## EXHIBIT 3

## DEMONSTRATION OF RISK OF RATE INSTABILITY OR SERVICE INTERRUPTION OR CESSATION

The operating environment in which the Company finds itself has created a climate of great financial uncertainty. The Company has been working over the past several years to address growing competition. The Company has taken steps to increase the availability and attributes of advanced services offered by the Company, including broadband. This has resulted in the Company making additional investments in regulated plant of approximately \$327,634 during the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2015. Earlier investments in plant were made to start and build the Stehekin exchange. This was accomplished by obtaining RUS funding that the company is now paying back.

The overall financial condition of the Company is detailed on other Exhibits to this Petition. What this information demonstrates is that, when adjusted to eliminate the support from the state Universal Communications Services Program that the Company received or accrued in 2015, the Company's total regulated revenue increased by 12.4 percent overall during the 2011 through 2015 time period. Although WeavTel did experience a modest level of revenue growth during the past four years, the Company has looked for ways to lower expenses. However, much of the Company's operating expenses are fixed obligations, such as debt-related payments.

Westgate's main concern in revenue loss has been due to new unforeseen cost caps imposed by the FCC. The cost caps have made it difficult to meet the previous debt payments.

As an example, some of the financial uncertainty that the Company faces stems from the USF/ICC Transformation Order issued by the Federal Communications Commission. The USF/ICC Transformation Order has built in an automatic decline in the Company's intrastate and interstate access revenues. The intercarrier compensation portion of the Transformation Order introduces a concept of a base line year for calculating terminating access and reciprocal compensation revenues and provides support from the Connect America Fund ("CAF") based on the base line year. However, the base line year revenues (from which the level of CAF support is derived) are reduced iteratively by five percent each year. "The CAF support reduction began in July 2012. Projecting through the year ending June 30, 2017, including reductions that will occur July 1, 2016, the Company has seen a reduction in support from the base line revenue of approximately \$71,089.

PETITION OF WESTGATE COMMUNICATIONS LLC dba WEAVTELTO RECEIVE SUPPORT FROM THE STATE UNIVERSAL COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES PROGRAM – EXHIBIT 3, PAGE – 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Matter of Connect America Fund, A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, Establishing Just and Reasonable Rates for Local Exchange Carriers, High-Cost Universal Service Support, Developing an Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Lifeline and Link-Up, Universal Service Reform - Mobility Fun, WC Docket No. 10-90, GN Docket No. 09-51, WC Docket No. 07-135, WC Docket No. 05-337, CC Docket No. 01-92, CC Docket No. 96-45, WC Docket No. 03-109, WT Docket No. 10-208, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 11-161 (rel. Nov. 18, 2011)(USF/ICC Transformation Order).

In addition to the annual reduction in CAF ICC, during the four-year period ended December 31, 2015, the Company has seen its total high cost loop support undergo a significant reduction, declining from \$117,516 in 2011 to \$89,169 in 2015.

These factors, among others, have led to the strained financial condition of the Company as reflected in the financial reports that are part of the Petition.

The combination of factors noted above creates a situation in which, without support from the state universal communications services program, the Company may be faced with a choice of increasing rates further or reducing service in order to be able to match expenses to revenues. Neither choice presents a viable path for providing continued high quality service to customers. The dilemma presented by these choices reflects the risk of rate instability or service interruption or cessation to which the Company is subject.