```
1
              BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
 2
                    TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
     In the Matter of the
     Investigation into
     U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'s ) Docket No. UT-003022
 5
                                     ) Volume LII
     Compliance with Section 271 of ) Pages 7529 to 7538
     the Telecommunications Act of
 6
     1996
 7
     In the Matter of
 8
                                    ) Docket No. UT-003040
     U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'s ) Volume LII
 9
                                     ) Pages 7529 to 7538
     Statement of Generally
10
     Available Terms Pursuant to
     Section 252(f) of the
11
     Telecommunications Act of 1996 )
12
13
                A hearing in the above matters was held on
14
     May 8, 2002, at 9:30 a.m., at 1300 South Evergreen Park
15
     Drive Southwest, Room 108, Olympia, Washington, before
16
     Administrative Law Judge ANN RENDAHL.
17
                The parties were present as follows:
                QWEST CORPORATION, by LISA ANDERL, Attorney
     at Law, 1600 Seventh Avenue, Suite 3206, Seattle,
18
     Washington 98191, Telephone (206) 345-1574, Fax (206)
19
     343-4040, E-mail landerl@qwest.com; and by JONATHAN
     JOSHUA FRANKEL, Wilmer Cutler and Pickering, 2445 M
20
     Street Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20037, Telephone
     (202) 663-6113, Fax 202) 663-6363, E-mail jfrankel.com.
21
                AT&T, via bridge line, by REBECCA B. DECOOK,
     Attorney at Law, 1875 Lawrence Street, Suite 1575,
22
     Denver, Colorado 80202, Telephone (303) 298-6508, Fax
23
     (303) 298-6301, E-mail decook@att.com.
24
     Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
25
     Court Reporter
```

1	THE PUBLIC, by ROBERT W. CROMWELL, JR.,
2	Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington, 98164-1012, Telephone (206) 464-6595, Fax (206) 389-2058, E-Mail
3	robertcl@atg.wa.gov.
4	AT&T, via bridge line, by GREGORY J. KOPTA, Attorney at Law, Davis, Wright, Tremaine, LLP, 1501
5	Fourth Avenue, Suite 2600, Seattle, Washington 98101, Telephone (206) 628-7692, Fax (206) 628-7699, E-mail
б	gregkopta@dwt.com.
7	COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, by MEGAN DOBERNECK, Attorney at Law, 7901 Lowry Boulevard,
8	Denver, Colorado 80230, Telephone (720) 208-3636, Fax (720) 208-3256, E-mail mdoberne@covad.com.
9	(720) 200 3230) I mail massellessovaa.eem.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS	•

- JUDGE RENDAHL: Good morning, everyone.
- 3 We're here before the Washington Utility and
- 4 Transportation Commission this morning, May 8th, 2002,
- for a pre-hearing conference in Dockets Number UT-003022
- 6 and 003040, which are in the Matter of the Investigation
- 7 into U S West Communications, now Qwest, Compliance with
- 8 Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and
- 9 Qwest's Statement of Generally Available terms pursuant
- 10 to Section 252(f) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 11 I'm Ann Rendahl, the Administrative Law Judge presiding
- 12 over this pre-hearing conference.
- The purpose of this prehearing is to prepare
- 14 for the hearing scheduled to begin next week on Monday,
- 15 May 13th, to address any outstanding issues, to schedule
- 16 what topics will be presented during the hearing, and to
- 17 mark exhibits offered by the parties.
- Before we proceed further, let's take
- 19 appearances from the parties, and let's stay here in the
- 20 room and then go on to the conference bridge. For those
- 21 of you who have previously appeared, just state your
- 22 name, who you represent, and if you have not, please
- 23 state your full name, the party you represent, your full
- 24 address, telephone number, fax, and E-mail.
- 25 So let's start with Qwest.

- 1 MS. ANDERL: Lisa Anderl representing Qwest.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you.
- 3 MR. CROMWELL: Robert Cromwell on behalf of
- 4 the Public Counsel Section of the Attorney General's
- 5 Office.
- 6 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you.
- 7 MS. DOBERNECK: Megan Doberneck on behalf of
- 8 Covad Communications Company.
- 9 JUDGE RENDAHL: On the bridge beginning with
- 10 Qwest.
- 11 MR. FRANKEL: Jonathan Joshua Frankel,
- 12 F-R-A-N-K-E-L, on behalf of Qwest. I'm with Wilmer
- 13 Cutler and Pickering, 2445 M Street Northwest,
- 14 Washington, D.C. 20037. Telephone number is (202)
- 15 663-6113, the fax number is (202) 663-6363, and my
- 16 E-mail address is jfrankel, all one word, @willmer,
- W-I-L-L-M-E-R, .com.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: W-I-L-M-E-R .com?
- MR. FRANKEL: Mm-hm.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, thank you.
- Okay, for AT&T.
- 22 MR. KOPTA: This is Gregory J. Kopta of the
- 23 law firm Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP, on behalf of AT&T
- 24 at least with respect to Section 272 issues.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay.

- 1 MS. DECOOK: Rebecca DeCook.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you, Ms. DeCook, you're
- 3 kind of -- are you talking on a speaker?
- 4 MS. DECOOK: That's all we have in this
- 5 conference room.
- 6 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, well, we will make do.
- 7 Mr. Kopta, are you representing other parties
- 8 as well?
- 9 MR. KOPTA: Not in this particular
- 10 proceeding, no.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, thank you.
- 12 Okay, there are a few preliminary issues I
- 13 need to raise with all of you. First, after
- 14 conferencing with the commissioners, they wanted me to
- 15 pass on to you that you should not expect an order at
- 16 this time on the performance data, data reconciliation,
- 17 and change management until later. We expect the issues
- 18 will be all rolled into one towards the end, given that
- 19 there is certain information that's not yet available to
- 20 finalize the issues. I expect that an order on
- 21 reconsideration on the QPAP will be available by May
- 22 20th, and I think we can still meet the compliance
- 23 filing date on the 28th. Is that still possible,
- 24 Ms. Anderl?
- MS. ANDERL: Sure.

- JUDGE RENDAHL: If not, let me know, and we
- 2 can adjust that.
- 3 And I'm not sure if we have set a response
- 4 date for compliance filings on the SGAT, and I can take
- 5 a brief look.
- 6 MS. ANDERL: I had thought that perhaps it
- 7 would be Monday, June 3rd, when the other responses on
- 8 OSS came in, but I don't know if we actually established
- 9 that.
- 10 JUDGE RENDAHL: No, I don't think we did. Is
- 11 that acceptable, a June 3rd date, for responses to a
- 12 compliance filing on the QPAP?
- MS. DECOOK: Your Honor, it depends on how
- 14 significant and limited the changes are. That seems
- 15 like a fairly quick turn around, fairly voluminous
- 16 change. So I -- we don't have any objection for setting
- 17 it for now. What's the possibility that we may extend
- 18 it if the changes are significant and voluminous?
- JUDGE RENDAHL: You may need to speak more
- 20 slowly and right into the speaker of the speaker phone.
- 21 What I understood you to say is that it's acceptable
- 22 now, but if the changes are voluminous, you may want to
- 23 make a request for an extension.
- MS. DECOOK: That's correct.
- 25 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay. So at this point, we

- 1 will set the date for responses to the compliance filing
- 2 on June 3rd or any compliance issues essentially for the
- 3 June hearing on June 3rd.
- 4 Just an FYI disclosure to all the parties.
- 5 In I believe it was AT&T's materials, there is Appendix
- 6 I that includes an answer that Qwest filed on the Touch
- 7 America complaint filed with the FCC, and there's an
- 8 affidavit by a David Sieradzki. And I want you all to
- 9 know that I went to high school with him, and I went to
- 10 graduate school with his wife, and I know them fairly
- 11 well. But I don't think it will bias me in any way, but
- 12 I wanted to disclose that to all of you. It's funny how
- 13 small the world is.
- 14 And it has been pointed out to me that we
- 15 have not set a briefing schedule for public interest
- 16 issues, and so I'm open to and acceptable to file briefs
- on public interest issues, and that's something we can
- 18 talk about after we talk about scheduling.
- 19 And we have also not set a pre-hearing for
- 20 the June hearings, and I think it might be necessary to
- 21 do that. And again, we can talk about that later.
- But I think let's get into the meat of it
- 23 while Ms. Anderl is here. She needs to leave in about
- 24 10 minutes. So to the extent -- what's your preference,
- 25 do you want to set those dates now or --

- 1 MS. ANDERL: That will be fine. It will be
- 2 fine when I have to leave. I mean Mr. Frankel and
- 3 Ms. Webber will be adequately representing us. I'm not
- 4 worried about what happens in my absence.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay.
- 6 MS. ANDERL: So however you want to do it.
- 7 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay.
- 8 MS. ANDERL: One thing that I did want to ask
- 9 specifically about the June hearings but it slipped my
- 10 mind before we went on the record to ask you is when the
- 11 Commission expects to designate which days will be the
- 12 OSS days and which day will be the compliance day.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Well, let's find out. Are
- 14 there any conflicts that the parties have at this point
- 15 during that week? I mean does it matter?
- MS. ANDERL: I know that there are still
- 17 discussions ongoing in Colorado in connection with the
- 18 potential conflicts or overlaps or everyone trying to do
- 19 OSS in the same week, and I think it would be our
- 20 request, to the extent that the Commission can
- 21 accommodate this and the vendors are available, that we
- 22 would actually do compliance on the 5th and do the OSS
- on the 6th and 7th.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Now is there going to be a
- 25 hearing on the 5th, or is that going to be available as

- 1 a travel day for the parties in the event that there is
- 2 something going on in Colorado that week? I mean if
- 3 we're going to do it that way, I want to make sure that
- 4 the parties can be here. The Commission really, really
- 5 prefers live testimony, and I don't think they want on
- 6 this particular issue to have people calling in,
- 7 particularly for testimony, and so I would stress that
- 8 to the extent the scheduling can be done to accommodate,
- 9 you know, Colorado. The Chairwoman in particular has
- 10 expressed to me that she does not hear, she does not
- 11 hear things well without seeing a person in front of
- 12 her, and so to the extent you want her to hear what you
- 13 have to say, I think live testimony is important on
- 14 this.
- 15 MS. ANDERL: Certainly. And, Your Honor, I
- 16 know that Chairman Gifford just took some scheduling
- 17 issues under advisement this morning in Colorado. I
- 18 don't know what the outcome of that will be. I think
- 19 he's going to issue an order by the end of this week or
- 20 sometime early next week.
- 21 JUDGE RENDAHL: Is there a proposal though?
- Let's be off the record.
- 23 (Discussion off the record.)
- 24 JUDGE RENDAHL: While we were off the record,
- 25 we determined that we did some discussing of scheduling

Τ	about when the vendors can come in, and I think we're
2	going to continue that discussion off line. There are
3	simultaneous briefs due on public interest issues, the
4	issues that will be discussed next week on June 7th, and
5	the pre-hearing conference for the June hearings is set
6	for June 4th.
7	Let's be off the record for a moment.
8	(Discussion off the record.)
9	(Hearing adjourned at 10:00 a.m.)
LO	
1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	