

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

**In the Matter of the Investigation into
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S
Compliance with Section 271 of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996**

Docket No. UT-003022

**In the Matter of
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S
Statement of Generally Available Terms
Pursuant to Section 252(f) of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996**

Docket No. UT-003040

**QWEST CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF UPDATED STATEMENT OF
GENERALLY AVAILABLE TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

Qwest Corporation, formerly known as U S WEST Communications, Inc. ("Qwest"), submits this notice of its updated Statement of Generally Available Terms and Conditions ("SGAT") in the State of Washington, along with the SGAT itself, which is attached.¹ Qwest respectfully requests that the Commission allow this version of the SGAT to go into effect within 60 days, pursuant to section 252(f)(3)(B) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 252(f)(3)(B).

¹ For the convenience of the Commission, Qwest is attaching both a "clean" version of the current SGAT that Qwest wishes to take effect, along with a redlined SGAT that reflects the changes between the present submission and the last updated SGAT, which Qwest filed on April 19, 2002.

I. INTRODUCTION

On March 22, 2000, Qwest filed its original SGAT with the Commission pursuant to Section 252(f) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("Act"). Since then, Qwest and competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs") throughout Qwest's 14-state region have participated in a collaborative process to explore and resolve literally hundreds of issues relating to specific provisions of Qwest's proposed SGAT in connection with Qwest's intent to enter in-region interLATA long distance markets in states throughout Qwest's 14-state region under Section 271 of the Act. This process has included the convening of numerous workshops where the parties have engaged in formal and informal discovery and submitted testimony, comments, and legal briefing—all of which ultimately lead either to consensus or to an order or recommendation of a state commission. To date, the process has been, by any measure, extremely successful in that the parties have been able to resolve the vast majority of disputed issues. In addition to the current filing, this collaborative process has resulted in five prior SGAT revisions, which were filed on June 29, 2001; September 21, 2001; January 29, 2002; April 5, 2002; and April 19, 2002.

As discussed further below, the document attached to this Notice is intended to reflect a number of agreed-upon changes among the parties, as well miscellaneous other changes listed below.

II. DISCUSSION

A. Consensus or Other Agreed-Upon Language, Compliance Language Carried Forward from Other Proceedings, and Other Miscellaneous Changes.

The SGAT accompanying this Notice includes consensus language or other agreed-to language, including those agreements that were discussed during the oral argument on April 24 and 25, 2002, and presented in Qwest's May 10th supplemental compliance filing.²

Qwest notes that it added the phrase "to CLEC" to Section 12.3.10.2 to clarify that the notice required in that section is to be provided to the CLEC. Qwest has also added new language relating to performance indicator definitions Exhibit B, which was previously blank.

² See SGAT §§ 4.0 (definition of "Proof of Authorization"); 6.4.1; 8.3.1.9; 9.1.2.1.5; 9.1.14; 9.2.2.3.2; 9.2.2.4.1; 9.3.5.4.1; 9.4.2.3.1; 9.5.2.5; 9.23.3.17; 9.24.1.1; 12.2.6; 12.3.8.1.5.

Finally, Qwest notes that the parties could not reach agreement with respect to Section 9.1.2.1.3.2, and is awaiting a Commission decision on that section.

B. Correction of Typographical, Grammatical and Other Non-Substantive Matters.

The updated SGAT also contains a number of corrections to typographical errors, grammatical changes, name changes, and capitalization changes.³

C. An Updated Qwest Performance Assurance Plan included as Exhibit K.

Exhibit K to the SGAT is an updated Qwest Performance Assurance Plan (“QPAP”).⁴ Qwest first filed its QPAP with the Commission on July 24, 2001. After the initial decision by the Multi-State Facilitator, Qwest filed a revised proposed QPAP with this Commission on November 7, 2001. In the present filing, Qwest has further modified the QPAP as shown by redlining in Exhibit K. Exhibit K is provided under separate cover and is further explained in Qwest’s separate QPAP pleading also filed today.

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

³ See SGAT §§ 3.2.2; 4.0; 5.8.4; 5.9.1.2; 5.9.1.4; 5.10.6; 11.23; 11.37; 12.2.5.2.1; 12.2.7.1; 12.2.7.2; 12.3.4.2; 17.12; 18.2.4; Exhibit D (changing employee names to titles).

⁴ Qwest also notes that it has modified the language in Section 20.0 related to service performance in order to properly reference Exhibit K.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should consider the SGAT filed with this notice as the baseline document for any future proceedings in this docket. Qwest respectfully requests that the Commission allow this version of the SGAT to go into effect within 60 days, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(f)(3)(B).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 28th day of May, 2002.

Qwest Corporation

By: _____

Lisa Anderl
Qwest Corporation
1600 7th Avenue, Room 3206
Seattle, WA 98191
(206) 345-1574

Blair A. Rosenthal
Qwest Corporation
1801 California Street, Suite 4900
Denver, Colorado 80202
(303) 672-2974

Attorneys for Qwest Corporation