

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON  
UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

---

DOCKET UG-230393

**ROBERT L. EARLE  
ON BEHALF OF THE  
WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
PUBLIC COUNSEL UNIT**

---

**EXHIBIT RLE-14**

Pipeline Allocation

**September 8, 2023**

Maximum days delivery	10	(a)
Days in a year	365	(b)
Percent time delivery	2.7%	(c) = (a)/(b)

**Allocation of Pipeline Cost to PSE**

12-inch pipe cost	23.3 \$ million	(j)
Upgrade to 16-inch pipe	4.1 \$ million	(k)
<u>Total pipe cost</u>	<u>27.4 \$ million</u>	(l) = (j)+(k)
PSE Ratepayer Amount	\$ 7.0 \$ million	(m) = (i)+(k)
PSE Ratepayer Share	25.6%	(n) = (m)/(l)
Puget LNG Share	74.4%	(o) = 100%-(n)

**Amount driven around the track**

9 times by your friend going forward
1 time by you going forward
0.3 times by you in reverse
<hr/> 10.3 total amount driven on the track

**Allocation of \$23.3 to PSE ratepayers**

Delivery to distribution system	2.7% of the time	(d)
Delivery to Tacoma LNG Facility	97.3% of the time	(e) = 100% - (d)
Percent of Delivery to Tacoma LNG Facility	10.0%	(f)
Delivery to Tacoma LNG Facility on behalf of PSE Ratepayers	9.7%	(g) = (e)*(f)
PSE Ratepayer Share	12.5%	(h) = (d) + (g)
PSE Ratepayer Amount	\$ 2.9 million	(i) = (e) *\$23.3 million