1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
2	
3	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,))
4	Complainant,)
5	vs.) Docket No. TO-011472 Volume XV
6) Pages 1454-1462 OLYMPIC PIPELINE COMPANY, INC.,)
7) Respondent.)
8	
9	A prehearing conference in the above
10	matter was held on March 7, 2002 at 9:30 a.m. at 1300 South
11	Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
12	before Administrative Law Judge ROBERT WALLIS.
13	
14	The parties were present as follows:
15	THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, by LISA WATSON, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
16	Southwest, Olympia, Washington 98504-0128, Telephone (360) 664-1186, Fax (360) 586-5522, E-mail
17	lwatson@wutc.wa.gov.
18	
19	OLYMPIC PIPELINE COMPANY, INC., by STEVEN C. MARSHALL, Attorney at Law, Perkins Coie, 411 108th Avenue Northeast, Suite 1800, Bellevue,
20	Washington 98004, Telephone (425) 453-7314,
21	Fax (425) 453-7350, E-mailmarss@perkinscoie.com.
22	TESORO REFINING AND MARKETING
23	COMPANY, by ROBIN O. BRENA, Attorney at Law, Brena, Bell & Clarkson, PC, 310 K Street, Suite 601,
24	Anchorage, Alaska 99501, Telephone (907) 258-2000, Fax (907) 258-2001, E-mail rbrena@brenalaw.com. JUDITH CEDERBLOM, CCR
25	Court Reporter

1	TOSCO CORPORATION, by EDWARD A.
2	FINKLEA, Attorney at Law, Energy Advocates, LLP, 526 Northwest 18th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97209,
3	Telephone (503) 721-9118, Fax (503) 721-9121, E-mail efinklea@energyadvocates.com.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MORNING SESSION
2	10:15 A.M.
3	JUDGE WALLIS: Let's be on the record,
4	please. This is a prehearing conference in the matter
5	of Commission Docket No. TO-011472, which is
б	denominated a complaint by the Washington Utilities
7	and Transportation Commission versus Olympic Pipeline
8	Company. The subject of this proceeding is the filing
9	by Olympic of a request for an increase in its rates
10	and charges for providing service within the State of
11	Washington.
12	This prehearing conference was noticed
13	for 9:30 this morning. We have engaged in some
14	administrative discussions and find the time
15	approaching 10:30. I would like to summarize, for
16	record purposes, the elements of the discussions that
17	are relevant to the matters before us.
18	The parties have agreed, after some
19	description of yesterday's technical or inquisitorial
20	session held at the offices of the Olympic Pipeline
21	Company in Renton, that it would be advantageous to
22	the parties and to the process that they continue
23	their informal off-the-record discussions today, and
24	that we reconvene tomorrow for the purpose of stating
25	for the record the agreements that the parties have

1	reached and seeking resolution through ruling on
2	matters on which the parties have not agreed.
3	Is that a fair statement?
4	MR. MARSHALL: Yes, Your Honor.
5	MR. BRENA: It is, Your Honor.
6	MR. FINKLEA: Yes, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE WALLIS: Very well. I would like
8	for the record to ask for appearances for each of the
9	parties today. If you just state your name and the
10	name of the party you are representing, I believe
11	appearances have previously been entered for all of
12	the participants. Beginning with the company.
13	MR. MARSHALL: I am Steve Marshall of
14	Perkins Coie, representing Olympic Pipeline Company.
15	MR. BRENA: Robin Brena on behalf of
16	Tesoro Refining and Marketing.
17	MR. FINKLEA: Ed Finklea on behalf of
18	Tosco Corporation.
19	MS. WATSON: Lisa Watson, Assistant
20	Attorney General, on behalf of Commission staff.
21	JUDGE WALLIS: Very well. In terms of
22	the discussions, I would like to note that the parties
23	have been communicating with parties to the FERC
24	proceeding and believe that a schedule is developing
25	for both proceedings that would allow integration and

minimize the disruptions in both of the matters that 1 2 would involve filing for intervenors in this 3 proceeding on April 11. 4 I have shared with the parties that the 5 Commissioners have adjusted their schedules to allow б a hearing that would begin on June 17 to continue 7 through the week of June 17; then take up on Tuesday of the following week and have the following four 8 9 days available for that. Now, by making those days available, the Commission is not requesting that the 10 11 hearing consume all of those days. 12 Is there anything further that the 13 parties would like to memorialize at this juncture? MR. FINKLEA: Your Honor, Ed Finklea, on 14 15 behalf of Tosco. 16 JUDGE WALLIS: Mr. Finklea. 17 MR. FINKLEA: I believe that the proposal that we forwarded had intervenor testimony 18 19 due April 17th rather than April 11th. 20 MR. BRENA: That's my understanding as 21 well. 22 JUDGE WALLIS: It was my intention to say 17. I apologize if I misspoke and said 11. 23 24 MR. FINKLEA: Every hour becomes critical. 25

1	JUDGE WALLIS: With that, the parties
2	are agreed that we will take up tomorrow morning, and
3	we will begin tomorrow morning at the hour of 8:30 in
4	the morning. The room number for those discussions
5	and the availability of the bridge line are matters
6	that I will determine. Parties will be remaining in
7	the building and using facilities here for at least a
8	portion of today, and I will advise the parties.
9	Will that be sufficient notice for you?
10	MR. MARSHALL: Yes.
11	MR. BRENA: Yes, Your Honor.
12	MR. FINKLEA: Yes, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE WALLIS: Very well. Is there
14	anything further to come before the Commission at this
15	time? Mr. Marshall.
16	MR. MARSHALL: Just one comment on the
17	filing of the intervenors' case on April 17th. I
18	haven't worked backward on the schedule for filing of
19	rebuttal testimony by the company and discovery of
20	intervenors and staff.
21	That poses some problems with having a
22	very limited window of discovery depositions of
23	intervenors and staff in a case that's one of
24	importance because methodology will be determined,
25	among other things.

1	So I, by my silence on that date, I
2	haven't had a chance to find out whether that lengthy
3	extension is appropriate, and I would hope that, on
4	the FERC side, my silence hasn't led anybody
5	misinterpreting consent. I think the original
6	schedule for the filings of the material by
7	intervenors in their direct case at FERC is
8	appropriate and should be done.
9	That schedule has not been interrupted
10	by anything, and that ought to proceed. That's my
11	own personal view but I don't have anything to say
12	about that, so I just wanted the parties to know that
13	I wasn't speaking on behalf of Olympic's FERC counsel
14	or, by my silence, assenting to any of that.
15	JUDGE WALLIS: Very well. The proposed
16	schedule has been a matter of record for some time,
17	and we have afforded the parties the opportunity to
18	comment on it. I would suggest that you pursue that
19	matter today, and if you have any difficulties with
20	that schedule that you let us know tomorrow.
21	If you have the opportunity to discuss
22	that with the other parties so that a ruling is not
23	necessary, that would be very helpful to us.
24	MR. MARSHALL: But I make that comment
25	in light of the request by the parties that we not

engage in any discovery against intervenors or staff 1 2 until after they file their case. If discovery is 3 permissible for us to do on staff and intervenors, and 4 we pretty well know where they're going to be coming 5 from, based on what's occurred in the interim case, б that would take care of a lot of the problem. 7 If, however, we're not permitted to engage in discovery with depositions ahead of time, 8 9 that will create a very real problem. JUDGE WALLIS: We indicated that we 10 11 would have some discomfort with the concept that no 12 discovery at all could be conducted during that 13 prefiling period so long as the time for responses were tolled -- or unless the time for responses were 14 15 tolled. 16 In other words, while we haven't really 17 fleshed this out, my personal comfort level is that discovery requests could be made, but that responses 18 would be tolled during that prefiling period to allow 19 20 parties to concentrate on their preparation of their 21 materials. 22 And with that comment, I'm going to

23 commend this matter, amongst others, to the parties 24 as a wonderful opportunity for them to demonstrate 25 the spirit of cooperation that would enhance

1 settlement discussions. So with that, let's conclude this morning's session and state that we will be back 2 tomorrow morning at the hour of 8:30 for the purpose 3 4 of memorializing and, to the limited extent 5 necessary, ruling upon the discovery matters that are б at issue. 7 Is there anything further? MR. MARSHALL: No, Your Honor. 8 9 MR. BRENA: No. 10 MR. FINKLEA: No. JUDGE WALLIS: Let the record show that 11 12 there is no affirmative response, and this matter is 13 adjourned until tomorrow until the hour of 8:30. 14 Thank you. 15 (PREHEARING CONFERENCE ADJOURNED AT 16 10:30 A.M.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25