



Bob Ferguson

## ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON

Utilities and Transportation Division

1400 S Evergreen Park Drive SW • PO Box 40128 • Olympia WA 98504-0128 • (360) 664-1183

*Via Electronic and United States mail*

August 24, 2015

Steven V. King, Executive Director and Secretary  
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission  
1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW  
P. O. Box 47250  
Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

RE: *Avista Corporation 2015 General Rate Case*  
Dockets UE-150204/UG-150205

Dear Mr. King:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket is an errata filing that corrects a formula error embedded in Exhibit CSH-2, an exhibit to Commission Staff witness Christopher Hancock's responsive testimony. The formula error only affected Adjustment 2.09 – Net Gains / Losses; it did not affect Staff's total revenue requirement calculation.

Specifically, the formula error exists in cell Q55 of the ADJ DETAIL-INPUT tab of Exhibit CSH-2, and affects two values under Adjustment 2.09: 1) the Net Operating Expenses on line 30, and 2) the Revenue Requirement on line 50. This errata filing corrects the formula error, and results in a change of Adjustment 2.09, line 30, from "32" to "59", and a change of Adjustment 2.09, line 50, from "(51)" to "(94)".

The original error did not impact Staff's total revenue requirement calculation because the formula that determines the final revenue requirement calculation does not reference the affected cells. Instead, it references the component parts of Adjustment 2.09, which are all correct.

Steven V. King  
August 24, 2015  
Page 2

This errata filing includes a revised Excel spreadsheet and a revised PDF for Exhibit No. CSH-2. In the Excel spreadsheet the corrected cells contain the correct values in Adjustment 2.09, lines 30 and 50, as well as a thick red border to clearly indicate where the changes occurred. Further, the top of the column for Adjustment 2.09 has been marked as "REVISED." Staff could not denote its correction with a strike-through of the affected value next to the corrected value because it would cause additional formula errors to occur. Therefore, this filing also includes a PDF in which a strike-through of the incorrect values has been added to the corrected cells. The PDF also has been marked as "REVISED" on the page where the correction occurs.

Please note that the Joint Issues List filed by Avista on August 20, 2015, contains the incorrect value for the Revenue Requirement of Adjustment 2.09. Specifically, cell I24 should be corrected from "(51)" to "(94)". Staff has notified Avista that the Joint Issues List will need to be amended as a result of this filing. It is Staff's understanding that the Company will make the necessary correction when it updates the Joint Issues List as part of its rebuttal testimony filing.

Sincerely,



PATRICK J. OSHIE  
Assistant Attorney General

PJO:klg  
cc: Parties