm assuming that neither party has an
9	objection to consolidating these two dockets?
10	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That's correct from
11	Staff's perspective, Your Honor.
12	MS. BOYD: Correct.
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. If you want to pull
14	the microphone closer to you and then push the button.
15	MS. BOYD: Does that work?
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Perfect. Okay. So those
17	matters are consolidated, and we'll hear from the
18	parties on both dockets this morning.
19	So when I call on each of you to testify, I
20	will swear you in with the oath of witness, which means
21	that everything you tell me today will be under oath and
22	is considered sworn testimony. And for the court
23	reporter's benefit, please speak slowly and clearly and
24	please be sure to use the microphone in front of you.
25	And once you're sworn in, you can present testimony and

	0	
1	call witnesses, and you can also introduce any exhibits	
2	that you prefiled, which I don't think that there are	
3	any because Staff has submitted your safety management	
4	plan as one of its own exhibits.	
5	So first, we'll have Staff address the	
6	proposed safety management plan and the safety rating,	
7	and then following Staff's initial presentation, the	
8	company will have the opportunity to ask Staff's witness	
9	any questions, and then you may present testimony on the	
10	violations in the penalty assessment and speak to the	
11	factors for mitigation for the penalty. And then once	
12	you're done testifying, Staff's attorney may have some	
13	questions for you, and then Staff will make a	
14	recommendation on the penalty.	
15	Do you have any questions before we get	
16	started?	
17	MS. BOYD: If it's appropriate just for me	
18	to grab a tissue, I have no questions regarding that	
19	but	
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Do you need some?	
21	MS. BOYD: I would like to do that before	
22	we start.	
23	JUDGE PEARSON: There are like seven boxes	
24	of Kleenex up here.	
25	MS BOYD: Yeah no No questions Thank	

	'
1	you.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So let's first take
3	an appearance, just a brief appearance from Commission
4	Staff.
5	Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski?
6	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Appearing on behalf
7	of Commission Staff, Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski,
8	assistant attorney general.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
10	And for the company, Ms. Boyd, if you could
11	state your full name, spelling your last name, and then
12	give us your address and your phone number and your
13	email address.
14	MS. BOYD: Sure. So appearing on behalf of
15	the Buckley Senior Citizens, my name is Ellen Boyd.
16	Last name is B-o-y-d. Email address is eboyd,
17	e-b-o-y-d, @cityofbuckley.com. Address, place of
18	business, is 833 no, what's our address?
19	MS. LOPEZ: 811.
20	MS. BOYD: 811 Main Street, Buckley,
21	Washington 98321. Phone number, too, is 360-761-7841.
22	Recreation services director for the City of Buckley.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Great, thank you.
24	And, Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you may proceed
25	with the issue of the company's proposed safety

	EXAMINATION BY CAMERON-RULKOWSKI / YEOMANS	8
1	management plan and safety rating when you're ready.	
2	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, Your	
3	Honor. I was planning to call two witnesses today and	
4	we'll start off with Ms. Sandi Yeomans.	
5	JUDGE PEARSON: If you could please stand	
6	and raise your right hand.	
7		
8	SANDI YEOMANS, witness herein, having been	
9	first duly sworn on oath,	
LO	was examined and testified	
L1	as follows:	
L2		
L3	JUDGE PEARSON: You may be seated.	
L4		
L5	EXAMINATION	
L6	BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:	
L7	Q. Good morning, Ms. Yeomans.	
L8	A. Good morning.	
L9	Q. Please state and spell your last name.	
20	A. My name is Sandi Yeomans. Last name is	
21	Y-e-o-m-a-n-s.	
22	Q. Please state the name of your employer.	
23	A. I am employed with Washington State Utilities	
24	and Transportation Commission.	
25	Q. In what position are you employed?	

EXAMINATION BY CAMERON-RULKOWSKI / YEOMANS 9 1 A. I am a special investigator. 2 Q. And how long have you been employed by the 3 Commission? 4 A. Almost two years. 5 Q. And how long have you been employed -- and have 6 you been employed for the entire two years in your 7 position as an investigator? 8 A. Yes. Q. Do you have prior experience in the field of 10 motor carrier transportation? 11 A. Yes, I do. I was a general manager for a motor 12 coach company for eight years, assistant director of a 13 school bus transportation company for nine years, and a 14 transit driver for seven years. 15 Q. Are you familiar with Buckley Senior Citizens? 16 A. Yes, I am. 17 Q. And how did you become familiar with Buckley Senior Citizens? 18 19 A. They were part of my work plan, what I have to 20 do for this year to review in 2017. 21 Q. All right. And can you say a little bit more 22 about what a work plan is? 23 A. Every year we're given a list of carriers that 24 need to have compliance reviews done in that year. 25 Q. All right. And so did you conduct a compliance

EXAMINATION BY CAMERON-RULKOWSKI / YEOMANS 10 1 review of Buckley Senior Citizens? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. And was this a routine compliance investigation? A. Yes. 4 5 Q. Did you inspect the carrier at its premises? 6 A. Yes, I did. Q. And what was the date of that inspection? 8 A. I was at the carrier's office on 9 **January 24th, 2017.** 10 Q. Did you document your compliance review? 11 A. Yes, I did. 12 Q. Please direct your attention to 13 Exhibit No. SY-1. Can you please identify that 14 document? 15 A. Yeah, this is the documentation on what was 16 discovered during the compliance review for Buckley 17 Senior Citizens. 18 Q. And is this document a true and accurate copy of 19 your compliance review? 20 A. Yes, it is. 21 Q. Based on this compliance review, what was the 22 proposed safety rating of Buckley Senior Citizens? 23 A. It was unsatisfactory. 24 Q. When did you notify Buckley Senior Citizens of 25 the safety rating?

	EXAMINATION BY CAMERON-RULKOWSKI / YEOMANS 11
1	A. I closed with the company on March 13th, 2017,
2	and at that time, they were informed of the
3	unsatisfactory status.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	All right. Please turn to part B of
6	Exhibit No. SY-1.
7	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And for everyone's
8	reference, that is on page 6 of the exhibit.
9	BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:
LO	Q. I want to talk now about the violations that
L1	were factors in the unsatisfactory safety rating.
L2	Which of the violations in part B are the basis
L3	of the unsatisfactory safety rating?
L4	A. Any of them that are marked as state critical.
L5	Q. All right. And it looks like there are three of
L6	those; do you agree?
L7	A. Correct.
L8	Q. All right. What's the description of the first
L9	of these violations?
20	A. The first one would be using a driver not
21	medically examined or certified.
22	Q. All right. And this violation references CFR
23	Section 391.45(a). What is your understanding of this
24	rule as it's relevant to this case?
25	A. Medical certificates are required for any driver

	EXAMINATION BY CAMERON-RULKOWSKI / YEOMANS 12	
1	that operates a commercial motor vehicle.	
2	Q. And how did Buckley Senior Citizens violate this	
3	rule?	
4	A. Their drivers did not have medical	
5	certification.	
6	Q. Let's move on to the next violation.	
7	What's the description of that violation?	
8	A. Failing to maintain driver qualification file on	
9	each driver employed.	
10	Q. All right. And this violation references CFR	
11	Section 391.51(a). And what's your understanding of	
12	this rule as it is relevant to this case?	
13	A. The driver qualification file has all the	
14	documents in it to assure that a driver is qualified to	
15	drive a commercial motor vehicle.	
16	Q. And how did Buckley Senior Citizens violate the	
17	rule?	
18	A. They did not have qualification files for their	
19	drivers.	
20	Q. And moving on to the third and the last	
21	violation we're going to discuss today.	
22	What's the description of this violation?	
23	A. Failing to require a driver to make a record of	
24	duty status.	
25	Q This violation references CFR Section 395 8(a)	

13 EXAMINATION BY CAMERON-RULKOWSKI / YEOMANS 1 What is your understanding of this rule as it is 2 relevant to this case? 3 A. All drivers are required to submit the records 4 of time on duty. 5 Q. And how did Buckley Senior Citizens violate the 6 rule? 7 A. They did not have any records of on-duty status 8 time. Q. Thank you. 10 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, I offer 11 Exhibit No. SY-1 for admission into evidence. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Boyd, do you have 13 any objection? 14 MS. BOYD: I do not. 15 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then I will admit 16 that and mark it as SY-1. 17 (Exhibit SY-1 admitted.) MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no further 18 19 questions for Ms. Yeomans, and I would like to call my 20 next witness at this time. 21 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I have a question, 22 but I'm not sure if it's best directed at Ms. Yeomans or 23 Mr. Perkinson. So why don't we go ahead and we can 24 swear him in and then I can ask the question and whoever 25 wants to can respond to it.

1		MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: All right, Your
2	Honor	
3		JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. If you'd stand and
4	raise y	our right hand.
5		
6	MATH	IEW PERKINSON, witness herein, having been
7		first duly sworn on oath,
8		was examined and testified
9		as follows:
10		
11		EXAMINATION
12	BY MS	S. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:
13	Q.	Good morning, Mr. Perkinson.
14	A.	Good morning.
15	Q.	Please state and spell your full name.
16	A.	Mathew Perkinson, M-a-t-h-e-w, and Perkinson,
17	P-e-r-	k-i-n-s-o-n.
18	Q.	And please state the name of your employer.
19	A.	I work for the Washington Utilities and
20	Trans	portation Commission.
21	Q.	In what position are you employed with the
22	Comm	nission?
23	A.	I'm currently the supervisor of the motor
24	carrie	r safety section.
25	Q.	And how long have you been employed in this

1	position?		
2	A.	I have been in the position about eight months.	
3	Q.	And how long have you been employed in the	
4	Comi	mission?	
5	A.	About five years.	
6	Q.	What other positions have you held with the	
7	Comi	mission that are relevant to your current work?	
8	A.	For about five years, I was an investigator at	
9	the C	commission for both safety and consumer protection.	
10	Q.	Please briefly describe your responsibilities as	
11	they	pertain to this matter.	
12	A.	As the supervisor, I assign, lead, and review	
13	the v	ork conducted by our investigations team.	
14	Q.	Are you familiar with Buckley Senior Citizens,	
15	Inc.?		
16	A.	Yes.	
17	Q.	And how did you become familiar with Buckley	
18	Senio	or Citizens?	
19	A.	As I stated, I review the work that's done by	
20	inves	stigations team, and Ms. Yeomans performed the	
21	com	oliance review and submitted it to me for review.	
22	Q.	And did you review the compliance review?	
23	A.	Yes, I did.	
24	Q.	What does a carrier need to do to upgrade its	
25	safet	v rating?	

1

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

A. There are three factors. One, obviously they need to request to upgrade with a safety management plan. The safety management plan needs to address each violation, explain why the violations occurred, and then demonstrate the actions taken by the company that will prevent it from happening again in the future. Also, they need to include supporting documentation with that request.

Q. Did Buckley submit a request and a safety plan and supporting documentation?

A. Yes, they did. Buckley Senior Citizens has taken several steps to ensure the violations were corrected. Specific responsibilities and duties are now placed on director and activities coordinator and drivers. They provided documentation of medical certificates for each driver. They plan to utilize Outlook Calendar reminders as a tool to prevent these types of violations from happening again. They've also provided us with copies of each driver's qualification files with copies of the licenses and the applications and everything that's required to be in a driver qualification file.

The company also communicated with its drivers the hours of service requirements and has a better understanding now according to the letter of what is

1	required.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	I would ask you to direct your attention to
4	Exhibit No. MP-1. Is this a true and accurate copy of
5	the safety management plan that you just discussed?
6	A. Yes, it is.
7	Q. All right. And now I would ask you to direct
8	your attention to Exhibit No. MP-2. Can you please
9	identify this document?
LO	A. This is the evaluation that I put together of
L1	the safety management plan or Ms. Yeomans and I put
L2	together.
L3	Q. And what you just discussed a moment ago, is
L4	that contained in this written evaluation?
L5	A. Yes.
L6	Q. All right. And I would just ask you to look at
L7	Exhibit No. 2 No. MP-2 for a moment and tell me, is
L8	this a true and accurate copy of your written
L9	evaluation?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. In your opinion, after your discussion and
22	considering your written evaluation, does Buckley Senior
23	Citizens' management plan now meet the federal safety
24	fitness standard?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. All right. And I'm referring to the federal
2	safety fitness standard in 49 CFR Part 385, which
3	Washington has adopted in WAC 480-30-221. And are you
4	familiar with these rules?
5	A. Yes, I am.
6	Q. And is it
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, I
8	believe that's the incorrect WAC citation. That was the
9	auto tran. It's the nonprofited for 480-31-130.
10	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: You're absolutely
11	right. My apologies.
12	BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:
13	Q. In your opinion, should the company's safety
14	rating be upgraded?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And what to?
17	A. Staff believes that the plan meets the criteria
18	under CFR 49 Part 385 and recommends that the operating
19	authority be upgraded from unsatisfactory to
20	conditional, effective no later than April 27th, 2017.
21	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, I offer
22	Exhibit No. MP-1 and Exhibit No. MP-2 for admission into
23	evidence.
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Ms. Boyd, do you have
25	any objections to either of those Exhibits?

	10
1	MS. BOYD: I do not.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then I will admit
3	those and mark them as MP-1 and MP-2.
4	(Exhibits MP-1 and MP-2 admitted.)
5	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, Your
6	Honor. I would like to reserve Staff's discussion of
7	the penalty assessment until after Buckley Senior
8	Citizens has presented its testimony.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
10	Ms. Boyd, did you have questions for either
11	Ms. Yeomans or Mr. Perkinson?
12	MS. BOYD: No.
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I do have a
14	question. This is just strictly for my benefit for
15	understanding this. As you know, I see a number of
16	these penalty assessments and requests for mitigation
17	come across my desk, and some of them seem similar in
18	the number and type of violations yet those don't result
19	in a proposed unsatisfactory safety rating. So I'm
20	wondering if you could help me understand what
21	distinguishes this case from ones where it's just a
22	penalty.
23	MR. PERKINSON: Yeah, absolutely. I'll go
24	ahead?
25	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Please.

1	MR. PERKINSON: So violations that are
2	discovered within the review each have a weight to them
3	that's significant in six different factors. The
4	factors are broken into right here, one of the
5	documents, Exhibit SY-1, has a I guess, I'll say page
6	18 thank you will explain the safety fitness
7	rating explanation. It will show the six different
8	factors and how specific violations such as the medical
9	card violation or the driver qualification violation are
10	weighted with points.
11	If a particular factor goes unsatisfactory,
12	it's part of the equation to the overall safety rating.
13	Accidents are also considered in that factor, you'll see
14	in factor six. Factor five will speak to the hazardous
15	materials, which doesn't apply in this case, but you'll
16	see factor two is unsatisfactory and factor three is
17	also unsatisfactory. Hours of service violations
18	specifically have a two-point weight to them.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you for that
20	explanation.
21	MR. PERKINSON: Sure.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if you don't have
23	any questions, then we can turn now to addressing the
24	violations specifically. So let's just walk through
25	each of them, and if you can just if you have

1	anything that you want to say I read your application
2	for mitigation that explained that you were simply
3	unaware of these safety requirements, so there's
4	probably no need to to go into any more detail than
5	that, because if you didn't know, you just didn't know.
6	But if you'd like to tell me how you have taken steps to
7	address each of the violations and what you've done to
8	correct them and then any explanation you have about why
9	you believe the penalty should be reduced, we can do
10	that.
11	So let's start with the first category
12	violation, which is 49 CFR Part 391.45(a) regarding
13	medical examination certification.
14	MS. BOYD: So I actually would like to add,
15	because one of the things that we that is spelled out
16	here in the review of our safety management plan is that
17	we have not taken accountability for these things
18	because we didn't have the knowledge and it wasn't
19	passed down.
20	I would like to add, too, just not in taking
21	accountability, it's not necessarily something that's
22	common practice. These rules and regulations are not
23	necessarily something that other senior centers in the
24	area are having to comply with because they filter their
25	van service in other ways. So it's not even in

communicating with other directors and other staff at senior centers, it's not something that's a common practice and something senior centers in our area are having to have on their radar. So the knowledge wasn't passed down, but it's also not something that other centers in our area are dealing with. So we'd just like to add that.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

MS. BOYD: So as far as addressing our drivers having medical examinations, we are fortunate enough to have one of our -- a doctor's office in town which is within walking distance that we've been able to send our drivers to, that Ms. Lopez has sent her drivers to and been -- they've been very accommodating in getting us in and getting us out real fast so that we've been able to get our drivers back on the road and feel confident about that. And that cost has been at our expense for the senior center, because it is volunteer drivers that we're dealing with, so don't want it to be a burden on them for having to volunteer their time -- for getting to volunteer their time.

So that is something we have on our radar and put on our Outlook Calendar as a reminder, and we have communicated -- been in communication with one another as well as our board of directors at the senior

1	center in making folks aware that there are some
2	additional requirements for drivers so that we'll be a
3	bit more selective in who we're bringing on and just
4	realizing that there's a bit more work involved on our
5	end, too, in maintaining those records just one, and
6	making sure we have those updated annually but also on
7	board with on board and filed prior to any new driver
8	coming on board.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
10	And then 49 CFR Part 391.51(a). I
11	understand you have submitted full copies of the driver
12	qualification files that you've put together.
13	MS. BOYD: Correct.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you now know what
15	is required from those files and how to maintain them
16	going forward?
17	MS. BOYD: Yes, and, in fact, Ms. Lopez has
18	been pretty fabulous in putting together having a
19	checklist included as the first page of each file so
20	that we are making sure to cross our cross our Ts and
21	dot our ls.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Great.
23	And then finally is 49 CFR Part 395.8(a)
24	regarding making a record of duty status.
25	MS_BOYD: Yes so although we have always

1	maintained time and hours of our drivers, that has not
2	been logged on a separate file that is inclusive of just
3	their that goes into just their file. So we
4	understand that doing so makes it easier for us to track
5	at a glance how often, how frequently we're using
6	drivers and Ms. Yeomans' point not having drivers on the
7	road who are exhausted and been overburdened with
8	perhaps how much we're asking them to volunteer.
9	So although we do maintain our trip sheet
10	that still have a log of time when our trips start, who
11	we're including on our trips, the participants, we now
12	have a separate log for them to keep those hours that
13	will that goes in their file.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Great.
15	Is there anything else you'd like to add?
16	MS. BOYD: I would say, too, we're just
17	that file is in a spot that's accessible to our drivers.
18	And so just been in addition to going through these
19	things with our drivers, making sure that they are on
20	board with filling them out on a regular basis and
21	having our volunteer receptionist on board with hassling
22	them if need be.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is there anything
24	that you want to say about the penalty amount?
25	MS. BOYD: So just I suppose what I started

EXAMINATION BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI / PERKINSON

1	with is that I'll we certainly do acknowledge that we
2	were in the wrong for not having been aware of these.
3	As far as accountability goes, it's simply not something
4	that other senior centers in our area are dealing with
5	either for it to have been put on our radar. Whether
6	the lack in communication was with two directors ago or
7	our director prior, it was simply not communicated, and
8	it's not something that we're in communication with
9	other senior centers about either.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
11	MS. BOYD: So certainly appreciate the
12	the recommendation to reduce, but would hope that you
13	might consider a further reduction.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
15	Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, do you have any
16	questions for Ms. Boyd?
17	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I do not. Thank
18	you, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if you'd like to
20	proceed with the second half of Staff's presentation.
21	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
22	
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:
25	Q. Mr. Perkinson, have you reviewed Buckley Senior

EXAMINATION BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI / PERKINSON 26

- 1 | Citizen's application for mitigation?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And did you just hear the testimony of
- 4 | Ms. Ellen Boyd from Buckley Senior Center?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

- 6 Q. Since you have reviewed the application for
- 7 | mitigation, the safety management plan, and having heard
- 8 | Ms. Boyd's testimony, has the Staff recommendation for
- 9 the penalties changed in any way?
- 10 **A. Yes.**
- 11 Q. All right. And what amount of penalty does
- 12 | Staff now recommend?
- 13 A. So the company's taken substantial steps toward
- 14 | improving its safety program, which is evident by its
- | safety management plan. For that reason and the fact
- 16 the company is a small nonprofit carrier, Staff
- 17 recommends suspending still 7,000 of the \$9,500 penalty
- 18 | for a period of one year. However, Staff is open to a
- 19 payment plan if that would be an option for the company
- 20 | if they desire.
- 21 Q. And what would happen at the end of the year?
- 22 A. I would also recommend that a nonrated
- 23 | compliance investigation be conducted in one year, so
- 24 | April 2018 our Staff would come out, perform another
- 25 review, a follow-up. A rated review will be conducted

EXAMINATION BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI / PERKINSON 27

1	in 2019 as part of the Commission's normal two-year work
2	plan cycle.

- Q. And what results of the review would cause the suspended penalty to be imposed?
- A. So if any of the violations are repeat violations, specifically the critical regulations, are found in the April 2018 compliance investigation, Staff will recommend that the Commission impose the suspended portion of the penalty.
- Q. I'd like to ask you to refer to Exhibit No. MP-2 and specifically to page 4. And there under the Staff penalty recommendation, in the first bullet point, there's a phrase there that says, (as read) Any other compliance investigation. What does that refer to?
- A. So if motor carrier safety receives complaint, we might investigate and that could result in violations that are discovered that could trigger the penalty or we could also receive information from the FMCSA, which is the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, who's performed their own compliance review with the same violations. Any of those circumstances could cause that suspended penalty to be imposed.
- Q. Thank you.

 Do you have anything else to add to your testimony?

1	MR. PERKINSON: I would just I guess
2	would thank Ms. Boyd and Ms. Lopez for taking the time
3	out today, coming down and testifying. I did review
4	that safety management plan thoroughly, as you can see
5	in the evaluation. You guys have done an excellent job.
6	We see a lot of safety management plans so I just thank
7	you for taking the time and we hopefully Sandi will
8	be available in the future if you guys have any
9	questions about anything that comes up.
10	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no further
11	questions for Mr. Perkinson at this time.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
13	I do have one quick question just with
14	respect to the recommended penalty amount.
15	So your recommendation is that the
16	Commission impose the entire penalty but suspend a
17	portion of it. And I guess my question relates to prior
18	cases where specifically with respect to first-time
19	violations for the medical certifications, Staff often
20	recommends an actual reduction in that penalty amount.
21	So not just a suspension but, say, cutting that in half
22	and then perhaps suspending an additional portion. So I
23	was wondering if Staff had considered that here and
24	arrived at this recommendation for a different reason or
25	if Staff is open to that idea. And if you need a

	23
1	minute, we can take a minute. That's fine.
2	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: If we could take a
3	moment off the record.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: We will go off the record
5	for a brief recess.
6	(A break was taken from
7	10:04 a.m. to 10:07 a.m.)
8	JUDGE PEARSON: We will go back on the
9	record and Ms. Boyd had a question.
10	MS. BOYD: Yeah, I do. So as far as
11	reassessing the penalty, we've been confident in putting
12	our safety management plan together and what that looks
13	like. I feel like we've done a good job of that in
14	correcting those wrongs. Just curious how that is
15	typically equated.
16	So one, how the \$9,500 was settled on and,
17	two, in correcting those wrongs, how how we can if
18	there is any possibility of bumping that down. Just
19	simply not a discussion we've had via phone with Staff
20	prior how that's typically what that calculation is
21	based on.
22	MR. PERKINSON: Sure. With the medical
23	card, a violation specifically is a hundred dollars per
24	occurrence per trip, and then the for every
25	qualification files. I believe would be a hundred

	30
1	dollars per file per driver. So that would be the \$500,
2	and then the hours of service violations are based on
3	the count.
4	Is that correct? With our penalty
5	assessment?
6	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And I would just
7	note that it is this all is in the penalty
8	assessment.
9	MS. BOYD: Yes, I guess I'm just curious
10	about the realisticness how how if we're
11	realistic in thinking that we could reduce that.
12	MR. PERKINSON: Yeah, I think and maybe I
13	should restate the significance of the specific
14	violations. We don't come up with what is critical and
15	what is acute. There is a couple levels of violations,
16	and certain violations the Commission has adopted a
17	policy and says they're so critical that we're going to
18	impose a penalty for those types of things. Medical
19	card is one of the critical items for every occurrence
20	as opposed to a first-time offense. And that's what was
21	done in this, and we do certainly factor in the effort
22	put forth in the safety management plan toward our
23	mitigation and suspension of the penalty.
24	If you guys are ready, we can go ahead with
25	that.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
2	MR. PERKINSON: So what we'd do is we would
3	actually reduce the penalty from the 9,500 down to 7,500
4	so a \$2500 reduction in the penalty. So the total
5	penalty would be \$5,000 suspending 2500, \$2,500, and the
6	company would still be responsibile for \$2,500 with,
7	again, the option for a payment plan.
8	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Mr. Perkinson, can
9	you go back to the beginning. The original penalty is
10	9,500 and Staff is now recommending a reduction in the
11	full penalty to what was the amount? Can you state that
12	again, please?
13	MR. PERKINSON: So reduce by 2,500. Oh, I'm
14	sorry. Okay. So we yeah, we would reduce from 9,500
15	to 7,000. Sorry. Okay. So we're going to reduce the
16	penalty 5,000, sorry.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
18	MR. PERKINSON: And then suspend half of
19	that, correct?
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Reduce it to 5,000, so
21	reduce it by 4,500?
22	MR. PERKINSON: Correct.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Down to 5,000?
24	MR. PERKINSON: I have my notes mixed up.
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And suspend 2500?

1	MR. PERKINSON: Correct.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And I assume that the
3	reduction is coming off of the medical certification
4	violation since the other are just \$100 violations for
5	the violation category.
6	MR. PERKINSON: Yes.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you're actually
8	reducing the \$9300 portion down to \$4,300?
9	MR. PERKINSON: Yes.
LO	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Great. Thank you.
L1	MR. PERKINSON: Thank you.
L2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Do you have any
L3	questions, Ms. Boyd? Does that make sense?
L4	MS. BOYD: Yes, that makes sense.
L5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So does anyone have
L6	anything further? Doesn't sound like it.
L7	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Nothing from Staff,
L8	Your Honor.
L9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So I will
20	issue an order in the next few days reflecting the
21	Commission's decision to upgrade the company's safety
22	rating to conditional and then also reflecting my
23	decision on the penalty assessment. So thank you very
24	much for making the trip here today.
25	MS. BOYD: Thank you. Thank you.

	33
1	JUDGE PEARSON: And we are adjourned.
2	(Adjourned at 10:12 a.m.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF WASHINGTON

COUNTY OF THURSTON

I, Tayler Russell, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Tayler Russell, CCR 3358