Docket No. UW-170924 - Vol. III

Hand v. Rainier View Water Company, Inc.

July 25, 2018



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

Olympia | **360.534.9066** Spokane | **509.624.3261** National | **800.846.6989**

email: info@buellrealtime.com



1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	SARAH HAND,)
5	Complainant,)
6	vs.) Docket No. UW-170924
7	RAINIER VIEW WATER) COMPANY,)
8	COMPANT,
9	Respondent.)
10	
11	EVIDENTIARY HEARING, VOLUME III
12	Pages 47-227
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GREGORY J. KOPTA
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GREGORY J. KOPTA
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GREGORY J. KOPTA July 25, 2018
14 15	
14 15 16	July 25, 2018 9:30 A.M.
14 15 16 17	July 25, 2018 9:30 A.M. Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
14 15 16 17	July 25, 2018 9:30 A.M. Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
14 15 16 17 18	July 25, 2018 9:30 A.M. Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
14 15 16 17 18 19	July 25, 2018 9:30 A.M. Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504 REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	July 25, 2018 9:30 A.M. Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504 REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	July 25, 2018 9:30 A.M. Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504 REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 287-9066 Seattle
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	July 25, 2018 9:30 A.M. Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504 REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
4	GREGORY J. KOPTA Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
5	Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250
6	Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1136
7	
8	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
9	JEFF ROBERSON
10	Assistant Attorney General 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
11	PO Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504
12	(360) 664-1188 jroberso@utc.wa.gov
13	ji oboroo@ato.wa.gov
14	FOR COMPLAINANT:
15	NIGEL S. MALDEN Nigel Malden Law, PLLC
16	711 Court A Suite 200
17	Tacoma, Washington 98402 (253) 627-0393
18	nm@nigelmaldenlaw.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	FOR RAINIER VIEW WATER COMPANY, INC.:
3	DANIEL W. RANKIN
4	Preg O'Donnell & Gillett 901 Fifth Avenue
5	Suite 3400
6	Seattle, Washington 98164 (206) 287-1775
7	drankin@pregodonnell.com
8	RICHARD A. FINNIGAN
9	Attorney at Law 2112 Black Lake Boulevard Southwest
10	Olympia, Washington 98512 (360) 956-7001
11	rickfinn@localaccess.com
12	ALSO PRESENT:
13	SARAH HAND
14	GRETCHEN HAND
15	RACHEL STARK
16	ANNA LEE
17	Paralegal
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	EXAMINATION INDEX
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION OF ROBERT BLACKMAN PAGE By Mr. Malden
10	By Mr. Malden 162
11	By Mr. Roberson 175
12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION OF SARAH HAND By Mr. Rankin
18	EXHIBIT INDEX
19	EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION PAGE
20	SH-1T Testimony of Sarah Hand 77
21	SH-2 Photograph of Partial Container of Water 77
22	SH-3 Photograph of Full Container of Water 77
23	SH-4 Photograph of Liquid Bleach Portal 77
24	SH-5 Photograph of Water Pipes 77
25	SH-6 Photograph of Inside of Toilet Water Tank 77 (partially filled)

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)	
2	EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION PAG	SE.
3	SH-7 Photographs of Inside of Toilet Water Tank and Bowl	77
5	SH-8 Facebook Postings and Comments with Photographs (7/01/17)	77
6	SH-9 Reviews of Rainier View Water Company	77
7	SH-10 Southwood Water System Service Orders 6/01/2015-6/01/2017	77
9	SH-11 Rainier View Water Company Annual Water Quality Report: 2016	77
10 11	SH-12 Water Billing Statement for Gretchen/ Sarah Hand (6/01/2017)	7
12	SH-13 WUTC Case Report re: Complaint by Sarah Hand Against Rainier View Water Company (Activity Date 11/16/16)	77
14	SH-14 WUTC Case Report re: Complaint by Sarah Hand Against Rainier View Water Company (Activity Date 01/05/17)	77
15 16	SH-15 WUTC Open Meeting Partial Transcript (12/22/16)	77
17 18	SH-16 Email from Rachel Stark to 77 Bob@RainierViewWater.com re: Sarah Hand Complaint (4/18/17)	
19	SH-17 Southwood Water System Sanitary Survey Report (8/25/17)	77
20 21	SH-18 Deposition of Michael Means from DOH Drinking Water Division (8/30/17)	77
22	SH-19 Deposition of Robert James from DOH Drinking Water Division (8/30/17)	77
23 24	SH-20 Rainier View Water Company Annual Report for 2014 and 2015	ts 77
25		

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)	
2	EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION PA	GE
3	SH-21 DOH Consumer Confidence Report Certification Forms for Calendar Years	77
4	2014 and 2015 for Southwood Water System	
5	SH-22 Water Management Laboratories, Inc., Inorganic Chemicals Reports for Southwood Water Systems	77
7	SH-23 DOH Water Quality Exceedances for Southwood Water System	77
9	SH-24 KIRO 7 News Stories (10/21/15; 11/16/16)	77
10	SH-25 Compass Plumbing and Drain Services, LL Invoice to Sarah Hand (11/03/16)	C, 77
11	SH-26T Reply Testimony of Sarah Hand	77
12	SH-27 CV of Susan Evans (Rely Exh. 1)	77
13 14	SH-28 Spectra Background and Services re: Wate Testing Laboratory (Reply Exh. 2)	r 77
15	SH-29 Spectra Laboratory Water Report re: Sarah Hand's Residence (Reply Exh. 3)	77
16 17	SH-31 WUTC 2014 Investigation Report re: Rainier View Water Company (Reply Exh. 5)	77
18	SH-32X Deposition of Sarah Hand (4/20/2018) (Reply Exh. 6X)	77
19	SH-33X Apex Engineering Report (12/09/16)	77
20 21	SH-34X Excerpt from 2009 DOH Water System De Manual, "Secondary Contaminant Treatment Requirements and Options"	sign 77
22	SH-35X Blackman Declaration (5/09/17)	77
23	SH-36X Blackman Declaration (8/28/17)	77
24	SH-37X WUTC Hearing Transcript (6/16/17)	77
25		

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)	
2	EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION PAG	E
3	SH-38X 2012 Rainier View Annual Water Quality Report for Southwood Water System	77
45	SH-39X 2013 Rainier View Annual Water Quality Report for Southwood Water System	77
6 7	SH-40X 2014 Rainier View Annual Water Quality Report for Southwood Water System	77
8	SH-41X 2015 Rainier View Annual Water Quality Report for Southwood Water System	77
9	SH-42X 2017 Rainier View Annual Water Quality Report for Southwood Water System	77
L0	SH-44X WUTC Open Meeting Memo (6/16/17)	77
L1	SH-45X WUTC Open Meeting Memo (12/22/16)	77
L2 L3	SH-46X DOH Fact Sheet Color, Taste, and Odor Problems in Drinking Water (Jan. 2011)	77
L4 L5	SH-47X DOH Fact Sheet Color, Taste, and Odor Problems in Drinking Water (Feb. 2018)	77
L6 L7	SH-48X Emails from Washington Attorney General's Office and Kathryn McPherson to Bryan Smithson (Nov. 2016)	77
L 7	SH-53X EPA Secondary Drinking Water Standards: Guidance for Nuisance Chemicals	77
L9	SH-55X DOH Fact Sheet: Consumer Confidence Re	ports 77
20	SH-58X Hand RFP to RVWC 02-20-2018	77
21	SH-59X Hand RFP to RVWC 04-19-2018	77
22	SH-61X DOH Policy and Procedure re: Consumer Complaints	77
23 24	Sh-62X Evans Invoices re: Hand Resident Water Testing	139
25	BB-1T Testimony of Bob Blackman 77	

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)	
2	EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION PAGE	E
3	BB-2T Surreply Testimony of Bob Blackman 7	77
4	BB-3 Timeline (Surreply Exh. 1) 77	
5	BB-4 Fir Meadows 4 Iron & Manganese Treatment Results (Surreply Exh. 2)	77
7	BB-5 Rainier View Water Company Tariff WN U-2 (Surreply Exh. 3)	77
}	RS-1T Testimony of Rachel Stark 77	
	RS-2 Case Report for the Complaint of Sarah Hand	77
	RS-3 Email String Between Rachel Stark and Bob Blackman (11/16-18/16)	77
	RS-4 Email String Between Rachel Stark and Bob Blackman (11/22-28/16)	77
	RS-5 Email String Between Rachel Stark and Bob Blackman (12/6-8/16)	77
	RS-6 Email String Between John Cupp, Rachel Stark, and Virpi Salo-Zieman with Attachment (12/6/16)	77
3		
1		

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JULY 25, 2018
2	9:30 A.M.
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	
5	JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in
6	Docket UW-170924, captioned Hand versus Rainier View
7	Water Company.
8	I'm Gregory J. Kopta, the administrative law
9	judge who is assigned by the Commission to preside in
10	this proceeding.
11	We are here today for an evidentiary
12	hearing.
13	Let's begin by taking appearances, starting
14	with the complainant.
15	MR. MALDEN: My name is Nigel Malden.
16	JUDGE KOPTA: Make sure that your microphone
17	is on and that it's right in front of you.
18	MR. MALDEN: My name is Nigel Malden. I'm
19	an attorney representing Sarah Hand, the complainant,
20	and with me is my paralegal, Anna Lee, and the client,
21	Sarah Hand.
22	JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you.
23	And for the Company?
24	MR. RANKIN: Daniel Rankin, representing
25	Rainier View Water Company.

1	MR. FINNIGAN: And Richard Finnigan,
2	representing the Company.
3	JUDGE KOPTA: And for Commission Staff?
4	MR. ROBERSON: Jeff Roberson, assistant
5	attorney general for Staff.
6	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. There are have
7	been a number of exhibits that have been prefiled,
8	including testimony and cross-exhibits. I have
9	previously distributed to the parties an exhibit list,
10	and there's also an updated exhibit list that Mr. Malden
11	circulated. And have the parties had any discussion
12	about stipulating to the admission of any or all of the
13	exhibits on this list?
14	MR. RANKIN: We have not.
15	JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. My intent is, at this
16	point, for each party to offer into evidence the
17	exhibits that they have prefiled, and if there are
18	objections, then we will handle those at the moment.
19	So let's begin with Mr. Malden for Ms. Hand.
20	MR. MALDEN: The complainant would move to
21	admit all of the documentary and other exhibits listed
22	on the exhibit list submitted.
23	JUDGE KOPTA: And these would be documents
24	that have been marked as Exhibits SH-1T through SH-62X;
25	is that correct?

1	MR. MALDEN: Yes.
2	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Are there any
3	objections to any of those exhibits?
4	MR. RANKIN: I do have a number of
5	objections.
6	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then let's proceed
7	with those. Why don't you tell me which ones right now
8	so that I have a laundry list and we'll know how to
9	proceed. Some of them may be lumped together if there
LO	are several with a similar objection.
L1	MR. RANKIN: Yeah, I do have
L2	unfortunately, I only brought one extra copy, but I've
L3	got a list that I can just hand to you, if you'd prefer
L4	that, of of the exhibits I have objections to.
L5	JUDGE KOPTA: Well, for purposes of having
L6	everybody in the room know what's going on, why don't
L7	you just tell me what the numbers are, and I can put a
L8	checkmark by it on my exhibit list.
L9	MR. RANKIN: Sure. There are portions of
20	SH-1T and portions of SH-26T, which are the
21	complainant's testimony and reply testimony; SH-29,
22	SH-43X, 49X, 50X, 51X, 52X, 54X, 56X, 57X, 60X, and 62X.
23	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. And shall we take
24	them in numerical order? Why don't you identify those
25	portions of Ms. Hand's direct testimony and basis of

1 your objection. 2 MR. RANKIN: Starting on page 11, lines 20 3 through 25, I believe this is a legal opinion based on 4 expert opinions that Ms. Hand is not qualified to 5 testify to. 6 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Malden? 7 MR. MALDEN: If I can ask you to repeat 8 that, Mr. Rankin. You're talking about page 11 at what line? 10 MR. RANKIN: Starting at line 20, the 11 question is, (as read) Was Rainier View, in fact, in 12 full compliance with all DOH water quality standards? 13 MR. MALDEN: Okay. Thank you. I don't 14 think it needs an expert to read Rainier View's own 15 documents and see that they admit violation of the 16 secondary contaminant level requirement of the law, and 17 that's what she's saying. 18 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, we allow witnesses to 19 opine on legal subjects all the time and just take into 20 consideration the weights to be accorded, so I will not 21 strike that portion of her testimony. Next? 22 MR. RANKIN: The next is the last line on 23 page 13, and this is essentially the same argument. The 24 complainant is referring to reports without identifying

the reports and alleging, based on her opinion, a

1 violation of the WACs. 2 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. Again, I will make the 3 same ruling. To the extent that you want to explore her 4 knowledge or basis of her opinion, you may do that on 5 cross. 6 MR. RANKIN: Likewise, for pages 14, 15, and 7 16, this is a series of WACs and a portion of the DOH policy -- I'm sorry, water system design manual that she has opined various violations of without any 10 qualification to render such an opinion. 11 JUDGE KOPTA: Same ruling. 12 MR. RANKIN: That is all for SH-1T. 13 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. Then we move to SH-26T, 14 which is her reply testimony. 15 MR. RANKIN: Starting on page 3, lines 18 16 through 28, this testimony is hearsay as well as expert 17 engineering testimony for which the complainant is not 18 qualified to offer. 19 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Well, we allow 20 hearsay and just consider it whatever -- whatever weight 21 that I think is appropriate, so I will not strike that 22 portion of her testimony. 23 MR. RANKIN: Moving to page 4, lines 8 24 through 19. This is, again, engineering analysis

offered by the complainant without qualifications to

Ü

render such an expert opinion.

JUDGE KOPTA: Again, I will leave that and allow you to explore her knowledge or basis for making that testimony on cross if you so choose.

MR. RANKIN: Likewise, for page 5, beginning at line 4, all the way through line 7 of page 6. This is further engineering and legal analysis offered by the complainant without qualifications to offer such opinions.

JUDGE KOPTA: Same ruling.

MR. RANKIN: Moving to page 7, starting at lines 10 through 18. I believe this is entirely irrelevant to the complaint. Ms. Hand is offering testimony reading apparently context into a 2014 UTC investigation report that does not prove or disprove any of her claims here today.

JUDGE KOPTA: Well, I believe she's responding to Mr. Blackman's testimony, and to that extent, I think she's entitled to do that. So I will not strike that portion of her testimony.

MR. RANKIN: On page 8, from lines 8 through line 6 of page 9, these are, again, legal conclusions as well as hearsay legal conclusions for which the complainant is not qualified to offer.

JUDGE KOPTA: And I will not strike it. You

1 can explore on cross if you choose. 2 MR. RANKIN: Understood. 3 We can move on to Exhibit 43X. 4 JUDGE KOPTA: What about 29? 5 MR. RANKIN: Oh, I'm sorry. 6 JUDGE KOPTA: SH-29. At least I have that 7 marked down. 8 MR. RANKIN: Yeah, you're right. You're 9 right. We move to strike Exhibit 29 on the basis that 10 it is an expert opinion offered without qualifications 11 to do so. Additionally, it lacks any foundation. The 12 testing and sampling methods, there's no explanation as 13 to how they were done, how the methods were derived, and 14 there's no foundational support for those methods used. 15 We believe that they are unreliable and done in such a 16 way to produce a skewed result. 17 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Malden? 18 MR. MALDEN: Well, the test results, the 19 documentation that contains the test results, is 20 basically every bit as good as any of the test results 21 they've ever supplied. They provide the public with 22 annual reports that purport to set forth a measurement. 23 They don't explain how many samples they took. They 24 don't explain if they're averaging anything. And the 25 public is expected to rely on their test reports.

In this particular case, I was asked to produce the document. It's something that I was advised that it was important to fact finding, and so it should be produced. Ms. Hand has explained her observation of what took place. I understand that it would be nice to have an expert in here to explain everything in detail, but that would cost thousands of dollars to do, which is completely inappropriate in a customer or consumer complaint scenario like this.

So given the applicable rules that apply, given the facts and circumstances, we ask to -- that you admit the document. And if you consider at the conclusion of the case what -- it would be up to you to consider how much weight to give it in the context of everything, but it should be admitted.

JUDGE KOPTA: Well, I agree with Mr. Malden. I will consider your arguments and as to the weight to give to this particular report. But I did request it, essentially, by requiring that it be produced in discovery, and so I think that it is potentially useful for making the determinations that I need to make here. But I understand your objections and the concerns you have, and I will consider those in deciding how much weight to give that particular report.

MR. RANKIN: Understood.

Now we move to Exhibit 43X. Exhibit 43X is a City of Olympia drinking water fact sheet. Neither the complainant nor respondent are subject to City of Olympia jurisdiction. They offer this as some alternative to the state-imposed and federally-imposed regulations without really any basis for doing so.

JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Malden?

MR. MALDEN: Your Honor, I offer this in part to demonstrate what a responsible water utility does in advising the public about the potential risk of manganese in the drinking water. Rainier View has, for years, guaranteed the public that this water is safe to drink in any quantity by anyone and that manganese has no effect on anyone. In fact, they've said that in the papers they're submitting today.

So customers of Rainier View are getting completely different information about the potential risk of manganese in the drinking water than people that live right here in Olympia. This is not a piece of paper that I created. This is a piece of paper that was apparently created by the City of Olympia in conjunction with the State DOH, and I think it's great material to use in cross to ask Mr. Blackman whether he's familiar with this information, whether he knew it, and whether

_

he opted to go ahead and assure Ms. Hand and others that they can drink manganese in any quantity with no safety risk whatsoever.

JUDGE KOPTA: Well, I will not make a ruling on this at this point. This is a cross exhibit, and I think it's incumbent upon you to demonstrate that the witness has some personal knowledge of this document. If he does, then we can decide whether it should be admitted. If he doesn't, then it will not. So we'll wait until cross to see how you use it on cross. Is that -- Mr. Rankin?

MR. RANKIN: Understood.

Looking at Exhibit 49, this is a photo of a WinCo Foods water bottle. My client has no relation to WinCo Foods, my client does not bottle water. Quite honestly, this has no relevance whatsoever to this matter.

MR. MALDEN: Your Honor, Neil Richardson serves on the board of Rainier View, and I believe that I'm entitled to ask Mr. Blackman, are they deriving the natural spring water from the very same source as the water they are delivering to Ms. Hand. And if that is so, why are they able to have the natural spring water maintain a level of purity, but they can't with the other.

In addition to that, Mr. Blackman has basically said in his direct testimony that there's absolutely no way that a water company could exist without a tariff, and I'd like to ask -- to do business without a tariff, and I'd like to ask Mr. Blackman under oath whether Richardson Bottling Company operates under the same tariff as Rainier View. And if it doesn't, or if it -- if it does, how are they able to sell natural spring water.

The bottom line is that Neil Richardson is owner, board member of Rainier View, and they are selling natural spring water, we believe, from the same source, making all different kinds of representations as to its quality, making all different kinds of representations as to the safety of the Firwood Meadows water.

Let's remember that in the annual report,
Rainier View says, we guarantee you that this is this
best, highest quality water from any possible source we
can get. I'm paraphrasing, but that's the gist of it.
How is it, then, that the water that they bottle and
sell separately, why is it such a radically different
quality?

It's relevant. We have one company, one person, that's selling this water to people, and they're

1	making radically different representations as to its
2	quality, and I think we are entitled to know the source
3	of this water. And why it is that it is marketed and
4	sold under different pretenses than water sold to the
5	other Rainier View customers?
6	It also shows, by the way, that Rainier View
7	is competing against itself. It's a it's a
8	controlled market where it competes against itself,
9	selling one category of water to people simultaneously
10	without another category of water.
11	JUDGE KOPTA: Well, I am inclined to think
12	that that's a bit far afield, but we can deal with that
13	in your questioning. I don't see any value in this
14	exhibit, so I will agree with Mr. Rankin, and I will not
15	admit Exhibit 49X.
16	MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
17	50 is essentially the same argument, that
18	Mountain Mist is an entirely separate business entity.
19	That there may be a common owner, does not make Mountain
20	Mist a party to this proceeding, and this also has no
21	relevance to Ms. Hand's claims.
22	JUDGE KOPTA: I'm going to make the same
23	ruling on that. I'm assuming your argument's
24	essentially the same?
25	MR. MALDEN: Essentially the same, but I

1	did I did want to ask Mr. Blackman under oath what				
2	water, if any, is passing through the filtration system.				
3	Excuse me, how much of the water sold by Mountain Mist				
4	is passing through the filtration system that Sarah Hand				
5	and her neighbors and the others are paying for.				
6	JUDGE KOPTA: And you can ask that question,				
7	and I don't think you need this exhibit to do that. So,				
8	again, I will reject this exhibit.				
9	MR. RANKIN: Likewise, for Exhibit 51X, this				
10	goes to that same argument, that it's not relevant to				
11	Ms. Hand's claims.				
12	JUDGE KOPTA: Yeah, I'm going to reject that				
13	one too. I don't see any real utility to that.				
14	MR. RANKIN: Exhibit 52X is an email				
15	communication that in the content of the email,				
16	expressly states that it is not related to the Southwood				
17	Water System and, therefore, I believe has no relevance				
18	to Ms. Hand's claims.				
19	JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Malden?				
20	MR. MALDEN: I think if we get into what the				
21	DOH told the UTC at a hearing, the DOH represented to				
22	the UTC that they were only aware of one complaint, and				
23	Mr. Blackman made the same point in his testimony, that				
24	no one's ever complained to the DOH. This document				

shows that, in fact, the complaint that was made to the

2.0

DOH, the complaint is that Rainier View responds to a
phone call about discolored brown water by saying it's
perfectly safe, and hangs up. Mr. Blackman is going to
be advising us about the -- this rigorous complaint
procedure they follow where supposedly all these
customer complaints are tracked and logged.

The reality is that that's not what has happened. And that email that we obtained from the DOH, we wouldn't have obtained it from Rainier View. That shows what actually goes on with Rainier View, that you have people calling that are hung up on.

Again, that's not an email that I created or I found from anywhere other than the original source, which is the DOH. Mr. Blackman is personally responding to it. Mr. Blackman makes representations in that email that are important, and at a hearing with a relaxed standard of hearsay, I think it should be -- I should be able to use it at least for cross. Now, whether it's admitted at the end of the hearing, of course, that's a different matter. I want to be able to use it for cross, though.

JUDGE KOPTA: Well, Mr. Blackman is on this document, and since he is subject to cross-examination, I will reserve ruling on this until it's presented and then at that time, see how it's used and make a ruling.

MR. RANKIN: Exhibit 54X is a Children's

Health some sort of academic article discussing
school-aged children exposed to manganese. Presumably,
the purpose of this is to impose a higher standard than
the state of Washington imposes.

There is no way to test this. It was submitted, I believe, yesterday, and on that basis alone, it should be stricken. But as a matter of relevance, it does not impose any standard on my client today, and it is not a standard imposed in Washington.

JUDGE KOPTA: Yes, I agree. This came in late, and even on that basis, that would be sufficient to exclude it unless there is some reason that you can show me that you were not aware of this article until yesterday, which given that it was published several years ago, I doubt that you can do that. And I don't really see that an article from a magazine is going to be terribly illuminating when it comes to making the determinations that I have to make in this case. So I will reject that one and also the same for Exhibit 56X. Both of those articles I will reject.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you.

Looking at Exhibit 57, this is an epa.gov, looks like a snippet from the website discussing turbidity. First, this docket was filed late and could

1	be excluded on that basis; secondly, turbidity is not a		
2	claim presented by Ms. Hand; and third, turbidity is not		
3	a testing requirement that my client is subject to, and		
4	turbidity is limited to surface water sources only, and		
5	the source at issue here is a ground water source.		
6	JUDGE KOPTA: And I believe she quoted this		
7	in her testimony, did she not?		
8	MR. MALDEN: This particular definition, no,		
9	she didn't. She actually didn't. The reason that I put		
LO	it in there is just because it did come from the EPA,		
L1	and I thought if we were going to be discussing		
L2	turbidity, we might want an agreed definition, and I		
L3	think this is an agreed definition.		
L4	JUDGE KOPTA: Well, this isn't this comes		
L5	from an EPA website, and the Commission can take		
L6	official notice of those types of things if it chooses		
L7	to. You can ask for that if it comes up during cross,		
L8	but at this point, I'm not going to admit it.		
L9	And I believe 60 60X is the next.		
20	MR. RANKIN: 60X is the next one. This is		
21	an email between Richard Finnigan and John Cupp. Again,		
22	this was filed late, could be excluded on that basis. I		
23	also believe it is irrelevant to Ms. Hand's claims.		
24	This is discussing a Channel 7 News article and a notice		

that went out to the public regarding a surcharge

extension. 1 2 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Malden? 3 MR. MALDEN: This is an email that --4 written by Mr. Finnigan, who's sitting at counsel table. 5 JUDGE KOPTA: That's the bridge line. 6 MR. MALDEN: Oh, okay. This email was 7 written by Mr. Finnigan himself, and I would think he 8 would have -- he would know the contents and the idea of unfair surprise, therefore, it should be given no 10 traction. The relevance of this document is that 11 Mr. Finnigan, if you'll note, an official representation 12 of fact made to the UTC, the customer could have avoided 13 any problems by cleaning the screen on her pressure 14 reducing valve. 15 During the course of this hearing, I do want 16 to question Mr. Blackman about whether he agrees with 17 that, that all of these problems regarding brown water 18 and excessive manganese, that that's all she'd have to 19 do and there would have been no problem. I don't think 20 it's a true statement, and I think I should be allowed 21 to ask Mr. Blackman whether he's read it, whether he's 22 familiar with it, and whether he agrees with it. 23 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, you can ask, but I don't 24 really think that we need the email as part of the

record for doing that, so I will not admit that exhibit.

_

MR. RANKIN: Finally, 62X appears to be a number of invoices from ESI, which is the testing or engineering service retained, I believe, before this proceeding began by complainant's counsel. I don't really believe that invoices have any relevance whatsoever on this proceeding, and it should be stricken on that basis as well as late filing.

JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Malden?

MR. MALDEN: Yes, Mr. Blackman made a point in his reply testimony to say that part of this tariff program includes the provision of a complaint procedure for the customer, which he understands to be very efficient and far less expensive than any alternative.

And so I'm intending to ask Mr. Blackman about, well, what does it cost to conduct testing and are you familiar with what it costs to conduct sampling with an industrial hygiene expert.

This is directly relevant to Rainier View's statement that an administrative process is far superior because it's far less expensive and, therefore, easier for customers and consumers to follow. I don't think that's true. I think the fact that we've had to spend \$4,000 to get a test shows that, and I also think that under the court rules, if you find in favor of Ms. Hand, you are authorized to award the cost of testing as a

1 cost and, therefore, it should be in the record. 2 JUDGE KOPTA: You're going to have to 3 convince me that the Commission has the authority to do 4 that. I will reserve ruling on that. 5 MR. ROBERSON: Your Honor, if I may. I 6 think that provision that Mr. Malden is speaking about 7 is in 80.04.110. It's in the complaint statute. There 8 is some provision for authorizing test expenses. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you. 10 Then if it is something that was -- is 11 within the Commission's ability to do, then we can 12 consider that. So at this point, it did come in late, 13 but I will reserve ruling on it until you have your 14 questions. If Mr. Blackman, again, if he is not 15 familiar with these documents, then I'm not sure that 16 that's the way you can get it in, but we will see. 17 Those are all for you, Mr. Rankin? 18 MR. RANKIN: That's my list, thank you. JUDGE KOPTA: And did you have any, 19 2.0 Mr. Roberson? 21 MR. ROBERSON: You've dealt with my 22 objections, Your Honor. 23 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. I have a couple. You 24 will notice that I highlighted on the exhibit list 25 Exhibits SH-18 and SH-19. In the Commission's records.

1	there are only cover pages for those exhibits, and so I				
2	don't see that they have any utility in this proceeding.				
3	Were you intending to provide something to go with the				
4	cover page and we just didn't get it?				
5	MR. MALDEN: It was our intention to provide				
6	excerpts from those deposition pages. We are also open				
7	to submitting the whole deposition. I have had				
8	conversations with Mr. Rankin about the most efficient				
9	way, whether we should I highlight one section, he				
LO	highlights another or how we should go about doing it.				
L1	I actually				
L2	Did we submit excerpts?				
L3	MS. LEE: We submitted Michael Means at				
L4	SH-30.				
L5	MR. MALDEN: Okay. My paralegal has				
L6	clarified for me that with regard to Mr. Means in				
L7	particular, we do think we did include those excerpts,				
L8	but we designated it SH-30. So I do think that some of				
L9	the deposition testimony of both Mr. Means and Mr. James				
20	is relevant to the proceeding, may be of help to you in				
21	making your ruling, has been commented on to some degree				
22	by Mr. Blackman in his testimony.				
23	So the bottom line here is, that we would				
24	like to either stick with the excerpts that we believe				

we submitted but wrongly labeled or submit the whole

1 deposition depending on the preference of the other 2 counsel as well as yourself. 3 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, if we already have it in 4 SH-30, I don't think that we need to have a cover page 5 in SH-18, so I will not admit SH-18. 6 I am concerned with trying to bring in 7 evidence after this hearing. The whole reason that we 8 have prefiled testimony is so that we have all of the exhibits when we are considering them in the evidentiary 10 hearing. 11 Mr. Rankin, I would welcome your comments in 12 terms of what your expectation is in terms of the 13 deposition transcripts from Mr. James. 14 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Malden and I had previously 15 spoken about allowing the entire deposition transcripts 16 into evidence, because I think that if you're going to 17 look at an excerpt, I think the full document provides 18 necessary context. I don't recall immediately which 19 excerpt is included in No. 30, but based on the 20 discussion with counsel, I think we were both operating 21 under the understanding that the entire transcript would 22 come in. 23 JUDGE KOPTA: For both Mr. Means and 24 Mr. James?

MR. RANKIN: That's correct.

1 JUDGE KOPTA: So would that be an amendment 2 to SH-30, which it only has excerpts? 3 MR. RANKIN: I think we can do it as an 4 amendment to SH-30 or as 18 and 19 and submitting the 5 full transcript, which we could do, you know, during a 6 lunch break today if need be. 7 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. And, Mr. Roberson, do 8 have any... MR. ROBERSON: I think it may be helpful for 10 the Commission to see what happened at DOH. I have no 11 objection to the full transcripts coming in. 12 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Well, this is what 13 I'm going to do. I am not going to admit SH-30. I will 14 provisionally admit SH-18 and SH-19 with the 15 understanding that the parties will provide those 16 documents to the record center and identify as those 17 exhibits. As long as there are no objections, then I 18 will admit them on that basis. 19 So I will recap. I admit the Exhibits SH-1T 20 through SH-29; I reject SH-30; I admit Exhibits SH-31 21 through SH-42X; I reserve ruling on SH-43X; I admit 22 Exhibits SH-44X through 48X; I reject Exhibits SH-49X 23 through 51X; I reserve ruling on Exhibit SH-52X; I admit 24 Exhibit SH-53X; I reject Exhibit SH-54X; I admit Exhibit

SH-55X; I reject SH-56X and SH-57X; I admit Exhibits

1	SH-58X and 59X; I reject Exhibit SH-60X; I admit Exhibit	
2	SH-61X; and I reserve ruling on Exhibit SH-62X.	
3	(Exhibits SH-1T through SH-29, SH-31 through	
4	SH-42X, SH-44X through SH-48X, SH-53X, SH-55X, SH-58X,	
5	SH-59X, and SH-61X admitted.)	
6	JUDGE KOPTA: Which brings us to the	
7	exhibits for Rainier View, Mr. Rankin.	
8	MR. RANKIN: I move to offer into evidence	
9	Exhibits BB-1T through BB-5.	
LO	JUDGE KOPTA: Any objection?	
L1	MR. MALDEN: No objection.	
L2	MR. ROBERSON: No.	
L3	JUDGE KOPTA: Then those exhibits are	
L4	admitted.	
L5	(Exhibits BB-1T through BB-5 admitted.)	
L6	JUDGE KOPTA: And, Mr. Roberson.	
L7	MR. ROBERSON: Staff offers Exhibits RS-1T	
L8	through RS-6.	
L9	JUDGE KOPTA: Any exhibit any objection	
20	to those exhibits?	
21	MR. MALDEN: No objection.	
22	MR. RANKIN: No objection.	
23	JUDGE KOPTA: Then they are admitted.	
24	(Exhibits RS-1T through RS-6 admitted.)	
25	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. That takes care of	

1	our exhibits. Anything else that we need to address				
2	before we go to cross-examination?				
3	MR. MALDEN: No.				
4	JUDGE KOPTA: None? Then I believe				
5	Mr. Blackman is scheduled first.				
6	MR. BLACKMAN: Where would you like me to				
7	sit?				
8	JUDGE KOPTA: Good question.				
9	MR. FINNIGAN: You can sit here.				
LO	MR. BLACKMAN: Okay.				
L1	MR. MALDEN: Excuse me. Can we have one				
L2	moment to discuss?				
L3	JUDGE KOPTA: Sure. Yes. Let's be off the				
L4	record.				
L5	(Pause in the proceedings.)				
L6					
L7	ROBERT BLACKMAN, witness herein, having been				
L8	first duly sworn on oath,				
L9	was examined and testified				
20	as follows:				
21					
22	JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Rankin, Mr. Blackman's				
23	exhibits have been admitted. Do you have anything				
24	further before he's available for cross-examination?				
25	MR. RANKIN: No, I do not.				

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN 1 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Malden. 2 MR. MALDEN: Thank you. 3 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. MALDEN: 6 Q. Mr. Blackman, I'd like to start by just asking 7 you a few background questions. You've worked at Rainier View for over 30 years? 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. You're currently employed there as general 11 manager? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. This is a full-time, 40-hour-a-week job? 14 A. Minimum of 40 hours, yes. 15 Q. Your duties include overseeing daily operations? A. Yes. 16 17 Q. Your duties include ensuring compliance with DOH 18 regulations? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. How long have your duties included ensuring 21 compliance with DOH regulations? 22 A. Probably 20 years or better. 23 Q. How do you ensure compliance with DOH 24 regulations? 25 A. In our -- all our sampling is submitted to DOH,

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN

L	we get reports saying what needs to be sampled, what
2	wells, what product or what what we're sampling
3	for, and so far we've been in compliance.
1	Q. Do you personally take the samples or do you

A. We have employees that do that.

rely on third parties to do that for you?

- Q. Do you oversee daily maintenance and operation of the wells?
- 9 A. I don't personally see it, but I am in charge of 10 it.
 - Q. Are you also in charge or overseeing testing of water quality?
- 13 **A. Yes.**

5

6

7

8

11

12

14

15

16

20

- Q. And you oversee quality of the water supply to the customer, don't you?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. You oversee customer water quality complaints, don't you?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. You attend Rainier View board meetings on a regular basis, don't you?
- 22 **A. I do.**
- Q. You give reports to the Rainier View board on a regular basis, don't you?
- 25 **A. I do.**

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN

		WINATION OF BEACKWAN / WALDEN
1	Q.	You have given reports on water quality to the
2	Raini	er View board on a regular basis, haven't you?
3	A.	I have.
4	Q.	Have you also reported on water quality
5	complaints that you've received from customers to the	
6	Rainier View board?	
7	A.	I've raised concerns about water quality, yes.
8	Q.	You've raised concerns about water quality
9	during meetings of the board of Rainier View; is that	
10	right?	
11	A.	That's right.
12	Q.	Have you done that on a frequent basis?
13	A.	When needed, I discuss it.
14	Q.	You decide when it's needed?
15	A.	Usually, yes.
16	Q.	You also have attended UTC hearings or meetings
17	on a	regular basis, haven't you?
18	A.	I'm not sure what "regular" means, but when
19	requ	ested, I am here.
20	Q.	How many times have you in the last ten years
21	have you come here to the UTC and made a statement on	
22	the record of some type?	

A. On water quality or for...

23

- Q. On either water quality or on a proposed
- surcharge or in any other context.

1		
1	Α.	Probably in the last ten years, half a dozen
2	times	5.
3	Q.	And during any of those half-dozen times that
4	you'v	re come to strike that.
5		You've come to the UTC to provide them with
6	inforr	nation; is that right?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	And during any of those times that you've been
9	here	to the UTC, has anyone ever asked you to testify
10	unde	r oath before?
11	A.	Not in those proceedings.
12	Q.	Would it be fair to state that all of the
13	state	ments you've made to the UTC except for what you're
14	doing	today has never been under oath?
15	A.	That's correct.
16	Q.	You are familiar with the RCWs which apply to
17	wate	r quality?
18	A.	Most of them. I don't I'm not as familiar
19	with	the numbers as I maybe could be, but I understand
20	most	t of them.
21	Q.	So you're say are you saying that you're not
22	totall	y familiar with precisely what the RCWs say that
23	gove	rn water quality?
24		MR. RANKIN: Objection. Misstates his
25	testin	nony.

JUDGE KOPTA: Would you like to rephrase

2 | that?

3

5

6

MR. MALDEN: Let me ask a different

4 | question.

BY MR. MALDEN:

Q. Are you familiar with the Washington

Administrative Code sections that apply to water

8 | quality?

- A. I am familiar with them.
- 10 Q. You're familiar with all of them?
- A. I am familiar with where to look them up, and I
- 12 don't have them all memorized, but I am familiar with

13 | them.

- 14 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to Sarah Hand
- 15 | Exhibit 35X.
 - A. Where do I find that?
- MR. MALDEN: For the record, this is the
- declaration that was submitted in support of Defendant,
- 19 | Rainier View Water Company's, motion for summary
- 20 | judgment.
- 21 BY MR. MALDEN:
- Q. If you could take a moment and look at that
- document. Do you recognize this document?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. This is a written statement that you signed on

	EXAI	WIINATION OF BLACKWAN / WALDEN
1	May	9, 2017?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	You read it before you signed it?
4	A.	Yes, I have. I must have. I'm sure I did.
5	Q.	And you signed it under penalty of perjury,
6	didn't	t you?
7	A.	I don't know about that.
8	Q.	I'd like to direct your well, interesting.
9	l'd lik	e to direct your attention to the last page, page
10	12. I	t looks like you didn't sign under penalty of
11	perju	ry. You knew that this statement, though excuse
12	me.	Let me rephrase that.
13		You knew that your statement here was going to
14	be su	bmitted to the judge in the Pierce County Court
15	case	, didn't you?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	And you knew that the judge was going to rely on
18	what	you said?
19	A.	I would assume so.
20	Q.	You knew that your attorney was going to ask the
21	judge	e to dismiss Sarah Hand's lawsuit based in part on
22	your	statement, didn't you?
23	A.	Yes.

Q. I'd like to direct your attention to paragraph 6 at page 2 of the declaration. This statement reads, (as

24

	EXAMINATION OF BEACKWAN / WALDEN
1	read) Manganese and iron are classified by state and
2	federal agencies as secondary contaminants.
3	Now, that's a true statement, isn't it?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. The second sentence reads, (as read) Secondary
6	contaminants are deemed not to be health hazards and
7	maximum contaminant level guidelines are not
8	enforceable.
9	That's actually a false statement, isn't it,
10	Mr. Finnigan? Excuse me. Isn't it, Mr. Blackman? I'm
11	sorry.
12	MR. FINNIGAN: I'd be happy to respond.
13	A. I am not aware of the enforceable or you
14	know. We've never had an issue with it, so I can't say
15	that it's not enforceable, but
16	BY MR. MALDEN:
17	Q. It's not up to you to decide whether or not to
18	comply with the maximum secondary contaminant level set
19	forth in the WAC, is it?
20	A. No, because I don't set it. I just
21	Q. Because secondary contaminant levels are
22	enforceable in the state of Washington, aren't they,
23	Mr. Blackman?
24	A. Yes, if the Department of Health does get enough

complaints, they can require utility to look at putting

1	a trea	atment on.
2	Q.	Are you saying that one of the reasons that the
3	DOH	might enforce a secondary complaint excuse me, a
4	comp	plaint over secondary contaminants is if there's been
5	a cus	tomer complaint?
6	A.	Or the utility decides to do it themselves.
7	Q.	You understand, don't you, that whether or not
8	there	's been customer complaints about water quality is
9	impo	rtant to the DOH?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	It's also important to the UTC, isn't it?
12	A.	I would hope so.
13	Q.	A few more questions on background.
14		Are you paid an annual salary or an hourly wage?
15	A.	Monthly.
16	Q.	Is that
17	A.	Salary.
18	Q.	Pardon me?
19	A.	Salary.
20	Q.	And what is your salary?
21		MR. RANKIN: Objection. I don't understand
22	how	that's relevant here.
23		MR. MALDEN: He's brought before the hearing
24	as a	representative of the company, and we're entitled

to know whatever potential financial bias he may have.

1	His comp	ensation	from th	e compan	y is re	levant.
---	----------	----------	---------	----------	---------	---------

- JUDGE KOPTA: I'll sustain the objection. I
- 3 don't see that it's germane.
- 4 BY MR. MALDEN:

2

7

- 5 Q. Do you operate -- do you have any ownership
- 6 interest in Rainier View?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. What is your ownership interest in Rainier View?
 - A. Roughly 7 percent.
- 10 Q. Do you recall when I asked you the question
- 11 under oath at your deposition whether you owned --
- 12 whether you had an ownership interest in Rainier View?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. And how did you answer it when I asked you at
- 15 your deposition?
- 16 A. I answered that I don't have any ownership.
- 17 Q. That was a false statement at your deposition,
- 18 wasn't it, Mr. Blackman?
- 19 A. It wasn't correct. I was not aware of having 20 that ownership.
- 21 Q. You didn't know that you owned stock in the 22 company?
- 23 A. I did not. I was -- the owner came to me and 24 told me he was going to provide me a pension, and I just said thank you very much. I didn't question how he was

1	going	g to provide it so
2	Q.	The owner being Neil Richardson?
3	A.	Neil Richardson.
4	Q.	Does your compensation plan include the
5	possi	ibility of getting a bonus at the end of the year?
6	A.	No, it doesn't.
7	Q.	Does any of your compensation from Rainier
8	View	or strike that.
9		Does customer satisfaction factor into your
10	comp	pensation with Rainier View in any way?
11	A.	No, it doesn't.
12	Q.	So okay. Neil Richardson who is Neil
13	Richa	ardson?
14	A.	Neil Richardson was the president of Rainier
15	View	Water Company.
16	Q.	Does he also own Richardson Bottling Company?
17	A.	I'm really not aware of how that's set up. I
18	knov	v he founded it.
19	Q.	Where does Richardson Bottling Company get its
20	wate	r?
21		MR. RANKIN: Objection. My client doesn't
22	work	for Richardson Bottling Company.
23		JUDGE KOPTA: If you know.
24	A.	They get it from their own source.
25	BYM	IR. MALDEN:

- 1 Q. And what source is that?
 - A. They have two wells.

2

3

5

7

- Q. Where are those two wells?
- 4 A. They're onsite, by the plant.
 - Q. Where are the two wells?
- 6 A. They're in approximately, what -- they're at
 - the -- in Graham. They're at -- onsite on their own property.
- 9 Q. What are those two wells called?
- 10 A. I -- Well 1 and Well 2.
- 11 Q. What is their address?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. So the source of the water sold by Richardson
- 14 | Bottling Company is not in Spanaway; is that right?
- 15 A. It's Spanaway, Graham. It's unincorporated
- 16 | Pierce County, so there's no definitive boundaries.
- Q. Where does Richardson Bottling filter its water?
- 18 **A. Filter?**
- 19 Q. Yes. Or does it?
- 20 A. I -- I -- from what I've heard, they do
- 21 ozonation, they do it onsite.
- 22 Q. Rainier View is a water purveyor under
- Washington State law; is that right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. And the water that you sell is required to meet

1	qı	ual	lity	sta	nd	ar	ds	?

- A. Correct.
- Q. The quality standards are set forth in
- 4 WAC 246-290-310.
 - A. Okay.

2

3

5

6

- Q. Is that correct?
- 7 A. Lassume so.
- 8 Q. You're not familiar with the -- with number
- 9 | 246-290-310?
- 10 A. I don't -- I am familiar with the WAC numbers.
- 11 I don't recall what they -- exactly what they say or
- 12 which WAC refers to a certain thing.
- Q. And that's even though you've been working with
- 14 the WACs for over 30 years?
- A. Yes. I know where to look them up if I need to look it up.
- Q. The quality standards set forth in WAC
- 18 246-290-310 include maximum contaminant levels for
- 19 primary contaminants, don't they?
- 20 **A. Yeah.**

- Q. Is that -- is that a "yes"?
- 22 A. That's -- I'll -- I'll assume that's correct.
- Q. When you say you'll assume it's correct, it is
- 24 correct, isn't it? I mean, you know that's correct?
 - A. I don't have it in front of me, so I don't -- I

1	can't confirm that those are the right numbers.
2	Q. The standards set forth in WAC 246-290-310
3	include maximum contaminant levels for secondary
4	contaminants, don't they?
5	JUDGE KOPTA: He's already testified that he
6	is not able to recall that particular rule, so I'm not
7	sure that this is a germane, useful level of inquiry.
8	BY MR. MALDEN:
9	Q. Do you know how the Washington Administrative
10	Code defines "contaminant," Mr. Blackman?
11	A. Not exactly. Not verbatim.
12	Q. Okay. Let's forget verbatim. Can you tell us
13	approximately how the Washington Administrative Code
14	defines "contaminant" under Washington State law?
15	A. I I I assume it's done by the they take
16	the EPA's the Safe Drinking Water Act and use their
17	regulations as the to enforce it.
18	Q. I'm asking you, though, for the definition
19	A. I don't know
20	Q of contaminant.
21	A the definition of contaminant.
22	Q. Maybe this will help you. 246-290-010, paren
23	54, defines contaminant as a substance present in
24	drinking water that may adversely affect the health of

the consumer or the aesthetic qualities of the water.

1	Did	you	know	that?
---	-----	-----	------	-------

- A. I've read that.
- Q. The maximum secondary contaminant level for manganese is 0.05 milligrams per liter, isn't it?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

13

- Q. Since May of 2015, Rainier View has been supplying running water to the Sarah Hand residence, hasn't it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And all of this water has been supplied for human consumption, hasn't it?
- 12 **A. Yes.**
 - Q. Do you know how "human consumption" is defined under the Washington Administrative Code?
- 15 A. No, I'm not -- I don't.
- 16 Q. Let me see if I can help you.
- WAC 246-290-133. Excuse me, 246-290-010, paren 133, defines human consumption as the use of the water for drinking, bathing, or showering, hand washing, food preparation, cooking, or oral hygiene, okay?
- 21 **A. Okay.**
- Q. Now, all of the water supplied to Sarah Hand by
 Rainier View since 2015 has been sold by you as fit for
 human consumption, hasn't it?
- A. Yes, it has.

1	Q.	Sarah Hand is required by law to have running
2	wateı	r at her house, isn't she?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And if Sarah Hand wants running water, she has
5	to bu	y it from you, doesn't she?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	You have no competitors offering to supply Sarah
8	Hand	l with running water, do you?
9	A.	No, we don't.
10	Q.	If Sarah Hand does not like the quality of your
11	wateı	r, she still has to buy from you, doesn't she?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	If Sarah Hand does not like the quality of your
14	servi	ce, she still has to buy from you, doesn't she?
15	A.	Correct.
16	Q.	If Sarah Hand does not like your price, she
17	still h	as to buy from you, doesn't she?
18	A.	Correct.
19	Q.	If you are supplying water to Sarah Hand with
20	exces	ssive contaminant levels in violation of the law,
21	she s	still has to buy it from you, doesn't she?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	You testified that Rainier View is stringently

A. Yes, we are.

regulated; is that right?

24

Q. And it's your testimony that this stringent
regulation is an exchange for RV, Rainier View, being
allowed to sell water free from competition; is that
right?
A. Correct.

- Q. Do you understand why stringent regulation of
- 7 | Rainier View Water is necessary?
 - A. Yes, I do.

6

8

14

15

- Q. Why is it necessary?
- A. Lack of competition, they want to assure the consumer to have a reliable product.
- Q. The stringent regulation is necessary to protect your customers, isn't it?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. The stringent regulation is necessary to protect your customers from you, isn't it?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You're familiar with Apex Engineering?
- 19 **A. Yes, I am.**
- Q. Apex is an engineering firm; is that right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Apex is an expert in -- in water quality, isn't
- 23 | it?
- A. They're our civil engineers.
- Q. Apex is an expert in -- in water filtration?

- 1 A. They design water filtration.
 - Q. Apex is the company that Rainier View hired to
- design the filtration system for Firwood Meadows' wells?
- 4 A. Firwood Meadows' wells, yes.
- 5 Q. Rainier View Water has hired Apex before,
- 6 | haven't they?

- 7 A. Yes, we have.
- 8 Q. How many times over the last 20 years has
- 9 | Rainier View hired Apex?
- 10 A. Countless.
- 11 Q. More than ten times?
- 12 **A. Oh, yes.**
- Q. More than 20 times?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. You trust Apex?
- 16 **A. Yes, we do.**
- 17 Q. The public should trust Apex?
- 18 A. They're professional engineers, yes.
- 19 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to Exhibit
- 20 SH-33X. Do you recognize this document?
- 21 A. I'm not there yet.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- A. I'm not familiar with the way these are set up.
- Q. Okay. That's fine. We'll take a moment.
- 25 A. Yes, I'm familiar with it.

- Q. When did you first see it?
 - A. Back in December of '16.
 - Q. This is a report that Apex prepared for Rainier
- 4 | View; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And it's a report that Apex submitted to Rainier
- 7 | View on December 9, 2016; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes.

2

3

- Q. And Rainier View asked Apex to write the report?
- 10 A. Yes, we did.
- 11 Q. You paid Apex for the report?
- 12 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Did you challenge any observation or conclusion
- 14 stated in the Apex report?
- 15 A. No, we didn't.
- Q. Do you accept the Apex report as accurate?
- 17 **A. Yes.**
- Q. Can the public reasonably rely on the accuracy
- 19 of this Apex report?
- 20 MR. RANKIN: Objection. I think it's
- 21 outside his expertise.
- JUDGE KOPTA: I'll sustain.
- 23 BY MR. MALDEN:
- Q. I'd like to direct your attention to page 5 of
- 25 this report. And if you'll notice at the top of the

1	page, there's a little table there that says RVWC Fir
2	Meadows Well D; do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2.0

21

22

23

- Q. And this is a table that summarizes the quality of the water that Apex Engineering tested at Fir Meadows; is that right?
- A. I'm not sure Fir Meadows -- I'm not sure Apex did the testing.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know where the data came from?
- A. They probably took it from the Atec System that came out and did the pilot test.
- Q. According to Apex, the manganese levels in your water tested between .118 and .132 for an average of .123; is that right?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Is this data accurate as far as you know?
- 17 A. As far as I know, it is.
- 18 Q. Is this data reliable as far as you know?
- 19 **A. Yes.**
 - Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the second sentence at the top of the page. Quote, Manganese concentrations at these levels would be the cause of severe problems with taste, odor, and staining.
- That was the conclusion of your expert, Apex,

25 | wasn't it?

1	A.	Yeah. I mean, it's
2	Q.	And you don't
3	A.	That's what you get with manganese.
4	Q.	You don't disagree with that statement, do you?
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	I'd like to direct your attention to page 1 of
7	the re	eport. If you look at the second paragraph at page
8	1, it s	ays, quote, Due to taste and odor complaints
9	recei	ved by RVWC, Atec Systems Associates performed a
10	serie	s of raw water and pilot testing on the Fir Meadows
11	Well	D in August of 2016.
12		Do you see that?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	Is that an accurate statement?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	That Rainier View asked Atec to come in because
17	you v	vere receiving taste and odor complaints from
18	custo	mers; is that right?
19	A.	We were getting yes.
20	Q.	And that's for a lot more customers than just
21	Saral	n Hand; isn't that right, Mr. Blackman?
22	A.	There are differences in types of complaints, so
23	yes,	I mean, it we got phone calls about it, and so
24	we d	id had the nilot study done ves

Q. I'd like to now turn to your communications with

1	Sar	ah:	Ha	nd
_	. Jai	α	110	11 1(1.

2

3

4

6

- You've spoken to Sarah Hand?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You've met with Sarah Hand?
- 5 A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. She made a complaint to you about brown water in
- 7 November of 2016?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, do you understand the meaning of the word
- 10 | "complaint"?
- 11 A. Yeah. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. You've used the word complaint in your life many
- 13 | times, haven't you?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- Q. There's nothing ambiguous about the term, is
- 16 | there?
- 17 A. There's levels of complaints.
- 18 Q. There's levels of complaints in Rainier View's
- 19 world. You have a complaint and then you have an
- 20 enhanced complaint; is that right?
- 21 A. There are concerns, questions.
- Q. So if you were asked, for example, by the UTC,
- how many complaints have you received regarding brown
- water or water quality, would you include in your answer
- complaints, enhanced complaints, concerns? How would

1	you	answer	that	question?
---	-----	--------	------	-----------

- A. Well, I'd need to know the definition of what they're trying to -- what they're looking for.
- Q. So --

2

3

- 5 A. Because it also comes down to what system,
- 6 which, you know, zone, which wells are they being
- 7 provided water to. Is it an inquiry, is it a -- you
- 8 know, someone calls up and says, you know, I got some
- 9 discoloration. Is that a complaint or -- yeah. So yes,
- 10 I would like to -- there are different levels.
- Q. Sarah Hand complained to you about the
- 12 appearance of her water, didn't she?
- 13 **A. Yes.**
- 14 Q. She told you it was brown?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. She told you it had floating debris?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. She told you it smelled bad?
- 19 A. I don't remember the smell, but...
- Q. Others have told you the water smells bad,
- 21 | haven't they?
- 22 A. It's usually a chlorine smell, but...
- Q. Sarah Hand told you that your water stained her clothing, didn't she?
- A. I don't recall the staining.

1	Q. Ms. Hand told you that your water stained the
2	porcelain, didn't she?

- A. I don't remember that.
- Q. Sarah Hand told you that the water was clogging fixtures, didn't she?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

- Q. Would it be fair to state that you had other customers besides Sarah Hand complaining to you about the same things?
- 10 A. We had phone calls about it.
- Q. Would it be fair to state that you had many phone calls about it?
- 13 A. What -- I don't know how many "many" is.
- 14 | Q. Well --
- 15 A. I mean, it's relative --
- 16 Q. -- would 580 be a lot?
- A. On a system of how big? I mean, it's relative
 to how big the system is. I mean, so if you had a
 thousand connections and you had 500 calls, yeah, that
 would be huge. If you have 18,000 or 20,000, it's not
 as big.
- Q. Sarah Hand's complaint about the appearance of the water, that it looked brown --
- 24 **A. Mm-hmm.**

25

Q. -- you understood that complaint, didn't you?

1	A.	Yes
2	\circ	Voi

3

4

11

12

14

15

16

- Q. You thought it was reasonable, didn't you?
- A. Yes. She brought a sample in, so we tried to see.
- Q. Ms. Hand's complaint about the water having floating debris in it, that was a reasonable complaint, wasn't it?
- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. You understood that complaint?
- 10 A. I understood that complaint.
 - Q. You agreed that it was a legitimate complaint about water quality, didn't you?
- 13 **A. Yes.**
 - Q. All of Sarah Hand's complaints are actually consistent with what your expert, Apex, told you would happen with excessive levels of manganese in the water,
- 17 | right?
 - A. Right.
- 19 Q. You've seen the water test results taken by
- 20 | Susan Evans in April of 2017?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- 22 Q. Now, you criticize Ms. Evans' sampling
- 23 technique; is that right?
- A. I questioned where she took the samples from.
- 25 Depending on where in the home they're taken can change

	EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	the outcome of what the water that we're providing.
2	Q. You, yourself, know how to collect water samples
3	the right way, don't you?
4	A. Depending on what you're trying to test for.
5	Q. Well, what if we wanted someone to go into
6	Ms. Hand's house and determine whether the quality of
7	the water was as bad as she said. If you wanted to, you
8	have the equipment, the personnel, and the resources to
9	go in there and do that, don't you?
10	A. Not right off. We we have the bottles, but
11	depending where like I say, where you want to take
12	the water.
13	Q. Did you ever ask to collect a water sample at
14	Sarah Hand's home?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Did you ever offer to collect and test a water
17	sample at Sarah Hand's home?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Have you ever asked or offered to collect water
20	samples at the home of any person who complained about
21	water quality in the last five years?
22	A. Very few, and it wouldn't be for you know, we
23	might take an iron, manganese. We don't do full
24	inorganics at homes. We would if anything, we would

25

take it from the meter, not from within the home.

1	Q.	Didn't Sarah Hand actually ask you to come to
2	her h	ome and take a water sample?
3	A.	Might have.
4	Q.	And you declined to do it, didn't you?
5	A.	Most likely. We we don't do sampling with
6	upon	request like that.
7	Q.	It's easier for you just to critique whatever
8	test r	esult the customer comes up with, isn't it?
9	A.	No. If I tested every time someone called, say,
10	l war	nt my water tested, water bills would skyrocket to
11	the e	xpense of doing a test, as you know, is extremely
12	high.	
13	Q.	What does it cost to test the water in a home
14	like S	arah Hand's?
15	A.	Depends what you're testing for.
16	Q.	Okay. Well
17	A.	Metrological or inorganics?
18	Q.	Okay. Well, let's just let's talk about if
19	you v	vanted to go in and find out if it's completely
20	well,	actually, let me back up for a moment.
21	,	When Ms. Hand told you she didn't like the
22	appe	arance of the water, you told her it was safe to
23	drink	, didn't you?
24	A.	I don't recall saying it's safe to drink. I

recall -- or she -- she talked to several employees.

1	Q. Don't you, on a regular basis, tell the public
2	that Rainier View Water is safe to drink?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And don't you regularly tell customers that
5	Rainier View Water is safe to drink no matter what it
6	looks like?
7	A. We would tell them that we will come out and
8	flush if you have sediment. Discoloration, we would
9	come out and flush, get it clean. The manganese is
LO	still in there, but the manganese levels would be safe
L1	to drink.
L2	Q. I think you may have answered my question, but
L3	let me repeat it so that we're sure.
L4	Isn't it true, Mr. Blackman, that you tell the
L5	public that it's safe to drink Rainier View's water no
L6	matter what it looks like?
L7	A. It would be safe to drink if the manganese if
L8	the discoloration or the sediment, no, you wouldn't want
L9	to drink it obviously. But if you flush it out, get it
20	back to the get the sediment out, it would be safe to
21	drink.
22	Q. Did I understand you correctly to say that you
23	would not expect one of your customers to drink
24	discolored water?

A. Not the bottle that she showed me, which had a

	EXA	MINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	lot of	manganese sediment in it.
2	Q.	So you're referring to a water sample that Sarah
3	Hand	brought to your office and showed you, right?
4	A.	Correct.
5	Q.	And when did she do that?
6	A.	Must have been November of '16.
7	Q.	And you looked at that water, and from sight
8	alone	e, you knew you wouldn't drink it; is that fair to
9	say?	
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	You knew from sight alone that you wouldn't have
12	any c	of your children or grandchildren drink it, didn't
13	you?	
14	A.	Right.
15	Q.	I'd like to direct your attention to Exhibit
16	SH-4	1X, the 2015 Rainier View Annual Water Quality
17	Repo	ort.
18		MR. RANKIN: I'm sorry, which number?
19		THE WITNESS: 41.
20		MR. MALDEN: Yes. Hang on just for a
21	seco	nd. Yes, SH-41X.
22	A.	Okay.
23	BY M	IR. MALDEN:
24	Q.	Do you recognize this document?

A. Yes.

	EXAI	MINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	Q.	What is it?
2	A.	Consumer Confidence Report for 2015, which
3	repre	esents the water from 2014.
4	Q.	It's supposed to represent the quality of the
5	water	from the year before; is that right?
6	A.	Correct.
7	Q.	And what is this Consumer Confidence Report for?
8	A.	It's
9	Q.	Actually, strike that.
10		The purpose of the Consumer Confidence Report is
11	to ed	ucate and inform your customers about the quality
12	of you	ur water; is that fair to say?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	And you're required by law to provide this
15	repor	t to the public, aren't you?
16	A.	Correct.
17	Q.	And you're required by law to provide this
18	repor	t to the DOH, correct?
19	A.	Correct.
20	Q.	With regard to this particular annual report,
21	who \	wrote this?
22	A.	2014, might have been Tony Peredo.
23	Q.	And who is Tony Peredo?

Q. Does Mr. Peredo have any medical background?

A. An employee of Rainier View Water Company.

24

1	A.	No.
2	Q.	Does Mr. Peredo have any scientific background?
3	A.	No.
4	Q.	What is his job title?
5	A.	At the time, he was the water quality manager.
6	Q.	And is he still employed by Rainier View?
7	A.	Yes, he is.
8	Q.	Did you help write this annual report?
9	A.	No, I didn't.
10	Q.	Did you proofread it?
11	A.	I would think I would have. I can't recall
12	read	ing it, but I I'm sure I did.
13	Q.	When you say you think you would have, is that
14	beca	use of your job duties at the time?
15	A.	Yeah, and we also put out probably 25 of these
16	diffe	rent reports. So it's hard to go through and
17	reme	ember every one.
18	Q.	But part of your official job duties include
19	revie	w and approval of the water quality report before
20	it goe	es out to the public; is that right?
21	A.	Correct.
22	Q.	Is there anyone other than yourself that is
23	respo	onsible for reviewing and ensuring the accuracy of
24	the in	formation put in these annual reports?

25

A. No.

1	Q. What about Mr. Finnigan, is he not responsible
2	for verifying the accuracy of the contents of the
3	reports?
4	MR. RANKIN: Objection to the extent that
5	that involves attorney-client communications.
6	JUDGE KOPTA: Sustained.
7	THE WITNESS: Does that mean I answer?
8	BY MR. MALDEN:
9	Q. I'd like to direct your attention to page 3 of
10	this document, and you can see that about halfway down
11	the page, there's a table 3 that's entitled, (as read)
12	Secondary Contaminants and Unregulated Contaminants; do
13	you see that?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And this table, this is informing the public
16	what your water test results were; is that right?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. And this is for the Southwood Water System; is
19	that right?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And it's the Southwood Water System that is
22	going to give most of the water to the Sarah Hand
23	residence, isn't it?
24	A. There's 23 wells that provide water in the
25	Southwood System.

1	Q.	The Springwood Estates, though, is served by the
2	Firwo	ood system, isn't it?
3	A.	Fir Meadows wells.
4	Q.	Okay. And if let's go down on table 3 and
5	look	at the secondary contaminant, manganese.
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And this report indicates that Rainier View
8	teste	d the water for manganese in 2013; is that right?
9	A.	Correct.
10	Q.	And your test result was .16 milligram per
11	liter; is that right?	
12	A.	That's correct.
13	Q.	And that was approximately well, actually,
14	that v	was more than three times the legal limit set forth
15	in the	e WAC, isn't it?
16	A.	Yes, it is.
17	Q.	Now, you actually didn't test the water for
18	mang	ganese again for three years; isn't that right,
19	Mr. Blackman?	
20	A.	No. We test this is one well that that
21	got t	he high read. Like I said, out of the 23 different
22	wells	s providing service, you have to take the one with
23	the h	nighest concentration.
24	Q.	You have to take the one with the highest

concentration because that's state law, isn't it,

	EXAI	MINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	Mr. B	lackman?
2	A.	Right.
3	Q.	If you go down and look at the notes under table
4	3 on	this same page.
5	A.	Mm-hmm.
6	Q.	It says here, (as read) Secondary contaminants
7	have	no known health effects, but can affect the
8	aesthetic properties of water, taste, odor, and	
9	appearance.	
10		Now, when you say the secondary contaminants
11	have no known health effect, have you actually	
12	undertaken any research into the potential health	
13	effect	ts of manganese on the human body?
14	A.	I depend on Department of Health to tell me
15	whic	h contaminants are have health concerns and which
16	ones	don't.
17	Q.	You've never done any independent research on
18	your	own to verify those facts, have you?
19	A.	It's not my job to verify what the state tells
20	me to	o do.
21	Q.	Now, when you say the secondary contaminants
22	have	no known health effects, you're not putting any
23	limita	tion on the quantity consumed or the length of
24	time t	that water's consumed, are you, Mr. Blackman?

A. No, we don't.

1	Q. You're basically assuring the public that they	
2	can drink your water in unlimited quantity forever,	
3	aren't you?	
4	MR. RANKIN: Objection. I think he's	
5	already answered that he's repeating what the DOH has	
6	told him, and this is not his specific opinion.	
7	MR. MALDEN: Well, whether or not the DOH	
8	made the statement to him and whether it's true is	
9	irrelevant.	
10	JUDGE KOPTA: I'll allow it, but it's it	
11	is somewhat repetitive.	
12	Are you making that representation,	
13	Mr. Blackman?	
14	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?	
15	JUDGE KOPTA: Are you making that	
16	representation that counsel asked you about?	
17	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	
18	JUDGE KOPTA: Would you repeat the question,	
19	Mr. Malden?	
20	MR. MALDEN: May I ask the reporter to read	
21	back that last question, please?	
22	(Question read back.)	
23	A. No.	
24	BY MR. MALDEN:	
25	Q. Excuse me?	

1	A. I	'm trying to think of how to phrase it so	
2	that	that I mean, the way you're wording it that way, yes,	
3	l l gı	uess that you could interpret it that; however,	
4	we do	take most of this language, just cut and paste off	
5	the DC	OH's own consumer confidence report rules for	
6	regula	tions.	
7	Q. `	You're saying that you create your annual report	
8	in part	by cutting and pasting DOH material?	
9	A. \$	Some of the language, yes.	
LO	Q. I	Now, this report does not explain to us what	
L1	sampli	ng procedure Rainier View followed, does it?	
L2	A. I	No, it doesn't.	
L3	Q. `	Your expectation is the public merely assumes	
L4	that ho	owever you sampled, it was done correctly; is that	
L5	right?		
L6	Α. `	Yes.	
L7	Q. I	Does your test report does your annual report	
L8	indicat	e how many test samples were collected?	
L9	A. I	No.	
20	Q. `	You expect the public to simply trust that	
21	Rainier View picked the right number of samples from the		
22	right pl	lace; is that fair to say?	
23	A. \	Well, we we took the samples as required by	
24	DOH.		

25

Q. No one from DOH monitors your collection of test

	EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	samples, does it? Or do they?
2	A. No, they have the right it does say where we
3	take the samples, but no one comes out from DOH and
4	witnesses that we're actually sampling.
5	JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Malden, is this a good
6	time to take a break?
7	MR. MALDEN: Yes, this would be a good time
8	to take a break.
9	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then let's take
L O	ten minutes. Please be back at five after 11:00. We're
L1	off the record.
L2	(A break was taken from
L3	10:51 a.m. to 11:03 a.m.)
L4	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then we'll be back
L5	on the record after that break and resuming with
L6	Mr. Malden's cross of Mr. Blackman.
L7	BY MR. MALDEN:
L8	Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the Annual
L9	Water Quality Report for 2016, which is Exhibit SH-11.
20	A. Okay.
21	Q. You testified previously about how the 2015
22	annual report was prepared. Would that same testimony
23	apply to the preparation of the 2016 report?
24	A I holiovo the excuse me. Our new water

quality manager did this one.

	EXAI	MINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	Q.	And who is the new water quality manager?
2	A.	Jim Jensen.
3		MR. ROBERSON: Your Honor, if I may. I'm
4	not s	ure that Mr. Malden's microphone is on.
5		MR. MALDEN: Ah, yes. Thank you. You were
6	right.	
7	BY M	IR. MALDEN:
8	Q.	I'd like to direct your attention to page 6 of
9	the d	ocument, the page entitled, (as read) Water Quality
LO	Table	e. Are you there?
L1	A.	I'm here.
L2	Q.	Now, this table indicates that Rainier View
L3	teste	d the water samples from the Southwood Water System
L4	in 20	16; is that right?
L5	A.	Correct.
L6	Q.	Do you know exactly where the water samples were
L7	taker	1?
L8	A.	It doesn't say on here. What they what they
L9	do is	report the highest level of the how many
20	samp	oles they took, you report the highest level of them,
21	so it	doesn't say which well.
22	Q.	Do you know how many water samples were taken?
23	A.	I don't.
24	Q.	Your own table indicates that the level of

25

manganese in the Southwood Water System was 0.23

2	A. That's what one well did produce.
1	milligrams per liter; is that right?

- Q. Well, that's your official reading for -- under
- 4 the water quality table, isn't it?
 - A. Yes.

3

5

- Q. And you trust that result?
- A. Yes.
- Q. I'd like to direct your attention now to page 2
 of the document. I'm looking at a paragraph that's
 entitled, (as read) A Message from our Water Quality
 Control Manager; do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. You -- now, this was written by Mr. Jensen; is that right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. Did you review and approve this message for
- 17 | Mr. Jensen?
- 18 **A. I did.**
- Q. Did anyone above you at Rainier View, to your
- knowledge, review and approve this message from
- 21 Mr. Jensen?
- 22 A. I don't believe they did.
- Q. Part of the message from Mr. Jensen that you
 approved was, quote, Rainier View Water Company has
- always and will continue to provide the safest possible

	EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN	
1	water to every last free-flowing tap that we serve.	
2	Is that right?	
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And that would include the Sarah Hand residence
5	even	if it happens to be at the end of a water line?
6	A.	Correct.
7	Q.	Sarah Hand has the same right to the same
8	quali	ty of water as everyone else that you serve, right?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	This message from the quality control manager
11	also says, quote, It is of the utmost importance to us	
12	to remain in compliance with all state and federal	
13	guidelines regarding water quality.	
14		Do you see that?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Now, in fact, your own test report for 2016
17	show	red that you were not in compliance with the WAC
18	regulations governing secondary contaminants; isn't that	
19	right?	?
20		MR. RANKIN: Objection in that the WAC goes
21	beyo	nd simply putting a number on it.
22		MR. MALDEN: I think I think I think
23	the V	VAC table says very clearly what the maximum
24	seco	ndary contaminant level for manganese is and

MR. RANKIN: But it provides a follow-up

1	remedy, which he's asking my client to admit that they
2	were in violation of that WAC. But a water provider can
3	exceed a secondary MCL while still being compliant with
4	the WAC if the DOH does not order follow-up action.
5	JUDGE KOPTA: Yeah, I'm not I'm not
6	comfortable with talking about whether there's been a
7	violation. I think you can ask whether you exceeded the
8	amount that's in the WAC, although, I think you asked
9	that several times.
LO	MR. MALDEN: I'll withdraw the statement or
L1	the question.
L2	BY MR. MALDEN:
L3	Q. Your water quality manager also says, (as read)
L4	We continually sample, test, and treat your water on a
L5	regular basis.
L6	Is that right?
L7	A. Yes.
L8	Q. (As read) We are committed to meet every water
L9	quality standard on every system we operate every single
20	day.
21	That's the representation you made to Sarah Hand
22	and the rest of the public, right?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. I'd like to direct your attention now to Exhibit

25

SH-42X, the 2017 Rainier View Water Quality Report. I'd

	EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	like to direct your attention to page 6 of the report,
2	which is entitled, (as read) Water Quality Exceedance
3	Report.
4	A. Okay.
5	Q. Under the paragraph, Secondary Contaminants, it
6	says, (as read) National secondary drinking water
7	regulations set non-mandatory water quality standards.
8	The EPA does not enforce these secondary maximum
9	contaminant levels.
10	Now, in the state of Washington, the secondary
11	maximum contaminant levels are set forth in the WAC,
12	aren't they?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. The EPA obviously doesn't enforce the Washington
15	Administrative Code, does it?
16	A. I don't believe they do.
17	Q. Under the heading, Manganese, I want to read
18	this paragraph to you. Actually, the second sentence
19	under the paragraph. (As read) Manganese deposits in
20	plumbing cause black sediment and blackish turbidity.
21	Is that a true statement as far as you know?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Quote, Also, manganese bacteria are often

present which can cause -- cause clogs in piping, closed quote.

24

1	Is that a factually true statement,		
2	Mr. Blackman, that you can have manganese bacteria which		
3	caused causes clogs in piping?		
4	A. This is taken right out of EPA's language, so if		
5	they were saying it's it can, then I'm not saying		
6	it's true or not, but we have to put it in there as		
7	mandatory language.		
8	Q. You think that the Environmental Protection		
9	Agency has made the statement that manganese bacteri	а	
10	are often present which can cause clogs in piping?		
11	A. That's what they're saying, so I'll agree with		
12	it.		
13	Q. There is a risk, isn't there, Mr. Blackman, that		
14	you have excessive levels of manganese in the water that	at	
15	can be hiding places or shelters for bacteria; is that		
16	right?		
17	A. I'm not aware of that.		
18	Q. You had no idea of that?		
19	A. No.		
20	Q. You had no idea that one of the risks, potential		
21	risks, of excessive levels of manganese is that it can		
22	provide a place for bacteria to shelter and avoid		
23	chlorination? You didn't know that?		
24	A. I didn't know it could avoid chlorination, but		
25	I'm not I haven't seen it.		

1	Q. Were you aware of the potential risk of bacteria		
2	using manganese fragments or debris to to shelter or		
3	hide; did did you know that?		
4	MR. RANKIN: Objection. Your Honor, he's		
5	asked the same question three times, and my client's		
6	already stated he pulled this from the EPA website.		
7	JUDGE KOPTA: Sustained.		
8	BY MR. MALDEN:		
9	Q. I'd like to turn now to the 2014 investigation		
10	that the UTC performed with Rainier View. The UTC		
11	investigated some of Rainier View's business practices		
12	in 2014?		
13	A. I'm not aware of what we're talking about.		
14	MR. RANKIN: What exhibit are you looking		
15	at?		
16	MR. MALDEN: I'm not looking at an exhibit		
17	right now.		
18	BY MR. MALDEN:		
19	Q. My question to Mr. Blackman is, isn't it true		
20	that the UTC investigated some of Rainier View's		
21	business practices in 2014?		
22	A. They may have.		
23	Q. You weren't you weren't aware of it?		
24	A. Well, I no. I'm not aware of what no.		

Q. Were you aware that in 2014, the UTC concluded

- that Rainier View was violating WAC 480-110-385(4)?

 A. No. Is this -- I -- I don't know that WAC.

 Q. That has to do with maintaining customer complaints for at least one year.

 A. Okay.

 Q. Were you aware of that WAC?

 A. I know we were supposed -- yes, I am aware of the WAC, not by number.
 - Q. Okay. You were aware that you were required by the WAC to maintain customer complaints for one year; is that right?
 - A. Correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you recall the UTC raising an issue as to how those complaints should be maintained?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were you aware that in 2014, the UTC recommended that Rainier View document its customer complaints separately from customer account notes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You didn't follow that recommendation, though, did you, Mr. Blackman?
- A. Our billing program that we do all our tracking does not allow that, so we'd have to change our whole billing process.
 - Q. So the bottom line here is that in 2014, the UTC

	EXAMINATION OF BEACKWAIN WALDEN
1	recommended that you keep customer complaints a
2	particular way and you chose not to; is that right?
3	A. We didn't choose the way they would like it, but
4	we have made improvements on it. They're all done
5	electronically now so
6	Q. If we wanted to find out how many people had
7	complained about the quality of your water in the last
8	six months, would we still have to go to each individual
9	customer account to see if a complaint was there?
LO	A. They can filter it now. It's still in the
L1	account, but it's there are methods to filter a type
L2	of complaint.
L3	Q. And when did you come up with the filtering
L4	method?
L5	A. I believe a couple years ago.
L6	Q. Can you be more precise?
L7	A. No, I can't.
L8	MR. MALDEN: Can we just have a moment?
L9	Looking for an exhibit.
20	BY MR. MALDEN:
21	Q. I'd like to direct your attention, Mr. Blackman,
22	to Exhibit SH-10. Do you recognize this document?
23	A. Yes.

Q. What is it?

24

25

A. This is a spreadsheet taken from our billing

1	showing the different phone calls that we came in,
2	that have come in.

- Q. Who made this spreadsheet?
- A. Sheila Haynes.

3

4

5

6

8

10

- Q. When did she make the spreadsheet?
- A. When did we do this last? I can't read the -- I don't recall the actual dates, but it would have been probably earlier this year.
- Q. Would it be fair to state that you compiled this document at my request, which I made in the context of the court case in Pierce County?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. That's the only reason you created the document; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. You've never given this document to the UTC,
- 17 | have you?
- 18 A. They've never asked for it.
- Q. You've never given this document to the DOH,
- 20 | have you?
- 21 **A. No.**
- Q. I want to make sure I understand the data in the document. In this document, you're logging the number of service work orders that arose from a water quality
- 25 complaint; is that right?

Δ	Yes
 .	1 53

- Q. You're not actually tracking the complaints itself, you're tracking work orders that arose from a complaint; is that right?
- A. From a phone call when they would contact us, yes.
- Q. We would have no way to know whether your document accurately logs all phone call complaints, would we?

A. No.

- Q. According to your document, the number of work orders that arose from water quality complaints between June 15 and June 16 was 400; is that right?
- A. There were -- if I remember -- if I'm looking at the right document, some of those numbers would change with how you filtered it out, whether it were -- had to do with pressure. A lot of times would just -- the chlorine residuals or other concerns, it's not just -- and it also, it may include all water systems. I'm not sure. I'm having a hard time reading this myself.
- Q. This is a document that was prepared under your supervision, wasn't it?
- A. I asked her to provide it. There's several in here. I can't recall exactly which one -- which one's which, because we did filter it by system, by zone, by

1	types of	complaints or phone calls.
2	Q. If	a customer called Rainier View to complain
3	about th	ne quality of the water and the RV employee that
4	answere	ed the phone said, It's safe, and hung up the
5	phone v	vithout even asking for a name, that would never
6	show or	n this document, would it?
7	A. N	o, and I would like to know who the employee
8	was tha	at did that.
9	Q. I'd	d like to ask you some questions about the UTC
10	investig	ation of Sarah Hand's complaint.
11	Now, you are aware Rachel Stark was the UTC	
12	employe	ee who investigated Sarah Hand's water quality
13	complai	nt?
14	A. Y	es.
15	Q. Y	ou spoke to Rachel Stark on the phone?
16	A. It	think it was more emails. I don't recall
17	having	a conversation with her.
18	Q. D	id you get the impression from your
19	commu	nications with Ms. Stark that she had limited
20	knowled	dge and experience in water quality?
21	A. I'r	n not aware of her expertise.
22	Q. D	id you understand that Ms. Stark was likely
23	going to	rely on your expertise to acquire and
24	underst	and the facts?

A. I'm not sure water quality concerns were as much

1	as th	e financial question on getting reimbursed for the
2	press	sure reducing valve.
3	Q.	Did Rachel Stark ever ask you how many people
4	comp	plained about the water quality other than Sarah
5	Hand	!?
6	A.	I don't believe so.
7	Q.	You didn't volunteer that information, did you?
8	A.	She didn't ask, so no, I didn't.
9	Q.	You were following the don't ask, don't tell
10	polic	/?
11		MR. RANKIN: Objection.
12		JUDGE KOPTA: Sustained as to argument.
13	BY M	IR. MALDEN:
14	Q.	Did you ever explain to Ms. Stark that your own
15	test r	esults showed that you were violating the
16	seco	ndary maximum contaminant levels set forth in the
17	WAC	s since 2013?
18	A.	I don't think we that that came up.
19	Q.	Do you recall writing an email to Rachel Stark
20	wherein you said that if the water quality was so bad,	
21	you v	vould think the UTC and the DOH would be receiving
22	more	complaints?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	You said that to Rachel Stark.

A. Okay.

	EXAI	MINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	Q.	Didn't you?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	You didn't make any mention, though, of the
4	comp	plaints that Rainier View had been receiving, did
5	you?	
6	A.	I did not.
7		MR. MALDEN: Your Honor, I'm sorry. It
8	takes	me a moment on the exhibits because they're not
9	quite	perfectly sequenced.
10	BY M	IR. MALDEN:
11	Q.	I'd like to address your excuse me, direct
12	your	attention to SH-44X.
13	A.	Okay.
14	Q.	Have you seen this document before?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	In June of 2017, you came to the UTC to speak;
17	is tha	t right?

- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. You came to speak in support of a tariff
- 20 revision; is that right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. And you wanted the tariff revision in part to pay for pay raises and better benefits for Rainier View employees; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q. And you understood, didn't you, that in that
2	type of hearing, the number and kind of customer
3	complaints that you were getting was going to be
4	relevant to the UTC Commissioners; you knew that, didn't
5	you?
6	MR. RANKIN: Objection. Your Honor, this
7	hearing had nothing to do with water quality or
8	Ms. Hand's complaint. It was about a pay raise for
9	their employees.
10	MR. MALDEN: I beg to differ.
11	JUDGE KOPTA: Well, let him answer about his
12	understanding about what the proceeding was.
13	A. Well, the proceeding, yeah, it was to try and
14	get some better benefits and wages for our employees.
15	BY MR. MALDEN:
16	Q. Right.
17	But my point is, you knew that going in to ask
18	for pay raises and benefits for your employees, in all
19	likelihood, the UTC was going to want to know, well,
20	what's how many customer complaints have you been
21	getting? You knew that, didn't you?
22	A. That I don't believe that comes up that often
23	in these kind of hearings or
24	Q. Well, let me direct your attention to page 2 of
25	this document, Mr. Blackman, and let me direct your

1	attention to the paragraph entitled, (as read) Service
2	Quality. And let me read to you what it says. (As
3	read) Five customers believe the company should not get
4	an increase when they are receiving discolored water.
5	Staff response. (As read) Staff spoke with the
6	Department of Health staff member who said there has
7	been one complaint in the past year against Rainier View
8	concerning manganese.
9	Now, would it be fair to state that in June of
10	2017, you knew for a fact in your own mind that you'd
11	received hundreds of complaints in the past year from
12	customers about manganese?
13	A. We've gotten phone calls, yes.
14	Q. Hundreds of phone calls?
15	A. Not just on this system, but yes, on overall.
16	Q. And you were okay to let the UTC make a decision
17	to give you pay raises on the understanding that there
18	had only been one complaint regarding manganese; isn't
19	that right, Mr. Blackman?
20	A. One complaint to the Department of Health.
21	Q. And it was your understanding or excuse me.
22	You knew, didn't you, that the UTC was under the
23	impression that was the total universe of complaints
24	you'd gotten; isn't that right?

A. I don't know.

1	Q.	I'd like to go back for a moment to your
2	conv	ersations with Sarah Hand about water quality.
3		Sarah Hand told you she was scared to drink the
4	dirty,	brown water, didn't she?
5	A.	I don't recall.
6	Q.	You personally guaranteed the safety of the
7	wate	r to Sarah Hand, didn't you?
8	A.	I told her that the that the state has
9	appr	oved the water quality.
10	Q.	You used the word "guarantee," didn't you?
11	A.	I don't recall guarantee.
12	Q.	You recall the guarantee that was made in the
13	2016	annual report?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	That safety guarantee does not exclude pregnant
16	wom	en, does it?
17	A.	Not sure.
18	Q.	That safety guarantee did not exclude nursing
19	moth	ers, did it?
20	A.	Not sure how to answer that. It was a
21	guar	antee. I mean, it was I think the language was
22	misu	sed there, but
23	Q.	You would agree with me that your water quality
24	mana	ager misused or excuse me, should never have used
25	the w	ord "guarantee" in that annual report; would you

	EXAI	WINATION OF BLACKWAN / WALDEN
1	agree	e with me on that?
2	A.	I'll agree with you on that.
3	Q.	By the way, since Rainier View made that
4	guara	antee, is that enforceable or would that be one of
5	the le	egal claims that you're immune from under the
6	tariff	?
7		MR. RANKIN: Objection, Your Honor.
8		JUDGE KOPTA: I think that goes beyond his
9	expe	rtise and his testimony.
10	BY M	IR. MALDEN:
11	Q.	Manganese is a mineral?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Manganese deposits can build up in tanks,
14	filters	s, and in distribution system pipes, right?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Earlier today, we were discussing a flyer from
17	the C	city of Olympia and the DOH that references the risk
18	of ba	cteria clinging to manganese debris; do you
19	reme	mber that discussion?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Had you ever seen that flyer before?
22	A.	I have not.
23	Q.	And just so that we're clear, is it your
24	testir	nony that you had no idea until you walked into the

25

room today that such a warning has been given by the

	EAAI	WIINATION OF BLACKWAIN / WALDEIN
1	City	of Olympia?
2	A.	I'm not aware of it. Never heard of it.
3	Q.	You're not never heard of such a warning at
4	all?	
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	One of the documents in the exhibit list is an
7	exce	rpt from the 2009 DOH Water System Design Manual.
8	Have	you looked at that document?
9	A.	Not recently.
10	Q.	Are you familiar with the 2009 DOH Water System
11	Desi	gn Manual?
12	A.	I'm aware that it exists.
13	Q.	Did you ever make any effort to survey your
14	custo	omers to determine what moneys they might feel
15	appro	opriate to spend on what level of water filtration?
16	A.	No, never surveyed.
17	Q.	The water filtration that you that the
18	wate	r filtration system that you came up with, you came
19	up w	ith that in a Rainier View board meeting; is that
20	right'	?
21	A.	Well, we we've used that system, the same
22	syste	em. We have 13 other treatment plants that we have
23	onlin	e. So yes, we used the same one.
24	O	And so you made the decision for your customers

how much money you were going to spend for what level of

	EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	quality; is that right?
2	A. We thought it was prudent.
3	Q. Isn't it true or strike that.
4	Can you guarantee today that from this point
5	forward, with your new water filtration system, there's
6	going to be no further discolored water or water with
7	debris going into Sarah Hand's home?
8	MR. RANKIN: Objection. Argumentative.
9	JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled.
10	Please answer.
11	A. I've learned not to guarantee anything. We will
12	do our best with the filtration flushing. We think
13	we've got a pretty good handle on it.
14	BY MR. MALDEN:
15	Q. Isn't it true, though, that there's likely
16	manganese sediment that's in all of the plumbing system,
17	in all the lines?
18	A. There could be some sediment. There's always
19	sediment, whether it's manganese, silica, iron. There's
~ ^ I	always some sediment in every water line.
20	always some seamlent in every water line.
21	Q. Well, that's why the problems with discolored

Q. Okay. A few years?

24

25

A. I wouldn't expect it to last indefinitely.

1	A. Could take a couple years. We have taken over	
2	systems that did take several years to get it flushed	
3		
	out properly.	
4	Q. I'm just about done. Five minutes.	
5	I would like to direct your attention to Exhibit	
6	46. Excuse me, SH-46X.	
7	A. Okay.	
8	Q. For the record, this is a DOH fact sheet dated	
9	January 2011 on color, taste, and odor problems in	
10	drinking water. Had you ever seen this document before?	
11	A. I've seen similar ones. I'm not sure if I've	
12	seen this one.	
13	Q. Do you recall when you first saw a DOH fact	
14	sheet regarding color, taste, and odor problems in	
15	drinking water?	
16	A. I don't recall when.	
17	Q. I'd like to direct your attention under the	
18	heading, (as read) Colored Water.	
19	A. Okay.	
20	Q. It says here, (as read) If your water suddenly	
21	changes color, no matter what color it becomes, it could	
22	indicate a public health concern. Do not use the water.	
23	Contact your water utility.	
24	That's still sound advice today, isn't it,	
25	Mr. Blackman?	

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	If we go down to bullet point 2 under Colored
3	Wate	r, you'll see black or dark brown water, and it
4	says,	(as read) Often caused by manganese in the water
5	or pip	pe sediment. Manganese does not pose a threat to
6	huma	an health.
7		Do you see that?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	I'd like to now direct your attention to the
10	next	exhibit in line, which is Exhibit SH-47X. At the
11	top p	aragraph, the last sentence in the first paragraph
12	reads	s, (as read) We don't recommend that anyone drink
13	wate	that looks, smells, or tastes objectionable.
14		Do you see that?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	You would agree with that?
17	A.	I would.
18	Q.	Let's go back down and look at colored water,
19	black	, or dark brown water. Do you see how the
20	Depa	rtment of Health has completely removed from the
21	fact s	sheet the sentence, quote, Manganese does not pose
22	a thre	eat to human health? Do you see how that's been
23	remo	ved by the DOH, Mr. Blackman?

A. Yes, I do.

24

25

MR. MALDEN: Thank you. No further

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

- questions. Oh, actually, excuse me. I do have another question.
- 3 BY MR. MALDEN:
- Q. I forgot to get back to you. You told me that you're aware that testing the water can be expensive; that's what you said?
 - A. Yeah.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

- Q. So for someone like Sarah Hand, if she wanted to test the water at her home, what would she have to do and how much would that cost?
- A. It would depend on what she is wanting to get tested for.
- Q. Okay. That's right. You explained that before.

 So let me ask you, what if she wanted to -- to have it tested for all primary and all secondary contaminants?
- 17 A. Probably several hundred dollars.
- 18 Q. For the actual test in a laboratory?
- 19 **A. Yes.**
- 20 Q. What --
- A. Well, she may pay a little more. We do -- I'm sure we get a bulk rate.
 - Q. So it would only cost you a few hundred dollars?
- 24 A. Yeah, yeah.
 - Q. What about if someone like Sarah Hand were to go

	EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / MALDEN
1	out and hire someone to oversee the collection?
2	A. I don't know. I mean no, I I don't know.
3	MR. MALDEN: Thank you very much. No
4	further questions.
5	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you. I
6	reserved ruling on some of the exhibits, and I will make
7	those rulings now.
8	Exhibit SH-43X, Mr. Blackman testified he
9	has no knowledge of that document and, therefore, I will
10	reject it.
11	SH-52X, an email exchange that was not
12	referenced during your cross-examination, and so I will
13	reject that one.
14	MR. MALDEN: I might also say, though, Your
15	Honor, that that was submitted also in connection with
16	the cross-exam of Rachel Stark.
17	JUDGE KOPTA: Well, then I will continue to
18	reserve ruling on that one if you want to explore it
19	with her.
20	And SH-62X, to the extent that you are going
21	to request reimbursement of the expenses that
22	Ms. Hand has incurred for testing, and based on
23	Mr. Roberson's representation that that is possibly
24	something that the Commission has authority to do, I

will admit that exhibit.

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / ROBERSON 1 (Exhibit SH-62X admitted.) 2 JUDGE KOPTA: And I think that takes care of 3 all of them. 4 Mr. Roberson, do you have questions for Mr. Blackman? 5 6 MR. ROBERSON: I just have a few, Your 7 Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. 10 EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. ROBERSON: 12 Q. Good morning, Mr. Blackman. In your opening and 13 reply testimony, I see reference to Fir Meadows -- Fir 14 Meadows Well 3 and 4. Are they the same well? 15 A. They are the same well. 16 Q. Are they also the same well as Fir Meadows D? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Can we just call them all Fir Meadows 3? 19 A. We actually now call them just Fir Meadows 4.

Q. Okay. So you took Fir Meadows 4 offline after you discovered the manganese problem?

four wells on the site; three are in use.

There is a 3 we don't use because it's too crooked to

get a well -- or a pump down it. So there are actually

25 A. Correct.

20

21

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / ROBERSON

- 1 Q. And you installed a treatment facility?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. That facility is now online?
- 4 A. Yes, it is.
- 5 Q. And been permitted by the DOH?
- 6 A. Yes, it has.
 - Q. So is Fir Meadows 4 back online?
- ∃ A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Have you tested Fir Meadows 4 since you
- 10 | installed the filter system?
- 11 A. Yes, we test it twice a month.
- 12 Q. So --
- 13 A. Pretreatment and posttreatment.
- 14 Q. Okay. So the DOH requires that treated water be
- 15 tested every 30 days; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And so you're doing that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Do those tests show manganese contamination in
- 20 excess of the SMCL?
- 21 **A. No, below.**
- Q. Okay. So that takes care of the well head, but
- as Mr. Malden just discussed with you, there's manganese
- 24 in the pipes, correct?
- 25 A. There can be.

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / ROBERSON

1	Q.	And does RVWC ever measure the contamination in
2	the d	istribution system?
3	A.	It doesn't show the same as it does out of the
4	well	heads.
5	Q.	Is that because it's sedimented out of the
6	wateı	?
7	A.	Correct.
8	Q.	Okay.
9	A.	It's already oxidized.
10	Q.	So RVWC has no idea how much manganese is in the
11	distril	oution system?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	Okay.
14	A.	We are flushing on a regular basis.
15	Q.	Okay. So is it fair to say that RVWC only
16	reme	diates the manganese problem with flushing?
17	A.	Besides the treatment, yes.
18	Q.	Are there other maybe more direct methods or
19	just -	- let's just start, are there other methods that
20	you c	ould use to remediate the manganese problem?
21	A.	You can. There is what's called a pigging
22	proc	ess where you insert
23	Q.	Could you explain that to the Court?
24	A.	The you insert typically a foam rope or
25	not f	oam rope, Styrofoam, like a bullet-shaped item that

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / ROBERSON

1	goes	in and scours the pipe. The difficulty is you have
2	to tot	ally disrupt the system, take the piping because
3	you c	can't to pressurize unpressurize, you have to
4	disco	nnect everything off the pipes, the main supply
5	lines,	and then insert this pig, shoot it down the
6	syste	m, and it can disrupt the whole community for days.
7	And a	actually, they'd be out of water for several days.
8	So w	e
9	Q.	So this is where we're exploring my ignorance.
10	So yo	ou have 23 different wells, right?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	You have to take the whole system offline to
13	pig?	
14	A.	Not the whole system, just where you're doing
15	your	pigging.
16	Q.	So you can pig a segment?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Do you have the technical capacity to pig the
19	distri	bution lines that serve Ms. Hand's house?
20	A.	We yes, we can. I mean, it's not it's not
21	that	complicated. It's it's more time consuming and
22	a dis	ruption of service that that
23	Q.	So it's an inconvenience?
24	A.	Yes.

Q. Do you have any idea as to how much more or less

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / KOPTA

	EXAMINATION OF BEACKWAIN / ROLLA	
1	effective pigging is as opposed to flushing?	
2	A. It it can be more effective.	
3	Q. Okay. Are there other methods that you could	
4	use? Replacing the pipes?	
5	A. Well, yeah, that would work, but it would be	
6	Q. Is there a reason why you don't do that?	
7	A hundreds hundreds and thousands of	
8	dollars.	
9	Q. Okay. The only other thing I would like to talk	
10	to you about, you mentioned and you talked in length	
11	with this about about this with Mr. Malden. You	
12	estimated in your testimony that you get one to two	
13	manganese complaints a week, which is 50 to a hundred a	
14	year. Do you know how many of those are on the	
15	Southwood System?	
16	A. No. I mean, it's Southwood System is the	
17	largest by far of our systems, so majority of them would	
18	be would be coming from there.	
19	MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have.	
20	JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you, Mr. Roberson.	
21	I have just a couple of follow-up questions.	
22		
23	EXAMINATION	
24	BY JUDGE KOPTA:	
25	Q. You were talking about how to get the manganese	

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / KOPTA

out of the distribution system, what about at the
individual house level, what can homeowners do?

- A. The only thing the homeowners can do really is we can -- we can flush out at the service, we can take the meter out, flush it out that way. But as far as anything beyond that, opening up some outside faucets and try and remove it that way.
- Q. Okay.

2.0

- A. There's no pigging or anything like that to remove it from service lines.
- Q. And I believe you testified that it can take up to two years for the manganese to get totally flushed out of the system; is that true, in the house?
- A. That can be true. It depends on the level. I mentioned we -- we did take over a system years ago from another water utility, and it took two to three years to totally remove it. And we actually had to take those wells offline and connect it to another portion of the system, of our system.
- Q. And what do you recommend that individual customers do if they are still experiencing some manganese in their home?
- A. First thing is give us a call. We'll come out, do the flushing, remove the meter if we have to, make sure that the water coming in off the system is good,

- 1 and open up some outside faucets. Typically that's
- 2 | where you're going to get the largest volume of water
- ³ through -- through your own plumbing.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And just keep us informed.
 - JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.
 - Redirect?
 - MR. RANKIN: Yes, please.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

EXAMINATION

- 11 BY MR. RANKIN:
- Q. Mr. Blackman, how many customer connections do
- 13 you have as a company?
- 14 A. Little over 18,000 residents and businesses.
- Q. And how many of those are on the Southwood Sound
- 16 | System?
- 17 **A. About 15,500.**
- Q. Has your company ever been ordered to take an action regarding exceeding the secondary MCL?
- 20 **A. No.**
- Q. Are you aware of other water companies being
- ordered to take action regarding a secondary MCL
- 23 | exceedance?
- 24 **A. Yes.**
- Q. And is that a common thing?

1	A. It's I don't know how common it is. I know
2	it does happen. We we did take over a water system
3	that did have action taken against it. They went to
4	vote and the homeowners actually rejected it, to pay.
5	They didn't want to pay for it, and we ended up
6	intertying them to our system.
7	Q. If a customer like Sarah Hand doesn't like the
8	water she's receiving, what should she do first?
9	A. Give us a call. We'll try to do our best to get
10	it flushed out.
11	Q. And how frequently does that resolve the
12	problem?
13	A. It it depends on the situation, but it can,
14	you know, solve it immediately. I think in Sarah's
15	case, we've actually made several trips and then, you
16	know, we might not hear anything for quite a while.
17	Q. Maybe it might be beneficial if you could
18	explain to the Court how manganese sediment works, how
19	it gets into the system and then how it makes its way
20	downstream.
21	A. As the water comes out of the well heads,
22	they it's perfectly clear. As as it sits in in
23	the pipes, along with we do chlorinate all systems, and
24	actually chlorination is used as an oxidant in the

treatment. It oxidizes the minerals and -- and mix it

1	in wit	h particulate that actually settles on the bottom
2	of the	e water mains.
3		And then also services, and then unfortunately,
4	it just	t it will slough off during high usage times,
5	wate	r main break, fire hydrants. Someone opens you
6	get th	nat velocity going up six, seven feet per second,
7	and i	t'll it'll remove it from the bottom, and it'll
8	stir it	up.
9	Q.	So it's not predictable in its movement
LO	A.	No.
L1	Q.	downstream?
L2	A.	No.
L3	Q.	So it's entirely possible that a customer would
L4	have	perfectly clear water for an extended stretch of
L5	time	and then
L6	A.	Right.
L7	Q.	if there is a water main break or hydrant is
L8	open	ed up, you might get a spurt of manganese high
L9	wate	r?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	When did Ms. Hand first contact you about brown
22	wate	r?
23	A.	First time I talked to her I believe was in
24	Nove	ember, beginning of November of '16; is that correct?

25

Q. And that was about 18 months after you started

	EXAI	MINATION OF BLACKMAN / RANKIN
1	provi	ding her service?
2	A.	I yes, I I think that was.
3	Q.	I'd like to reference Exhibit 33X. So we talked
4	abou	t this exhibit earlier, and I'm going to have you
5	look a	at page 5 again. So the top table on page 5 lists
6	a low	and a high manganese concentration as well as an
7	avera	age?
8	A.	Correct.
9	Q.	And that's exclusive to Well D at the Fir
LO	Mead	dows' site, right?
L1	A.	Correct.
L2	Q.	So this is not the water that's going downstream
L3	to cu	stomers, because Fir Meadows is a blended well
L4	syste	em, correct?
L5	A.	Correct.
L6	Q.	Can you explain how that works?
L7	A.	Well, all the wells pump into one common line,
L8	so th	ey're it's a blended Wells A, or 1 and 2, are
L9	a sha	allower well. They don't have the manganese levels.
20	So b	y the time you add the third well, the high the
21	D ł	ne's got me calling it D now. Well 4, when you
22	blen	d it together, it's less than what you're really

23

24

25

seeing here. So you're -- that one -- .13 or whatever

distribution system, because you're -- you're blending

is -- is actually a lot less than that in the

1	it in a	and you're getting a count, and so it's not as
2	high.	
3	Q.	And because of that blended nature of the Fir
4	Mead	dows well, you weren't immediately noticing manganese
5	going	into the water system?
6	A.	Correct.
7	Q.	It was it took some time for customers to let
8	you k	know that it was moving its way down the
9	distril	bution network?
10	A.	Correct.
11	Q.	And then you had to trace it back to the
12	partic	cular well?
13	A.	Correct.
14	Q.	When Ms. Hand complained to you, what actions
15	had y	our company already put in place to remedy high
16	mang	ganese from that well?
17	A.	We had already hired Atec System to come out, do
18	a pilo	ot study. That's where these numbers all come
19	from	. They showed how, you know, with the certain type
20	of tre	eatment, we can get those levels down to acceptable
21	level	s.
22	Q.	How long does it typically take from idea to
23	imple	ementation for a filtration system like this?
24	A.	If if you're not rushed in it, it can take

two to three years.

1	Q.	And how long did it take this particular
2	filtrati	on from idea to implementation?
3	A.	A little over a year.
4	Q.	Faster than usual?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Every contact that your company has with a
7	custo	mer is not a complaint, is it?
8	A.	I don't consider it a complaint.
9	Q.	And there's not necessarily a follow-up action
10	for e	very time a customer calls you?
11	A.	Right, no, that's
12	Q.	In the cases of brown water, your standard
13	action is to send somebody out to flush, correct?	
14	A.	Correct.
15	Q.	And I believe with Ms. Hand's case, there were
16	times	where your company went out to flush and the
17	resul	ts were reported as clear water the entire time?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	When a customer does call and reports brown
20	wateı	r, you or your customer service representatives
21	comn	nonly tell the customers not to drink brown water,
22	right?	
23	A.	Yes.
24	O	As a matter of common sense?

A. Common sense.

1	Q.	And what instructions do you give them
2	additionally?	
3	A.	To flush again, flush out at the hose bibs, get
4	it run	ning clear before you utilize it.
5	Q.	And if a customer's done that and they're still
6	havin	g a problem, that's when you'll go out to flush?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	And your staff generally stays onsite until the
9	probl	em is resolved, right?
10	A.	Right.
11	Q.	Are you aware of other water providers
12	consistently having water levels that exceed secondary	
13	maximum contaminant level?	
14		MR. MALDEN: Objection. No foundation.
15	Irrele	vant.
16		MR. RANKIN: I believe Mr. Malden presented
17	evidence of other water systems with high manganese, so	
18	I believe it is it does have foundation and is	
19	releva	ant.
20		MR. MALDEN: Well, I don't think I did any
21	such	thing. I I proposed a flyer from the City of
22	Olym	pia that explains to the public the risk of
23	mang	anese, and Mr. Rankin is the one that said we're not
24	the C	ity of Olympia so it doesn't apply to us.
25		MR. RANKIN: I'm referring to the email

	EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / RANKIN
1	exchange between the DOH that talks about a manganese
2	complaint on some other water system.
3	JUDGE KOPTA: I still haven't ruled on that,
4	and it wasn't brought up on cross, so it's inappropriate
5	to bring it up on redirect.
6	MR. RANKIN: Okay.
7	BY MR. RANKIN:
8	Q. If your company has a well that exceeds the
9	secondary maximum contaminant level, are you required to
10	take any action at that point?
11	A. Depends on the element that we're checking.
12	Secondaries, no.
13	Q. And at what point are you required by the DOH to
14	do so?
15	A. If it's a primary, immediately; if secondaries,
16	it's it depends on the level and the number of
17	complaints how we're handling it, if we want to be
18	proactive or not.
19	Q. So you wait for an order from the DOH before
20	you're required to do anything?
21	A. No, not always. I mean, we we weren't
22	ordered to do these these treatments. We did it on
23	our own.

25 A. Correct.

24

Q. You prefer to be proactive about it?

1	Q.	But as far as any legal requirement to your
2	know	ledge?
3	A.	No, we haven't been ordered to do anything.
4	Q.	You understand that your obligation under the
5	law is	s to follow DOH's instructions?
6	A.	Correct.
7	Q.	And until the DOH orders something, anything you
8	do w	ould be voluntary?
9	A.	Correct.
10	Q.	Without a DOH order, do you understand that the
11	wateı	design manual does not apply to you?
12		MR. MALDEN: Objection. Leading. No
13	found	dation.
14		JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. You may answer.
15	A.	I'm going to try and understand.
16	BY M	IR. RANKIN:
17	Q.	I'll rephrase my question.
18	A.	Okay.
19	Q.	You said that you're generally familiar with the
20	wateı	design manual, right?
21	A.	Yeah.
22	Q.	The requirement contained therein that you
23	surve	ey customers, that only applies when the DOH orders
24	you t	o take action
25	A.	Correct.

		MINATION OF BEAGRIMAN / RANKIN
1	Q.	correct?
2		Are you required to test for turbidity on the
3	Sout	nwood Sound System?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	Why is that?
6	A.	That is ground water sources. We are not
7	requ	ired for turbidity or total dissolved solids.
8	Q.	As a water provider, are you required to
9	unde	rtake medical testing or research of any sort?
10	A.	No.
11	Q.	How many group A water providers are there in
12	the s	tate?
13	A.	I think it's close to 4,000.
14	Q.	When your company takes samples per the DOH, do
15	you f	ollow the sampling and testing procedures outlined
16	in the	e WACs?
17	A.	Yes, we do.
18		MR. MALDEN: Objection. No foundation. If
19	he's	asking about a specific test that was done, that's
20	fine,	but I suspect that Mr. Blackman has no personal
21	know	ledge as to how any of the tests were conducted.
22		MR. RANKIN: He testified that he oversees
23	that v	whole process.
24		JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled.

A. Question again?

1	BY M	IR. RANKIN:
2	Q.	When your company takes samples and does water
3	testir	ng pursuant to your requirements under the law, do
4	you o	do so according to the procedures outlined in the
5	WAC	cs?
6	A.	We do.
7	Q.	And those WACs are publicly available?
8	A.	Yes, they are.
9	Q.	And then what happens to that sample?
LO	A.	We submit them to water management, the
L1	labo	ratory, and then they send the results to Department
L2	of He	ealth.
L3	Q.	So you never have a chance to intervene with the
L4	resul	ts between the lab and the DOH?
L5	A.	No.
L6	Q.	And to your knowledge, those sample results are
L7	availa	able through the DOH publicly?
L8	A.	Yes.
L9	Q.	So if a customer wanted to find out what the
20	test r	esult was from any particular well that you
21	samp	pled on any particular date, they could find that
22	publi	cly available?
23	A.	It would you may have to do some research,
24	but v	you could find it.

25

Q. I believe you said there were 23 wells in the

1	Soutl	nwood Sound System; is
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	that correct?
4		And so when we look at the consumer confidence
5	repor	ts, I believe the one mentioned, it was a .23.
6	That'	s the highest of those 23 wells, correct?
7	A.	Correct.
8	Q.	So the purpose in giving the customer the
9	highe	est is to know the end point, right?
10	A.	Correct.
11	Q.	Even though what's actually reaching the
12	custo	omer is probably much lower?
13		MR. MALDEN: Objection. Leading. He is
14	leadi	ng this witness.
15		JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled.
16	A.	Yes.
17	BY M	IR. RANKIN:
18	Q.	The DOH receives the customer confidence
19	repor	rts?
20	A.	Correct.
21	Q.	And after the DOH received, you know, each of
22	these	e years' customer confidence reports, did they order
23	you t	o take any action?
24	A.	No, they didn't.
25	Q.	In 2014, after the UTC investigation, we were

2.0

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / RANKIN

discussing the complaint tracking system. Was that a	
recommendation or an order that your company receive	d?

A. It was a recommendation.

Q. I just want to ask briefly before I wrap up, talking a little bit more about what can be done for Ms. Hand's particular case.

You said that there was some customer impact for pigging, and then counsel for the Staff asked if you could pig that particular line. Could you explain the impact of pigging that particular distribution stream to Ms. Hand?

A. The -- any -- anytime you pig, as I mentioned earlier, then you have to totally shut down the system, so everybody would be out of water for at least eight to ten hours. You'd probably receive a boil water notice at the same time because we have to go down to a zero pressure. There's a good chance that that would be a requirement until we get our bacteriological samples back. That's -- that's the biggest problem there is with it.

Q. How long does it take to get bacteriological samples back?

A. Typically two days.

Q. So there'd be a boil notice for typically two days?

	EXAMINATION OF BEACKWAIN FRANKIN
1	A. Right.
2	Q. And is the distribution network to Ms. Hand one
3	consistent diameter of pipe or is it more of a tree
4	branch system?
5	A. There is the majority of it is eight-inch
6	water mains. I believe the she's on a cul-de-sac,
7	and that may be a six-inch. So we'd have to have two
8	separate piggings.
9	Q. And still, then, there are service laterals off
LO	the the lines to each house?
L1	A. Right. Those are typically one-inch lines, so
L2	it would be hard you can't pig those.
L3	Q. And so one potential result of pigging, you
L4	know, even this one service line is that you're pushing
L5	everything through to the customers, right?
L6	A. Right. You would typically shut all the
L7	services off in the you know, going to the homes, run
L8	your pigs through, and get system charge back up.
L9	Q. How long does it take to pig that stretch of
20	line?
21	A. That would be an all-day project. The pigging
22	is not the that goes pretty quick. It's the setting
23	up. It's the, you know, how do you insert the into
24	the distribution system. In setting that up, you know,

25

where are you going to discharge it at, where are you

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / KOPTA

1	going to remove the pig at. That's that's the
2	difficult part.
3	Q. And do you know hopefully you can ballpark
4	how many customers are on that line that would be
5	affected by this?
6	A. In the subdivision, we'd probably have to
7	disrupt 50 50 to a hundred homes at a time, depending
8	on where we where we ran the pigs.
9	MR. RANKIN: Thank you. Nothing further.
10	JUDGE KOPTA: I have a couple of follow-ups.
11	
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY JUDGE KOPTA:
14	Q. One is, if you advise the customer to flush out
15	their lines, are they paying for the water that they're
16	using to do that?
17	A. Yes. However, it's such a minimal amount of
18	water that it typically doesn't really affect their
19	bills. We're talking 20, 30 gallons of water.
20	Q. For how long are you telling them to keep their
21	taps open?
22	A. Five, ten minutes. Typical hose bib, five
23	gallons and four, three, four gallons a minute. So if
24	you open a couple of them, it's it's a fairly small

amount of water.

EXAMINATION OF BLACKMAN / KOPTA

1	Q.	The second thing is, I'm going to make a bench
2	reque	est for the reports of the tests that you've done
3	since	you've put in the new system.
4	A.	Okay.
5		THE WITNESS: You got it right there?
6		MR. RANKIN: There's a a partial. It is
7	betwe	een 7/6 of '17 and 3/16 of '18. So it's not totally
8	curre	nt to date, but that is, I believe, Exhibit BBL-3.
9	No, I'	m sorry, BB-4.
10	BY JU	JDGE KOPTA:
11	Q.	And you have conducted tests since then?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Then I would like you to update that exhibit as
14	a ben	ch request to the most current test result that you
15	have.	
16	A.	Okay.
17	Q.	And do you have a time by which you can provide
18	that?	
19	A.	I got it in my car.
20	Q.	Oh, okay. So a week from today would be fine?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	All right. Then let's say the response is due

24 A. Okay.

by August 1st.

23

25

JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you,

1 Mr. Blackman. We appreciate your testimony, and you are 2 excused. 3 And it is time for a lunch break. I'm 4 concerned about getting done today, so I will ask 5 counsel to come back at 1 o'clock. And we will be off 6 the record until then. Thank you. 7 (A luncheon break was taken 8 from 12:05 p.m. to 12:57 p.m.) 9 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then let's be back 10 on the record after our lunch recess. 11 We had a discussion off the record about 12 some documents that Ms. Stark references in her 13 testimony does not append to her testimony, and I will 14 make bench request No. 3 to have the test results that 15 Rainier View submitted to Staff in response to their 16 request that Ms. Stark references in her testimony be 17 provided to the Commission by August 1st. 18 And with that, I believe we are ready to 19 have the examination of Ms. Stark. Your testimony and 20 exhibits have already been admitted. Do you have any 21 corrections? 22 MR. ROBERSON: Do you want me to introduce 23 the witness or... 24 JUDGE KOPTA: No, I don't think it's 25

necessary.

1		MR. ROBERSON: She has no corrections to her
2	testin	nony.
3		JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then I believe
4	she's	available for cross-examination, Mr. Malden.
5		MR. MALDEN: Thank you.
6		
7		EXAMINATION
8	BY M	IR. MALDEN:
9	Q.	Good afternoon. My name is Nigel Malden. I
10	repre	sent Sarah Hand. I'd like to start by just asking
11	you a	a few background questions.
12		Do you have a college degree?
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	Do you have any medical education or training?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Do you have any scientific education or
17	traini	ng?
18	A.	No. Mr Nigel, I'd like to go back. I have
19	a CP	R first-aid.
20	Q.	Okay.
21	A.	So that's some type of medical.
22	Q.	Okay. Do you have any training or education in
23	wate	r science?
24	A.	No.
25	Q.	Do you know what the definition of a contaminant

	EXAMINATION OF STARK / MALDEN	
1	is un	der Washington State law?
2	A.	No.
3	Q.	Do you know what the definition of "human
4	cons	umption" is referring to water under state law?
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	In your written testimony, you make reference to
7	a me	morandum of understanding or MOU; is that right?
8	A.	Correct.
9	Q.	After you received Sarah Hand's complaint, did
10	you then have to or after you took Sarah Hand's	
11	comp	plaint, how did you end up going to an MOU?
12	A.	I went to a colleague, public involvement
13	coor	dinator, his name is John Cupp, and I asked him if
14	he ha	ad a copy of the MOU and asked him to provide it to
15	me.	
16	Q.	And it would be would it be fair to say that
17	Mr. C	Supp or excuse me. Mr. Cupp, is he like your
18	immediate supervisor?	
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	What is his job title?
21	A.	He's a regulatory analyst.
22	Q.	And is he an individual that is supposed to be
23	familiar with the terms of a memorandum of understanding	
24	in this kind of situation between you and the Department	

of Health?

1	A.	I don't know what his understanding would be.
2	Q.	Is it true that the first MOU that Mr. Cupp gave
3	you v	vas a 1995 MOU?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	And it's your understanding that the 1995 MOU is
6	still ir	n effect today; is that right?
7	A.	No.
8	Q.	You understand that the MOU was replaced in
9	2008	?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	When did you discover that the 1995 MOU between
12	the U	ITC and the DOH had been replaced?
13	A.	About two weeks ago.
14	Q.	You mean two weeks from today?
15	A.	Yes, sir.
16	Q.	In other words, you were not aware until two
17	week	s from today that the UTC and the DOH had an MOU
18	thats	superseded the one that you were given by Mr. Cupp?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	To your knowledge, was everybody at the UTC in
21	the d	ark about that?
22	A.	I don't know everybody else's knowledge.
23	Q.	Do you understand how primary contaminants are
24	regul	ated in the state of Washington?
25	A.	Yes.

1	Q.	Do you understand how secondary contaminants are
2	regul	ated in the state of Washington?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	What is your understanding as to how secondary
5	conta	minants are regulated in the state of Washington?
6	A.	It's regulated by the Department of Health.
7	Q.	Do you know specifically what levels of
8	seco	ndary contaminants, specifically manganese, are
9	perm	itted or actually, let me let me rephrase
10	that.	
11		Do you know the list of secondary contaminants
12	that's	published in the WAC?
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	Are you familiar as to whether manganese is a
15	conta	aminant that's regulated by the DOH?
16	A.	It's a contaminant that it's a secondary
17	conta	aminant that they look at.
18	Q.	Do you know what that means, "secondary
19	conta	aminant" as opposed to "primary"?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	Did Mr. Blackman or anyone at Rainier View ever
22	tell yo	ou that Rainier View's own test results showed
23	that t	here was excess level of manganese in the water in
24	the w	rells in the Southwood Water System?
25	A.	That they had indicated that there were prior

1	high levels of manganese, but that they were working	
2	with the Department of Health to lower those.	
3	Q.	Did or strike that.
4		You spoke to Bob Blackman?
5	A.	Via email.
6	Q.	Bob Blackman, though, is the Rainier View
7	comp	pany representative that you communicated with?
8	A.	In this complaint, yes.
9	Q.	Did you communicate with anyone else from
10	Raini	er View?
11	A.	Not in this complaint.
12	Q.	In all of your communications with Mr. Blackman,
13	did h	e ever admit to you that their own test results
14	show	red an excessive level of manganese in violation of
15	the s	econdary contaminant level set forth in the WAC?
16	A.	No.
17	Q.	Did you do any research on your own to try and
18	deter	mine whether the level of contamination in any of
19	the w	ater being supplied to Ms. Hand violated the
20	seco	ndary contaminant level set forth in the WAC?
21	A.	I sent an email to Department of Health
22	requ	esting information about testing records and
23	requ	irements that DOH was requiring Rainier View Water.
24	Q.	You understood that Robert Blackman was the
25	repre	sentative for Rainier View to address the issue of

	EXAI	MINATION OF STARK / MALDEN
1	Sara	h Hand's complaint?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Was it your assumption that Mr. Blackman was
4	well-	versed in the water quality area?
5	A.	He's operations manager, so yes.
6	Q.	Did you assume that Mr. Blackman had the best
7	intere	est of the Rainier View customers in mind in his
8	comr	nunications with you?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	Did you ever ask Mr. Blackman if anyone other
11	than	Sarah Hand had complained about water quality?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	Did Mr. Blackman ever disclose to you that
14	peop	le other than Sarah Hand had complained about the
15	quali	ty of the water?
16	A.	No.
17	Q.	When you were reviewing Ms. Hand's complaint,
18	was i	t your understanding that she was the only customer
19	who	had complained about the water quality to Rainier
20	View	?
21	A.	In that in this complaint, I was working with
22	Ms. I	Hand, and I had no other records I had no other
23	oper	complaints regarding water quality against Rainier

- open complaints regarding water quality against Rainier View.
 - Q. I'd like to direct your attention to an exhibit

24

1	attached to your testimony marked RS-2, and I'm looking
2	specifically at page 13 of 20.

A. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

- Q. Is this a true and correct copy of an email that you received from Bob Blackman?
- A. Looks to be, yes.
- Q. And that was an email that you received on November 28th, 2016?
- A. Yes.
- Q. I'd like to read one of the sentences from
 Mr. Blackman's email. Quote, I am confident the water
 they are receiving is not discolored all the time.

 There are over 150 homes within the Springwood.
 - There are over 150 homes within the Springwood subdivision and several thousand homes outside the subdivision. If the water was continually discolored, I would think UTC and DOH would be receiving more complaints.
- That's what Mr. Blackman said to you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And did that sound logical to you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Would it be fair to state that Mr. Blackman's representation of the absence of customer complaints was something you took into consideration in reviewing
- ²⁵ Ms. Hand's complaint?

1	A.	Would you ask that again, please?
2	Q.	Mr. Blackman was representing to you that there
3	had -	- that there were very few complaints to the UTC
4	and th	ne DOH; is that right?
5	A.	He's indicating in here that he would think if
6	the w	rater was continually discolored, that he would
7	think	that the UTC and DOH would receive more
8	comp	plaints.
9	Q.	And you took that at face value?
LO	A.	Yes.
L1	Q.	Unaware that, in fact, many customers had
L2	comp	lained about the quality of the water?
L3	A.	I had no knowledge of any other of any number
L4	of otl	ner complaints about water quality.
L5	Q.	You relied on what Mr. Blackman told you?
L6	A.	Companies are required to respond to customer
L7	comp	plaints by statute, and if they don't, there could be
L8	subs	equent consequences for those nonresponse.
L9	Q.	What kind of consequences?
20	A.	We could open a formal complaint against the
21	comp	pany and look at the company as a whole to see if
22	there	were other things that may not come to light so
23	that v	ve can bring those to light, and the actions taken
24	could	l be as continue education or some technical

assistance to the company as well as possible penalties

1	recor	mmended to the Commissioners.
2	Q.	During the course of your investigation, you
3	spok	e with Mr. James from the DOH; is that right?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	I said I used the word "spoke." I probably
6	shou	ld have said "email."
7	A.	No, I did not.
8	Q.	You never had any communication did you have
9	any c	lirect communication with anyone at the DOH?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Who?
12	A.	Verpi and, excuse me, I continually say her name
13	wron	g, Salo-Zieman.
14	Q.	Okay. I've got the same problem with her name.
15		I'd like to direct your attention to the same
16	exhib	oit, it's Exhibit No. RS-2, but this is page 15 of
17	20. <i>A</i>	Are you with me?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Okay. This is an email that you sent to
20	Mr. E	Blackman on December 6th, 2016; is that right?
21	A.	Correct.
22	Q.	And one of the things that you asked
23	Mr. E	slackman to give you is the test results of these
24	syste	ms for the past three years; is that right?

25

A. Yes.

1	Q.	And you were referring to the water systems?
2	A.	Correct.
3	Q.	And why did you want to see the test results
4	going	back three years?
5	A.	Because I wanted to see if there was any prior
6	level	s that were exceeding and then what they were
7	curre	ently.
8	Q.	Did Mr. Blackman ever respond to this email?
9	A.	Yes.
LO	Q.	And did he supply you with lab tests in response
L1	to yo	ur email?
L2	A.	Yes.
L3	Q.	Can you identify the dates of the lab tests that
L4	he pr	ovided to you in response to that question?
L5	A.	One's dated March 7th, 2013.
L6	Q.	Okay. Let me stop you right there. Is it
L7	corre	ct that you only have one document pertaining to a
L8	year	before 2016?
L9	A.	I'm not sure what you mean.
20	Q.	Okay. Let me rephrase the question.
21		In the various test reports that Mr. Blackman
22	gave	you, there's one from 2013, right?
23	A.	At least one, yes.
24	Q.	Okay. I think my question is, is there more
25	than	one?

1	A. Well, looks to be there's a Southwood System
2	well site, Tannenbaum A, B and C, is March 7th, 2013, as
3	well as the well site, Silver Creek, is dated 2013. And
4	then there's a Southwood Firwood Meadows A, B, and C,
5	dated March 7th, 2013. And that's it for 2013.
6	MR. MALDEN: If I may approach to look at
7	those?
8	JUDGE KOPTA: You may.
9	MR. MALDEN: May I question the witness from
10	here?
11	JUDGE KOPTA: If you will use that
12	microphone.
13	BY MR. MALDEN:
14	Q. Ms. Stark, I'd like to just quickly go through
15	the test documents with you to make sure they're
16	identified. Out of the test reports that you have here,
17	it looks like there's only one that's dated 2013; is
18	that right?
19	A. Well, they have they're double-sided, so I'm
20	assuming those are different reports for the same date
21	on different wells.
22	Q. Okay. Is there any report there from Well
23	No. 4, Well D?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And what's the date of that report?

1	A.	Let me make sure. It is November 18th, 2016, it
2	was	collected and it was reported November 22nd, 2016.
3	Q.	When you went through those test reports, did
4	you c	determine whether or not there was excessive levels
5	of ma	anganese in any of the testing?
6	A.	In 2013.
7	Q.	Did that have any significance to you?
8	A.	No, because the subsequent testing, those levels
9	were	down to DOH standards.
10	Q.	Which subsequent testing, in 2016?
11	A.	Correct.
12	Q.	I'd like to direct your attention to another
13	exhib	oit. This is an email that you received from
14	Ms. S	Salo-Zieman. Do you recall that Ms. Salo-Zieman
15	sent	to you a flyer or a printout from the EPA?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	And did you look at that exhibit?
18	A.	I did.
19	Q.	And that actually, let me find that in the
20	recor	d. I believe that's Exhibit RS-6. If you could go
21	to tha	at, please.
22	A.	I'm there.
23	Q.	Did you ask the DOH to provide you information
24	relev	ant to the regulation of water in the state of
25	Wash	nington?

7 I		Nο
	Δ	NO
_	~ :	110

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What information did you ask them for?
- A. I asked them for some fact sheets that I could provide to consumers. I also asked them for any testing requirements that they had of Rainier View Water Company that were any pending actions, any compliance reporting that they were requiring Rainier View Water to come into compliance with, any other consumer complaints that they may have had.
- Q. In response to the question about regulation, the DOH sent you the flyer about EPA enforcement, RS-6; is that right?

A. Correct.

- Q. And that flyer says nothing about Washington State regulation of secondary contaminants, does it?
- A. The flyer?
- Q. Right. I say "flyer." I'm not exactly sure what you call it --
 - A. It's actually in her email she responded to me, Ms. Salo-Zieman. She indicated that there's no treatment required due to health implications for iron and manganese in the water, but she did provide me a link for more information regarding the EPA's website for secondary contaminants. So this is actually a link, not really a flyer.

EXAMINATION OF STARK / ROBERSON

1	Q. Okay. And you accept that as true, what
2	Ms. Salo-Zieman told you; is that right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. You didn't do any independent research on your
5	own to verify one way or the other?
6	A. I looked at the flyer and that's it.
7	MR. MALDEN: Okay. Thank you very much. No
8	further questions.
9	JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Rankin?
LO	MR. RANKIN: Thank you for coming in today.
L1	I have no questions for you.
L2	JUDGE KOPTA: Redirect?
L3	MR. ROBERSON: I have a very small amount of
L4	redirect.
L5	
L6	EXAMINATION
L7	BY MR. ROBERSON:
L8	Q. Ms. Stark, you're familiar with both the 1995
L9	and 2008 MOUs between the Commission and the Department
20	of Health, correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. And as far as the processing of complaints for
23	water quality, are there any differences between the two
24	of them?
25	A. No.

EXAMINATION OF STARK / ROBERSON

1	Q.	Mr. Malden was asking you about your
2	inves	tigation into the number of complaints. When you
3	conta	acted the DOH, you asked them whether or not they
4	had c	complaints, correct?
5	A.	Correct.
6	Q.	And how did Ms. Salo-Zieman respond?
7	A.	She responded that they didn't have any. They
8	woul	d not take action on water quality action against
9	a wa	ter company unless there were subsequent consumer
10	com	olaints regarding water quality, and also if those
11	custo	omers knew the cost associated with maintaining the
12	wate	r quality.
13		MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have.
14		JUDGE KOPTA: All right. I only have one
15	thing	, which is I neglected to swear you in before you
16	starte	ed your testimony.
17		THE WITNESS: So everything I said is
18		JUDGE KOPTA: We'll get to that.
19		(Rachel Stark sworn.)
20		JUDGE KOPTA: And now having been sworn, do
21	you v	vant to change any of your answers?
22		THE WITNESS: No, sir.
23		JUDGE KOPTA: And you swear that those
24	answ	ers were correct?
25		THE WITNESS: Yes.

1	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you. That's
2	all I have and I believe all we have for you. Thank you
3	for your testimony.
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5	JUDGE KOPTA: You are excused.
6	And now I believe it's Ms. Hand's turn. You
7	can stay right there if you'd like.
8	
9	SARAH HAND, witness herein, having been
10	first duly sworn on oath,
11	was examined and testified
12	as follows:
13	
14	JUDGE KOPTA: We have already admitted or
15	rejected testimony and exhibits that have been prefiled
16	for you.
17	Unless there are any corrections,
18	Mr. Rankin, I believe that Ms. Hand is available for
19	cross-examination.
20	
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. RANKIN:
23	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Hand. You don't have a
24	college degree, do you?
25	A. I have some college, but not a degree, no.

- Q. You don't have any education or experience in engineering?
- 3 **A. No.**
- 4 Q. What about water treatment?
- 5 **A. No.**
- 6 Q. Or water science?
- ⁷ A. No.
- 8 Q. What about interpreting or enforcing statutes
- 9 and regulations?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. What about medical training?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Your house, subject to this complaint, was built
- 14 | in 1997, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And you purchased it in May of 2015?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. So it was 18, almost 20 years old when you
- 19 bought it?
- 20 **A. Yes.**
- 21 Q. And you had a home inspection prior to that
- 22 | purchase, correct?
- 23 **A. Yes.**
- Q. You were there with the inspector?
- 25 A. Yes, I was.

Q.	And during that inspection, you or the inspector
check	ked the faucets, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Every faucet?
- A. No. So he went around, me and my real estate agent were talking about the roof, and then he came and showed me that the bathtub in the upstairs bathroom was like running a little brownish, but he said that could be from sitting. So he just checked that box off so...
- Q. Did you check other faucets?
- A. No. I was walking around with my real estate agent like going over the -- like he was kind of going ahead of us. So I was kind of like going through like the things that he had told my real estate agent like hey, like this right here he pointed out, make sure you get this done, this is how you do that. So he was kind of running ahead of us at the time of the inspection.
- Q. Did he check every faucet in the house?
- A. I'm unsure.
- Q. When -- do you recall when I took your deposition in this matter?
- 22 **A. Yes.**
- Q. Okay. In that deposition, you testified that
 your home inspector checked every faucet and flushed
 every toilet and that you were with him at that time.

L	Do١	you	remembe	er that	testimony	y ?
---	-----	-----	---------	---------	-----------	------------

A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

9

12

13

21

- Q. And you're telling me today that that testimony
- 4 | is incorrect?
 - A. Well, he was -- he -- as we were walking around, I seen him going through and testing everything. I wasn't like standing over the sink when he tested it. I watched him go around testing everything, but my real estate agent and me were also talking at the same time.
- It's not like we have like a mansion. We have a
 1854-square-foot house.
 - Q. So your home inspector noticed brown water?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And how many faucets did you say he noticed it
- 15 | in?
- A. Well, he came and showed me the -- the tub one.
- Like he -- like that was obvious, the master bathroom one was like obvious.
- Q. And at that time, you didn't ask any follow-up questions?
 - A. No, he said it could have been from the house sitting, so I was like, okay, made sense.
- Q. Did he run the water for an extended period of time?
- 25 A. Yep.

1	Q.	How long?
2	Δ	l would sa

- A. I would say about probably like two minutes.
- Q. Did he flush toilets?
- A. Yep.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- Q. Did he notice brown water there too?
- A. Like I said, he was going ahead of us. Like he was flushing, going through. I wasn't like -- like I said, I wasn't standing over him.
- Q. Did he tell you about brown water?
 - A. No. Just showed me the brown water in the bathtub.
 - Q. On the day you moved in, you noticed brown water, correct?
 - A. Yes, in the master bathtub, yeah. It was obvious brown water still there. So we were -- because like when you -- when you -- when we bought the home, so like the water was turned off. So when you go for an inspection, you ask them to come out and turn the water on. So then after the inspection, they turn the water back off until you go down and prove that you're the new homeowner.
 - Q. So when you saw brown water, you didn't ask your real estate agent if that should be a cause for concern?
- A. Well, we got our keys that day, so we were thinking like, hey, it sat for a little while, let's go

	EXA	MINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	ahea	d and do like the maintenance thing that they had
2	told u	is to do, like drain the hot water heater, you
3	know	, check off the little boxes.
4	Q.	I'll clarify that question. Going back to the
5	home	e inspection.
6	A.	Mm-hmm.
7	Q.	You said your realtor was there with you?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And your realtor also did not see any cause for
10	conc	ern?
11	A.	No, he said it could have been from sitting.
12	Q.	At any point, did you ask the real estate agent
13	abou	t water quality in that area?
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	Did you ask the seller's agent?
16	A.	No.
17	Q.	You allege that you've had consistently brown
18	wate	r since the day you bought that house, correct?

- water since the day you bought that house, correct?
- A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But you didn't mention anything to your water provider for -- until November of 2016, correct?
- A. No. We just kept draining our hot water heater and opening the faucets and doing just preventative maintenance.
 - Q. And at no point during that 18 months did you

$\Gamma \vee \Lambda$	Λ I Λ I Λ	$TI \cap II$	\triangle	$\square \land \square$	/ D A	
	NVIIINA	HUN	OF	HAND	/ KA	NINKIIN

- think that you should tell the water provider that the
 water they're providing you is not meeting your
 standards?
 - A. No.

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. When you did tell them about brown water, somebody came out to flush, correct?
- A. Well, no. When we did tell them about brown water -- we found out about the brown water issue, it wasn't just our home, at an HOA like annual meeting. So then like one and one kind of made two, and they were like, oh, this is what's causing this issue.
- Q. My question was, when you called Rainier View Water Company to tell them about brown water, somebody came out and flushed the water, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And they flushed it until it ran clean, right?
- A. They came out the same day that we had our pressure release valve changed, so they had already been -- the plumbers had run all of the water out of our house to even change that valve. So yeah, they came out and flushed, but, I mean, the whole -- like the plumbers had already flushed our whole entire house. It took like half the day.
- Q. But when Rainier View Water Company came out and flushed, they were there until the water ran clear,

correct?
COLLECT

- A. Well, they're -- I guess -- I don't know because what they would do is they -- when they'd come out and flush, they'd take like this water bottle with Mountain Mist label on it out of their trucks, and they'd put it under the faucet, and then they'd look at it, and if there's still particles in it, then they'd dump it out. Then they'd over -- they'd do that again, they dump it out. And so, I mean, honestly, like they're not just going to be like, oh, is this clean enough for you? That's not what they do.
- Q. Have they ever left your house after flushing with brown water flowing?
 - A. Down the street?
- Q. No, your house.
- A. Like I said, they put the pipe back on and call it a day. I don't go down there and be like, hey, is it still brown?
- Q. I guess I'm trying to understand, when they leave your house, is the problem -- is water coming out of your tap clear?
- A. Sometimes it is, yeah. If not, they tell us to open up all the valves on the outside of our house until it clears itself up. After they hook our water back up to our system, then they'd be like, turn on your water

1	faucets and let it run clear.
2	Q. So when they're flushing, they're pulling the
3	meter and flushing, correct?
4	A. Right, down the street. Like they put their
5	like their little connector down the street, and then
6	they go and grab a bottle of water out of the back of
7	their trucks, and then they test that way. They don't
8	use like a sample kit or nothing like that. They use a
9	common water bottle and stare at it. And this could be
LO	night or day. So at night, they use their headlight of
L1	their truck to see if it's clear.
L2	Q. So when they reconnect the line running clear
L3	water to your house, their instruction sometimes to you
L4	is now open up your taps so that anything
L5	A. That's always their instruction, to open up your
L6	taps after they do it.
17	O Please let me finish my questions before you

Q. Please let me finish my questions before you answer.

When they reconnect and there's clear water flowing, their instruction to you is open up your taps because there may be some within your house?

A. Exactly.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And you've called them about brown water on four occasions; is that correct?

A. More than that. I talked to Rob -- Bob Blackman

	EXA	WINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	on m	ore than four occasions.
2	Q.	How many different occurrences of brown water
3	have	you reported to Rainier View Water Company?
4	A.	I'm guessing more than ten.
5	Q.	And how do you lodge those work orders?
6	A.	Well, we call and sometimes like we have to call
7	at ni	ght and call the emergency number, and then a guy
8	will I	ike say like, I'm in Purdy, so I'll be there in a
9	few h	nours or if not, I'll do whatever, you know.
LO	Q.	And they always come out same day or next day,
L1	right?	
L2	A.	Within 48 hours they say they'll be out.
L3	Q.	And they've always done that?
L4	A.	Yes. And if we're not home, they'll leave a
L5	flyer	on our door.
L6	Q.	To let you know that
L7	A.	They came out there
L8	Q.	they came out and flushed?
L9	A.	Yeah.
20	Q.	The first time you spoke with Rainier View about
21	brow	n water was to demand payment for your pressure
22	relief	valve on your house; is that correct?
23	Α.	That is correct.

Q. You didn't save that valve, did you?

A. No, I did not.

24

1	Q.	You looked at it and saw that there was sediment	
2	in it; is that correct?		
3	A.	Yes.	
4	Q.	And you assumed that sediment was the cause of	
5	its fai	ilure?	
6	A.	Yes.	
7	Q.	That pressure release relief valve was	
8	origir	nal to the house, wasn't it?	
9	A.	I cannot tell you that.	
10	Q.	So it could have been almost 20 years old?	
11	A.	It could have been. I'm not a plumber. Don't	
12	have	work orders for the house I bought.	
13	Q.	You never cleaned it in your time of owning the	
14	home	e, did you?	
15	A.	It's like stuck in a wall. Like it's in a wall	
16	like s	stuck. You can't clean it. It's stuck in a wall.	
17	Q.	So you didn't clean it?	
18	A.	It's impossible to clean it.	
19	Q.	Do you know if the prior owner ever did any sort	
20	of ma	aintenance on it?	
21	A.	I I wouldn't be able to tell you that. I	
22	wasr	n't there.	
23	Q.	You've never done any maintenance to your pipes	
24	or plu	umbing in your house, have you?	

A. Oh, yes, I have.

Q.	What did	you	do?
----	----------	-----	-----

2.0

A. So far we've changed the pipes out in the kitchen sink because the sediment had clogged up and made a leak under the sink. Those pipes are right now in my car.

And we also had to change out the toilet, because the manganese had rotted out the -- I think they call it like -- so it would be like not the O-ring, but the thing underneath that. I'll have to like look, get that for you. But that rotted out the bottom of my toilet, so water was leaking all over my floor. We had manganese built up all around that.

Our toilet was destroyed. The back of it was gross. The inside was gross. So we replaced the pipes going from the hot water heater that goes directly through our wall to where the downstairs bathroom is, replaced that pipe, replaced the toilet, and we also replaced all the plumbing underneath my kitchen sink. So yes, I have done some pipe work in my house.

- Q. At your deposition, you testified that you had never done anything other than replacing appliances.
- A. Well, yeah, we'd replaced appliances like pipes.
- Q. When you replaced the pipes, was that after the time of your deposition?
 - A. No. The -- so the kitchen sink was before and

	EXAI	MINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	the b	athroom was after.
2	Q.	So why is it that you didn't recall that at the
3	time	of your deposition?
4	A.	Maybe nervous.
5	Q.	When you eventually talked to Bob Blackman after
6	abou	t 18 months of brown water, he told you that a pilot
7	study	was underway; is that right?
8	A.	I don't recall.
9	Q.	Do you recall the conversation you had with Bob
LO	Black	man generally?
L1	A.	Yeah.
L2	Q.	And during that conversation, do you recall him
L3	telling	g you that they had undertaken a pilot study to
L4	imple	ment a filtration system?
L5	A.	Before I talked to Bob Blackman, I talked to the
L6	news	guy, and he had said they had already said they
L7	were	putting in a filtration system in 2016.
L8	Q.	Okay. When you talked to Bob Blackman, do you
L9	recall	him telling you that they had undertaken a pilot
20	study	for a filtration system and that process was in
21	the w	rorks?
22	A.	Yeah, after he already said that in 2016 that
23	they	already fixed it to the news.

Q. When you talked to Bob Blackman, he told you

25 | that the filtration system would be online and

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

16

18

	OF HAND	
NATION	OF DAIND	/ CAINCIIN

1	operational probably late spring or early summer of that
2	coming year?

- A. I think that's what he said.
- Q. Are you aware of when it did go online?
- A. I don't. I have a hard time believing anything he says.
- Q. Shortly after talking with Bob Blackman about that filtration system, you became aware that the UTC was holding an open meeting on Rainier View's request for its surcharge extension; is that correct?
- A. That's right.
- Q. And you were aware that that meeting and surcharge extension was related to the filtration system he was telling you about?
- 15 A. Correct.
 - Q. And you called in to that meeting, correct?
- 17 **A.** I did.
 - Q. And you understood that the request for a surcharge extension was to continue an already existing
- ²⁰ | 75 cent surcharge, correct?
- 21 **A. Yes.**
- Q. And you called in and opposed that surcharge
- 23 extension --
- 24 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 25 | Q. -- correct?

	EXAI	MINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	You're aware that the filtration treatment
3	propo	osal was accepted by the Department of Health
4	subs	equent to that, correct?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	And that the UTC granted that surcharge
7	exter	nsion to pay for that filtration system?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And you're aware that that filtration system
LO	that y	ou opposed funding for is on the exact water
L1	syste	m that provides you water, correct?
L2	A.	I opposed the tariff because it they had
L3	alrea	dy told KIRO that that filtration system was online
L4	in 20	16 and it was going to be fixed within one year.
L5	So th	ney had already made empty promises, empty promises.
L6	So w	hy are we paying for now we're paying for two
L7	filtra	tion systems? Because they already said in '16
L8	they	put on, so now they're going to, what, put another
L9	one	on? Made no sense.
20	Q.	If I'm understanding what you're testifying, you
21	were	told in 2016 that a system would be online within
22	the n	ext year, correct?
23	A.	We were told that in 2016, that they had already
24	pron	nised KIRO that it was going to be online, the

filtration system was already going to be online before

	EXA	WINATION OF HAND / KANKIN
1	this r	new tariff even came up, that that filtration was
2	alrea	dy going to be paid and built. They never said
3	that,	hey, you're going to pay extra money to fix your
4	brow	n water.
5	Q.	Representations to the news aside, because I
6	don't	have those in front of us, Mr. Blackman
7	repre	sented to you that it would be online the coming
8	late s	spring, early summer, correct?
9	A.	Yes.
LO	Q.	You've noticed Rainier View doing increased
L1	flush	ing in your area?
L2	A.	Yes.
L3	Q.	About when did that start?
L4	A.	About the time we filed a lawsuit.
L5	Q.	When was that?
L6	A.	When we filed a lawsuit in Pierce County.
L7	Q.	When was that?
L8	A.	So January-ish.
L9	Q.	Shortly after the UTC hearing that granted them
20	a sur	charge extension to pay for the filtration?
21	A.	No. They didn't start doing that until we filed
22	a law	suit in Pierce County. That's when they started
23	actu	ally flushing our systems.

Q. But you're telling me that it was approximately January of 2017?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page: 193

	EXA	MINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	A.	I think we filed in I'll have to look at our
2	filing	date. That's when they started flushing our
3	syste	em is after we filed a lawsuit in Pierce County.
4	Q.	And since they've been doing the increased
5	flush	ing, you've noticed that your water quality has
6	impro	oved, correct?
7	A.	Some days it has and some days it doesn't.
8	Q.	But overall, the quality has improved?
9	A.	Depends on if you run your like water outside,
10	like v	water your grass for several hours and then use it
11	or no	ot. If we let it sit overnight, then the water is
12	still t	the same, brown.
13	Q.	When I took your deposition, you testified that,

- Q. When I took your deposition, you testified that, quote, I'm saying the water has improved. We've seen improvement. We've seen improvement with like it's not being as bad as it was.
- A. Not as bad, but it's still bad. Not -- it's not safe enough for my children to drink still. We're still buying our water, bottled water for our kids to drink, and five gallon waters from Crystal Mountain for our family to use for cooking and drinking. We are not going to drink that water.
- Q. When did you have a water quality test done at your home?
 - A. When Susan Evans came out or Susan -- when Susan

1	came	e out to test our water.
2	Q.	When was that?
3	A.	After we had our attorney hire her to come out.
4	Q.	You're referring to your Exhibit 29, is that the
5	repor	t that you're referring to?
6	A.	Let me look at it. Yes.
7	Q.	So looking at Exhibit 29, looks like the date
8	recei	ved is 4/6/2017
9	A.	Yeah.
10	Q.	does that sound correct?
11	A.	4/6/17, yes.
12	Q.	And you were with this engineer when she pulled
13	all the	ese water samples, correct?
14	A.	Yes, I was. Yes. My family had to evacuate the
15	hous	e for 24 hours, so I was the only one left.
16	Q.	Why didn't she pull the water meter and test
17	from	the source coming into your house?
18	A.	Why didn't she pull the water meter? Like we
19	don't	even pull our water meter. I don't think you
20	legal	ly can pull a water meter, can you?
21	Q.	So you don't know why she didn't test from the
22	sourc	ce coming into the house?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	Why did she test from the upstairs bathroom?
25	A.	She tested different spots. She went to our

1	upstairs bathroom. She did the downstairs sink and then		
2	she did our hot water heater. She just tested from		
3	seve	ral different sources.	
4	Q.	Are there test results from tests that she took	
5	that a	are not included in Exhibit 29?	
6	A.	So she took no, all of them are here.	
7	Q.	So as I understand Exhibit 29, she took two	
8	draw	s from the upstairs master bath bathtub?	
9	A.	Yes.	
10	Q.	And from the water heater	
11	A.	Yes.	
12	Q.	in your garage or basement?	
13	A.	Yes.	
14	Q.	Okay. So you just mentioned that she took a	
15	test f	rom your kitchen sink.	
16	A.	I thought she did. Maybe she didn't test it,	
17	mayl	be she just turned it on. I don't know if she tested	
18	that.	Maybe she had to wash her hands.	
19	Q.	Why would she turn it on but not test it?	
20		MR. MALDEN: Objection. Calls for	
21	spec	ulation.	
22	A.	I don't know.	
23	BY M	IR. RANKIN:	
24	Q.	Why did she decide to test from the upstairs	
25	bathr	oom?	

1	A.	That's just where we started at.
2	Q.	There's no scientific reason behind it?
3	A.	I don't know. I'm not like I said, I'm not a
4	spec	ialist in this water science stuff. Like I allowed
5	her t	o do her testing. I was home and present. My
6	famil	y was already gone. For 24 hours, you couldn't be
7	in the	e house, couldn't use our water. So I let her do
8	her t	esting, watched her do the testing, watched her do
9	the d	raws. I'm not a water scientist, so I don't know
10	why	she chose where she chose.
11	Q.	How many gallons does your water heater hold?
12	A.	I don't know.
13	Q.	Do you know how many gallons of water she ran
14	betwe	een tests upstairs?
15	A.	I don't know.
16	Q.	Do you know how many gallons were in the water
17	heate	er when she took that sample?
18	A.	I know for sure it was full because nobody used
19	our h	not water for like my whole family had to leave,
20	so no	o one had used that water at all for over 24 hours.
21	Q.	When she took the samples from your upstairs
22	bathr	oom tub, that was hot water, wasn't it?
23	A.	I don't know.
24	Q.	So you don't know how much hot water had been
25	run o	ut during the testing process?

1	A.	Nobody had touched the water in 24 hours. When
2	she v	walked in the house was the first time the water was
3	touc	hed in 24 hours.
4	Q.	But you don't know how many gallons of water
5	were	depleted from the water heater during the testing
6	proce	ess?
7	A.	No.
8	Q.	Why didn't she take cold water samples?
9	A.	Don't know.
10	Q.	Why not pull from a hose bib?
11	A.	Don't know.
12	Q.	On page 12 of your testimony, at lines 22
13	throu	gh 25, you can look at it if you want. I can read
14	the re	elevant quote to you. (As read) Some of its test
15	repor	ts still show manganese levels which violates the
16	WAC	S.
17	A.	Okay.
18	Q.	Which which reports are you referring to?
19		MS. LEE: This is page 12 just to clarify?
20		MR. RANKIN: Page 12 of her testimony, SH-1.
21	A.	So they violate the Washington State Commission,
22	right	?
23	BY M	IR. RANKIN:
24	Q.	I'm sorry. Say it again.
25	A.	So they are higher our levels are higher than

4	A.	Shows manganese levels were were violated.
3	Q.	What reports are you referring to?
2	comp	pany allows.
1	what	Washington State allows, what Washington utility

Q. I understand what your testimony says, but you're referring to reports. Which reports are you

7 referring to?

5

6

8

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. I don't know.

- Q. Are you familiar with the consumer confidence report that Rainier View Water Company publishes annually?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You first saw that at your attorney's office, didn't you?
- 15 **A. Yes.**
 - Q. And that was just two weeks before your deposition, right?
 - A. We seen them before that too with the KIRO News had shown us those.
 - Q. When I took your deposition, you testified the first time you had seen that was at your attorney's office.
- 23 **A. Right.**
- Q. And that was after the time that you filed a complaint with the UTC, correct?

	EXA	MINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	A.	Right.
2	Q.	After the time you filed a complaint in superior
3	court	in Pierce County?
4	A.	You mean the consumer we seen those when KIRO
5	came	e out to our house. When KIRO came out to our house,
6	we s	een those consumer confidence reports. That was
7	back	in November.
8	Q.	November of which year?
9	A.	2016.
10	Q.	I'd like you to refer to Exhibit SH-11, please,
11	and I	'd like you to look at page 2 of 7 of that report.
12	A.	Okay.
13	Q.	Earlier today, your attorney was asking some
14	ques	tions about the particularly the middle paragraph
15	in this	s lower text box. Are you familiar with that
16	that I	anguage?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	The first time you saw this language was at your
19	attorr	ney's office shortly before your deposition,
20	corre	ct?
21	A.	No, we seen it with the news.
22	Q.	And you said that was in November of '16?
23	A.	Let's see if this is the same one. So this one
24	we s	een with our attorney.

Q. And that was after you had filed both the

	EXAMINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	informal complaint with the UTC and your superior court
2	complaint?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. So you never relied on this paragraph as to the
5	quality of the water or any representations Rainier View
6	Water Company made prior to your filing the complaint
7	and the lawsuit, correct?
8	A. Well, Bob Blackman told me in November '16 the
9	quality of water was great.
LO	Q. I'm referring to this document here. You never
L1	saw this before about two weeks before your
L2	deposition, correct?
L3	A. Correct.
L4	Q. So given that, the complaint and the lawsuit had
L5	been filed before you first saw this, you never relied
L6	on this language in filing your lawsuit, correct?
L7	A. [Inaudible.]
L8	THE COURT REPORTER: What was that?
L9	THE WITNESS: No.
20	MR. FINNIGAN: She needs to give a verbal
21	answer.
22	BY MR. RANKIN:
23	Q. That was correct?
24	A. So in 2016, we seen the quality report that KIRO

showed us, so we depended on those reports of quality

	EXA	WINATION OF HAND / KANKIN		
1	wate	г.		
2	Q.	I understand, but this particular one that we're		
3	lookii	ng at that contains the language that you're		
4	referi	ring to as guarantees, you had never seen that		
5	befor	e you filed the complaint with the UTC and your		
6	lawsı	uit in superior court, correct?		
7	A.	Correct.		
8	Q.	I'd like to go back to Exhibit SH-1, your		
9	testin	nony, and let's go to page 13 of that. Very last		
10	line s	ays, (as read) And some of its reports still show		
11	manganese levels which violate the WACs.			
12	I know this is similar to what you testified to			
13	on page 11, but can you tell me what reports you're			
14	referi	ring to here?		
15	A.	The ones online.		
16	Q.	Which ones online?		
17	A.	The consumer reports that are online for us to		
18	revie	w.		
19	Q.	The Southwood Sound consumer confidence report?		
20	A.	Right.		
21	Q.	For which year?		
22	A.	For the years prior, so the ones that were		
23	onlin	e that we could review.		
24	Q.	So you're referring to past years?		

A. Right.

	EXA	WINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	Q.	Not current years?
2	A.	Right.
3	Q.	I will have you turn to page 14. The first
4	parag	graph of your testimony, the last sentence says, (as
5	read)	Rainier View has failed to self-report to the DOH
6	seco	ndary contaminant violations on a regular basis.
7		Why do you believe that to be so?
8	A.	Well, once I once we got involved in this
9	whol	e lawsuit thing, we kind of found out that it takes
10	five p	people before the DOH will come and investigate.
11	Take	s five different consumers in one area before they
12	come	e in and investigate. And I don't believe that
13	Rain	ier View Water self-reports their problems.
14	Q.	Do you have any proof other than your belief
15	that F	Rainier View Water Company has failed to
16	self-r	eport excess levels of secondary contaminants to
17	the D	epartment of Health?
18	A.	I've lost all faith in Rainier View Water. I
19	don't	believe that they are following the law, I don't
20	belie	ve they're self-reporting. They're not even filing
21	the c	omplaints that other people have.
22	Q.	So this is purely based on your belief?
23	A.	Right.
24	Q.	The next paragraph, the last line says, (as

read) Specifically the DOH directed Rainier View to test

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXAMINATION OF HAND / RANKIN

1	for manganese once per month, which the company has
2	failed to do.
3	Why do you believe they have failed to test for
4	manganese once per month?

A. I think Bob Blackman told you that today.

Q. Which time period are you referring to in this paragraph of your testimony?

A. I don't believe they've been testing.

Q. I would like to refer you to Exhibit -- I hope you have a copy over there. It is BB-4. Have you seen this document before?

A. I think we -- I think we looked at this before, yes.

Q. Do you have any qualifications or skills that would make you feel comfortable interpreting this report?

A. Well, it says iron before treatment, iron after treatment, iron test method, manganese before treatment, manganese after treatment. Like I'm pretty sure I can read.

Q. Do you think you can figure it out?

A. Yeah.

Q. So on the far left column, those dates that -- on the top is 3/16 of 2018, at the bottom it's 7/6 of 2017; do you see those?

	EXAI	MINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	A.	Yep.
2	Q.	Do those seem to be testing dates for manganese
3	at the	e Fir Meadows 4 well?
4	A.	They could be, yeah.
5	Q.	And do they are they in a frequency of more
6	than	every once a month or less than once a month?
7	A.	Looks like they're saying they test at least
8	more	than once a month. They're saying they do.
9	Q.	So I'm understanding your second paragraph on
10	page	14 of your testimony to essentially be that even
11	thoug	gh they're saying they have tested and are
12	prese	enting test results, you believe that that's not the
13	case	?
14	A.	I don't know how we're supposed to believe
15	anytl	hing that they tell us when they tell us we're going
16	to ha	ve, you know, clean water. I mean, they're self
17	they'	re self-testing, like no one is there to mange
18	their	tests. So how do we know this is true? How do we
19	knov	v this is factual?
20	Q.	So, again, this paragraph and the assertions
21	conta	nined therein are purely based on your personal
22	belie	f?
23	A.	Right.
24	Q.	Looking at the next paragraph, you allege that

Q. Looking at the next paragraph, you allege that

Rainier View has failed to collect and maintain

ĺ	$EX\Delta$	MINA	ΙΔΤΙΩΝΙ	OF HAND	/ RANKIN	۱
	$ \wedge$ \vdash	*IVIII V	IA I IL JIV	UE DAINI	/ CAINVIII	1

	EXAMINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	complaint records in violation of WAC 480-110-385 and in
2	violation of a 2014 directive from the UTC, correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Do you have any proof other than your own belief
5	that they have failed to collect and maintain the
6	records?
7	A. After looking over the logging records, we know
8	that we have called or talked to someone after hours on
9	their service report and it was not documented. So we
LO	know for sure that they are deceitful in that logging.
L1	Q. Do you have any evidence of that?
L2	A. My phone calls. Like we call the after-hours.
L3	Our neighbor wrote a letter. They're not logging it.
L4	Q. Those haven't been submitted into evidence, have
L5	they?
L6	A. No.
L7	Q. Looking to the last paragraph on that page and
L8	the first paragraph on the top of page 15, you talk
L9	about the company is required to take follow-up action,
20	which shall be commensurate with the degree of consumer
21	acceptance of the water quality and their willingness to
22	bear the cost of the secondary standard, correct?
23	A. Correct.

Q. Holding an open meeting in front of the UTC after giving public notice to the customers about this

24

FXΔ	ΜΙΝΙΔ	TION	$\bigcirc F$	HAND	/RA	NIKIN
$\Box \wedge \vdash$	NIVIII NA	LION	OF.	HAIND		a vez i i v

	LAMINATION OF HAND / NAMIN	
1	potential surcharge increase or extension, extension in	
2	this case, that would be one way of gauging consumer	
3	acceptance, correct?	
4	A. I guess that would be kind of how you would have	
5	to perceive it.	
6	Q. Do you know how many other customers called in	
7	to that hearing where you opposed the surcharge	
8	increase?	
9	A. No.	
10	Q. Or filed a comment in opposition otherwise?	
11	A. I don't know.	
12	Q. Looking down a little further on that page, page	
13	15 of your testimony, this is an excerpt from Washington	
14	State Department of Health Water System Design Manual.	
15	The first line of text reads, (as read) DOH will require	
16	action by the purveyor when the purveyor receives five	
17	or more specific complaints associated with the	
18	secondary contaminants from different customers in a	
19	12-month period, correct?	
20	A. Correct.	
21	Q. It's your understanding that this requirement	
22	does not apply to Rainier View Water Company in this	
23	situation, correct?	
24	A. Can you repeat the guestion?	

A. Can you repeat the question?

Q. You're aware that the Department of Health has

EXVI	ΙΝΙΔΤ	INOIT	\bigcirc F	HAND	/RA	NIKINI
$-\Delta\Delta$	VIII V III V	IC JIV	\ //	IIAINI		UIIII

	EAAI	WIINATION OF HAIND / KAINKIIN				
1	not received five or more specific complaints associated					
2	with a secondary contaminant from different customers in					
3	a 12-	month period, correct?				
4	A.	I can't tell you if they did or not.				
5	Q.	You're not aware of five complaints to the DOH,				
6	are y	ou?				
7	A.	I don't work there, no.				
8	Q.	So with that in mind, how is it that you believe				
9	this r	equirement applies?				
10	A.	For five or more complaints?				
11	Q.	When they have five or more complaints, when the				
12	DOH	receives five or more complaints, there is this				
13	syste	m that kicks in.				
14	A.	Right.				
15	Q.	You just told me that you're not aware of				
16	complaints to the DOH, yet you allege this requirement					
17	applies to my client?					
18	A.	Well, yeah, there's people in our there's				
19	peop	le in our neighborhood that are complaining of brown				
20	wate	r. There's way more than five complaints				
21	Q.	To the Department of Health?				
22	A.	I guess that's where they need to go. I think				
23	the -	- I think the misdirect is they don't tell the				
24	cons	umer like, hey, you should call up the Department of				
25	Heal	th and five of you should call up and tell them that				

	EXAMINATION OF HAND / IVANININ		
1	you have brown water.		
2	See, it's not it's not very instructional.		
3	So like the it's like pretty much up to the consumer		
4	to figure out all these different laws and all these		
5	different ways around how to get us so we don't have		
6	brown water. So it kind of makes no sense.		
7	Q. So my question is, you are testifying that my		
8	client violated this requirement, do you have any proof		
9	of that?		
10	A. Well, I know more than five people in my		
11	neighborhood that have brown water.		
12	Q. Do you have any proof that five or more people		
13	complained to the DOH?		
14	A. Don't know.		
15	Q. So, again, this allegation is based on your own		
16	personal belief?		
17	A. Yes.		
18	Q. Turning to page 16, the second-to-last sentence		
19	says, (as read) The company made no effort to properly		
20	survey customers or to present options as they were		
21	required to do.		
22	Where were they required I'm sorry. Why were		
23	they required to do that?		
24	A. Well, I mean, before we have to, you know, hire		

a water scientist, I think they should tell us

1	alterr	natives to what they should you know, what we
2	shou	ld do as consumers.
3	Q.	My question for you is, where does their legal
4	obliga	ation to do so arise from?
5		MR. MALDEN: Objection. Calls for a legal
6	concl	usion.
7		JUDGE KOPTA: I think he's asking about her
8	testin	nony. Overruled.
9	A.	So can you repeat the question?
10	BY M	IR. RANKIN:
11	Q.	Sure. You testified that the company made no
12	effort	to properly survey customers or to present
13	optio	ns as they were required to do, and my question for
14	you is	s, where does their requirement to do so arise
15	from	?
16	A.	The law.
17	Q.	Which law?
18	A.	Washington State law.
19	Q.	Which Washington State law?
20	A.	Don't know.
21	Q.	Is this also based on your own personal belief?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Are you familiar with changes to acceptable
24	levels	s of manganese that the EPA and the State
25	Depa	rtment of Health have been contemplating or putting

1	lin		•	_		
1	ın	t 🔿	Δ T	בסו	^t	٠,
_		w	CI	ᆫ	Uι	. :

2

3

4

5

6

- A. Yeah, they're changing -- they're talking about lowering the rate.
 - Q. What do you mean "lowering the rate"?
- A. Like lowering the consumption rate of how much you should consume.
- Q. From what to what?
- A. Some kind of -- I'll have to look -- look at
- 9 that.
- Q. Does a lifetime health advisory sound familiar
- 11 | to you?
- 12 **A. Yes.**
- Q. Are you aware of what that lifetime health
- 14 | advisory limit is?
- 15 **A. No.**
- Q. If I said it was .30 milligrams per liter, would
- 17 that sound familiar to you?
- 18 **A. .30?**
- 19 Q. For reference, the secondary maximum contaminant
- 20 level is --
- 21 A. For your lifetime?
- 22 Q. For reference, the secondary maximum contaminant
- 23 | level is .05.
- 24 **A. Okay.**
- Q. Is this within the scope of your knowledge or am

- I telling you new linorination	l	I telling yo	ı new infor	mation?
------------------------------------	---	--------------	-------------	---------

- A. Well, I know that they're lowering the
- 3 | consumption rate.
 - Q. Do you know what they're lowering it to?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you know what the consumption rate currently
- 7 | is?

2

4

5

6

- A. .05.
- Q. And so you believe that they are making it a
- 10 more stringent requirement?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. And why do you believe that?
- A. I think they're finding more health concerns on the cause of what manganese can do to the human body.
- Q. I'll rephrase my question.
- What -- from what source do you derive that
- 17 knowledge?
- 18 A. From Google.
- 19 Q. Where did Google take you to share that
- 20 knowledge with you?
- 21 A. To like an -- website.
- 22 Q. Do you know which website?
- 23 **A. EPA.**
- Q. And it's your testimony that the EPA is creating
- a more stringent requirement than the .05 secondary

	EXA	MINATION OF HAND / RANKIN
1	maxi	mum contaminant level for manganese?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	And you derived that from the EPA's website?
4	A.	That's what I do believe so, yes.
5	Q.	Do you remember when that was?
6	A.	I think within the last year.
7	Q.	Are you aware of what a lifetime health advisory
8	is?	
9	A.	What you can consume in a lifetime.
LO	Q.	Is it like a running total or is it, in your
L1	unde	rstanding, a threshold that's acceptable over the
L2	over	an extended period of time?
L3	A.	Probably over an extended period of time.
L4	Q.	So I will represent to you that the EPA and the
L5	DOH	and this comes from the DOH's deposition
L6	trans	cripts, which we'll have entered into the evidence
L7	as we	e discussed earlier today. The DOH has been
L8	conte	emplating adopting an EPA lifetime health advisory
L9	of .3.	
20	A.	Okay.
21	Q.	As compared to a secondary maximum contaminant
22	level	of .05.

- 23 **A. Right.**
- Q. So the .3 seems to be six times more lenient than the secondary maximum contaminant level; is that

1	
Τ.	right?

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Okay.

- Q. Are you aware of any test of Rainier View Water Company water showing a manganese level of .30?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Do you believe that if the EPA adopts a .30 lifetime health advisory, that would be a reliable source to -- to understand what's a safe level of manganese in your water?
- A. I'm not going to drink brown water, my children aren't going to drink brown water, so I don't know what they want to do with that. We're not going to drink the brown water.
- Q. My question was, if the EPA says .30 is acceptable, would you agree that that's acceptable?
- A. I'm not going to drink brown water, so if it's still brown, then it's not acceptable. If there's still stuff floating in my water, I'm not drinking it. It's not acceptable. So whatever they want to do, that's fine. I'm not going to have my children drink brown water, and there aren't going to be particles in my water. We are not drinking that. So whatever they do, that's fine. We're going to stay with clean water.
- Q. Do you believe the EPA to be interested in their customers' or nationwide consumers' best interests?

1	MR. MALDEN: Objection. Irrelevant. No
2	foundation.
3	MR. RANKIN: She's alleging health risks.
4	THE WITNESS: Well, yeah.
5	MR. RANKIN: I think I have I should be
6	granted the leeway to explore why she believes
7	MR. MALDEN: Well, if I'm not mistaken, you
8	objected to my producing in the record scientific
9	articles about the danger of manganese. Now all of a
10	sudden you're concerned with it?
11	MR. RANKIN: I the reason I believe
12	the reason I objected to those is because they're not
13	binding on us.
14	JUDGE KOPTA: Counsel, please address your
15	remarks to me, not to each other. I will give you some
16	leeway to ask this question because you asked the same
17	types of questions about from Mr. Blackman about
18	whether the customers whether they have their best
19	interests. So I think it I think it's appropriate
20	that he can ask. Whether she knows or not, she can say.
21	You may ask the question.
22	MR. RANKIN: Thank you. Your Honor.
23	BY MR. RANKIN:
24	Q. Would you be comfortable relying on information
25	from the EPA as to what is safe and what is not?

EXAMINATION OF HAND / RANKIN

A. I'm going to go ahead and go with relying on our
doctors. So since I lived in this house, my wife woke
up not being able to walk, my child having seizures, and
my next door neighbor having an autoimmune disorder all
within a cluster. That doesn't even make sense.

So I'm going to rely on my doctor, says don't drink brown water, tells me not to feed my children -- or cook or drink the brown water. So I don't care what the EPA says. We're not drinking brown water. So I don't trust anyone other than -- we're -- we're going to get the clean water. We're not drinking brown water. We're all done with that.

- Q. So it's your testimony that you don't trust what the EPA says is an acceptable level of manganese for someone's health?
- A. I've seen what it's done to my family, so I'm not drinking brown water.
- Q. I'll ask once more, do you believe the EPA is a reliable source of information as to what is safe and what is not for manganese levels of water?

MR. MALDEN: Objection. No foundation.

JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled.

A. We're not going to drink brown water.

JUDGE KOPTA: I think that's all you're

going to get, Counsel.

EXAMINATION OF HAND / ROBERSON

1	MR. RANKIN: With that, I have no further	
2	questions.	
3	JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you.	
4	Mr. Roberson?	
5	MR. ROBERSON: Very short cross actually.	
6		
7	EXAMINATION	
8	BY MR. ROBERSON:	
9	Q. So you testified about calling in to the	
10	Commission's open meeting about the extension surcharge.	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. I'm just trying to understand your reasoning for	
13	opposing the surcharge. So you understand that the	
14	surcharge was supposed to pay for filtration, correct?	
15	A. Correct.	
16	Q. You don't necessarily oppose paying for	
17	filtration, you're just of the belief that Rainier View	
18	has already paid for that, so you would be double	
19	paying?	
20	A. Exactly.	
21	Q. Fair enough.	
22	Ms. Stark, when you spoke with her, told her	
23	that essentially this was something that the DOH was	
24	going to have to deal with. Did you ever complain to	
25	the DOH?	

EXAMINATION OF HAND / KOPTA

A. We I do believe we called the DOH and they
sent us back to the Washington Utilities thing. So it's
kind of been like we'd call one person, they'd tell us
to call somebody else, and then it just keeps on going
in a circle. And then like we'll call someone, they'll
tell us to call our provider and then call the DOH and
then call. So it's just been it's like this an
ongoing circle. You know, all we want is clean water.
Q. Fair enough.
MR. ROBERSON: Thanks.
JUDGE KOPTA: All right. I have a couple of

EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE KOPTA:

- Q. Are you still having brown water in your home?
- A. Yes, we are.

questions.

- Q. Does it help to turn on the faucet for two minutes, five minutes?
- A. So we turn on all the faucets outside the house and the inside of the house for like three to four to five minutes. That does give us some, but draining our hot water heater has really -- you know, we've seen improvement that way. So we've drained our hot water heater.

EXAMINATION OF HAND / KOPTA

Q.	How frequently do	you have to do that to
----	-------------------	------------------------

- A. We've been doing it like every -- every -- about twice a month.
- Q. Does the water ever run clear enough that you feel safe in drinking it?
- A. Sometimes it is, but -- not drinking it, no. We actually buy our water through the same company that you guys use over here, Crystal. They deliver our water to us, and then we get the bottled water from the store for our children to drink and for us to cook with it.

Unfortunately, we have no other option other than to bathe in that so -- and our youngest has hearing aids. So unfortunately, all that manganese buildup, you know, in her ears causes a lot of issues with her hearing aids.

- Q. So you use the water that you get through the tap for bathing and --
- A. Bathing --
 - Q. -- washing?
- A. Bathing and laundry, but with the laundry, we're buying so much extra stuff to put into our laundry, like extra fabric softeners, we're putting extra things like these little crystals to make your laundry smell better.

 We're using more Bounty sheets when we dry our clothes,

and our clothing cannot stay like in our washing machine

EXAMINATION OF HAND / MALDEN

1	like a normal person can. Like as soon as you wash it,
2	you have to dry it or your clothes smells like rotten
3	eggs. And then once every two weeks, my wife has me
4	take my Shop-Vac, undo the the filter on my washer
5	machine, and drain my whole washer machine out to make
6	sure it doesn't smell like that because our whole
7	laundry room will just stink.
8	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.
9	Mr. Malden, redirect?
10	MR. MALDEN: Yes.
11	
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. MALDEN:
14	Q. Earlier on, you were asked a question, and I
15	believe you referenced a homeowners association meeting.
16	Okay. Let me repeat that.
17	I think you were asked a question earlier, and
18	you referenced a homeowners association. This was
19	between the time you moved into the house and the time
20	that you lodged a complaint with the UTC?
21	A. Exactly.
22	Q. Okay. What was it about, this homeowners
23	association meeting that was relevant?
24	A. So at the homeowners association meeting, this
25	was our first time ever going to a homeowners

EXAMINATION OF HAND / MALDEN

1	association meeting, and it was held over at the
2	elementary school. There was like a flyer put out, like
3	everyone show up for like annual voting, who's going to
4	be next on the board, like all the, you know, stuff that
5	a homeowners association has.
6	And it so happened that the news showed up, that
7	KIRO showed up to the meeting and was asking some of the
8	other neighbors if the brown water issue had been
9	resolved. And at that time we're like, ta-da, now we
10	know like this is what's causing brown water in our
11	house is this manganese? Like this is we just never
12	even knew. We just thought, oh, wow, well, we're just
13	going to keep doing the maintenance on our home and
14	hopefully it will, you know, clear itself up.
15	Q. Is it your understanding that there's other
16	people in the neighborhood now that still have problems?
17	A. Yes, there is.
18	Q. Can you explain that?
19	A. So we have some different our neighbor is
20	still dealing with brown water. He's contacted Rainier
21	View, and one time I even called Rainier View because
22	for some reason, if I call Rainier View, they show up
23	for him faster, which is crazy to me. So if I get on
24	the phone and call Rainier View and be like, my neighbor

has brown water, all of sudden they show up. But when

EXAMINATION OF HAND / MALDEN

he calls them, they come two days la

So I've made calls for him so they show up, and one of our friends from the neighborhood had just done a remodel on her home, and she took some photos and shared some photos with us of her brand-new white tile brown from the manganese. And her brand-new toilets has manganese all on the bottom of it, our brand-new toilet has manganese on the bottom of it.

We have a picture that someone shared on our -our Springwood residence thing. It shows her -- her
freezer, ice cubes are brown like from the manganese.
Like we went through their filter in their -- in their
refrigerator and still, their ice cubes are brown.

- Q. I believe that you indicated that doctors had told you not to drink the brown water?
 - A. Exactly.
- Q. Are you talking about medical doctors?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. Who and when?
 - A. So my wife's doctor, Aggie, told us not to drink the brown water. My youngest daughter had been going through some different issues. She's been having some seizures in the last year, and I went to the doctor and said, hey, you know, I don't know if this is like kind of goes hand in hand, but my daughter bathes in this

EXAMINATION OF HAND / MALDEN

	EXAMINATION OF HAND / MALDEN
1	brown water, do you think it would be safe for
2	consumption? Absolutely not. My doctor said do not
3	drink brown water.
4	Q. Is this repeat flushing and flushing that
5	Rainier View advocates, is that an acceptable fix for
6	you?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Why not?
9	A. We're just going to keep going around in this
10	circle and, you know, when I first talked to Bob
11	Blackman, he's like, oh, we're going to put in this, do
12	these all these great things. But what am I supposed
13	to do with 20 years' worth of damage, you know. He's
14	like, this took 20 years to get like this. It's not
15	just going to be an overnight fix.
16	So even if it even if they said they'd fix it
17	in two years, that's us two years of having to buy extra
18	water, us bathing in brown water, dealing with all the
19	extra expenses for our laundry, all the expenses for,
20	you know, just everyday life. It's not acceptable.
21	Q. Is there any problem with the volume of water
22	that's discharged during flushing?
23	A. Yeah, it runs down our street in our

neighborhood.

Q. In what quantity does that cause a problem?

24

EXAMINATION OF HAND / MALDEN

A. So what they've been doing is they've been
opening up the fire hydrants, and they actually just
since a couple years ago, they finally found a blow off
in our neighborhood. Rainier Water didn't even know it
was there until a few years ago. So they finally found
a blow off in our cul-de-sac, and it was actually
located in one of our neighbor's yard at the top of the
hill.

And so when they run this water down the street, they're running it in excess down the street. Well, I'm on the board of directors for Springwood Estates, and we're having a real issue with water flooding at the end of where they're -- where they're draining at. So when it's raining and they're also draining our pipes, we're now going to have to be calling someone in to look at why the water is standing in the street.

So they're going to call -- we're going to have to get ahold of an engineer to find out why it's not going off into a retention pond. So they're causing pretty much our streets to flood.

MR. MALDEN: Thank you. No further questions.

JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you, Mr. Malden.

And thank you, Ms. Hand, for your testimony.

We appreciate you coming in, and you are excused.

1	I believe that concludes the testimony. We
2	have now only to establish the briefing schedule. As we
3	discussed via email, my preference would be to have a
4	single round of simultaneous briefs. Does anyone want
5	to suggest a date by which to file those? The
6	transcript will be available in ten days, approximately.
7	No, they're very good about getting it out within ten
8	days.
9	MR. ROBERSON: I probably want two weeks
10	after the transcript, if that's acceptable.
11	MR. RANKIN: I would agree with that.
12	MR. MALDEN: That's fine.
13	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. So shall we say
14	one month from today? Today is the 25th. August 25th,
15	if that's a weekday?
16	MR. MALDEN: Okay.
17	JUDGE KOPTA: Check to make sure.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's a Saturday.
19	JUDGE KOPTA: It's a Saturday. I should
20	have known that. Do you want to file it on Friday or do
21	you want the weekend to do filing on Monday?
22	MR. ROBERSON: Friday. Get it over with.
23	MR. RANKIN: Friday is good with me.
24	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then let's go with
25	August 24th.

1	MR. ROBERSON: And I actually have one other
2	thought. It occurs to me this case came here after
3	dismissal from the superior court. I don't think I've
4	ever seen the order of dismissal. I don't know if it'll
5	affect what you have to do on what issues you have to
6	decide. I know the basis of the dismissal was primary
7	jurisdiction. I don't know if you'd want that. I don't
8	have it, but they do. I suppose you can ask a bench
9	request for it.
10	JUDGE KOPTA: Well, I am hopeful that the
11	parties will address whatever it is that the court
12	expects us to address in addition to the complaint and
13	the allegations in the complaint. And really, to my
14	mind, the main issues are, is the quality of water that
15	Rainier View has provided and is providing to Ms. Hand
16	below the acceptable level as such defined in the
17	statutes. And if so, what is the appropriate remedy
18	that the Commission can and should provide.
19	MR. MALDEN: Okay.
20	JUDGE KOPTA: Any any further discussion
21	or any other issues that we need to resolve this
22	afternoon?
23	MR. MALDEN: None from here.
24	MR. RANKIN: None from me.

MR. ROBERSON: Standard --

1	JUDGE KOPTA: Then we are adjourned.
2	MR. ROBERSON: Standard page limits?
3	JUDGE KOPTA: I'm sorry, what?
4	MR. ROBERSON: Standard page limits for the
5	brief?
6	JUDGE KOPTA: Yes.
7	MR. MALDEN: What is the page limit?
8	JUDGE KOPTA: I believe it's 60, isn't it?
9	MR. ROBERSON: I think it is 60.
10	JUDGE KOPTA: It's very generous. This is
11	like rate case kind of briefs. Hopefully you don't get
12	anywhere near 60 pages.
13	MR. MALDEN: Okay. Thank you.
14	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.
15	(Adjourned at 2:27 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF THURSTON
5	
6	I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
8	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
9	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
10	
11	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358
12	rayior carmignouse, corrector
L3	
14	
15	
16	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	