

Wiley, Dave

Subject: FW: Waste Control TG-131794

From: Wiley, Dave
Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 10:35 AM
To: Smith, Steve (UTC) (SSmith@utc.wa.gov)
Cc: Jackie Davis (JDavis@boothdavis.com); Jim Sells (jamesells@comcast.net); Joe Willis (jwillis@wcrecycling.com)
Subject: Waste Control TG-131794

Steve: I am still expecting the electronic loan documents for the agreements between Union Bank and Waste Control later this afternoon. In anticipation of our case filing on Friday the 14th, I need you, Melissa and Gene to be thinking about what of our original case does not need to be refilled. In other words, the workbooks/workpapers and much of the voluminous support documents are unchanged and run to the many hundreds if not thousands of paper copy pages. Moreover, refilling many of those documents would be confusing. Obviously REVISED schedules and workpapers would be included in our filing and so identified.

Unlike energy and phone rate cases though, we have already filed the bulk of our case which is the anomaly here. Clearly prefiled testimony and exhibits thereto would be new but much of the underlying case will be the same. We should talk about this so as to avoid duplication and confusion but I need to know your thoughts soon on how to approach this. We can even ask for a call with the judge to streamline this and consider exhibit markings but I first need to know your thinking on how the initial filing should be treated. I think we all agree we don't need to kill more trees and add to rate case expenses by refilling documents that haven't changed or are not new. Please advise. Thanks, Dave.

David W. Wiley
Attorney at Law
Williams Kastner
601 Union Street, Suite 4100
Seattle, WA 98101-2380
Main: 206.628.6600
Direct: 206.233.2895
Fax: 206.628.6611
dwiley@williamskastner.com
www.williamskastner.com

WILLIAMS KASTNER

