HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

Sent Via Messenger

Letter of Transmittal

To: Mr. Dana Bayuk Cleanup Program Project Manager/Hydrogeologist Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Northwest Region 700 NE Multhomah Street, Suite 600 Portland, Oregon 97232-4100

N. Ela From: Mr. Rob Ede Hahn and Associates, Inc. robe@hahnenv.com

Date: April 27, 2020

Regarding: Transmittal of Report – Former Mult 802 Water Supply Well Decommissioning, NW Natural Gasco Property, 7900 NW St. Helens Road, Portland, Oregon dated April 2020

Dana,

Hahn and Associates, Inc., is providing the following document as a follow-up to the submittal via FTP site on April 22, 2020. This flash drive contains the full report: *Former Mult 802 Water Supply Well Decommissioning, NW Natural Gasco Property, 7900 NW St. Helens Road, Portland, Oregon* dated April 2020 including all appendices. As indicated in the April 22, 2020 e-mailed FTP download description, Appendix E was too large for the download and therefore is included on the enclosed flash drive.

-Rob

Copies	Description
1	Flash Drive Containing: Former Mult 802 Water Supply Well Decommissioning, NW Natural Gasco Property, 7900 NW St. Helens Road, Portland, Oregon dated April 2020

Copied recipients:

Mr. Bob Wyatt, NW Natural with Flash Drive Ms. Patty Dost, Pearl Legal Group PC with Flash Drive Ms. Jen Mott, Anchor QEA, LLC with Flash Drive

> 434 NW 6th AVENUE, SUITE 203 • PORTLAND, OREGON 97209-3651 TEL 503.796.0717 • FAX 503.227.2209 • OR CCB #71414 • www.hahnenv.com

FORMER MULT 802 WATER SUPPLY WELL DECOMMISSIONING

NW Natural Gasco Property 7900 NW St Helens Road Portland, Oregon

April 2020

HAI Project No. 2708 DEQ ECSI No. 83

FORMER MULT 802 WATER SUPPLY WELL DECOMMISSIONING

NW Natural Gasco Property 7900 NW Front Avenue Portland, Oregon

April 2020

Prepared for:

NW Natural Portland, Oregon

Prepared by:

Hahn and Associates, Inc. Portland, Oregon

HAI Project No. 2708

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1		
2.0	WELL CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY		
3.0	PRE-DECOMMISSIONING ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES		
4.0	DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES		
	4.1	Casing and Borehole Contents Removal	8
	4.2 Post Drill-Out / Waste Removal Observations		
	4.3 Water Column Pumping, Flushing, and Sampling		
		4.3.1 Pump and Plumbing Test – June 14, 2019	13
		4.3.2 Purging Event No. 1 – June 17, 2019	14
		4.3.3 Purging Event No. 2 – June 20, 2019	16
		4.3.4 Purging Event No. 3 with Water Flushing – June 25, 2019	17
		4.3.5 Purging Event No. 4 – June 26, 2019	18
	4.4	Sample Collection and Laboratory Testing	19
	4.5	Downhole Camera Survey	20
	4.6	Borehole Sealing	23
5.0	LABC	DRATORY TESTING RESULTS	26
	5.1	Solids – Tar and Pitch Materials	26
	5.2	Oil Blebs	27
	5.3	Groundwater	28
6.0	WAS	TE DISPOSAL	31
	6.1	Liquids	31
	6.2	Solids	31
7.0	SUM	MARY AND CONCLUSIONS	33
8.0	LIMIT	ATIONS AND SIGNATURES	35
9.0	GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS		

TABLES

- 1 Sample Description: Solids/Wastes and Oil Blebs Removed from Well Borehole
- 2 Sample Availability and Laboratory Testing Program
- 3 Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: TPH and VOCs
- 4 Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: TCLP VOCs
- 5 Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: SPLP VOCs
- 6 Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: SVOCs
- 7 Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: SPLP SVOCs and PAHs
- 8 Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: Metals and Cyanide
- 9 Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: TPH and VOCs
- 10 Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: SVOCs
- 11 Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: Metals
- 12 Summary of Analytical Results for Tar and Oil Blebs: Viscosity and Density
- 13 Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: TPH and VOCs
- 14 Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: SVOCs
- 15 Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: Metals
- 16 Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: Cyanide
- 17 Grout Volumes and Properties (Per Batch)

FIGURES

- 1 Location Map
- 2 Site Map with Former MGP Features
- 3 Contaminant Concentrations as a Function of Volume of Water Pumped, Mult 802 Well Decommissioning

Page ii of iii April 20, 2020 HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

APPENDICES

- A Well Construction Documentation
- B Photographs
- C Well Log (Decommissioning)
- D Laboratory Analytical and Data Validation Reports
- E Downhole Video of Mult 802 Well Bore (July 8, 2019)
- F Oregon Water Resources Department Documentation
- G Waste Disposal Documentation

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of NW Natural, Hahn and Associates, Inc. (HAI) has prepared this report to document activities related to the identification, assessment, and decommissioning of a former (unused and inactive) water supply well located at the NW Natural Gasco property, 7900 NW St. Helens Road, Portland, Oregon (Figure 1). This well, identified as "*Mult 802*" by the Oregon Water Resources Department (WRD), was constructed in 1942 by Portland Gas and Coke Company (PG&C) for the purpose of providing cooling water for use in manufactured gas plant (MGP) operations at the property.

Direction to locate and decommission former supply well *Mult 802* was provided to NW Natural in correspondence received from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) in 2014¹. NW Natural's efforts to locate this well, described in Section 3, had been unsuccessful until 2018, at which time materials moved coincident with the demolition of infrastructure on the Koppers, Inc. (KI) leasehold portion of the property exposed the *Mult 802* wellhead. The location of former water supply well *Mult 802* is shown on Figures 1 and 2.

This report documents activities related to the decommissioning of well *Mult 802* and is organized as follows:

- Section 1 Introduction
- Section 2 Description of *Mult 802* construction details
- Section 3 Summary of initial locating and assessment activities
- Section 4 Description of decommissioning and sampling and laboratory testing activities

¹ DEQ (2014). Correspondence to NW Natural (Dana Bayuk to Bob Wyatt), Comments on the Draft Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment Report, NW Natural Gasco Site, Portland, Oregon, ECSI No. 84. May 8, 2014.

- Section 5 Description of decommissioning-related laboratory testing results
- Section 6 Description of characterization and disposal of decommissioning-related waste materials
- Section 7 Conclusions and recommendations

2.0 WELL CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY

Historical records indicate that a water supply well was constructed on the Gasco property in 1942 by PG&C, with this well being identified in the WRD Well Log Database as *Mult 802*. A schematic for *Mult 802* is provided below, with the original PG&C construction diagram and related records included in Appendix A.



Former *Mult 802* Water Supply Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Property - Portland, Oregon 1 MULT 802 Decommission Text.docx Page 3 of 37 April 20, 2020 HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. As shown above, *Mult 802* was drilled to a depth of 382 feet below ground surface (bgs) and completed within the Columbia River Basalt (bedrock) aquifer. The well was constructed with 12-inch diameter steel casing to a depth of 63 feet bgs (2 feet into bedrock) isolating the bedrock aquifer from the overlying fill and alluvium water bearing zones (WBZs).

Well *Mult 802* is shown to have been constructed as an open hole bedrock completion from 63 feet bgs to 243 feet bgs, below which 8-inch diameter perforated steel casing is shown to have been placed across a zone of broken or "soft" basalt to the total well depth at 382 feet bgs.

Driller information from the installation of *Mult 802* indicates an estimated yield of 144 gallons per minute (gpm) of water production from a thin sandy/broken rock interval at 246 to 253 feet bgs, and a second more productive zone near the base of the well, where a yield of 395 gpm was estimated from a sand interval at 370 to 382 feet bgs (Appendix A).

Notes from an inspection of the well conducted by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) in 1954 indicate that *Mult 802* was intended to supply water for MGP-related cooling purposes, but that it provided an insufficient yield for that need. The notes written by the USGS indicate that this well would go dry (water level drop beneath the pump at 170 feet bgs) when production exceeded 140 gpm (Appendix A). This well would have been taken out of service by PG&C at the time that MGP operations were terminated in 1956, if not removed from service at an earlier point in time due to insufficient well yield.

3.0 PRE-DECOMMISSIONING ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES

Documentation regarding the installation of supply well *Mult 802* had been previously identified in water well surveys completed as part of Remedial Investigation activities for the Gasco property. However, except for Township, Range, Section, Quarter Section and depth to bedrock information, the specific location for this well had not been known.

Substantial efforts to locate *Mult 802* were conducted by NW Natural in February 2015, at which time HAI contracted with GeoPotential, Inc. of Brightwood, Oregon to perform geophysical survey activities to identify ferrous or non-ferrous features that could be attributable to a possible buried water well. The area of the survey activities, developed in coordination with DEQ, was based on known generalized location information for the well, in conjunction with available site features and known depth to bedrock information. The available information indicated that the likely location for *Mult 802*, if it was still present, would be near the northern end of the former KI leasehold or in the vicinity of the adjacent liquified natural gas (LNG) storage tank basin.

The results of the February 2015 geophysical survey identified 6 magnetic anomalies with the potential to be representative of a well head or similar feature. On July 10, 2015, test pits were completed at each of these 6 magnetic anomalies and other areas of interest. The results of the test pit activities did not identify any features that would potentially be attributable to a buried water supply well. These well locating efforts were documented in a 2015 report² that was provided to DEQ.

On April 11, 2017, while on the Gasco property performing unrelated work activities, HAI discovered a feature that appeared to be a water supply well in the targeted area but had been previously obscured by NW Natural materials staged in this area. The feature consisted of a 12-inch diameter covered steel casing that was almost flush with the ground surface at a location near the northern end of the KI leasehold. Initial measurements indicated that this steel casing was filled with soil and debris below a depth of approximately 12 feet bgs, with water measured in the casing at a depth

Former *Mult 802* Water Supply Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Property - Portland, Oregon 1 MULT 802 Decommission Text.docx

² Hahn and Associates, Inc. (HAI 2015). Technical Memorandum: Evaluation of Former Industrial Use Water Supply Well Mult 802, NW Natural Gasco Property, 7900 NW St. Helens Road, Portland, Oregon. November 15, 2015.

of 6 feet bgs. The location of this feature (steel casing), later confirmed to be *Mult 802*, is shown on Figure 2.

On April 19, 2017, Anchor QEA, LLC (Anchor QEA) assisted HAI by performing downhole video-logging within the casing of the suspected Mult 802 feature. The camera was advanced down the hole to inspect the sides of the 12-inch diameter steel casing. Two openings were observed on the wall of the casing: one approximate 3-inch diameter opening was present on the north side of the casing at 1-foot bgs and one approximate 8-inch diameter opening was observed on the south side of the casing at 6 feet bgs. The line leading away from the south side of the casing was later traced by geophysical methods approximately 80 feet to the south, at which point the signal was lost. The line leading away from the north side of the casing was traced approximately 5 feet to the north where the signal was lost near an existing water line. No other features of interest were noted in the observable portion of the casing present above the soil/debris present at 12 feet bgs. Although unknown if related to the former supply well, an adjacent line that daylighted at a flanged elbow joint just west of the well casing was traced west and then south of the well casing to a point where the signal was lost (Figure 2).

On July 6, 2017, HAI met on-site with Cowlitz Clean Sweep, Inc. (CCS) of Longview, Washington to oversee vacuum removal activities of the water and soil/debris present in the well. Vacuum activities were brief, as only one foot of debris was removed before refusal due to dense materials was encountered at 13 feet bgs. The material present beneath an upper layer of soft soil/debris appeared to be compacted gravel and cobbles with some fines.

On July 31 and August 1, 2017, HAI again contracted CCS, this time equipped with new tooling, to perform high-pressure water cutting and vacuum removal of the gravel and cobble within the steel casing. Upon arrival, soil and debris were observed in the well at 13 feet bgs with only several inches of water present. Starting at approximately 13 feet bgs, the crew was able to penetrate and remove the materials from within the casing to a depth of 37 feet bgs, where refusal was again encountered. Contents that were evacuated from the well casing were visually identified as heterogeneously mixed gravel, cobbles, sand, debris (e.g., a welding hammer), and chunks of solid, hard, brittle tar-like material. No oil or viscous tar was observed within the steel casing or the removed materials to the depth of at 37 feet bgs. The nature of the materials suggest that miscellaneous debris and rock had been used to fill the 12-inch diameter steel casing.

On August 17, 2017, Anchor QEA assisted HAI by performing well scoping activities using the same camera and methods as described above. Upon completion of the camera scoping, similar materials as those removed from the casing appeared to still be present at 37 feet bgs (i.e. dense cobbles, gravel, sand), but at a depth beyond the limits for the vacuum extraction tooling. As such, NW Natural prepared a work plan to proceed with decommissioning utilizing a drill rig under the assumption that this feature was in fact the inactive unused former supply well *Mult 802*.

4.0 DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES

DEQ reviewed a May 2019 work plan³ prepared on behalf of NW Natural for decommissioning the former supply well *Mult 802*. The work plan provided NW Natural's approach to the well decommissioning under the assumption that it was constructed as per available specifications (Appendix A). DEQ provided approval of the work plan in correspondence dated May 10, 2019⁴, with the approval contingent on the following clarifying comments:

- 1. Regardless of the final depth of drilling/clean-out work, downhole camera-logging and borehole sealing will follow the sequence of steps laid-out in the work plan.
- 2. Material removed from the borehole will be photo-logged.
- 3. Based on visual observations and field screening, representative samples of potentially impacted material removed from the borehole will be collected and analyzed using the suite of laboratory methods approved for the Gasco property.

Decommissioning activities related to *Mult 802* occurred between the dates of May 13, 2019 and August 22, 2019, with all work completed in accordance with the approved work plan, or in consultation with DEQ and WRD where modifications were necessary. Decommissioning activities are described in Sections 4.1 through 4.6. Photographs documenting various portions of the well decommissioning are included in Appendix B.

4.1 Casing and Borehole Contents Removal

Cascade Drilling, L.P. (Cascade) of Clackamas, Oregon performed the decommissioning of *Mult 802* by removing materials filling the casing and borehole of the well with a rotosonic drilling rig. A 7-inch outside diameter (OD) core barrel was used to remove materials that had been plugging the 12-inch diameter steel casing, the underlying open bedrock portion of the borehole, and the 8-inch diameter cased lower portion of the borehole. The cleanout / removal of the materials physically plugging the cased and open

³ Hahn and Associates, Inc. (HAI 2019). *Revised Work Plan for Water Well Decommissioning Activities, NW Natural Gasco Property, 7900 NW St. Helens Road, Portland, Oregon.* May 7, 2019.

⁴ Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ 2019). Correspondence from Mr. Dana Bayuk to Mr. Rob Ede providing approval of the May 7, 2019 Revised Work Plan subject to specified clarifying comments. May 10, 2019.

hole portions of the well was conducted between the dates of May 13, 2019 and May 24, 2019.

All materials removed from the *Mult 802* borehole between a depth of 37 feet bgs and 136 feet bgs were vibrated from the core barrel sampler into plastic liners for description, field screening, sampling, and photo documentation purposes on May 13, 2019. Materials recovered across most of this depth interval were observed to consist of a black granular solid pitch material with minor amounts of solid tar and debris (pipe fragments were observed). The lowermost portion of the recovered material (129 to 136 feet bgs) was observed to contain brown sand and gravel with lesser amounts of pitch and tar.

Photographs representative of the materials removed from *Mult 802* are included in Appendix B. Additionally, the full photo library of all the materials removed from the upper 136 feet bgs from *Mult 802* (retained within the sonic drill rig core bags) are included electronically in a separate folder included in Appendix B. A well abandonment log for *Mult 802*, providing a detailed description of materials removed from the borehole, is included in Appendix C.

The terms "pitch" and "tar" are used for descriptive purposes and are not meant to imply a particular process or origin. Pitch, when used herein, refers to a black granular solid, often with a conchoidal fracture, no plasticity, and a lack of softening or melting under warm to hot ambient temperatures. The term "tar", when used herein, refers to a black solid to highly viscous semisolid material with varying amounts of plasticity. As described herein, unlike pitch, the tar was found to soften or become less viscous after several hours of storage in the higher daytime ambient temperatures present at the time the work took place, becoming noticeably firmer and less viscous when ambient temperatures dropped.

The core barrel sampler did not encounter resistance or recover any materials across a depth interval of 136 to 290 feet bgs, suggesting that this portion of the borehole had remained unobstructed, possibly due to bridging of materials.

Beneath the unobstructed borehole encountered at 136 feet bgs, resistance to the lowering of the core barrel sampler was again encountered within the borehole at a depth of 290 feet bgs. During retrieval of the core barrel from 290 feet bgs, it was discovered that the core barrel was stuck in solid tar, and that the lower 8-inch diameter steel casing for *Mult 802* (installed from 243 to 382 feet bgs) had been lifted to the surface along with the core barrel sampler. Once it was brought to the surface, the diameter of the lower casing was measured and found to be of 8-inch inner diameter (ID) and approximately 8 3/4-inch OD. Photographs 10 through 15 (Appendix B) show the 8-inch casing brought to the surface of the borehole with the 7-inch OD sampler stuck inside, while photos 16 through 30 show removal of the 8-inch casing and its contents.

The scope of work for the decommissioning of *Mult 802* did not envision that the removal of the lower 8-inch steel casing was going to be feasible and therefore it had been planned that the 8-inch casing (139 feet in length) would be perforated and grouted in-place. On May 14 and 15, 2019 the 8-inch casing, which was found to be filled with a solid (putty-like) tar and a semi-solid viscous tar (consistency of thick honey) below a depth of approximately 290 feet bgs, was lifted from the borehole and cut into sections until it was possible to free the core barrel sampler. This work successfully removed 70 feet of the 8-inch casing from the well. After the sampler was removed, work was paused while plans for the safe removal of the remaining 69 feet of casing and its contents could be formulated in consultation with DEQ and WRD.

In consultation with DEQ and WRD it was determined that the optimum path forward would be the coring out of the wastes within the remaining 8-inch ID casing while it remained hung within the upper portion of the borehole. Cascade fabricated a clamp durable enough to hold the 8-inch ID casing inplace while a 7-inch OD core barrel sampler could vibrate into, and then remove, remaining waste materials within the hanging portion of the casing. The clean-out of the remaining portion of the 8-inch ID casing occurred on May 20 and 21, 2019. The removed waste material, generally ranging from thick viscous tar to solid putty-like tar, could not effectively be extruded into core bags and was therefore extruded directly into 55-gallon drums positioned under the drill rig platform (Photos 26 through 28, Appendix B). The last 5 feet of the 8-inch diameter casing was left plugged with wastes until after it was completely removed from the borehole in order to prevent pushing the sampler through the plug of material at the base of the casing and allowing wastes to drop back into the well bore. A full description of the removed wastes, as well as the 8-inch ID casing, which was found to be slotted from 243 to 285 feet bgs, and again from 343 to 382 feet bgs, is provided on the well decommissioning log included in Appendix C.

A total depth measurement was made within the well after the 8-inch diameter casing was removed from the borehole. Total depth was measured at 338 feet bgs on May 21, 2019, indicating that wastes or sloughed soils were present in the lower 44 feet of the borehole of the well (338 to 382 feet bgs). Remaining materials were removed from the well bore using the 7inch OD core barrel sampler between May 22 and May 24, 2019. Materials removed from within the bottom 44 feet of the open borehole consisted predominantly of a gummy/putty-like tar (Photos 31 through 34, Appendix B). A clean gravel with broken basalt fragments (no tar) was encountered at the base of the well from 380 to 382 feet bgs (Photo 36, Appendix B). Total depth in the well as measured at the end of the day on May 24, 2019 was 378 feet bgs, indicating approximately 4 feet of materials remained in the base of the well, at least two feet of which appeared to be gravel/basalt fragments (Photo 35, Appendix B). In consultation with WRD and with DEQ it was concluded that additional removal of materials from the base of the well was not warranted.

4.2 Post Drill-Out / Waste Removal Observations

After removal of all waste materials and the lower 8-inch ID casing from the well bore, measurements were made to determine if there was a presence of light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) floating on the water column, or of dense non-aqueous phase liquid (DNAPL) at the base of the water column. Further, measurements of the depth to water and also the total depth of the well were made during this timeframe.

As indicated in Section 4.1, the total measured depth of *Mult 802* on May 24, 2019 was 378 feet bgs, indicating approximately 4 feet of material remained in the base of the borehole immediately after completion of drill-out activities. On June 11, 2019, after approximately 2.5 weeks of no activity (while the next stages of work were coordinated), the total depth of the well was measured to remain unchanged at 378 feet bgs, indicating that additional debris/tar, if any remained in the borehole, was not settling out of the water column or sloughing off the borehole sidewalls at a measurable rate.

Depth to static water within the well had been measured between the May 24, 2019 to June 11, 2019 timeframe to consistently be in the range of 14 to 15 feet bgs. No LNAPL layer was measured on top of the water column and no DNAPL was measured at the base of the well during this timeframe. However, a brown to black oil was observed to be present within the upper

water column portion of *Mult 802* as evidenced by the oil coating the measuring tape used for making total well depth measurements. Observations of retrieved measuring tape indicated brown oil higher on the tape and darker (black) oil lower on the tape - suggesting that segregation of the oil blebs by density was likely occurring in the water column.

The presence of the oil within the upper water column as described in the preceding paragraph was interpreted to be in the form of blebs/droplets due to several observations, including: 1) soundings with the interface probe did not indicate measurable accumulations of oil in the water column, 2) lowering a bottom-filling bailer to various depths within the water column did not collect oil, though the bailer walls became smeared with oil, and 3) lowering a peristaltic pump to various depths within the water column did not pump discernable oil from the water column across any depth.

Water was pumped from multiple depths within the well using a peristaltic pump. Water pumped from the upper approximate 40 feet of the water column contained a sheen with discernable oil blebs, while water pumped from depths greater than approximately 40 feet bgs did not have a sheen or discernable oil blebs. A small sample of the oil from within the upper 40 feet of the well's water column was collected and analyzed as described in Section 4.4.

Due to the interpreted presence of oil blebs/droplets in the upper water column, in consultation with DEQ and WRD, it was recommended that a high capacity submersible pump be temporarily plumbed into the well to support purging/flushing of the well in an effort to remove remaining waste materials and oil blebs from the water column.

Pump placement and the subsequent purging, flushing, and sampling of *Mult 802* are described in Section 4.3. Laboratory tests conducted on removed solid waste materials, oil, and groundwater samples are described in Section 4.4. A description of a down hole video survey, completed across the depth of the well bore immediately after pumping/flushing and prior to borehole sealing, is provided in Section 4.5.

4.3 Water Column Pumping, Flushing, and Sampling

On June 11, 2019, Westerberg Drilling, Inc., under the direction of HAI, placed a 6-inch diameter electric submersible pump within *Mult 802*, with the intake set at a depth of 129 feet bgs, correlating to a depth of approximately 115 feet below the water level in the well. The pump was plumbed with 2-inch diameter steel pipe to the surface, with a flow controller, in-line totalizer, and a sample collection port placed just beyond the well head (Photos 41 and 42, Appendix B). The 2-inch diameter pipe was connected to a flexible hose that directed pumped water from the wellhead over to three permanent in-line 20,000-gallon equalization tanks, which are used to meter uneven flows into the Gasco pre-treatment portion of the Groundwater Treatment System (GTS).

After initial testing of the pump (Section 4.3.1), four separate purging / well flushing events were conducted at *Mult 802* in an effort to clean out any remaining waste materials / oil blebs from the well bore. Summaries for each of the four purging events are provided in Sections 4.3.2 through 4.3.5.

4.3.1 Pump and Plumbing Test – June 14, 2019

The pump and plumbed connections as installed by Westerberg Drilling, Inc. were tested by HAI on June 14, 2019 at which time the pump was powered on, variability in flow rates was measured, and water-tight connections were confirmed. The pump was operated only long enough to ensure all pipe and hose connections were water-tight and to throttle the discharge rate up to its target of approximately 100 gpm. The pump was operated for a total of approximately 5 minutes while the above items were confirmed and while a groundwater sample was collected by directly filling the laboratory-provided containers at the well-head sampling port. This initial sample was collected to document the water quality within the well immediately after waste removal and prior to purging / borehole flushing efforts. A total of approximately 500 gallons of water was removed from the well during this initial check and test of the pump and associated plumbed connections. The table below identifies the sample number and approximate volume of groundwater purged from the well at the time of sample collection. The laboratory testing conducted on this and all other samples collected as part of the well bore purging/flushing project is described in Section 4.4.

Sample Number	Pump and Plumbing Test Volume Purged (Gallons)	Total Volume Purged (Gallons)
2708-190614-MULT802-100	500	500

Groundwater Samples – Pump and Plumbing Test

4.3.2 Purging Event No. 1 – June 17, 2019

Mult 802 was purged non-stop at a rate of between 90 and 100 gpm for 12 hours and 44 minutes on June 17, 2019. Over the course of this pumping event a total of 70,643 gallons of groundwater were removed from the well. Observations of the pumped groundwater via periodic checks at the sample port indicated the water to be generally clear with only a minor sheen noted and occasional black particles (suspected pitch) present. No oil was noted to be present in groundwater as visually evaluated from water periodically discharged into a bucket at the wellhead sampling port. Further, only minor amounts of oil (minor blebs) were noted in water as present in the equalization tanks.

Groundwater samples were collected over the course of this purging event at prescribed intervals based on the volume of groundwater removed from the well, with the sampling frequency selected in consultation with Mr. Dana Bayuk and Mr. Henning Larsen of DEQ. As discussed with DEQ, the objective of the sampling regime was to allow evaluation of groundwater quality changes over time as more groundwater from the surrounding bedrock aquifer was drawn through the well. The sampling regime is summarized below and the laboratory testing for the collected samples is described in Section 4.4.

Groundwater Samples – Purging Event 1

Sample Number	Event 1 Volume Purged (Gallons)	Total Volume Purged (Gallons)
2708-190617-MULT802-101	1,000	1,500
2708-190617-MULT802-102	5,000	5,500
2708-190617-MULT802-103	10,000	10,500
2708-190617-MULT802-103D (Duplicate Sample)	10,000	10,500
2708-190617-MULT802-104	20,000	20,500
2708-190617-MULT802-105	40,000	40,500
2708-190617-MULT802-106	70,000	70,500

The initial water level in the well prior to starting the pump on June 17, 2019 was 14.38 feet below top of casing (btc), dropping to a depth of 45 feet btc within 10 minutes; 55 feet btc after 5 hours; and reaching a maximum depth of approximately 56.8 feet btc after approximately 12 hours, near the end of the purging event.

Inspection of the water column with a measuring tape after pumping showed that the water column above the well pump still contained blebs of oil. The presence of oil blebs above the pump, in conjunction with the low contaminant concentrations (Section 5) and lack of observable oil in the discharged water, suggest that the primary water producing zones (lower portion of the well below the pump intake) were successfully cleaned out, while the upper portion of the water column, stabilizing after the initial 42 feet

of drawdown, may have stagnated above the pump and was not effectively flushed from the well.

4.3.3 Purging Event No. 2 – June 20, 2019

Additional purging was conducted on June 20, 2019 to determine if a higher discharge rate (greater than the 90 to 105 gpm previously produced) could be attained. The objective of pumping at a higher rate was to achieve greater drawdown within the well to a level below the zone that contained oil blebs. This additional drawdown closer to the pump intake, would also increase flushing from the well.

After confirming that the pump and generator were running optimally and that the pump was throttled to its maximum output, it was determined that the maximum achievable discharge rate for the pump was 105 gpm, matching the rate of the previous purging event.

Approximately 10,000 gallons of groundwater were pumped from *Mult 802* on June 20, 2019 after approximately 1 hour and 40 minutes of pumping. A total drawdown of approximately 56 feet bgs was measured immediately prior to turning the pump off, leaving 73 feet of water column above the pump intake. Observations of the discharged groundwater did not indicate the presence of a sheen or oily blebs, although minor amounts of floating particles (apparent pitch) were periodically observed.

Groundwater samples were collected on June 20, 2019 immediately after starting the pump, and again immediately prior to turning the pump off at the end of this purging event, as summarized below.

Groundwater Samples – Purging Event No. 2

Sample Number	Event 2 Volume Purged (Gallons)	Total Volume Purged (Gallons)
2708-190620-MULT802-107	500	71,000
2708-190620-MULT802-108	10,000	80,500

4.3.4 Purging Event No. 3 with Water Flushing – June 25, 2019

In a continued effort to clean out the portion of the water column within *Mult 802* above the pump intake, on June 25, 2019 HAI and Anchor QEA conducted a purging event that included the addition of potable water to the well during purging. The objective of the potable water addition was to maintain a water level in the well that would provide sufficient head pressure to drive the upper water column (with oil blebs) down into the pump intake for removal from the well.

The pump was operated at a constant discharge rate of approximately 100 gpm for the duration of the purging event. Potable water was added at the wellhead using a fire hydrant and hose. The potable water additions ranged from 50 gpm to 85 gpm with the water added for durations ranging from 10 minutes to 30 minutes and with 10 to 15 minutes between individual water flushings. Over the course of the purging and flushing activities, which lasted approximately 5.5 hours, observations at the sample port indicated the water contained a heavy sheen and alternating between clear and brown (oil blebs) early in the process and transitioning to clear water towards the end of the process. Observations of water contained in the equalization tanks from this purging and flushing event confirmed that larger volumes of oily water were produced than were derived from the previous (purging only) events.

In total, it is estimated that approximately 7,000 gallons of potable water were used for flushing the well, with a total of 29,096 gallons purged from the well during the 5.5 hour event – for a net volume of 22,096 gallons groundwater purged (summarized below). No groundwater samples were collected during the purging and flushing event on June 25, 2019.

Purging Event No. 3

Sample Number	Event 3 Net Volume Purged (Gallons)	Total Volume Purged (Gallons)
No Samples Collected	22,096	93,596

4.3.5 Purging Event No. 4 – June 26, 2019

On June 26, 2019, the day after purging and flushing with potable water (Section 4.3.4), HAI conducted one additional purging event for a final borehole cleanse prior to pump removal and down-hole camera work (Section 4.5). This final purging was conducted with a discharge rate of approximately 103 gpm between 7:30 AM and 3:50 PM on June 26, 2019. Over the course of purging, the water level within the well dropped from 14.45 feet btc (initial) to 58.00 feet btc (final). The water produced over the duration of the pumping was clear with only trace black solids (possible pitch material). A total of 50,278 gallons of groundwater were pumped from *Mult 802* during purging event No. 4. A groundwater sample was collected on June 26, 2019 immediately prior to turning the pump off at the end of this purging event, as summarized below.

Groundwater Samples – Purging Event No. 4

Sample Number	Event 4 Volume Purged (Gallons)	Total Volume Purged (Gallons)
2708-190626-MULT802-109	50,278	143,874

Westerberg Drilling, Inc. removed the pump from the well on July 1, 2019. On July 3, 2019 HAI conducted an additional check of the well bore for oil. Consistent with previous findings, no LNAPL layer was measured on top of the water column and no DNAPL was measured at the base of the well. Blebs of light brown oil were still present in the upper approximate 40 feet of the water column within the well. Several oil absorbent socks were hung within the upper water column of the well in an effort to remove these remaining oily blebs.

4.4 Sample Collection and Laboratory Testing

Samples of removed wastes/solids from the *Mult 802* borehole (tar, pitch, debris); oil blebs from the upper water column; and groundwater from the purging / flushing of the borehole subsequent to waste removal were collected and shipped under chain-of-custody documentation in chilled, thermally-insulated coolers to Apex Laboratories LLC (Apex Labs), an Oregon-accredited analytical laboratory located in Portland, Oregon. All solid material samples that did not undergo immediate analysis were frozen by the laboratory in order to extend the analytical holding times.

Sampling protocols for the solid / waste materials and groundwater were in accordance with standard protocols as approved for the Gasco remedial investigation. Due to the dispersed nature of the oil blebs in the upper water column it was necessary to lower a weighted line wrapped with an absorbent pad to collect a sufficient volume of oil for testing. The absorbent pad was lowered across the upper 40 feet of the water column, retrieved, and was squeezed / decanted directly into a 4-ounce sample jar, collecting approximately 2 ounces of oil on June 6, 2019 and only about 1 ounce of oil during a second collection event on June 19, 2019.

The well decommissioning log containing full descriptions of removed solids/wastes as well as sample information (sample identification and depth) is included within Appendix C. Further, a summary of the solids/wastes and oil bleb samples submitted to the laboratory, along with a brief description of theses samples, is presented in Table 1.

As summarized in Table 2, and based on consultation with DEQ, samples of the solids/wastes removed from the well bore were analyzed for the standard analytical suite of contaminants related to the Former Gasco Manufactured Gas Plant Operable Unit (Gasco OU), including polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), semi-volatile organic hydrocarbons (SVOCs), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), cyanide, metals, gasoline-, diesel-, and oilrange petroleum hydrocarbons. Additionally, several samples were tested for leachability of VOCs, SVOCs, and PAHs using toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) and synthetic precipitation leaching procedure (SPLP).

included in Appendix E. Notes and key observations from the downhole video are summarized below.

Notes on Viewing the Video

- 1) The video depth counter was inadvertently reset to depth of 0 feet at an actual depth equaling 200 feet btc during the camera trip downhole.
- 2) The depth interval of 13.6 feet to 27.3 feet btc was inadvertently not recorded on the trip down-hole, but can be viewed on the trip up-hole (see -186.4 feet to -172.7 feet btc on depth counter).
- 3) Note that the 8-inch slotted steel casing, previously present between the depths of 243 to 382 feet bgs, was removed from the borehole as part of decommissioning activities completed prior to recording the downhole video.
- 4) The tar adhering to the inside of the 12-inch casing (0 to 64 feet btc) occurred during decommissioning activities resulting from retrieval and clean-out of the 8-inch casing while temporarily clamped within the upper portion of the borehole. Tar was not visible on the observable portions of the 12-inch casing prior to removal of the 8-inch casing.

Key Observations

- 1) No oil is observed floating on the water within the well, encountered at approximately 14 feet btc. Field measurements with an interface probe confirm this video observation.
- 2) Pipes are observed leading from the 12-inch casing at depths of approximately 2 feet btc and 7 feet btc. The pipe openings appear unclogged and silt is observed within the lower portion of the pipes beyond the opening into the well.
- 3) Threaded connections of the 12-inch steel casing are observed at 23 feet btc and 43 feet btc. No tar or oil is observed on the threaded connection at 23 feet btc. Viscous tar is visible on the threaded connection at 43 feet btc, but also on surrounding portions of casing (above and below). These observations suggest that the observed tar or oil did not leak into the well from the surrounding formation.

- Several areas of brown oil staining are visible on the steel casing between depths of 30 and 53 feet btc. Several blebs of brown oil are suspended in the water column within the steel casing portion of the well (e.g., above 64 feet btc).
- 5) The base of the 12-inch casing is observed at approximately 64 feet btc. No evidence of oil leakage from the adjacent formation around the base of the steel casing is observed.
- 6) The water column within the uncased portion of the borehole (below approximately 64 feet btc) is relatively clear with no oil or tar observed suspended across any depth.
- 7) No DNAPL or evidence of DNAPL is observed on the base of the borehole, where soft sediments are encountered at a depth of approximately 377 feet btc.
- 8) The overall borehole appearance is that of basalt bedrock, ranging from smooth to blocky and some areas that are vesicular. Iron staining or iron bacteria are present in upper portions of the borehole (appearing yellow in the camera light). Zones of blocky or broken rock were observed across depths of 241 to 252 feet btc, 330 to 334 feet btc, and 372 to 376 feet btc, generally correlating with descriptions from the driller's log for this well.
- 9) A thin layer of tar or pitch materials is observed adhering to borehole walls as patchy occurrences between depths of approximately 121 feet btc and 376 feet btc. The materials are solid with no movement observed. The water column directly adjacent to zones of tar adherence on the borehole walls is clear and no oil component is observed.
- 10) No brown oil is observed on borehole walls within the uncased portion of the borehole.
- 11) With exception of areas where the borehole walls have a thin layer of tar or pitch adherence, the video shows that removal of wastes from the borehole of this well was successful.

4.6 Borehole Sealing

The *Mult 802* borehole was sealed between the dates of August 13, 2019 and August 22, 2019 by Cascade under the direction of HAI.

The approved *Mult 802* Decommissioning Work Plan specified that the borehole for this well would be sealed with bentonite grout from the base of the well up to a depth of 30 feet bgs within the 12-inch diameter steel surface casing. Due to the known presence of DNAPL in portions of the Fill WBZ in this area (with this WBZ present across depths of approximately 10 to 30 feet bgs) a bentonite-organoclay grout was to be used to seal the portion of the casing above 30 feet bgs that extended across the Fill WBZ.

During a July 15, 2019 telephone conversation between Mr. Joel Jeffery of WRD and Mr. Rob Ede of HAI, because tar wastes were removed from much of the borehole with oily blebs present in the upper portion of the water column, WRD requested that NW Natural use the bentonite-organoclay mixture within all portions of the borehole deeper than the water table (e.g., between 10 feet bgs to the base of the well at 382 feet bgs) as a precautionary measure.

Cascade submitted a *Special Standards Request Form* to WRD on July 24, 2019 for the use of bentonite-organoclay to seal *Mult 802* beneath a depth of 10 feet bgs. In response, WRD provided the *Final Order* dated July 30, 2019 addressed to Joe Staloch of Cascade in which WRD confirmed receipt of the July 24, 2019 *Special Standards Request Form* for Start Card Number 1042794, and approved the use of organoclay grout to abandon the former *Mult 802* supply well interval of 10 to 382 feet bgs. All WRD documentation related to the decommissioning of *Mult 802* is included within Appendix F.

The WRD-required variance from the approved decommissioning work plan was discussed with DEQ during a telephone conservation on July 29, 2019 (Rob Ede to Dana Bayuk), with DEQ providing concurrence for this modification.

As per the approved Special Standards Request, the bentonite-organoclay grout mixture was prepared with 9 parts Wyoming sodium bentonite and 1 part organoclay by volume, mixed to a 20% solids content. The resulting mud weight of the 20% solids grout, if correctly mixed to these specifications, would be 71.7 pounds per cubic foot (plus or minus 1 pound per cubic foot), equating to a mud weight of between 9.5 to 9.7 pounds per gallon.

Each batch of the bentonite-organoclay grout mixture was prepared using 50 pounds granular sodium bentonite; 5 pounds of granular organoclay media; and 37 gallons of water. In order to maintain a consistent grout meeting the specifications of 9.5 to 9.7 pounds per gallon (20% solids content), a mud balance was used with each batch to confirm the proper mud weight prior to placement within the *Mult 802* borehole.

Between August 13, 2019 and August 21, 2019, a total of 81 batches of bentonite-organoclay grout consisting of approximately 37 gallons each, were emplaced downhole from bottom to top by pressurized tremie pipe. The total volume of grout emplaced in the former *Mult 802* supply well was approximately 2,980 gallons between the interval 10.5 to 382 feet bgs. The depth to the top of the grout column remained stable overnight based on measurements made immediately prior to filling the upper 10.5 feet of casing with hydrated bentonite (described in paragraphs below). All groundwater that was displaced upward in the borehole during the grouting process was pumped into nearby 500 gallon capacity totes before reaching the top of the borehole.

The calculated volume of grout for the estimated 10-inch diameter borehole interval extending from 63 to 382 feet bgs is approximately 1,301 gallons, and the actual volume of grout emplaced across that interval was 2,590 gallons. The calculated volume of grout for the 12-inch diameter interval from 10 to 63 feet bgs is approximately 311 gallons, and the actual volume of grout emplaced across that interval was 390 gallons. Minimum required grout volumes (equal to calculated borehole volume) were satisfied across the entirety of the borehole, with excess grout (approximately 1,368 gallons) likely moving into the adjacent formation, indicative of adequate grout penetration into the area immediately surrounding the borehole.

The upper 12-inch steel casing for *Mult 802* was perforated by Cascade between August 19, 2019 and August 21, 2019. Cascade utilized a Mills Knife perforator with a two-line rig to punch a series of 8 vertical slots (45-degree radial separation) through the casing wall each vertical foot starting at 61.5 feet bgs and ending at 10.5 feet bgs. Granular bentonite, hydrated in 2-foot lifts, was then emplaced across depths of approximately 1 to 10.5 feet bgs. To account for swelling, the hydrated bentonite was allowed to settle and stabilize for two days before concrete was poured within the upper foot of the well casing as a surface seal for the former supply well.

Documentation of the measured mud weight of each individually emplaced batch of bentonite-organoclay mixture, along with depth to grout measurements that were made during the sealing process is tabulated within Table 17. A generalized summary of the overall *Mult 802* borehole sealing material depths, type and amount of sealing materials used, and range in mud weight measurements are provided below.

Depth (ft bgs)	Backfill Material	Amount	Mud Weight (Ibs/gal)
0 – 1	Concrete	2 50-lb bags	NA
1 – 10	Hydrated Bentonite Chips	9 50-lb bags	NA
10 – 382	Organoclay- Bentonite Grout	2,980 gallons	9.5 – 9.7

Mult 802 Borehole Sealing Summary

Notes:

lbs/gal = pounds per gallon ft bgs = feet below ground surface NA = not applicable

5.0 LABORATORY TESTING RESULTS

5.1 Solids – Tar and Pitch Materials

Samples of the solids removed from the *Mult 802* borehole were collected and analyzed for the standard analytical suite of contaminants for the Gasco OU (Section 4.4). Samples were collected of the various types of materials removed from the well bore, as described on the well decommissioning log (Appendix C), with sample descriptions included on Table 1. Test results for these materials are summarized in Tables 3 through 8. As summarized on these tables, samples of the mixed tar and pitch; the viscous semi-solid tar (viscous "honey-like" tar); and the deeper more solid/competent tar were analyzed from multiple depths within the borehole. A sample of the gravel and broken rock fragments from the base of the well was also collected in order to document the condition of the borehole immediately underlying the removed tar and pitch materials.

Testing of all tar and pitch materials detected concentrations of gasoline-, diesel-, and oil-range total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), with the diesel-range TPH predominating. The highest detected diesel-range TPH concentration was 305,000 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) from a sample of the viscous tar material (sample -006 from 318 feet bgs). Benzene concentrations up to 288 mg/kg (sample -013 from 378 feet bgs) and naphthalene concentrations up to 15,400 mg/kg (sample -009 from 368 feet bgs) were also detected in the solid tar samples. No chlorinated VOCs (e.g., halogenated solvents) were detected in any of the samples analyzed (Table 3).

Several VOCs leached from the tar/pitch materials when undergoing TCLP (Table 4) or SPLP (Table 5) leachability testing, with benzene and naphthalene being predominant. As provided in the disposal characterization and profiling for these materials (Section 6.2), the leachable concentrations of benzene via the TCLP methodology (3.15 milligrams per liter (mg/L)) rendered these materials to be a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulated hazardous waste for the purposes of disposal (D018-benzene toxicity characteristic). Similar leachate concentrations were detected by both the TCLP (simulates landfill leachate

contact) and the less aggressive SPLP (simulates rainfall contact) leaching methods.

Elevated concentrations of PAHs and other SVOCs were detected in the tar and pitch as would be expected based on the nature of the material (Tables 6 and 7), with metals and cyanide testing also being completed for characterization purposes (Table 8).

As shown on Table 9, physical testing of the viscous tar (sample -007 from 352 feet bgs) found the dynamic viscosity for this material to be 10,096 millipascals per second (mPa-s) at a temperature of 86 degrees Fahrenheit (F), similar to the room temperature viscosity of honey. The viscosity of this material at typical groundwater temperatures (generally below 55F) would be significantly higher, and was observed to have a gummy/solid consistency in ambient air temperatures (50F to 60F).

As summarized on Tables 3 through 8, concentrations of all analytes with exception of certain metals (abundant earth elements) were sharply attenuated in the sample of gravel and rock fragments collected at the base of the borehole (sample -014 from 381 to 382 feet bgs) immediately underlying the emplaced tar and pitch materials. The lack of vertical mobility of the tar material downward into the sand and gravel at the base of the well, as evidenced from visual observation (Photo Nos. 35 and 36, Appendix B) and from sample chemistry (sample -014), further demonstrates the lack of mobility of the tar and pitch materials.

5.2 Oil Blebs

Samples of oil blebs removed from the *Mult 802* borehole (presence was limited to approximately the upper 40 feet of water column) were collected and analyzed for the standard analytical suite of contaminants for the Gasco OU as well as for physical properties (Section 4.4). Chemical test results for this sample are summarized in Tables 10 through 12. Physical testing results are summarized in Table 17.

Testing of the oil detected 412,000 mg/kg gasoline-range and 681,000 mg/kg diesel-range total petroleum hydrocarbons. Benzene was detected at a concentration of 10,500 mg/kg and naphthalene was detected at a concentration of 140,000 mg/kg, as were elevated concentrations of other PAHs and SVOCs (Tables 10 and 11). No chlorinated VOCs (e.g., halogenated solvents) were detected in the oil (Table 10).

As summarized on Table 17, physical testing of the oil found the dynamic viscosity for this material to be 16.09 mPa-s at a temperature of 50F, with a specific gravity of 1.0 (equal to water).

The oil blebs in the water column were likely derived from the cleanout of the tarry material from the 8-inch casing while it hung in the upper borehole, and these blebs likely remained in the upper water column even after several weeks since they have a density equivalent to water and therefore would not have a tendency to rise or to sink.

5.3 Groundwater

As described in Section 4.3, a groundwater sample was collected after the removal of the tar and pitch materials in order to document the quality of stagnant water in the well bore prior to purging. Additionally, groundwater samples were collected from the well bore during the extended purging of the well to document how concentrations changed as additional tar, pitch, and oily blebs were cleansed from the water column and the sides of the well bore as groundwater from the surrounding bedrock aquifer entered the well.

As described in Section 4.3, groundwater samples were collected from *Mult 802* on the following frequency to document concentration trends as a function of volume purged:

- 500 gallons purged
- 1,500 gallons purged
- 5,500 gallons purged
- 10,500 gallons purged
- 20,500 gallons purged
- 40,500 gallons purged
- 70,500 gallons purged
- 80,500 gallons purged
- 143,874 gallons purged

For reference in comparisons to total volumes purged, the approximate volume of water contained within the well bore, considering the 12-inch diameter upper casing (0 to 63 feet bgs) and assuming the open borehole portion of the well is 10-inch diameter (63 to 382 feet bgs), would be approximately 1,600 gallons.

Because there was a small amount of residual tar or pitch in the well bore and oil presence on surfaces of the pump and plumbing (Photos 43 and 44, Appendix B) after completion of all clean-out activities, data from groundwater samples collected from the well bore will be skewed high relative to groundwater quality within the surrounding bedrock aquifer.

Time-series groundwater quality data from *Mult 802* are useful in evaluating the presence or significance of possible impacts to the bedrock aquifer. For example, an increasing trend or a high level stable trend in contaminant concentrations over the course of purging may indicate the presence of elevated contaminants in the basalt aquifer beyond the immediate vicinity of the well bore. Alternatively, a decreasing trend or a low level stable concentration trend would indicate clean or cleaner groundwater is being pumped through the borehole as the localized contaminants within the borehole (e.g., tar and pitch fragments) are being effectively removed.

A concentration plot of benzene and toluene (both highly soluble), naphthalene (less soluble) and benzo(a)pyrene (least soluble), as a function of volume of waste purged is presented in Figure 3. All available data are summarized in Tables 13 through 16.

As depicted on Figure 3, the pumping and flushing of the *Mult 802* well bore resulted in a significant decline in concentrations for all contaminants. Benzene concentrations in water removed from the well bore declined from an initial concentration of 33,900 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) prior to any pumping, to a low of 441 μ g/L after flushing approximately 70,000 gallons of through the well during the first purging event. Water within the well bore 3 days after the first purging event ended showed a slight rebound to 4,590 μ g/L of benzene, which is substantially lower than the initial concentration and considered to be a function of tar/pitch materials still within the water column at that time. As shown on Figure 3, concentrations of benzene diminished to a low of 194 μ g/L after completion of the next three purging events, which included the successful removal of a majority of the oil blebs from the upper water column, as well as additional pitch and tar from the water column.

In addition to declines in benzene concentration as a function of increased groundwater removal, similar trends were observed with toluene (7,090 μ g/L initial; and 80 μ g/L final concentration), naphthalene (11,900 μ g/L initial; and 1,030 μ g/L final concentration), and benzo(a)pyrene (9,590 μ g/L early and 29.1 μ g/L final).

The significant decline in dissolved phase contaminant concentrations over the course of pumping from the bedrock aquifer is indicative that the tar and pitch materials formerly present within the *Mult 802* well bore had not resulted in significant impact to the bedrock aquifer beyond the area immediately surrounding the well bore. It is likely that the lack of mobility of these materials, combined with the finite volume and limited presence within the borehole, were a factor in minimizing their impact to the subsurface environment.
6.1 Liquids

Liquid wastes that were generated during the *Mult 802* decommissioning project included groundwater that was pumped from the well during the borehole pumping and sampling activities; potable water that was used during the flushing of the well; and minor amounts of oil that was entrained in some of these waters.

Consistent with DEQ approved protocols, all decontamination water and water that was pushed to the surface during well sealing activities as well as decontamination water was containerized in 500-gallon storage totes located near the areas of generation. These storage totes were discharged to the on-site Groundwater Treatment System (GTS) via the purge water and decontamination water discharge box located at the Siltronic Pre-Treatment System.

All groundwater and potable water that was generated during the pumping, flushing, and sampling of *Mult 802* was discharged directly to three 20,000 gallon equalization tanks which were plumbed to the on-site GTS via the Gasco Pre-Treatment System.

All liquids were fully treated through the pre-treatment and primary treatment components of the GTS prior to permitted discharge to the Willamette River under National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. 103061.

6.2 Solids

Solid materials generated during the decommissioning of *Mult 802* included tar and pitch removed from the borehole; the removed 8-inch diameter steel casing, plastic sheeting, personal protective equipment, and other miscellaneous equipment and debris that was contaminated through contact with the recovered tar and pitch materials. A total of 23 55-gallon drums of tar and pitch materials and a 20 cubic yard capacity drop box containing steel casing, PPE, plastic, and miscellaneous contaminated debris were generated during the *Mult 802* decommissioning work.

All of the wastes were characterized and managed consistent with procedures established for investigative or cleanup derived wastes for the

site. Characterization of the tar and pitch media indicated that wastes generated during the *Mult 802* decommissioning exhibited the RCRA toxicity characteristic. Because the configuration of this material within the well suggested it had been directly placed there, and because of other characteristics of the material, it was determined that the MGP exemption to the toxicity characteristic did not apply, Therefore, the drop box containing the steel casing, personal protective equipment, and related debris was transported to the Chemical Waste Management, Inc. permitted hazardous waste landfill located in Arlington, Oregon for disposal as a D018 RCRA hazardous waste. The 23 drums of tar and pitch material were transported to the Clean Harbors, Inc. Aragonite permitted hazardous waste incinerator located in Dugway, Utah for incineration.

All waste disposal documentation, including waste characterization profiles with supporting laboratory reports and waste disposal manifests, are included in Appendix G.

7.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The decommissioning of former industrial water supply well *Mult 802* was successfully completed between May 13, 2019 and August 22, 2019. As part of the decommissioning it was discerned that apparent waste materials (tar and pitch) were placed within the *Mult 802* well bore at some point in the past. As such, the decommissioning included the characterization and full clean-out and disposal of these materials.

Though not planned, the lower 8-inch perforated steel casing, which had been present in the borehole from 243 to the base of the well at 382 feet bgs, was removed in its entirety as part of the decommissioning. The removal of this casing, which contained much of the removed tar and pitch materials, was instrumental in the effective removal of wastes and the successful decommissioning of this well.

After the physical removal of the tar and pitch materials, the *Mult 802* well bore was purged and flushed, removing over 140,000 gallons of groundwater from the well bore and cleansing remaining tar and pitch from the well to the extent possible. Also, the addition of potable water while purging was conducted during one of the purging events (Purging Event No. 3) and was successful in forcing oily blebs suspended in the upper cased portion of the water column down into the pump intake for removal. With the exception of areas on the borehole wall with a thin layer of tar and pitch adherence and several oil blebs still remain in the upper 12 inch OD cased portion of the hole, a downhole video showed that the removal of wastes from the *Mult 802* well bore had been highly effective.

Chemical testing of groundwater samples collected at regular intervals during the purging and flushing of the *Mult 802* well bore showed concentrations of contaminants sharply declining as larger volumes of groundwater from the surrounding basalt aquifer were flushed through the borehole. Benzene concentrations, for example, declined from 33,900 ug/L (initial) to just 194 ug/L (final).

The significant decline in dissolved phase contaminant concentrations over the course of pumping from the bedrock aquifer is indicative that the tar and pitch materials formerly present within the *Mult 802* well bore had not resulted in significant impact to the bedrock aquifer beyond the area immediately surrounding the well bore. It is likely that the limited mobility and volume of these materials within the confines of the *Mult 802* well bore was a factor in minimizing their lasting impact to the highly transmissive bedrock aquifer.

After waste material removal, water column purging/flushing and down-hole video documentation, the entirety of the *Mult 802* well bore was sealed with bentonite-organoclay grout (10 to 382 feet bgs), hydrated bentonite pellets (1 to 10 feet bgs) and a concrete surface seal (0 to 1 foot bgs). Minimum required grout volumes (equal to calculated borehole volume) were satisfied across the entirety of the borehole, with excess grout (approximately 1,368 gallons) likely moving into the adjacent formation, indicative of adequate grout penetration into the area immediately surrounding the borehole.

The decommissioning of *Mult 802* was completed in full accordance with Oregon WRD requirements. Data collected over the course of the decommissioning were sufficient for the characterization of the materials that had been placed within the borehole and for the evaluation of potential impacts to the bedrock aquifer. The findings suggest that the lack of mobility of the materials placed within the well, combined with the finite volume and limited presence of tar and pitch materials within the confines of the borehole were a factor in minimizing their impact to the subsurface environment. With the successful removal of the tar and pitch and the sealing of the *Mult 802* well bore, no additional actions related to former water supply well *Mult 802* appear necessary. No evidence of significant impacts to the basalt aquifer were identified.

8.0 LIMITATIONS AND SIGNATURES

The information presented in this report was collected, analyzed, and interpreted following the standards of care, skill, and diligence ordinarily provided by a professional in the performance of similar services as of the time the services were performed. This report and the conclusions and/or recommendations contained in it are based solely upon research and/or observations, and physical sampling and analytical activities, if any, that were conducted at the Client's (NW Natural) request.

The information presented in this report is based only upon activities witnessed by HAI or its contractors, and/or upon information provided to HAI by the Client and/or its contractors. The analytical data presented in this report, if any, document only the concentrations of the target analytes in the particular sample, and not the property as a whole.

Unless otherwise specified in writing, this report has been prepared solely for the use by the Client and for use only in connection with the evaluation of the subject property. Any other use by the Client or any use by any other person shall be at the user's sole risk, and HAI shall have neither liability nor responsibility with respect to such use.

Hahn and Associates, Inc.

Prepared by:

Robert B. Ede, R.G. Principal



Former Mult 802 Water Supply Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Property - Portland, Oregon 1 MULT 802 Decommission Text.docx

Page 35 of 37 April 20, 2020 HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

9.0 GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

Anchor QEA	Anchor QEA, LLC
Apex Labs	Apex Laboratories, LLC
bgs	below existing ground surface
btc	below top of casing
Cascade	Cascade Drilling, L.P.
CCS	Cowlitz Clean Sweep, Inc.
DEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
DNAPL	dense non-aqueous phase liquid
ECSI	Environmental Cleanup Site Characterization Database
F	degrees Fahrenheit
gpm	gallons per minute
GTS	groundwater treatment system
HAI	Hahn and Associates, Inc.
ID	inner diameter
KI	Koppers, Inc.
lbs/gal	pounds per gallon
LNAPL	light non-aqueous phase liquid
LNG	liquified natural gas
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MGP	manufactured gas plant
mPa-s	millipascal per second
OD	outer diameter
OU	operable unit
PAHs	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons
PG&C	Portland Gas & Coke
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
SPLP	synthetic precipitation leaching procedure
SVOCs	semi-volatile organic compounds
TCLP	toxicity characteristic leaching procedure
ТРН	total petroleum hydrocarbons

µg/L	micrograms per liter
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VOCs	volatile organic compounds
WBZ	water-bearing zone
WRD	Oregon Water Resources Department

Table 1 - Sample Availability and Laboratory Testing Program

MULT 802 Supply Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Property Portland, Oregon

																				Sam	ıple Aı	nalyse	s																
						ASTM	D7042	/D405:	2																				Met	als (E'	PA 60	J20A)	,						
	Sample Media (Soil, Groundwater, Surfacewater, Tar	Sample Depth	Samala		amic Viscosity	ematic Viscosity	sity	Gravity Gravity	cific Gravity	al Cyanide (ASTM D7511-12)	ilable Cyanide (ASTM D688	s Cyanide (ASTM D4282-02)	3s (EPA 5035A/8260C)	.P VOCs (EPA 1311/8260C)	. P VOCs (EPA 1312/8260C))Cs (EPA 8270D)	. P SVOCs (EPA 2/8270D SIM)	Is (EPA 8270D SIM)	. P PAHs (EPA 1312/8270D)	I-Dx (NWTPH-Dx)	I-Gx (NWTPH-Gx)	minum	imony	ium	yllium	mium	cium				Jaesium	Iganese	cury	(el		er	lium	llium	adium
Sample ID	Pitch, Other)	(feet bgs)	Date	Lab SDG	δ	- Xi	Der	API	Sp.	1ª	Ava	Ē	Š	<u>ប</u>	SPI	Š	SPI 131	Ā	S <mark>PI</mark>	Ē	Ē	¶	A At	Bar	Ber	u di la	b S		; 2	Lea	Maç	Mar	Me	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	s l s	, Sil	Š	Tah	Zin
COMP1	Tar / Pitch	47, 96, 136	14-May-19	A9E0508						Х			X	Х		Х				Х	Х	X	X X	X	Х	X)	(X	Х	X	X	X	X	x x	(X	X	X	X	X X	
2708-190515-005	Tar / Pitch	298 - 299	15-May-19	A9E0582						Х			X			Х				Х	X	X	x x	X	X	X)	(X	X	X	X	X '	X	x x	x x	X	X	X	х У	
2708-190520-006	Tar	318 - 319	20-May-19	A9E0677						X			X			X				X	X	X	x x	X	X	X >	(X	X	X	X	X	X	XX		X	X	X	ХХ	
2708-190521-007	Tar	352 - 353	21-May-19	A9E0723	Х	Х	Х						X	Х	X	Х	Х					X	х х	X	X	X >	(X	X	X	X	X	X I	XX	(X	X	X	X	ХХ	
2708-190521-008	Tar	358 - 359	21-May-19	A9E0723									X																		\square						\square		
2708-190521-009	Tar / Pitch	368 - 369	21-May-19	A9E0723										X						X	X										\square								
2708-190521-010	Tar / Pitch	380 - 381	21-May-19	A9E0723									Х																										
2708-190522-011	Tar / Pitch	363 - 364	22-May-19	A9E0785	l –								X		Х			Х	Х	X	Х										\square								
2708-190523-013	Tar	378 - 379	23-May-19	A9E0832									X		X			Х	Х	Х	Х										\square								
2708-190524-014	Soil	381 - 382	24-May-19	A9E0902						Х			X					Х		X	X	X	x x	X	X	X)	(X	X	X	X	X	X	X X		X	X	X	ХХ	
2708-190606-OIL	Oil	14 - 50	06-Jun-19	A9F0287	X	X	X	Х	Х																						\square								
2708-190619-OIL	Oil	14 - 50	19-Jun-19	A9F0684	1								X			X				X	Х	X D	х х	X	X	X>	(X	X	X	X	X	X	XX		X	X	X	XX	
2708-190614-MULT802-100	Groundwater	63-382	14-Jun-19	A9F0498	l –					Х	Х	X	X			X				X	Х	X D	х х	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	ſ	X	XX		X	X		XX	
2708-190617-MULT802-101	Groundwater	63-382	17-Jun-19	A9F0573						Х	Х	Х	X			Х				Х	Х	X	х х	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	Ē	X I	X X		X	X		ХХ	
2708-190617-MULT802-102	Groundwater	63-382	17-Jun-19	A9F0573						Х	Х	X	X			X				X	Х	X D	х х	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	ľ	X	XX		X	X		XX	
2708-190617-MULT802-103	Groundwater	63-382	17-Jun-19	A9F0573						X	Х	Х	X			Х				X	X	X	х х	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	Ē	X	XX		X	X		ХХ	
2708-190617-MULT802-103D	Groundwater	63-382	17-Jun-19	A9F0573	1					Х	Х	X	X			X				X	Х	X	х х	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	l l	X	XX		X	X		XX	
2708-190617-MULT802-104	Groundwater	63-382	17-Jun-19	A9F0573						X	Х	X	X			Х				X	X	X	x x	X	X	Х	X	X	X	X	Ē	X	XX		X	X		ХХ	
2708-190617-MULT802-105	Groundwater	63-382	17-Jun-19	A9F0573						X	Х	X	X			X				X	Х	X D	х х	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	Ē	X	XX		X	X		XX	
2708-190617-MULT802-106	Groundwater	63-382	17-Jun-19	A9F0573						Х	Х	X	X			Х				X	X	X	x x	X	X	Х	X	X	X	X	ſ Ţ,	X	X X		X	X		ХХ	
2708-190620-MULT802-107	Groundwater	63-382	20-Jun-19	A9F0692									X																		\square								
2708-190620-MULT802-108	Groundwater	63-382	20-Jun-19	A9F0692									Х																										
2708-190626-MULT802-109	Groundwater	63-382	26-Jun-19	A9F0860						Х	Х	Х	Х			Х				Х	Х	X	x X	X	X	Х	X	X	X	X	Ē	X	x x		X	Х		ХХ	< X
2708-190626-MULT802-TB	QA/QC	-	26-Jun-19	A9F0860									Х																										
2708-190614-MULT802-TB	QA/QC	-	14-Jun-19	A9F0498									Х																										
2708-190617-MULT802-TB	QA/QC	-	17-Jun-19	A9F0573									Х																										
2708-190620-MULT802-TB	QA/QC	-	20-Jun-19	A9F0692									X																		\square								

Notes:

API = American Petroleum Institute

ASTM = American Society for Testing and Materials

bgs = below ground surface EPA = Environmental Protection Agency PAH = polyaromatic hydrocarbons

SDG = sample delivery group SPLP = Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure SVOCs = semivolatile organic compounds TCLP = Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons

VOCs = volatile organic compounds

Page 1 of 1 Updated 12/18/19 HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC

Table 2 - Sample Description: Solids/Wastes and Oil Blebs Removed from Well BoreholeMult 802 Water Supply Well Decommissioning

Sample ID	Date	Time	Number of 8 oz Jars	Sample Headspace (ppm)	Approximate Depth in MULT 802 (feet bgs)	Sample Description
2708-190513-001	13-May-19	15:15	2	369	47	Black granular pitch (glassy, hard fragments) and black tar, with tar ranging from hard and brittle to soft and plastic. Large amount of pitch is fine- to coarse-grained sand sized, cohesive, but crumbles easily. Material does not become soft or begin to "seep" in the warm sun. Appears to be mostly pitch / minor tar.
2708-190513-002	13-May-19	16:00	2	168	96	Granular (fine to coarse) black tar and pitch ranging from hard and brittle (pitch) to soft and plastic (tar). Pitch fragments appear glassy, reflective, conchoidal fracture, a few coarse-grained basalt gravels are present. Much black fine-grained apparent pitch material throughout. slight decrease in tar/pitch percentage with brown gravels visible in matrix. No oil is visible.
2708-190513-003	13-May-19	16:05	1	167	136	Sample contains only large pitch fragments removed from overall matrix at this depth (overall matrix is included as sample-004).
2708-190514-004	14-May-19	15:00	2	-	136	Coarse sand or fine grained gravel, brown but mixed with pitch and fragments of black sticky tar.
COMP1	13-May-19	-	-	-	-	Lab-prepared composite of samples-001, -002, and -004.
2708-190515-005	15-May-19	14:45	2	128	298	Black viscous, competent tar (putty-like) with black oily viscous more liquid-like tar blebs present.
2708-190520-006	20-May-19	15:00	4	-	318	Sample consists of thick honey or fresh taffy like black tar; Falls out of core barrel sampler (to drum) like ropes of thick taffy. This material becomes less viscous in sun/heat. Has an oily component visible on contacted surfaces when handled though little oil is visible in tar.
2708-190521-007	21-May-19	10:55	2	-	352	Sample of material similar in description to that described above for sample -006.
2708-190521-008	21-May-19	11:00	2	38.7	358	Sample of material similar in description to that described above for sample -006 and -007.
2708-190521-009	21-May-19	11:55	4	66.2	368	Black solid tar, appears weathered with a sand-like texture. This material does not have the honey/taffy "flowable" consistency as samples -006 through -008. Instead, this is a more dense, competent tar.
2708-190521-010	21-May-19	15:30	5	-	380	Black solid tar mixed with coarse sand and gravels - some brown coloration to sand and gravel indicating tar is not pervasive throughout. Tar is competent (not the flowable viscous honey/taffy) but is soft/gummy.
2708-190522-011	22-May-19	16:30	6 (4oz jars)	208	363	Black solid tar with some fine grained sand, competent, highly viscous and sticky, high plasticity. Black oily component on surface of material.
2708-190523-012	23-May-19	12:50	3	331	338	Black solid tar mixed with fine grained sand. Tar is competent (not the flowable viscous honey/taffy) but is soft/gummy. Tar contents slough like soil from core barrel unlike the viscous less competent tar (which fell from the core barrel like a rope of taffy) that as represented by samples -006 through -008.
2708-190523-013	23-May-19	15:00	3	142	378	Black solid tar with some fine to medium grained sand, plastic and sticky mixed with hard brittle tar or pitch fragments (fragments have a glassy appearance).
2708-190524-014	24-May-19	12:50	3	105.4	382	Gravel with sand, grey, wet, sub rounded and angular, large broken basalt fragment (grey, massive) approximately 4" x 3" x 2". Water has a minor sheen, but no oil or tar is observed.
2708-190528-015	28-May-19	10:55	3	44.8	37	Black granular pitch (glassy, hard fragments) and black tar, with tar ranging from hard and brittle to soft and plastic. Large amount of pitch is fine- to coarse-grained sand sized, cohesive, but crumbles easily. Material becomes soft in the warm sun. Collected from stored core bags.
2708-150928-016	28-May-19	11:00	3	3.7	67	Granular (fine to coarse) pitch and tar ranging from hard and brittle (pitch) to soft and plastic (tar). Pitch fragments appear glassy, reflective, conchoidal fracture. Much black fine-grained apparent pitch material throughout. Appears majority pitch with minor tar. No oil is visible. Collected from stored core bags.
2708-190528-017	28-May-19	11:05	3	11.1	86	Granular (fine to coarse) black tar and pitch ranging from hard and brittle (pitch) to soft and plastic (tar). Pitch fragments appear glassy, reflective, conchoidal fracture. Much black fine-grained apparent pitch material throughout. No oil is visible. Collected from stored core bags.
2708-190606-OIL	6-Jun-19	14:00	1	-	upper water column (14 to 50 feet)	Sample of oil (approximately 2 oz.) that was present in water column. No recordable thickness and appears to be mixed into water column.
2708-190619-OIL	6/19/19	14:00	1	-	upper water column (14 to 50 feet)	Sample of oil (less than 1 oz.) that was present in water column. No recordable thickness and appears to be mixed into water column.

Notes: bgs = below ground surface ppm = parts per million

Mult 802 Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Site, Portland, Oregon File: Table 2 - Sample Description_Mult 802_191213.xlsx

Page 1 of 1 Updated: 12/18/19 rbe HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 3 - Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: TPH and VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Media ==>	Tar / Pit	tch				Tar - '	Viscous							Tar	- Solid				Gravel/San	ıd
Sample Number ==>	2708-190513-	-COMP1	2708-19051	5-005	2708-190520-	006	2708-190521-0	007	2708-190521	-008	2708-190521	-009	2708-190521-	-010	2708-190522-01	11	2708-190523-0)13	2708-190524-	-014
Sample Date ==>	13-May-	-19	15-May	·19	20-May-19)	21-May-19		21-May-1	19	21-May-1	9	21-May-1	9	22-May-19		23-May-19		24-May-19	Э
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	47, 96, and	136	298 - 29	99	318 - 319		352 - 353		358 - 35	9	368 - 36	9	380 - 381		363 - 364		378 - 379		381 - 382	
Location ==>	12-inch Ca	asing	12-inch Ca	asing	8-inch Casir	ng	8-inch Casin	g	8-inch Cas	sing	8-inch Cas	ing	8-inch Casi	ng	Borehole		Borehole		Borehole	
					*	'			Analytic	al Results	in mg/kg (ppm)					<u> </u>				
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA Met	hod 8260C																			
Bromodichloromethane	29.6	U	58.5	U	319.	U	565.	U	826.	U	42.7	UJ	180.	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
Bromoform (Tribromomethane)	59.1	U	117.	U	639.	U	1,130.	U	1,650.	U	85.5	UJ	360.	U	69.9	UJ	92.6	UJ	17.5	U
Bromomethane (Methyl bromide)	148.	U	292.	U	1,600.	U	2,820.	U	4,130.	U	427.	UJ	901.	U	350.	UJ	463.	UJ	87.3	U
Carbon disulfide	148.	U	292.	U	1,600.	U	2,820.	U	4,130.	U	427.	UJ	901.	U	350.	UJ	463.	UJ	87.3	U
Carbon tetrachloride (Tetrachloromethane)	29.6	U	58.5	U	319.	U	565.	U	826.	U	42.7	UJ	180.	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
Chlorobenzene	7.39	U	14.6	U	79.9	U	141.	U	207.	Ū	21.4	UJ	45.	υ	17.5	UJ	23.1	UJ	4.36	U
Chloroethane	148.	U	292.	U	1,600.	U	2,820.	U	4,130.	U	427.	UJ	901.	UJ	350.	UJ	463.	UJ	87.3	U
Chloroform	14.8	U	29.2	U	160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	42.7	UJ	90.1	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
Chloromethane	73.9	U	146.	U	799.	U	1,410.	U	2,070.	U	214.	UJ	450.	U	175.	UJ	231.	UJ	43.6	U
Cymene, p- (4-lsopropyltoluene)	14.8	U	29.2	U	160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	42.7	UJ	90.1	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
Dibromochloromethane	29.6	U	58.5	U	319.	U	565.	U	826.	U	85.5	UJ	180.	U	69.9	UJ	92.6	UJ	17.5	U
Dibromomethane	14.8	U	29.2	U	160.	υ	282.	U	413.	U	42.7	UJ	90.1	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	29.6	U	58.5	U	319.	U	565.	U	826.	U	85.5	UJ	180.	U	69.9	UJ	92.6	UJ	17.5	U
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	73.9	UJ	146.	UJ	799.	UJ	1,410.	UJ	2,070.	UJ	214.	UJ	450.	UJ	175.	UJ	231.	UJ	43.6	U
Ethylbenzene	12.4		61.7		95.5		141.	U	207.	U	144.	J	56.9		104.	J	73.6	J	6.37	
Ethylene dibromide (1,2-Dibromoethane)	14.8	U	29.2	U	160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	42.7	UJ	90.1	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	29.6	U	58.5	U	319.	U	565.	U	826.	U	85.5	UJ	180.	U	69.9	UJ	92.6	UJ	17.5	U
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	14.8	U	29.2	U	160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	42.7	UJ	90.1	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
m,p-Xylene	17.1		93.5		160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	220.	J	90.1	U	156.	J	141.	J	10.3	
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone)	148.	U	292.	U	1,600.	U	2,820.	U	4,130.	U	427.	UJ	901.	U	350.	UJ	463.	UJ	87.3	U
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	14.8	U	29.2	U	160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	42.7	UJ	90.1	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
n-Butylbenzene	14.8	Ū	29.2	U	160.	U	282.	Ū	413.	Ū	42.7	UJ	90.1	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
n-Propylbenzene	7.39	U	14.6	U	79.9	U	141.	U	207.	U	21.4	UJ	45.	U	17.5	UJ	23.1	UJ	4.36	U
Naphthalene	475.		5,630.		10,300.		10,500.		6,640.		15,400.	J	7,940.		9,020.		5,570.	J	677.	
o-Xylene	8.02		30.4		79.9	U	141.	U	207.	U	74.8	J	45.	U	50.3	J	46.8	J	4.36	U
sec-Butylbenzene	14.8	U	29.2	U	160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	42.7	UJ	90.1	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
Styrene	14.8	U	29.2	U	160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	53.8	J	90.1	U	39.5	J	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
tert-Butylbenzene	14.8	U	29.2	U	160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	42.7	UJ	90.1	U	35.	UJ	46.3	UJ	8.73	U
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	7.39	U	14.6	U	79.9	U	141.	U	207.	U	21.4	UJ	45.	U	17.5	UJ	23.1	UJ	4.36	U
Toluene	29.3		99.8		160.	U	282.	U	413.	U	185.	J	90.1	U	145.	J	212.	J	10.1	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	7.39	U	14.6	U	79.9	U	141.	U	207.	U	21.4	UJ	45.	Ū	17.5	UJ .	23.1	UJ	4.36	U
Trichlorofluoromethane (Fluorotrichloromethane)	29.6	U	58.5	U	319.	U	565.	U	826.	U	85.5	UJ	180.	U	69.9	UJ	92.6	UJ	17.5	UJ
Vinyl chloride	7.39	<u> </u>	14.6	<u> </u>	79.9	U	141.	U	207.	U	21.4	UJ	45.	U	17.5	UJ	23.1	UJ	4.36	<u> </u>

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

J = estimated concentration

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

MULT 802 Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Site, Portland, Oregon File: Table 3 to 8 - Solids Testing Results_Chemistry.xlsx ppm = parts per million TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons U = not detected VOCs = volatile organic compounds "-" = not tested

Table 4 - Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: TCLP VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property Portland, Oregon

Media ==>	Tar / Pitch		Tar - Viscous				
Sample Number ==>	2708-190513-CC	MP1	2708-190521-007				
Sample Date ==>	13-May-19		21-May-19				
Sample Depth (feet bos) ==>	47, 96, and 13	6	352 - 353				
	12-inch Casir		8-inch Casing				
Location		iy	8-IIICH Cashig				
	Analy	tical Resul	ts in mg/L (ppm)				
TCLP Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA M	ethod SW1311/82600	;					
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.03	U	0.03	U			
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.03	U	0.03	U			
1,1-Dichloropropene	0.05	Ū	0.05	U			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.03	U	0.03	U			
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.03	U	0.03	U			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.03	U	0.03	U			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.03	Ū	0.03	U			
1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.25	U	0.25	U			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.03	U	0.03	U			
1.2-Dichloroethane	0.03	 []	0.03	 11			
1 2-Dichloroethene cis-	0.05		0.05	<u>×.</u>			
1 2-Dichloroethene, trans-	0.00	····· ···	0.00	·····			
1 2-Dichloropropage	0.03	····· ··	0.03	····· ··			
	0.05		0.03	<u>U</u>			
	0.05	<u>U</u>	0.05				
	0.05		0.05	<u>v</u>			
1,2,4-I richlorobenzene	0.1	U	0.1	<u> </u>			
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.05	U	0.06				
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.03	U	0.03	U			
1,3-Dichloropropane	0.05	U	0.05	U			
1,3-Dichloropropene, cis-	0.05	U	0.05	U			
1,3-Dichloropropene, trans-	0.05	U	0.05	U			
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene (Mesitylene)	0.05	U	0.05	<u>U</u>			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.03	U	0.03	U			
2-Chlorotoluene	0.05	U	0.05	U			
2-Hexanone (Methyl butyl ketone)	0.5	U	0.5	U			
2,2-Dichloropropane	0.05	U	0.05	U			
4-Chlorotoluene	0.05	U	0.05	U			
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (Methyl isobutyl ketone)	0.5	U	0.5	U			
Acetone	1.	U	1.	U			
Benzene	0.72		3.15				
Bromobenzene	0.03	U	0.03	U			
Bromochloromethane	0.05	U	0.05	U			
Bromodichloromethane	0.05	U	0.05	U			
Bromoform (Tribromomethane)	0.05	U	0.05	U			
Bromomethane (Methyl bromide)	0.25	U	0.25	U			
Carbon tetrachloride (Tetrachloromethane)	0.05	U	0.05	U			
Chlorobenzene	0.03	U	0.03	U			
Chloroethane	0.25	u	0.25	. U			
Chloroform	0.05	 U	0,05	<u>.</u> IJ			
Chloromethane	0.25	 LJ	0,25	 LLI			
Cymene p- (4-Isopropyltoluene)	0.05		0.05	<u></u> .			
	0.00		0.05	<u>×</u>			
Disconnochioromethana	0.05	·····	0.05				
	0.05	·····	0.05				
	0.05	·····	0.05	U			
	0.3	U	0.25	U			
Ethylbenzene	0.13		0.38				
Ethylene dibromide (1,2-Dibromoethane)	0.03	U	0.03	<u>U</u>			
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	0.25	U	0.25	U			

Table 4 - Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: TCLP VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property Portland, Oregon

Media ==	> Tar / Pitch		Tar - Viscous				
Sample Number ==	> 2708-190513-CC	MP1	2708-190521-0	07			
Sample Date ==:	> 13-May-19		21-May-19				
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	47, 96, and 13	36	352 - 353				
Location ==>	> 12-inch Casir	ng	8-inch Casing]			
	Analy	tical Resul	lts in mg/L (ppm)				
TCLP Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA N	lethod SW1311/82600	5					
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	0.05	U	0.05	<u>U</u>			
m,p-Xylene	0.11		0.52				
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone)	0.5	U	0.5	U			
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.05	U	0.05	U			
n-Butylbenzene	0.05	U	0.05	U			
n-Propylbenzene	0.03	U	0.03	U			
Naphthalene	1.76	J	11.2				
o-Xylene	0.06		0.18				
sec-Butylbenzene	0.05	U	0.05	U			
Styrene	0.05	U	0.18				
tert-Butylbenzene	0.05	U	0.05	U			
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.03	<u>U</u>	0.03	U			
Toluene	0.26		1.56				
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.03	U	0.03	U			
Trichlorofluoromethane (Fluorotrichloromethane)	0.1	U	0.1	U			
Vinyl chloride	0.03	Ū.	0.03	11			

Vinyl chl Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

J = estimated concentration

mg/L = milligrams per liter

ppm = parts per million

TCLP = Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

U = not detected

VOCs = volatile organic compounds

Table 5 - Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: SPLP VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Media ==>	Tar - Viscou	JS		Tar - S	iolid	
Sample Number ==>	2708-190521-	007	2708-190522-0	011	2708-190523-0	13
Sample Date ==>	21-May-19)	22-May-19		23-May-19	
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	352 - 353		363 - 364		378 - 379	
Location ==>	8-inch Casir	na	Borehole		Borehole	
		<u>'9</u> I	addical Posults in r			
			nalytical Results in r	uâ\r (hhuù		
SPLP Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA	A Method SW1312	/8260C	0.00		2.005	
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.05	<u>U</u>	0.03		0.025	
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.05	·····	0.03		0.025	<u>-</u>
	0.1	·····	0.00	<u> </u>	0.05	·····
1,1,1-I richioroethane	0.05	·····	0.03	<u> </u>	0.025	<u>v</u>
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.05	<u>-</u>	0.03	<u>-</u>	0.025	<u>-</u>
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.05		0.03		0.025	
1,1,2,2-I etrachloroethane	0.05	·····	0.03	<u> </u>	0.025	<u>-</u>
1,2-Dibromo-3-chioropropane	0.5	·····	0.25		0.25	·····
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.05	····· ·	0.03		0.025	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.05	·····	0.03	U	0.025	·····
1,2-Dichloroethene, cis-	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U
1,2-Dichloroethene, trans-	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.2	U	0.1	U	0.1	U
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.2	U	0.1	U	0.1	U
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.1	<u>U</u>	0.05	U	0.05	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U
1,3-Dichloropropane	0.1	<u>U</u>	0.05	U	0.05	U
1,3-Dichloropropene, cis-	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
1,3-Dichloropropene, trans-	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene (Mesitylene)	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.1	<u>U</u>	0.05	U	0.05	U
2-Hexanone (Methyl butyl ketone)	1.	U	0.5	U	0.5	U
2,2-Dichloropropane	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (Methyl isobutyl ketone)	1.	U	0.5	U	0.5	U
Acetone	2.	Ų	1.	U	1	U
Benzene	3.4		1.17		2.42	
Bromobenzene	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U
Bromochloromethane	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	Ū
Bromodichloromethane	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Bromoform (Tribromomethane)	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Bromomethane (Methyl bromide)	0.5	U	0.25	U	0.25	U.
Carbon tetrachloride (Tetrachloromethane)	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Chlorobenzene	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	Ū
Chloroethane	0.5	U	0.25	U	0.25	U
Chloroform	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	0.25		0.25	U
Cymene, p- (4-Isopropyltoluene)	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Dibromochloromethane	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Dibromomethane	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	0.5	U	0.25	U	0.25	U
Ethylbenzene	0.31		0.21		0.196	
Ethylene dibromide (1,2-Dibromoethane)	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	Ū
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	0.5	U	0.25	U	0.25	U
sopropvlbenzene (Cumene)	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
m,p-Xylene	0.42		0.28		0.307	

Page 1 of 2 Updated by: BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 5 - Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: SPLP VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

r						
Media ==>	Tar - Visco	us		Solid		
Sample Number ==>	2708-190521-	-007	2708-190522-	011	2708-190523-01	3
Sample Date ==>	21-May-19	9	22-May-19)	23-May-19	
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	352 - 353	•	363 - 364		378 - 379	
Location ==>	8-inch Casi	ng	Borehole		Borehole	
		A	nalytical Results in	mg/L (ppm)	
SPLP Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EP/	A Method SW1312	2/8260C				
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone)	1	U	0.5	U	0.5	U.
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
n-Butylbenzene	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
n-Propylbenzene	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U
Naphthalene	13.9		9.71		6.62	
o-Xylene	0.14		0.09		0.106	
sec-Butylbenzene	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Styrene	0.14		0.08		0.107	
tert-Butylbenzene	0.1	U	0.05	U	0.05	U
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U
Toluene	1.46		0.72		1.09	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U
Trichlorofluoromethane (Fluorotrichloromethane)	0.2	U	0.1	U	0.1	U
Vinyl chloride	0.05	U	0.03	U	0.025	U

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

mg/L = milligrams per liter

ppm = parts per million

SPLP = Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure

U = not detected

VOCs = volatile organic compounds

Table 6 - Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: SVOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Media ==>	Tar / Pitch		Tar - Viscous			Tar - Solid	
Sample Number ==>	2708-190513-COMP1	2708-190515-005	2708-190520-006	2708-190521-007	2708-190522-011	2708-190523-013	2708-190524-014
Sample Date ==>	13-May-19	15-May-19	20-May-19	21-May-19	22-May-19	23-May-19	24-May-19
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	47, 96, and 136	298 - 299	318 - 319	352 - 353	363 - 364	378 - 379	381 - 382
Location ==>	12-inch Casing	12-inch Casing	8-inch Casing	8-inch Casing	Borehole	Borehole	Borehole
		Ū	Anal	vtical Results in ma/ka (r	() ()	;	
				yildan Kesuits in hig/kg (j	, piny		
SVOCs by EPA Method 8270D							
1-Methylnaphthalene	1,720. U	2,000. U	6,420.	0.58	2,960.	2,450.	
1.2-Diciliolopenzene	21 600 11	25.000 U	19600. U	5 11		-	······
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	2.160. U	2.500. U	1.960. U	0.5 U	-	-	-
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 UJ	-	-	-
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	21,600. U	25,000. U	19,600. U	5. U	-	-	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 UJ		-	-
1,4-Dinitrobenzene	21,600. U	25,000. U	19,600. U	5. U		-	
2-Chloronaphthalene	863. U	1,000. U	785. U	0.2 U	······	-	······
2-Chlorophenol	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	<u>1.</u> U	-	-	-
2-Methylaberal (o-Crecol)	2 160	2 500 U	1960 11	8.84	5,650.	4,560.	220.
2-Nitroaniline	17.200. U	20.000. U	15.700. U	4. U	-	-	-
2-Nitrophenol	8,630. U	10,000. U	7,850. U	2. U	-	-	-
2,2'-Oxybis (1-chloropropane)	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U	-	-	-
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	1. U	.	-	.
2,3,5,6-Tetrachlorophenol	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	<u>1. U</u>			
2,4-Dichlorophenol	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	1. U			
2,4-Dimethylphenol	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	2.93	·····	······	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	21,600. U	25,000. U	19,600. U	5. U	-	-	-
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	8,630. U	10,000. U	7,850. U	2. U		-	-
2.4.5-1 nchlorophenol	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	<u>1. U</u> 1 U	······		······
2.6-Dinitrotoluene	8,630 U	4,990. U	7,910. U	2 1		-	-
3-Methylphenol & 4-Methylphenol (m&p-Cresol)	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	23.9	-	-	-
3-Nitroaniline	17,200. U	20,000. U	15,700. U	4. U	-	-	-
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	17,300. U	20,000. U	15,700. U	-	-	-	-
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U		-	-
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	8,630. U	10,000. U	7,850. U	2. U		-	
4-Chloroaniline	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U			
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U		-	-
4-Nitrophenol	8 630 U	10.000	7 850	<u>4.</u> 2 II			
4 6-Dichloro-2-methylohenol	21 600 U	25,000 U	19.600 U	5 U	-	-	-
Acenaphthene	880. J	7,000. J	22,600.	0.86	9,320.	7,180.	349.
Acenaphthylene	863. U	1,000. U	785. U	0.2 U	877. U	862. U	8.36
Aniline	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	7.23		-	
Anthracene	2,050.	5,190.	11,700.	0.2 U	6,230.	4,900.	206.
Azobenzene	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U		.	······
Benzo(a)anthracene	7,230.	7,140.	6,200.	0.2 U	5,750.	4,340.	157.
Benzo(a)pyrene	9,030.	8,540. 0 790	6,980. 7 100	0.3 U	6,830. 7.020	5,030.	185.
Benzo(g.h.i)pervlene	6.990.	6.470.	4.560.	0.2 U	4.250.	3,200.	101.
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	3,740.	3,610.	2,850.	0.3 U	2,840.	2,130.	67.3
Benzoic acid	108,000. U	125,000. U	97,900. U	20. U	-	-	-
Benzyl alcohol	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	2. U			
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U			.
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U			.
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate	21,600. U	25,000. U	19,600. U	5. U			
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	12,900. U	15,000. U	11,800. U	4. U	·····-	.	
puyidenzyl primalate Carbazole	2 280	3 270	7,800. U	4. U			
Chrysene	7,850.	7,880.	6,140.	0.2 U	5,980.	4,500.	142.
ut	a				••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		

Page 1 of 2 Updated by: BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 6 - Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: SVOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning NW Natural, Gasco Property Portland, Oregon

Media ==>	⇒ Tar / Pitch Tar - Viscous Tar - Solid								
Sample Number ==>	2708-190513-COMP1	2708-190515-005	2708-190520-006	2708-190521-007	2708-190522-011	2708-190523-013	2708-190524-014		
Sample Date ==>	13-May-19	15-May-19	20-May-19	21-May-19	22-May-19	23-May-19	24-May-19		
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	47, 96, and 136	298 - 299	318 - 319	352 - 353	363 - 364	378 - 379	381 - 382		
Location ==>	12-inch Casing	12-inch Casing	8-inch Casing	8-inch Casing	Borehole	Borehole	Borehole		
			Anal) ytical Results in mg/kg (opm)				
SVOCs by EPA Method 8270D									
Di-n-butyl phthalate	8,630. U	10,000. U	7,850. U	4. U	-	-	-		
Di-n-octyl phthalate	8,630. U	10,000. U	7,850. U	4. U	-	-	-		
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	973.	1,000. U	785. U	0.2 U	904.	862. U	15.9		
Dibenzofuran	863. U	3,350.	12,500.	0.39	5,590.	4,230.	217.		
Diethyl phthalate	8,630. U	10,000. U	7,850. U	4. U	-	-	-		
Dimethyl phthalate	8,630. U	10,000. U	7,850. U	4. U	9	-	-		
Fluoranthene	18,700.	22,000.	27,500.	0.2 U	19,300.	14,800.	1,180.		
Fluorene	863. U	3,560.	11,600.	0.21	5,240.	4,120.	207.		
Hexachlorobenzene	863. U	1,000. U	785. U	0.2 U	-	-	-		
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 UJ	-	-	-		
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	1. UJ	<u>-</u>	-	-		
Hexachloroethane	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 UJ	-	-	-		
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	6,560.	6,480.	4,470.	0.2 U	4,670.	3,330.	106.		
Isophorone	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U	-	-	-		
n-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U	-	-	-		
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U	-	-	-		
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	2,160. U	2,500. U	1,960. U	0.5 U	-	-	-		
Naphthalene	1,720. U	11,200. J	36,900.	9.36	16,200. J	12,700. J	1,190.		
Nitrobenzene	8,630. U	10,000. U	7,850. U	2. U	-	-	-		
Pentachlorophenol	8,630. U	10,000. U	7,850. U	2. U	-	-	-		
Phenanthrene	8,820.	19,300.	42,000.	0.27	20,600.	16,000.	1,470.		
Phenol	1,720. U	2,000. U	1,570. U	16.4 J		-			
Pyrene	18,500.	20,500.	23,400.	0.2 U	18,100.	13,900.	1,070.		
Pyridine	4,300. U	4,990. U	3,910. U	2.31	-	-	-		

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

J = estimated concentration

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

ppm = parts per million SVOCs = semivolatile organic compounds U = not detected "-" = not tested

Table 7 - Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: SPLP SVOCs and PAHs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i										
Media ==>	Tar - Visco	ous	Tar - Solid							
Sample Number ==>	2708-190521	-007	2708-190522-	011	2708-190523-0	013				
Sample Date ==>	21-May-1	19	22-May-1	9	23-May-19					
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	352 - 353	3	363 - 364		378 - 379					
Location ==>	8-inch Cas	ing	Borehole		Borehole					
		A	nalvtical Results in	mg/L (ppm	1)					
					,					
SPLP Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)	by EPA Method	SW1312/8	270D		0.0	17				
	0.2		0.2	<u>U</u>	0.2	<u>u</u>				
Di-n-butyl phthalate	4.	U								
Di-n-octyl phthalate	4.	U			-					
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.2	U	0.2	U	0.2	U				
Dibenzofuran	0.39		0.361		0.396					
Diethyl phthalate	4.	<u>U</u>								
Dimethyl phthalate	4.	U	-		-					
Fluoranthene	0.2	U	0.2	<u> </u>	0.2	U				
Fluorene	0.21		0.23		0.248					
Hexachlorobenzene	0.2	U		}	-					
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	0.5	UJ	-	}	-					
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	1.	UJ			-					
Hexachloroethane	0.5	ŪJ	<u> </u>	1						
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	0.2	U	0.2	U	0.2	U				
Isophorone	0.5	U	-		-					
n-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	0.5	U	-							
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	0.5	U			.					
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.5	U	-	1	-					
Naphthalene	9.36		9.95	1	10.7					
Nitrobenzene	2.	U	-	1	-					
Pentachlorophenol	2.	U	-	1	-					
Phenanthrene	0.27		0.27	1	0.296					
Phenol	16.4	J								
Pyrene	0.2	U	0.2	U }	0.2	U				
Pyridine	2.31		-	1	ч. Ч					

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

J = estimated concentration

mg/L = milligrams per liter

ppm = parts per million

SPLP = Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure

SVOCs = semivolatile organic compounds

U = not detected

"-" = not tested

Table 8 - Summary of Analytical Results for Removed Solids: Metals and Cyanide

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Media ==>	Tar / Pitch				Tar - Visc	ous			Tar - Solid			
Sample Number ==>	2708-190513-C	2708-190515-005		2708-190520-006		2708-190521-007		2708-190524-014				
Sample Date ==>	13-May-19)	15-May-19		20-May-19		21-May-19		24-May-19			
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	47, 96, and 1	36	298 - 29	9	318 - 319		352 - 353		381 - 382			
Location ==>	12-inch Casi	ng	12-inch Ca	sing	8-inch Casir	ıg	8-inch Casir	1g	Borehole			
					Analytical Results	in mg/kg	(ppm)					
Metals by EPA Method 6020A												
Aluminum	1,690.	J	954.	J	55.6	U	238.	Ū	7,540.	J		
Antimony	1.04	U	1.08	U	1.11	U	4.76	U	0.99	U		
Arsenic	1.66		3.03		1.11	U	4.76	U	2.63	J		
Barium	20.5		10.2		2.27	J	4.76	U	94.6	J		
Beryllium	0.21		0.22	U	0.22	U	0.95	U	0.51	J		
Cadmium	0.35		0.25		0.37		0.95	U	0.55	J		
Calcium	559.		488.	J	111.	U	476.	U	3,840.			
Chromium	2.83		2.47		1.11	U	4.76	U	2.14			
Copper	10.9	J	10.4		1.78		4.76	U	9.88			
Iron	30,800.		36,900.		1,250.	J	1,130.	J	43,800.	J		
Lead	26.8		18.3		27.9		13.1	J	6.19			
Magnesium	82.2		145.		55.6	U	238.	U	1,400.			
Manganese	363.		211.		8.74		16.7		323.			
Mercury	0.08	U	0.09	U	0.09	U	0.38	U	0.08	U		
Nickel	7.86	J	8.31		1.11	UJ	4.76	U	4.06	J		
Potassium	104.	U	108.	U	111.	U	476.	U	466.			
Selenium	1.04	U	1.08	U	1.11	U	4.76	U	0.99	U		
Silver	0.21	U	0.22	U	0.22	U	0.95	U	0.2	U		
Sodium	104.	U	108.	U	160.		476.	U	231.			
Thallium	0.21	U	0.22	U	0.22	U	0.95	U	0.2	U		
Vanadium	16.3		43.9	J	1.16		4.76	U	83.2	J		
Zinc	71.3	J	42.8	J	35.	J	19.	U	40.1	J		
Total Cyanide by ASTM D7511-12												
Total Cyanide	14.5		7.16		0.846	J	-		1.28			

Notes:

ASTM = American Society for Testing and Materials

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

J = estimated concentration

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

ppm = parts per million

U = not detected

"-" = not tested

Table 9 - Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: TPH and VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Pumping
Sample Number ==>	2708-190619-OIL
Sample Date ==>	19-Jun-19
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	Upper 40 Feet of Water Column
	Analytical Results in ug/kg (ppb)
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons by NW Method	
Gasoline-Range TPH	412,000,000.
Diesel-Range TPH	681,000,000.
Oil-Range TPH	333,000,000. U
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA Meth	nod 8260C
1,1-Dichloroethane	53,200. U
1.1-Dichloroethene	53,200. U
1,1-Dichloropropene	106,000. U
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	53.200. U
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	53,200. U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	53,200. U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	106,000. U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	532,000. U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	53,200. U
1,2-Dichloroethane	53,200. U
1,2-Dichloroethene, cis-	53,200. U
1,2-Dichloroethene, trans-	53,200. U
1,2-Dichloropropane	53,200. U
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	532,000. U
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	106,000. U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	532,000. U
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2,740,000.
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	53,200. U
1,3-Dichloropropane	106,000. U
1,3-Dichloropropene, cis-	106,000. U
1,3-Dichloropropene, trans-	106,000. U
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene (Mesitylene)	1,030,000.
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	53,200. U
2-Chlorotoluene	106,000. U
2-Hexanone (Methyl butyl ketone)	1,060,000. U
2,2-Dichloropropane	106,000. U
4-Chlorotoluene	106,000. U

Table 9 - Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: TPH and VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Pumping					
Sample Number ==>	2708-190619-OIL					
Sample Date ==>	19-Jun-19					
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	Upper 40 Feet of Water Column					
	Analytical Results in ug/kg (ppb)					
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA Meth						
Acetone	2 130 000					
Acrylonitrilo	213 000					
Ponzono	10 500 000					
Dremehenzene	53 200 U					
	<u> </u>					
	106,000.					
Bromodicnioromethane	106,000. U					
Bromoform (Tribromomethane)	213,000. U					
Bromomethane (Methyl bromide)	1,060,000. UJ					
Carbon disulfide	1,060,000. U					
Carbon tetrachloride (Tetrachloromethane)	106,000. U					
Chlorobenzene	53,200. U					
Chloroethane	1,060,000. U					
Chloroform	106,000. U					
Chloromethane	532,000. U					
Cymene, p- (4-Isopropyltoluene)	106,000. U					
Dibromochloromethane	213,000. U					
Dibromomethane	106,000. U					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	213,000. U					
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	532,000. U					
Ethylbenzene	891,000.					
Ethylene dibromide (1,2-Dibromoethane)	106,000. U					
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	213,000. U					
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	181,000.					
m,p-Xylene	5,720,000.					
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone)	1,060,000. U					
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	106,000. U					
n-Butylbenzene	106,000. U					
n-Propylbenzene	140,000.					
Naphthalene	140,000,000.					
o-Xylene	2,480,000.					
sec-Butylbenzene	614,000.					

Table 9 - Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: TPH and VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Pumping					
Sample Number ==>	2708-190619-OIL					
Sample Date ==>						
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	Upper 40 Feet of Water Column					
	Analytical Results in ug/kg (ppb)					
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA Meth	od 8260C					
Styrene	2,710,000.					
tert-Butylbenzene	106,000. U					
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	53,200. U					
Toluene	9,020,000.					
Trichloroethene (TCE)	53,200. U					
Trichlorofluoromethane (Fluorotrichloromethane)	213,000. U					
Vinyl chloride	53,200. U					

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

J = estimated concentration

NW = Northwest Method

ppb = parts per billion

TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons

U = not detected

ug/kg = micrograms per kilogram

VOCs = volatile organic compounds

Table 10 - Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: SVOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Pumping				
Sample Number ==>	2708-190619-OIL				
Sample Date ==>	19-Jun-19				
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	Upper 40 Feet of Water Column				
	Analytical Results in ug/kg (ppb)				
SVOCs by EPA Method 8270D					
1-Methylnaphthalene	21,800,000.				
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2,270,000. U				
1,2-Dinitrobenzene	22,700,000. U				
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2,270,000. U				
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2,270,000. U				
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	22,700,000. U				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2,270,000. U				
1,4-Dinitrobenzene	22,700,000. U				
2-Chloronaphthalene	909,000. U				
2-Chlorophenol	4,550,000. U				
2-Methylnaphthalene	43,900,000.				
2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)	2,270,000. U				
2-Nitroaniline	18,200,000. U				
2-Nitrophenol	9,090,000. U				
2,2'-Oxybis (1-chloropropane)	2,270,000. U				
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	4,550,000. U				
2,3,5,6-Tetrachlorophenol	4,550,000. U				
2,4-Dichlorophenol	4,550,000. U				
2,4-Dimethylphenol	4,550,000. U				
2,4-Dinitrophenol	22,700,000. U				
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	9,090,000. U				
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	4,550,000. U				
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	4,550,000. U				
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	9,090,000. U				
3-Methylphenol & 4-Methylphenol (m&p-Cresol)	2,270,000. U				
3-Nitroaniline	18,200,000. U				

Table 10 - Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: SVOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Pumping				
Sample Number ==>	2708-190619-OIL				
Sample Date ==>	19-Jun-19				
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	Upper 40 Feet of Water Column				
	Analytical Results in ug/kg (ppb)				
SVOCs by FPA Method 8270D					
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	18,200,000. U				
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	2,270,000. U				
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	9,090,000. U				
4-Chloroaniline	2,270,000. U				
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2,270,000. U				
4-Nitroaniline	18,200,000. U				
4-Nitrophenol	9,090,000. U				
4,6-Dichloro-2-methylphenol	22,700,000. U				
Acenaphthene	3,470,000.				
Acenaphthylene	6,520,000.				
Aniline	4,550,000. U				
Anthracene	3,280,000.				
Azobenzene	2,270,000. U				
Benzo(a)anthracene	1,870,000.				
Benzo(a)pyrene	1,940,000.				
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1,620,000.				
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1,420,000.				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1,360,000. U				
Benzoic acid	114,000,000. U				
Benzyl alcohol	4,550,000. U				
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2,270,000. U				
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2,270,000. U				
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate	22,700,000. U				
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	18,200,000. U				
Butylbenzyl phthalate	18,200,000. U				
Carbazole	1,360,000. U				
Chrysene	2,320,000.				

Table 10 - Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: SVOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Pumping				
Sample Number ==>	2708-190619-OIL				
Sample Date ==>	19-Jun-19				
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	Upper 40 Feet of Water Column				
	Analytical Results in ug/kg (ppb)				
SVOCs by EPA Method 8270D					
Di-n-butyl phthalate	4,550,000. U				
Di-n-octyl phthalate	18,200,000. U				
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	909,000. U				
Dibenzofuran	2,000,000.				
Diethyl phthalate	4,550,000. U				
Dimethyl phthalate	4,550,000. U				
Fluoranthene	7,220,000.				
Fluorene	6,690,000.				
Hexachlorobenzene	909,000. U				
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	2,270,000. U				
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	4,550,000. U				
Hexachloroethane	2,270,000. U				
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	1,160,000.				
Isophorone	2,270,000. U				
n-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	2,270,000. U				
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	2,270,000. U				
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	2,270,000. U				
Naphthalene	131,000,000.				
Nitrobenzene	9,090,000. U				
Pentachlorophenol	9,090,000. U				
Phenanthrene	25,500,000.				
Phenol	1,820,000. U				
Pyrene	8,710,000.				
Pyridine	4,550,000. U				

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface **bold** = detected concentration EPA = Environmental Protection Agency ppb = parts per billion SVOCs = semivolatile organic compounds U = not detected ug/kg = micrograms per kilogram

Table 11 - Summary of Analytical Results for Oil Blebs: Metals

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Pumping				
Sample Number ==>	2708-190619-OIL				
Sample Date ==>	19-Jun-19				
Sample Depth (feet bgs) ==>	Upper 40 Feet of Water Column				
	Analytical Results in ug/L (ppb)				
Metals by EPA Method 6020A					
Aluminum					
Antimony	2.21 U				
Arsenic	4.42 U				
Barium	4.42 U				
Beryllium	0.44 U				
Cadmium	0.89 U				
Calcium	U				
Chromium	4.42 U				
Copper	2.21 U				
Iron	161.				
Lead	1.21				
Magnesium					
Manganese	2.72				
Mercury	0.35 U				
Nickel	2.21 U				
Potassium	U				
Selenium	4.42 U				
Silver	0.89 U				
Sodium	U				
Thallium	0.44 U				
Vanadium	2.21 U				
Zinc	10.1				

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

ppb = parts per billion

U = not detected

ug/L = micrograms per liter

Table 13 - Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: TPH and VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Initial			MULT 802 Purg	MULT 802 Purging Event No. 4							
Sample Number ==>	2708-190614- MULT802-100	2708-190617- MULT802-101	2708-190617- MULT802-102	2708-190617- MULT802-103	2708-190617- MULT802-103D	2708-190617- MULT802-104	2708-190617- MULT802-105	2708-190617- MULT802-106	2708-190620- MULT802-107	2708-190620- MULT802-108	2708-190626- MULT802-109	
Sample Date ==>	14-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	20-Jun-19	20-Jun-19	26-Jun-19	
Depth to Water (feet bgs) ==>	21.4	44.8	47.1	50.9	50.9	53.6	56.0	56.7	30.9	50.0	58.0	
Pump Intake Depth (feet bgs) ==>	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	
Gallons Removed Prior to Sample Collection ==>	400	1.000	5.000	10.000	10.000	20.000	40.000	70.000	400	10.000	50.000	
			:	, v	Anal	vtical Results in ug/Li	(ppb)	}		<u>}</u>		
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons by NW Method												
Gasoline-Range TPH	165,000.	141,000.	25,700.	14,600.	13,000.	8,090.	27,300.	5,500.	-	-	6,450.	
Diesel-Range TPH	33,500.	25,300.	74,600.	13,600.	13,600.	8,920.	29,600.	6,360.	-	-	7,600.	
Oil-Range TPH	22,200. J	3,020.	54,100.	9,210.	9,850.	3,370.	25,500.	4,850.	-	-	1,540.	
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA Meth	nod 8260C	• •		• •	3	· · ·	<u>s</u> 2	, <u>,</u>		F		
1,1-Dichloroethane	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	40. U	4. U	4. U	
1,1-Dichloroethene	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	40. U	4. U	4. U	
1,1-Dichloropropene	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	40. U	4. U	4. U	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	40. U	4. U	4. U	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	500. U	50. U	50. U	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	<u>5. U</u>	50. U	<u>5. U</u>	5. U	
1.2-Dichloroethane	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	40. U	4. U	4. U	
1,2-Dichloroethene, cis-	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	40. U	4. U	4. U	
1,2-Dichloroethene, trans-	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	40. U	4. U	4. U	
1,2-Dichloropropane	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	200. U	20. U	20. U	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U	
1,2,4- I richlorobenzene	20. 0	20. 0	20. U	20. U	20. 0	20. 0	20. 0	20. U	200. U	20. 0	20. 0	
1,2,4- I rimethylbenzene	201.	275.	96.8	27.8	21.9	15.2	95.9	<u>10.</u> U	100. U	12.7	24.8	
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	<u>5.</u> U	<u>5.</u> U	5. U	<u>5.</u> U	5. U	<u>5.</u> U	<u>5.</u> U	<u>5.</u> U	<u>50.</u> U	<u>5.</u> U	5. U	
1.3-Dichloropropane	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. 0	
1.3-Dichloropropene, cis-	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. 0	
1 3 5-Trimethylbenzene (Mesitylene)	61.8	87.6	10. U	10. 0	10. U	10. U	32.6	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U	
14-Dichlorobenzene	5 11	5 11	5 11	5 11	5 11	5 11	5 11	5 11	50 LI	5 11	5 11	
2-Chlorotoluene	10 11	10 11	10 11	<u> </u>	10 11	10 U	10 U	10 11	100 11	10 U	10 11	
2-Hexanone (Methyl butyl ketone)	100 U	100 U	100 U	100 U	100 U	100 U	100 U	100 U	1.000 U	100 U	100 U	
2.2-Dichloropropane	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U	
4-Chlorotoluene	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U	
»J	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	*****	•••••••	******	******	******	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		

Page 1 of 3 Updated by: BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 13 - Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: TPH and VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Initial	MULT 802 Purging Event No. 1 MULT 802 Purging Event									MULT 802 Purging Event No. 4
Sample Number ==>	2708-190614- MULT802-100	2708-190617- MULT802-101	2708-190617- MULT802-102	2708-190617- MULT802-103	2708-190617- MULT802-103D	2708-190617- MULT802-104	2708-190617- MULT802-105	2708-190617- MULT802-106	2708-190620- MULT802-107	2708-190620- MULT802-108	2708-190626- MULT802-109
Sample Date ==>	14-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	20-Jun-19	20-Jun-19	26-Jun-19
Depth to Water (feet bgs) ==>	21.4	44.8	47.1	50.9	50.9	53.6	56.0	56.7	30.9	50.0	58.0
Pump Intake Depth (feet bos) ==>	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129
Callege Removed Prior to Sample Callegtion	400	1 000	5 000	10.000	10.000	20.000	40.000	70.000	400	10.000	50.000
Galions Removed Phor to Sample Collection>	400	1,000	5,000	: 10,000	10,000	20,000	40,000	10,000	400	10,000	50,000
Г					Anal	ytical Results in ug/L	(ppb)				
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA Meth	od 8260C		-		5	5	•	5	-	c	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (Methyl isobutyl ketone)	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	1,000. U	100. U	100. U
Acetone	200. U	200. U	200. U	238.	204.	200. U	251.	200. U	2,000. U	200. U	200. U
Acrylonitrile	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	200. U	20. U	20. U
Benzene	33,900.	28,400.	2,070.	1,210.	1,100.	626.	1,930.	441.	4,590.	451.	365.
Bromobenzene	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U
Bromochloromethane	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Bromodichloromethane	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Bromoform (Tribromomethane)	40. U	40. U	40. U	40. U	40. U	40. U	40. U	40. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Bromomethane (Methyl bromide)	50. UJ	50. UJ	50. UJ	50. UJ	50. UJ	50. UJ	50. UJ	50. UJ	500. UJ	50. UJ	50. U
Carbon disulfide	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	1,000. U	100. U	100. U
Carbon tetrachloride (Tetrachloromethane)	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Chlorobenzene	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U
Chloroethane	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	500. U	50. U	50. U
Chloroform	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Chloromethane	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	500. U	50. U	50. UJ
Cymene, p- (4-lsopropyltoluene)	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Dibromochloromethane	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Dibromomethane	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	30. UJ	30. U	30. U	30. U	30. U	30. U	30. U	30. U	300. U	30. U	30. U
Ethylbenzene	267.	302.	117.	46.4	43.3	25.4	59.3	15.7	79.8	21.9	19.6
Ethylene dibromide (1,2-Dibromoethane)	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	50. U	500. U	50. U	50. U
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	18.	24.3	10.6	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
m,p-Xylene	1,250.	1,350.	362.	118.	96.4	58.6	281.	35.6	270.	45.5	67.
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone)	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	100. U	1,000. U	100. U	100. U
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
n-Butylbenzene	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
n-Propylbenzene	7.9	11.1	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U
Naphthalene	11,900.	11,200.	5,480.	2,580.	2,290.	1,780.	2,860.	1,160.	4,320.	1,320.	1,520.
o-Xylene	579.	613.	152.	47.3	38.4	23.4	117.	14.5	110.	18.2	26.5
sec-Butylbenzene	15.5	27.7	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	15.2	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Styrene	690.	699.	132.	40.9	32.8	18.3	113.	11.1	108.	14.6	23.7
tert-Butylbenzene	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	100. U	10. U	10. U
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	4 U	4 U	4 1	4 U	4 U	4 11	4 U	4 U	40 U	4 U	4 U
¥~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	H	+	***************************************	·····	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	/~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	***************************************	***************************************			+

Table 13 - Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: TPH and VOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Initial		MULT 802 Purging Event No. 1								
Sample Number ==>	2708-190614- MULT802-100	2708-190617- MULT802-101	2708-190617- MULT802-102	2708-190617- MULT802-103	2708-190617- MULT802-103D	2708-190617- MULT802-104	2708-190617- MULT802-105	2708-190617- MULT802-106	2708-190620- MULT802-107	2708-190620 MULT802-10	
Sample Date ==>	14-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	20-Jun-19	20-Jun-19	
Depth to Water (feet bgs) ==>	21.4	44.8	47.1	50.9	50.9	53.6	56.0	56.7	30.9	50.0	
Pump Intake Depth (feet bgs) ==>	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	
Gallons Removed Prior to Sample Collection ==>	400	1,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	40,000	70,000	400	10,000	
	Analytical Results in ug/L (ppb)										

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA Method 8260C											
Toluene	7,090.	5,530.	943.	346.	296.	170.	697.	108.	1,120.	127.	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	40. U	4.	
Trichlorofluoromethane (Fluorotrichloromethane)	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	200. U	20.	
Vinyl chloride	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	4. U	40. U	4.	

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

J = estimated concentration

NW = Northwest Method

ppb = parts per billion

TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons U = not detected ug/L = micrograms per liter VOCs = volatile organic compounds "-" = not tested

	MULT 802 Purging Event No. 4											
8	2708-190626- MULT802-109											
	26-Jun-19											
	58.0											
	129											
	50,000											
	156.											
U	4. U											
U	20. U											
U	4. U											

Page 3 of 3 Updated by: BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 14 - Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: SVOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 80	2 Initial	ial MULT 802 Purging Event No. 1										MULT 802 P Event N	vurging				
Sample Number ==>	2708-19 MULT80	90614- 02-100	2708-19 MULT80	0617- 2-101	2708-19 MULT80	0617-)2-102	2708-190 MULT802)617- 2-103	2708-190 MULT802)617- -103D	2708-19 MULT80)617- 2-104	2708-19 MULT80	0617- 02-105	2708-190 MULT80)617- 2-106	2708-1900 MULT802	626- 2-109
Sample Date ==>	14-Ju	n-19	17-Jur	า-19	17-Jur	า-19	17-Jun	-19	17-Jun	-19	17-Jur	1-19	17-Jur	า-19	17-Jun	-19	26-Jun-	-19
Depth to Water (feet bgs) ==>	21.	.4	44.8		47.1		50.9		50.9		53.6		56.0		56.7		58.0	
Pump Intake Depth (feet bos) ==>	et bas) ==> 129		129		129		129		129		129		129		129		129	
Gallons Removed Prior to Sample Collection ==>	40	0	1.00		5.00		10.00	0	10.00		20.0		40.0		70.00		50.00	 0
		-	.,															
								ΑΠαι		lo in ug	/E (ppb)							
SVOCs by EPA Method 8270D					1		i		1		}							
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine			1,050.	U	5,260.	U	952.	U	510.	<u>U</u>	1,030.	U	476.	U	490.	U		
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	<u> </u>
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	100.	U	211.	U	1,050.	U	190.	U	102.	<u> U </u>	206.	<u> </u>	95.2	U	98.	<u> U</u>	24.	<u>U</u>
4-Chloroaniline	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	<u> </u>	25.5	<u>U</u>	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
4-Nitroaniline	100.	U	421.	U	2,110.	U	381.	U	204.	U	412.	U	190.	U	196.	U	24	U
4-Nitrophenol	200.	U	211.	U	1,050.	U	190.	U	102.	U	206.	U	95.2	U	98.	U	48.1	U
4,6-Dichloro-2-methylphenol	200.	U	526.	U	2,630.	U	476.	U	255.	U	515.	U	238.	U	245.	U	48.1	U
Acenaphthene	137.		228.		6,760.		470.		454.		204.		1,440.		360.		84.	
Acenaphthylene	145.		123.		603.		57.7	J	36.	J	22.		92.9		41.6		29.6	
Aniline	601.		865.		526.	U	95.2	U	51.	U	103.	U	47.6	U	49.	U	24.	<u>U</u>
Anthracene	100.	U	61.7		4,910.		272.		246.		89.5		925.		220.		39.7	
Azobenzene	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
Benzo(a)anthracene	100.	U	39.2		6,520.		312.		304.		92.9		1,350.		272.		25.1	
Benzo(a)pyrene	100.	U	38.1		9,590.		452.		437.		116.		2,000.		323.		29.1	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	100.	U	34.1		10,400.		492.		485.		128.		2,210.		357.		26.4	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100.	U	22.5		5,700.		272.		257.		75.4		1,130.		238.		24.	U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	100.	U	31.6	U	3,690.		192.		165.		49.3		825.		123.		24.	U
Benzoic acid	1,740.		3,540.		13,200.	U	2,380.	U	1,280.	U	2,580.	U	1,190.	U	1,230.	U	120.	U
Benzyl alcohol	100.	U	211.	U	1,050.	U	190.	U	102.	U	206.	U	95.2	U	98.	U	24.	U
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate	100.	U	526.	U	2,630.	U	476.	U	255.	U	515.	U	238.	U	245.	U	24.	U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	200.	U	421.	U	2,110.	U	381.	U	204.	U	412.	U	190.	U	196.	U	48.1	U
Butylbenzyl phthalate	200.	U	421.	U	2,110.	U	381.	U	204.	U	412.	U	190.	U	196.	U	48.1	U
Carbazole	199.		272.		3,360.		245.		237.		105.		718.		192.		43.7	
Chrysene	100.	U	38.		7,010.		361.		343.		106.		1,490.		282.		28.6	
Di-n-butyl phthalate	200.	U	421.	U	2,110.	U	381.	U	204.	U	412.	U	190.	U	196.	U	48.1	U
Di-n-octyl phthalate	200.	U	421.	U	2,110.	U	381.	U	204.	U	412.	U	190.	U	196.	U	48.1	U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	100.	U	21.1	U	766.		35.2		35.7		20.6	U	175.		33.3		24.	U

MULT 802 Well Decommissioning

NW Natural Gasco Site, Portland, Oregon

File: 13 to 16 Groundwater Testing Results_Chemistry.xlsx

Page 2 of 3 Updated by: BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 14 - Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: SVOCs

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 80	2 Initial	al MULT 802 Purging Event No. 1											MULT 802 P Event N	urging o. 4			
Sample Number ==>	2708-19 MULT8	90614- 02-100	2708-190 MULT80)617- 2-101	2708-19 MULT80	0617-)2-102	2708-19 MULT80	0617-)2-103	2708-19 MULT802	0617- 2-103D	2708-190 MULT80	0617- 2-104	2708-19 MULT80	0617- 2-105	2708-19 MULT80	0617-)2-106	2708-1906 MULT802	526- -109
Sample Date ==>	14-Ju	n-19	17-Jur	-19	17-Ju	n-19	17-Ju	n-19	17-Ju	n-19	17-Jur	า-19	17-Jur	า-19	17-Ju	n-19	26-Jun-	19
Depth to Water (feet bgs) ==>	21	.4	44.8	3	47.	.1	50.	9	50.	.9	53.0	6	56.	0	56.	.7	58.0	
Pump Intake Depth (feet bgs) ==>	12		129)	12	9	129	9	12	9	129		129	 9	12	9	129	
Gallons Removed Prior to Sample Collection ==>	40	0	1,00	0	5,00		10,00		10,0	00	20,00		40,0		70,0		50,000	 D
			Analytical Results in ug/L (ppb)															
									-									
SVOCs by EPA Method 8270D	100		407		2 000		057		050		400		000		200		45.0	
Dibenzoturan	100.	<u>U</u>	107.		3,900.		257.		252.		108.		829.		209.		45.8	
Dietnyi prinalate	200.	U	421.	U	2,110.	U	381.	<u>U</u>	204.	U	412.	U	190.	<u>U</u>	196.		48.1	U
	200.	U	421.	U	2,110.	U	381.	U	204.	U	412.	U	190.	U	196.	<u> </u>	48.1	<u>U</u>
	120.		143.		19,600.		1,000.		941.		303.		3,750.		744.		84.8	
	119.		145.		4,290.		268.		255.		110.		852.		210.		63.7	
Hexachlorobenzene	100.	U	21.1	U	105.	U	19.	U	10.2	U	20.6	U	9.52	U	9.8	U	24.	U
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	100.	U	105.	U	526.	U	95.2	U	51.	U	103.	U	47.6	U	49.	U	24.	U
Hexachloroethane	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	100.	U	24.7		5,530.		269.		255.		80.4		1,140.		219.		24.	U
Isophorone	100.	<u>U</u>	52.6	U	263.	<u>U</u>	47.6	U	25.5	<u>U</u>	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
n-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	100.	U	52.6	U	263.	U	47.6	U	25.5	U	51.5	U	23.8	U	24.5	U	24.	U
Naphthalene	6,470.		7,050.		22,700.		2,610.		2,120.		1,250.		4,750.		1,450.		24.	U
Nitrobenzene	100.	U	211.	U	1,050.	U	190.	U	102.	U	206.	U	95.2	U	98.	U	24.	U
Pentachlorophenol	200.	U	211.	U	1,050.	U	190.	U	102.	U	206.	U	95.2	U	98.	U	48.1	U
Phenanthrene	367.		408.		18,400.		1,150.		997.		418.		3,500.		854.		230.	
Phenol	1,580.		3,770.		2,110.	U	381.	U	204.	U	412.	U	190.	U	196.	U	24.	U
Pyrene	137.		151.		18,800.		963.		903.		297.		3,580.		711.		100.	
Pyridine	215.		327.		1,050.	U	190.	U	102.	U	206.	U	95.2	U	98.	U	48.1	U

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

bold = detected concentration

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

J = estimated concentration

ppb = parts per billion SVOCs = semivolatile organic compounds U = not detected ug/L = micrograms per liter

MULT 802 Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Site, Portland, Oregon File: 13 to 16 Groundwater Testing Results_Chemistry.xlsx

Page 3 of 3 Updated by: BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 15 - Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: Metals

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Initial	MULT 802 Purging Event No. 1												
Sample Number ==>	2708-190614- MULT802-100	2708-190617- MULT802-101	2708-190617- MULT802-102	2708-190617- MULT802-103	2708-190617- MULT802-103D	2708-190617- MULT802-104	2708-190617- MULT802-105	2708-190617- MULT802-106	2708-190626- MULT802-109					
Sample Date ==>	14-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	26-Jun-19					
Depth to Water (feet bgs) ==>	21.4	44.8	47.1	50.9	50.9	53.6	56.0	56.7	58.0					
Pump Intake Depth (feet bgs) ==>	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129					
Gallons Removed Prior to Sample Collection ==>	400	1,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	40,000	70,000	50,000					
				Anal	ytical Results in ug/L (opb)								
Metals by EPA Method 6020A														
Aluminum	89.700.	42.200.	5.470.	2.430.	1.940.	740.	2.060.	1.270.	317.					
Antimony	10. U	1. U	0.6 J	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U					
Arsenic	5.08 J	3.96	2.82	0.89 J	0.91 J	0.52 J	1.37	0.7 J	1. U					
Barium	101.	82.1	86.4	48.2	47.8	42.1	56.7	41.9	33.9					
Bery l lium	7.45	4.13	0.55	0.26	0.17 J	0.2 U	0.24	0.1 J	0.2 U					
Cadmium	1.8 J	0.95	0.66	0.15 J	0.14 J	0.2 U	0.26	0.08 J	0.2 U					
Calcium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Chromium	28.4	13.1	9.12	2.15	2.1	0.62 J	3.26	1.4	1. U					
Copper	33.7	5.5	37.4	5.35	6.13	1.79	10.4	3.86	1. U					
ron	398,000.	290,000.	46,400.	15,500.	14,400.	5,440.	19,900.	8,650.	1,390.					
_ead	21.3	6.97	49.3	7.84	9.74	2.71	28.7	7.4	0.32					
Magnesium				-	-	-								
Manganese	23,600.	19,300.	2,010.	1,700.	1,600.	1,290.	1,280.	1,060.	800.					
Mercury	0.8 U	0.08 U	0.33	0.05 J	0.06 J	0.08 U	0.09	0.08 U	0.08 U					
Nickel	171.	105.	20.3	7.19	5.86	3.14	8.3	5.01	1.18					
Potassium			-		-									
Selenium	10. U	10. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	<u>1. U</u>	1. U	1. U					
Silver	2. U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U					
Sodium				-										
Thallium	2. U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U					
/anadium	120.	64.	83.6	28.	28.9	20.4	50.1	30.5	22.5					
Zino	5 200	2 150	272	120	104	10.0	97.0	47.7	17.0					

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency

J = estimated concentration

ppb = parts per billion

U = not detected ug/L = micrograms per liter "-" = not tested

MULT 802 Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Site, Portland, Oregon File: 13 to 16 Groundwater Testing Results_Chemistry.xlsx Page 1 of 1 Updated by: BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 16 - Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples: Cyanide

MULT 802 Decommissioning

NW Natural, Gasco Property

Portland, Oregon

Sample Generation ==>	MULT 802 Initial	MULT 802 Purging Event No. 1												
Sample Number ==>	2708-190614- MULT802-100	2708-190617- MULT802-101	2708-190617- MULT802-102	2708-190617- MULT802-103	2708-190617- MULT802-103D	2708-190617- MULT802-104	2708-190617- MULT802-105	2708-190617- MULT802-106	2708-190626- MULT802-109					
Sample Date ==>	14-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	17-Jun-19	26-Jun-19					
Depth to Water (feet bgs) ==>	21.4	44.8	47.1	50.9	50.9	53.6	56.0	56.7	58.0					
Pump Intake Depth (feet bgs) ==>	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129					
Gallons Removed Prior to Sample Collection ==>	400	1,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	40,000	70,000	50,000					
		Analytical Results in mg/L (ppm)												
Cyanide														
Total Cyanide by EPA Method 335.4	0.0247 J	0.0294 J	0.0287	0.0099	0.0094	0.0054	0.0073	0.0064	0.005 U					
Available Cyanide by ASTM D6888-09	0.00146 J	0.00112 J	0.00134 J	0.00121 J	0.00133 J	0.00102 J	0.00148 J	0.00124 J	0.002 U					
Free Cyanide by ASTM D4282-02	0.00582 J	0.00299 J	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.005 U					

Notes:

ASTM = American Society for Testing and Materials bgs = below ground surface EPA = Environmental Protection Agency J = estimated concentration ppm = parts per million U = not detected mg/L = milligrams per liter

MULT 802 Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Site, Portland, Oregon File: 13 to 16 Groundwater Testing Results_Chemistry.xlsx Page 1 of 1 Updated by: BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
TABLE 17 - Grout Volumes and Properties (Per Batch)

MULT 802 Decommissioning NW Natural, Gasco Property Portland, Oregon

Date	Batch No.	Batch Volume Emplaced (gallons)	Total Volume Emplaced (gallons)	Mud Weight (Ibs/gallon)	Measured Depth to Top of Grout (ft bgs)
13-Aug-19	1	37	37	9.5	-
13-Aug-19	2	37	74	9.5	-
13-Aug-19	3	37	111	9.5	-
13-Aug-19	4	37	148	9.6	335.0
13-Aug-19	5	37	185	9.5	-
13-Aug-19	6	37	222	9.5	-
13-Aug-19	7	37	259	9.5	-
14-Aug-19	8	37	296	9.7	350.0
14-Aug-19	9	37	333	9.7	-
14-Aug-19	10	37	370	9.6	-
14-Aug-19	11	37	407	9.5	331.0
15-Aug-19	12	37	444	9.7	330.0
15-Aug-19	13	37	481	9.6	-
15-Aug-19	14	37	518	9.5	-
15-Aug-19	15	37	555	9.5	-
15-Aug-19	16	37	592	9.5	-
15-Aug-19	17	37	629	9.5	-
15-Aua-19	18	37	666	9.6	305.0
15-Aug-19	19	37	703	9.5	-
15-Aug-19	20	37	740	9.6	-
15-Aug-19	21	37	777	9.5	-
15-Aug-19	22	37	814	9.5	-
15-Aug-19	23	37	851	9.5	-
15-Aug-19	24	37	888	9.5	-
15-Aug-19	25	37	925	9.5	257.0
15-Aug-19	26	37	962	9.7	-
15-Aug-19	27	37	999	9.7	-
15-Aug-19	28	37	1036	9.6	-
15-Aug-19	29	37	1073	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	30	37	1110	9.5	245.0
16-Aug-19	31	37	1147	9.6	-
16-Aug-19	32	37	1184	9.6	-
16-Aug-19	33	37	1221	9.7	-
16-Aug-19	34	37	1258	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	35	37	1295	9.7	-
16-Aug-19	36	37	1332	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	37	37	1369	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	38	37	1406	9.7	218.0
16-Aug-19	39	37	1443	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	40	37	1480	9.7	-

MULT 802 Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Site, Portland, Oregon File: 17 Grout Measurements.xlsx

Page 1 of 3 UPDATED: 12/18/19 BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

TABLE 17 - Grout Volumes and Properties (Per Batch)

MULT 802 Decommissioning NW Natural, Gasco Property Portland, Oregon

Date	Batch No.	Batch Volume Emplaced (gallons)	Total Volume Emplaced (gallons)	Mud Weight (Ibs/gallon)	Measured Depth to Top of Grout (ft bgs)
16-Aug-19	41	37	1517	9.7	-
16-Aug-19	42	37	1554	9.7	-
16-Aug-19	43	37	1591	9.6	-
16-Aug-19	44	37	1628	9.7	-
16-Aug-19	45	37	1665	9.7	180.0
16-Aug-19	46	37	1702	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	47	37	1739	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	48	37	1776	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	49	37	1813	9.6	-
16-Aug-19	50	37	1850	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	51	37	1887	9.6	-
16-Aug-19	52	37	1924	9.6	150.0
16-Aug-19	53	37	1961	9.6	-
16-Aug-19	54	37	1998	9.6	-
16-Aug-19	55	37	2035	9.6	-
16-Aug-19	56	37	2072	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	57	37	2109	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	58	37	2146	9.6	-
16-Aug-19	59	37	2183	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	60	37	2220	9.5	115.0
16-Aug-19	61	37	2257	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	62	37	2294	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	63	37	2331	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	64	37	2368	9.5	-
16-Aug-19	65	37	2405	9.7	-
16-Aug-19	66	37	2442	9.7	
16-Aug-19	67	37	2479	9.7	73.0
19-Aug-19	68	37	2516	9.7	79.0
19-Aug-19	69	37	2553	9.5	-
19-Aug-19	70	37	2590	9.5	63.0
19-Aug-19	71	37	2627	9.5	57.5
20-Aug-19	72	37	2664	9.5	-
20-Aug-19	73	37	2701	9.5	-
20-Aug-19	74	37	2738	9.5	44.0
20-Aug-19	75	37	2775	9.5	38.0
20-Aug-19	76	37	2812	9.5	34.0
20-Aug-19	77	37	2849	9.5	29.0
20-Aug-19	78	37	2886	9.6	23.0
21-Aug-19	79	37	2923	9.7	-
21-Aug-19	80	37	2960	9.5	13.0

MULT 802 Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Site, Portland, Oregon File: 17 Grout Measurements.xlsx Page 2 of 3 UPDATED: 12/18/19 BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

TABLE 17 - Grout Volumes and Properties (Per Batch)

MULT 802 Decommissioning NW Natural, Gasco Property Portland, Oregon

Date	Batch No.	Batch Volume Emplaced (gallons)	Total Volume Emplaced (gallons)	Mud Weight (Ibs/gallon)	Measured Depth to Top of Grout (ft bgs)
21-Aug-19	81	20	2980	9.6	10.0

Notes:

bgs = below ground surface ft = feet lbs = pounds

Page 3 of 3 UPDATED: 12/18/19 BAU HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.



Notes: Base Map from Google Earth Imagery Date: 5/22/2017 OU = Operable Unit	FIGURE 1 Location Map
NORTH	Mult 802 Water Supply Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Property Portland, Oregon
0 1000 2000 4000 1"=2000' Scale in Feet	HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. Project No. 2708 January 2020





FIGURE 2 Site Map with Former MGP Features Mult 802 Water Supply Well Decommissioning NW Natural Gasco Property Portland, Oregon

HAHN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. Project No. 2708

Ch

January 2020

NWN-PCI0781202

Figure 3: Contaminant Concentrations as a Function of Volume of Water Pumped

Mult 802 Well Decommissioning



APPENDIX A

Well Construction Documentation

WRD Water Supply Well Log: Mult 802

HAI Map ID Number		
2		5
2		
NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR		
The original and first copy of this report are to be	NOZ / Martines	• VV
filed with the WATER WI	ELL REPORT	1W_1 2N
STATE ENGINEER, SALEM, OREGON 97310 STATE O within 30 days from the date (Please ty	F OREGON	and a second stand Reserves records and
(1) OTHER	State Permit No.	an barran a mana na mana na kata da kata kata kata da k
(1) OWNER:	(11) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount	water level is
Name Portland Gas & Coke Company	Was a pump test made? I Yes I No If yes, by when	vei n?
Address	Yield: 140 gal./min. with ft. drawdow	vn after h
	<u>150</u> with drawdown	to 200 feet
(2) LOCATION OF WELL:	<u># </u>	
County Multnomah Driller's well number	Bailer test gal./min. with ft. drawdo	wn after h
SW 1/4 SW 1/4 section 12 T. IN R. IW W.M.	Artesian flow g.p.m. Date	
Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner	Temperature of water Was a chemical analysis a	nade? 🖸 Yes 🔲 🎙
	(12) WELL LOG: Diameter of well below ca	sing
	Depth drilled ft. Depth of completed we	382
	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of materia	l and structure, a
	show thickness of aguiters and the kind and nature of t stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each cl	he material in each ange of formatio
(2) EVER OF MODE (1 1)	MATERIAL.	FROM
(a) TIPE OF WORK (check):	Sand	0 42
W Well Deepening Reconditioning Abandon	Bock (baselt)	61 01
fi abandonment, describe material and procedure in Itom 12.	Sand and broken rock	211 216
(4) PROPOSED USE (check): (5) TYPE OF WELL:	Sand	21.6 258
Domestic 🗌 Industrial 🗌 Municipal 🔊 Rotary 🔲 Driven 🗌	Basalt, broken, soft	258 370
Irrigation 🗌 Test Well 🗋 Other 📋 Cable 🔲 Jetted 🗌		
(6) CASING INSTALLED		
(b) CASING INSTALLED: Threaded □ Welded □		
Diam, from ft. to ft. Gage		
Diam. from	Data from USGS	
ft. to		
(7) PERFORATIONS: Perforated? Ves No		
Type of perforator used		
Size of perforations in by in		
perforations from ft. toft.		
ft, to ft.		
perforations from		
perforations from the fit is a fit is the fi	v	
(a) SCODEFING.		
(6) SCREENS: Well screen installed? [] Yes [] No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Manufacturer's Name	3	
pe		<u> </u>
Diam. Slot size	Work started 10 Completed	
Diam. Slot size	Date well drilling machine moved off of well	1948
(9) CONSTRUCTION:	(13) PUMP:	19
Well seal_Material used in anal	(10) 2 0011.	
Depth of seal	Manufacturer's Name	****
Diameter of well bore to bottom of seal	Type:	P
Were any loose strata cemented off?	Water Well Contractor's Cortification;	
Was a drive shoe used? Yes No	This well man dollad	
Was well gravel packed? Yes No Size of gravel;	true to the best of my knowledge and belief.	id this report is
Gravel placed from	NAME A M Townson	
Did any strata contain unusable water? 🗆 Yes 🗋 No	(Person, firm or corporation) (Type	or print)
Type of water? depth of strata	Address	
Method of sealing strata off		**********************
(10) WATER LEVELS:	Drilling Machine Operator's License No.	********
Static level 48 ft. below land surface Date 1051	[Signed]	***********************************
Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date	Contractor's License Ma	
(USE ADDITIONAL SEE	ETS IF NECESSARY	, 19

HAI	Мар	ID	Number
		2	

NOTEBOOK SHEET

Date Name e 10 51 MAI_ 2.20 11 1 324 . . U. S. GOVERNMENT PAINTING OFFICE 10-12406-1

UNITED STATES 9-185 (August 1949) DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER RESOURCES DIVISION WELL SCHEDULE April 1954 Field N Record by _____. Office N Source of data Mr- Wright Engineer 1. Location : State County / Map Hill 2. Owner: Partland Gar & cuter Address Address Tenant Driller AM. Jan Sen Address Keedinull 3. Topography Valles Riam 4. Elevation 30 ft. below S.L. N. 5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted _____19.48 6. Depth: Rept. 3 82 ft. Meas. ft. 7. Casing: Diam. _/ 2-in., to _8_in., Type Irm Depth _______, Finish 190-8" 8. Chief Aquifer Sand? From 21/ It. to Others 9. Water level ft. rept. _____ 19..... abo belc which is _____ ft. 10. Pump: Type G. I Power: Kind Horsepower 11. Yield: Flow G. M., Pump 14.0 G. M., Meas, Rep Drawdown ft. after hours pumping 12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. Cooling Adequacy, permanence Not cliquete Temp 13. Quality Taste, odor, color Unfit for 14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) W Roy duction U. .. OVERNMENT PRINTING DEVICE 10- 39355-1 214



PG&C Well Schematic – Supply Well Mult 802



APPENDIX B

Photographs

Please see accompanying file folder entitled "Appendix B Photographs"

APPENDIX C

Well Log (Decommissioning)

H	41								Site ID: MU	LT 802	Date(s): 5 /	/13/1	9 to 8/22/19
Hat	$\frac{1}{1}$ n a	nd	Ass	ocia	te	s, I	nc.		Location:	Location: NW Natural, Portland, Oregon			
En	/iror	nm	enta	l Co	ns	ult	ants		Logged By:	Ben Uhl	Checked By	∕∶ Ro	ob Ede
Drille	r:		Case	cade D	rilli	ng, l	_P						
Drillir	Drilling Method: Pro Sonic 600 - Rotosonic									35.79	TOC: 36.23	3	
Sam	oling N	lethc	d: 7-inc	ch core	e ba	rrel			Northing: 704	4869.64	Easting: 76	6234	57.78
<u>Borel</u>	nole Se	ealin	g						Borehole Dia	.: 12/10-inches	Total Depth	: 38	2.0 feet bgs
Annu	lar Fill	: (3	Concrete 3/8-inch	e Bentor	iite (hydra	ated)	0-1 feet 1-10 feet	Project Numb	ber: 2708-60F			
		(Drganoc	lay - Be	ento	nite (Grout	10-382 feet	Project Name	e: NW Natural - M	1ULT 802 (D	econ	nmissioning)
			Bag Co Bags E 1 Bags 3 Bags C	Bentoni Granul Organo	te C lar E clay	hips Bento	nite		Remarks:				
Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)	Sample Interval	Sample Number	Recovery (ft) (core interval)	UV Screen	PID (ppm)	Pre-Decomm Casing Contents	Deco	mmissioning N	otes and Observat	ions	Groundwater	Borehole Sealing Materials
-35 -30 -25	- 1- 2- 3- 3- 4- 5- 5- 6- 7- 7- 8- 7- 7- 10- 11- 11- 12- 11- 11- 12- 11- 11- 11- 11							A 12-inch diamater and Alluvium water bedrock to a depth within the 12-inch c install 8 vertical per feet bgs. Casing was observ depth of 10 feet bg granular pitch and f and brittle with a gl hammer was found These materials we depth of 37 feet bg truck.	steel surface cas -bearing zones ap of 63 feet bgs. P asing, a Mills Knif forations per foot de to be packed v s. The contents v tarry material. The assy appearance. mixed into the de are removed from s by using high-pr	vith solid debris below vith solid debris below vere described as blac ese materials were ve An apparent welder's obris at a deph of 35 fe the well in August 201 essure water and a va	a k fy hard 5 et bgs. 7 to a cuum May 13, 2019 July 3, 2019	Ž Ž	Concrete Hydrated Granular Bentonite Concrete Hydrated Granular Bentonite Corganoclay Bentonite (20% Solids)
	ES:	bgs	=below	l ground	l d su	rface		ID/OD = Inside Dian	neter/Outside E	Diameter 🗸	measured g	rounc	l txxxxxxxx Iwater depth
Report: N		ft =	feet					PID=photoionizatior	detector	_			Page 1 of 15



H Hat Env	A/ hn a viro	ind nm	Ass enta	ocia I Co	tes	s, I ulta	nc. ants		Site ID:MULT 802Project Name: NW Natural - MULT 802 (Decommissioning)Project Number:2708-60F		
Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)	Sample Interval	Sample Number	Recovery (ft) (core interval)	UV Screen	PID (ppm)	Pre-Decomm Casing Contents	Decor	nmissioning Notes and Observations	Groundwater	Borehole Sealing Materials
40	74- 75- 76- 77- 78-	-									
45	79- 80- 81- 82- 83- 83- 84-	-									a Di-O
50	85- 86- 87- 88- 88- 89-		2708- 190528 017	3-		11.1					noclay Bentonite (20% Solic
- -55	90- 91- 92- 93- 93- 93-										(5)
02; File: 2708-60F (MULT 802).GPJ; 10.	95- 96- 97- 98- 98-		2708- 190513 002	3 11 (96-136)		168		96.0 - 100.0 Feet:G ranging from hard a fragments appear g Few coarse-grained fine-grained appare in tar/pitch percenta 2 feet (98 to 100 fee feet bgs) collected o	ranular (fine to coarse) black pitch and tar nd brittle (pitch) to soft and plastic (tar). Pitch lassy, reflective with a conchoidal fracture. I basalt gravels are present. Much black nt pitch material throughout. Slight decrease ge with brown gravels visible in matrix in lower t). No oil observed. Sample -002 (96 to 97 on May 13, 2019.		
	ES:	bgs ft =	=below feet	ground	l sur	face		ID/OD = Inside Diam PID=photoionization	eter/Outside Diameter detector	_ . I	Page 4 of 15
Те Ч											

Hal Hal	A/ hn a	nd	Ass	ocia	tes	s, I	nc. ants		Site ID: MULT 802 Project Name: NW Natural - MULT 802 (Decommissioning) Project Number: 2708-60F		
Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)	Sample Interval	Sample Number	Recovery (ft) (core interval)	UV Screen	PID (ppm)	Pre-Decomm Casing Contents	Decor	nmissioning Notes and Observations	Groundwater	Borehole Sealing Materials
65	- 101- - 102- -							Driller notes that the resistance) betweer	e borehole appears to be open (e.g. no drilling n depths of 100 feet bgs and 129 feet bgs.		
70	103- - 104- - 105- -	•									
70	106– - 107– - 108– -										
75	109– - 110– - 111–										Organocia
	112- - 113- - 114-										y Bentonite (20
80	- 115- - 116- - 117-										% Solids)
	- 118- - 119- - 120-										
2).GPJ: 10/8/19	121- 121- 122- -										
Тіе: 2708-60⊢ (м∪L1 ы 0 6	123- - 124- - 125- -										
	126- - ES:	bgs= ft =	=below feet	grounc	l su	rface	; ;	D/OD = Inside Diam	eter/Outside Diameter detector		Page 5 of 15



H Hat	A/ nn a	nd	Ass	ocia I Co	te	s, I	nc. ants	Site ID: MULT 802 Project Name: NW Natural - MULT 802 (Decomm Project Number: 2708-60F	nissioning)
Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)	Sample Interval	Sample Number	Recovery (ft) (core interval)	UV Screen	PID (ppm)	Pre-Decomm Casing Contents	Decommissioning Notes and Observations	Borehole Sealing Materials
120	- 154- - 155- - 156- - 157- - 158- -							Driller reports that borehole/well appears open (e.g. no drilling resistance) with no apparent debris between depths of 136 feet bgs and 290 feet bgs.	
125	159- - 160- - 161- - 162- - 163- - 164-								OG an
130	- 165- - 166- - 167- - 168-	-							oclay Bentonite (20%
135	- 169– - 170– - 171– - 172–								Solids)
2708-60F (MULT 802), GPU; 10/8/19	173– - 174– - 175– - 176– - 177– - 178–								
Report MULT 802; File:	179– 	bgs ft =	=below feet	ground	d su	rface	; ;	D/OD = Inside Diameter/Outside Diameter PID=photoionization detector	Page 7 of 15

Hat Env	A/ nn a /iroi	nd	Ass enta	ocia I Co	te	s, I ult	nc. ants	Site ID: MULT 802 Project Name: NW Natural - MULT 802 (Decom Project Number: 2708-60F	Site ID: MULT 802 Project Name: NW Natural - MULT 802 (Decommissioning) Project Number: 2708-60F		
Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)	Sample Interval	Sample Number	Number Number Number Recovery (#) Number Number Number Number Decommissioning Notes and Observations							
145	- 181– - 182– - 183–							Driller reports that borehole/well appears open (e.g. no drilling resistance) with no apparent debris between depths of 136 feet bgs and 290 feet bgs.			
150	184 - 185 - 186 - 187										
155	- 188– - 189– - 190– - 191–									Organock	
	- 192- - 193- - 194- -									ay Bentonite (20% s	
160	195 - 196 - 197 - 198									Solids)	
- -165	- 199– - 200– - 201– -										
ile: 2708-60F (MULT 802).GPJ;	202- 203- 204- 204- 205-										
Report MULT 802, F	206– – ES:	bgs: ft =	=below feet	ground	l su	rface) ?	D/OD = Inside Diameter/Outside Diameter PID=photoionization detector	 F	Page 8 of 15	

Hall	A/	nd	Ass	ocia	te	s, I	nc.		Site ID: MULT 802 Project Name: NW Natural - MULT 802 (Decommissioning) Project Number: 2708-60F		
Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)	Pre-Decommon Pre-Decommon Contents Contents Contents						Decon	nmissioning Notes and Observations	Groundwater	Borehole Sealing Materials
175	207- 208- 209- 210- 211- 211- 212- 212- 213-							Driller reports that b resistance) with no a bgs and 290 feet bg	orehole/well appears open (e.g. no drilling apparent debris between depths of 136 feet s.		
180	214- 215- 215- 216- 217- 217- 218-										Organoclay
185	219- - 220- - 221- - 222- - - - - -										Bentonite (20% Solids)
- -190	224- 225- 226- 226- 227- 227- 228-										
02; File: 2708-60F (MULT 802).GPJ; 10. 561	229- - 230- - 231- - 232- - 233-										
	ES:	bgs: ft =	=below feet	ground	l su	rface	•	D/OD = Inside Diam PID=photoionization	eter/Outside Diameter detector	F	Page 9 of 15

H Hat	Α/ 1n a	nd	Ass	ocia	te	<u>s, I</u>	nc.	Site ID: MULT 802 Project Name: NW Natural - MULT 802 (Decommissioning) Project Number: 2708-60F
Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)	Sample Interval	Sample Reverbery (ft)	(core Interval) 00	UV Screen	UIt (mdd) OId	Pre-Decomm Casing Contents	Decommissioning Notes and Observations
200	234- - 235- - 236- - 237- - 238- -							Driller reports that borehole /well appears open (e.g. no drilling resistance) with no apparent debris between depths of 136 feet bgs and 290 feet bgs.
205	239- - 240- - 241- - 242- - 243-							243.0 Feet: Open-hole construction terminates and 8-inch
210	244							ID well casing extends within the estimated 10-inch OD borehole to base of well at 382 feet bgs. Casing is removed from borehole during decommissioning (see note at 290 feet bgs). ID well at 382 feet bgs. Casing is removed from borehole during decommissioning (see note at 290 feet bgs). From 243 to 247 feet bgs, outside and inside of 8-inch ID casing was observed to be covered in rust with no visible tar or oil. ID well at 382 feet bgs, outside of 8-inch ID casing was observed to be covered in rust with no visible tar or oil. From 247 to 290 feet bgs, inside of 8-inch ID casing was observed to be coated with some sticky tar. Tar coating on ID well casing was observed to be coated with some sticky tar.
215	249- - 249- - 250- - 251- - 252- - 252-							After removal, casing is observed to have vertical slots (hand-cut) measuring approximately 10-inches in length by 0.25 inches wide with a density of approximately 3 vertical slots per vertical foot. In total, approximately 125 slots were observed to be cut into the 8-inch well casing from 243 feet bgs to 285 feet bgs. Horizontal hand-cut perforations 4-inches long by 0.25-inches high with a density of approximately 10-inches high with a slots per vertical slots (hard-cut) measuring approximately 10-inches high with a density of approximately 3 vertical slots per vertical foot. In total, approximately 125 slots were observed to be cut into the 8-inch well casing from 243 feet bgs to 285 feet bgs. Horizontal hand-cut perforations 4-inches long by 0.25-inches high with a
108-60F (MULI 8UZ) (2HU; 1016/18	253- - 255- - 256- - 257- - 258-							from 343 to 382 feet bgs. No end cap was present on removed 8-inch perforated well casing.
	259– – ES:	bgs: ft =	=below feet	ground	d su	rface	; ;	ID/OD = Inside Diameter/Outside Diameter PID=photoionization detector

H Hat Env	A/ nn a	nd	Ass enta	ocia I Co	ite:	s, I <u>ult</u>	nc. ants	Site ID: MULT 802 Project Name: NW Natural - MULT 802 (Deco Project Number: 2708-60F	Site ID: MULT 802 Project Name: NW Natural - MULT 802 (Decommissioning) Project Number: 2708-60F		
Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)	Sample Interval	Sample Number	Recovery (ft) (core interval)	UV Screen	PID (ppm)	Pre-Decomm Casing Contents	Decommissioning Notes and Observations	Groundwater	Borehole Sealing Materials	
225	261- - 262- 263-	-									
230	264- - 265- - 266- - 267-	-									
235	268- 	-								Organocia	
240	272- 273- 274- 275-	-								y Bentonite (20% Soli	
	276- - 277- - 278- - 279-										
- -245	280- - 281- - 282- - 283-										
802; File: 2708-60F (MUL	284- 	-						Vertical perforations in 8-inch ID steel casing terminate.8-inch ID steel casing is observed to be free of perforations between depths of 285 feet bgs and 343 feet bgs.			
NOTES: bgs=below ground surface ID/OD = Inside Diameter/Outside Diameter Page 11 of 15 ft = feet PID=photoionization detector Page 11 of 15											









APPENDIX D

Laboratory Analytical and Data Validation Reports

Please see accompanying file folder entitled "Appendix D Laboratory Analytical and Data Validation Reports""

APPENDIX E

Downhole Video of Mult 802 Well Bore (July 8, 2019)

Please see accompanying file folder entitled "Appendix E Downhole Video of Mult 802 Well Bore July 8, 2019"

APPENDIX F

Oregon Water Resources Department Documentation

Please see accompanying file folder entitled "Appendix F Oregon Water Resources Department Documentation"

APPENDIX G

Waste Disposal Documentation

Please see accompanying file folder entitled "Appendix G Waste Disposal Documentation"