# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

#### **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 235**

### **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 235:**

Please describe all conservation programs that would be included in the Company's Conservation Savings Adjustment ("CSA"). For each such program, please provide:

- a. the anticipated savings over each of the next five years,
- b. the associated estimated costs, by year,
- c. the total number of customers anticipated to participate each year,
- d. the total number of low-income customers anticipated to participate, each year, and
- e. the anticipated savings, by year, from low-income customers.

## Response:

With the exception of its fuel switching program, Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") proposes to include in its proposed Conservation Savings Adjustment Rates all conservation programs whose reported savings are eligible to satisfy its statutory requirements under RCW 19.285.

- a, b) PSE does not have a detailed conservation program plan for the next five years that delineates the anticipated savings and associated costs by program. However, attached as Attachment A to PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 235, please find a draft conservation plan that details energy savings and costs by program type for the 2012 and 2013 program years.
- c, d) PSE does not have a projection of the number of customers or low-income customers expected to participate in its conservation programs in each of the next five years.
- e) PSE does not have a projection of the anticipated energy savings associated with participation by low-income customers in its conservation programs in each of the next five years.

#### DRAFT EES Conservation Rider/Tracker Savings Goals and Budgets, 2012 - 2013

Last revised: 2/7/12 1:24 F	PM.	

	edule los.	Program Name	MWH Savings	Electric Rider Budget	Therm Savings	Gas Tracker Budget	Total Tariff Budget
		<ul> <li>Besiden habenen vivlanngements</li> </ul>					
E201	G203	Low Income Weatherization	3,842	\$ 5,341,783	76,809	\$ 1,254,628	\$ 6,596,41
E214	G214	Single Family Existing (Subtotal) "	251,448	\$ 60,821,954			\$ 71,802,21
		Residential lighting		\$ 25,282,913			\$ 25,282,91
	1	Space heat		\$ 5,351,337	1,490,588		\$ 9,609,55
		Water heat		\$ 638,545	,,		\$ 638,54
	1	HomePrint		\$ 3,590,954			\$ 3,590,95
	1	Home Appliances		\$ 16,479,325	79,835	******	\$ 16,479,32
	+	Showerheads .		\$ 392,490	145,200		\$ 10,479,32 \$ 844,17
		Weatherization		\$ 8,655,491			
	<del> </del>				1,089,809		\$ 14,729,85
7045	0045	Home Energy Reports		\$ 430,901	693,448		\$ 626,89
E215	G215	Single Family New Construction		\$ 2,231,613	63,800	<del></del>	\$ 2,920,45
E216		Fuel Conversion		\$ 1,641,609			\$ 1,641,60
E217	G217	Multi Family Existing		\$ 13,689,740	52,600		\$ 14,166,210
E218	G218	Multi Family New Construction		\$ 1,277,858	102,678	\$ 665,877	\$ 1,943,73
E249	G249	Pilots	0 B				\$ -
		Total, Residential Programs	299,056	85,004,557	3,794,766	14,066,079	\$ 99,070,63
e Net sole	est in the Later		Charles I and the second of the	Commence of the Commence of th	and Sparing and the second of the second	Security of the second	Service Company Comment (1990) 1855
200200000000000000000000000000000000000		និវាទៅព្រមម និវាទី២៤ ម៉ែកទី២៥៣៩៤				(2003) 186	
E250	G205	Commercial / Industrial Retrofit		\$ 39,102,000	952,000		\$ 44,946,740
E251	G251	Commercial/Industrial New Construction		\$ 4,428,240	200,000	\$ 1,218,700	\$ 5,646,940
E253	G208	Resource Conservation Manager	38,750	\$ 3,933,100	1,800,000		\$ 5,339,148
255		Small Business Lighting Rebate .	40,100	\$ 12,890,560			\$ 12,890,560
258	1	Large Power User - Self Directed Program		\$ 10,337,100			\$ 10,337,100
261	G261	Energy Efficient Technology Evaluation		\$ 60,400	ķ.	\$ 54,600	
262	G262	Commercial Rebates		\$ 9,759,960			\$ 11,071,760
	10202	Subtotal, Business Programs	312,060			\$ 10,563,080	
		outout, business i regiuns	512,000 (	Ф 00,0 1 1,000 m	5,750,000 §	#	3 31,014,44
		Regional Efficiency Programs					
E254		NW Energy Efficiency Alliance	38,829	\$ 10,521,280			\$ 10,521,28
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	<u> </u>	Generation, Transmission, and Distribution	16,157				\$ 623,00
		Subtotal, Regional Programs	54,986	\$ 11,144,280		\$ -	\$ 11,144,280
		EES Portfolio Support Customer Engagement and Education		\$ 3,284,187		\$ 488,920	\$ - 3,773,407
	<del></del>	Energy Advisors	NEW TRANSPORT OF TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	\$ 2,073,815		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$ 2,383,358
-	<del> </del>	Events		\$ 840,883			
		Brochures					\$ 965,45
	<del> </del>			\$ 108,500			\$ 124,83
	<del></del>	Education		\$ 260,989			\$ 299,45
	<del></del>	Web Experience		\$ 1,969,294			\$ 2,264,80
		Mainstreaming Green (Subtotal)		\$ 1,268,420			\$ 1,459,20
	ļ	Web Development		\$ 255,980			\$ 294,20
		Web content, maintenance + analytics		\$ 513,300		\$ 76,700	\$ 590,00
		Online customer tools		\$ 469,800		\$ 70,200	\$ 540,00
		E-news		\$ 18,900		\$ 4,100	\$ 23,00
		Miscellaneous applications		\$ 10,440			\$ 12,00
		EES Market Integration		\$ 700,874			\$ 805,602
		Energy Efficient Communities		\$ 577,301		\$ 86,232	
		Trade Ally Support				\$ 36,000	
	<del>                                     </del>	Marketing Research		\$ 1.226.336			\$ 1,409,579
	1	Subtotal, Portfolio Support	and the second of the second second	\$ 7,149,718			\$ 8,239,62
				- which the structures and the second second	E		- 0,200,022
06/00/5-24 Dele-		इबेट अस्तर क्षांबाध्य हराती तारहर १८०० ।					
	10000			\$ 670,085		\$ 100,128	The street of the last of the street of the street of the street
	200,000,000	ICONSERVATION SUPPLY CUIVES				\$ 88,824	
		Conservation Supply Curves		\$ 504 436			w 000.Z33
		Strategic Planning		\$ 594,436			
		Strategic Planning Program Evaluation		\$ - 3,775,758	i i	\$ 949,209	\$ 4,724,967
12.5		Strategic Planning Program Evaluation Program Support	S	\$ 3,775,758 \{ \$ 763,720	Ĭ.	\$ 949,209 \$ 122,500	\$ 4,724,967 \$ 886,220
		Strategic Planning Program Evaluation	S	\$ - 3,775,758	Ĭ.	\$ 949,209 \$ 122,500	\$ 4,724,967 \$ 886,220
otal,	Efficien	Strategic Planning Program Evaluation Program Support Subtotal, Research & Compliance cy Programs Included in CE Calculations	fine fine	\$ 3,775,758 \$ 763,720 \$ 5,803,998		\$ 949,209 \$ 122,500	\$ 4,724,96 \$ 886,220 \$ <b>7,064,66</b> 0
	Efficien	Strategic Planning Program Evaluation Program Support Subtotal, Research & Compliance  cy Programs Included in CE Calculations Other Sector Programs	666,102	\$ -3,775,758 \$ 763,720 \$ 5,803,998 \$ 189,613,914		\$ 949,2093 \$ 122,500 \$ 1,260,661 \$ 26,979,724	\$ 4,724,96 \$ 886,22 \$ 7,064,66 \$ 216,593,63
150	Efficien	Strategic Planning Program Evaluation Program Support Subtotal, Research & Compliance cy Programs Included in CE Calculations  Gitter Electric Programs Net Metering	666,102	\$ 3,775,758 \$ 763,720 \$ 5,803,998 \$ 189,613,914		\$ 949,209 \\ \$ 122,500 \\ \$ 1,260,661 \\ \$ 26,979,724	\$ 4,724,96; \$ 886,226 \$ 7,064,666 \$ 216,593,638
150 248	Efficien	Strategic Planning Program Evaluation Program Support Subtotal, Research & Compliance  cy Programs Included in CE Calculations  Other Electric Programs Net Metering Renewables Education	666,102	\$ 3,775,758 \$ 763,720 \$ 5,803,998 \$ 189,613,914 \$ 676,114 \$ 258,039		\$ 949,2093 \$ 122,500 \$ 1,260,661 \$ 26,979,724	\$ 4,724,96; \$ 886,221 \$ 7,064,660 \$ 216,593,631 \$ 676,11- \$ 258,038
150 248 249a	Efficien	Strategic Planning Program Evaluation Program Support Subtotal, Research & Compliance  cy Programs Included in CE Calculations  Quer Flectic Programs Net Metering Renewables Education  C/I Load Control Pilot	666,102	\$ 3,775,758 \$ 763,720 \$ 5,803,998 \$ 189,613,914		\$ 949,2093 \$ 122,500 \$ 1,260,661 \$ 26,979,724	\$ 4,724,967 \$ 886,220 \$ 7,064,660 \$ 216,593,630 \$ 676,114 \$ 258,036
Total, 150 248 249a 249a	Efficien	Strategic Planning Program Evaluation Program Support Subtotal, Research & Compliance cy Programs Included in CE Calculations  Guer Flectus Programs Net Metering Renewables Education C/I Load Control Pilot Residential Demand Response Pilot	666,102	\$ 3,775,758 \$ 763,720 \$ 5,803,998 \$ 189,613,914 \$ 676,114 \$ 258,039 \$ 2,756,000 \$ 76,610		\$ 949,209 \\ \$ 122,500 \\ \$ 1,260,661 \\ \$ 26,979,724	\$ 4,724,967 \$ 886,220 \$ 7,064,660 \$ 216,593,638 \$ 676,114 \$ 258,036
150 248 249a	Efficien	Strategic Planning Program Evaluation Program Support Subtotal, Research & Compliance  cy Programs Included in CE Calculations  Quer Flectic Programs Net Metering Renewables Education  C/I Load Control Pilot	666,102	\$ 3,775,758 \$ 763,720 \$ 5,803,998 \$ 189,613,914 \$ 576,114 \$ 258,039 \$ 2,756,000	9,552,766	\$ 949,209 \$ 122,500 \$ 1,260,661 \$ 26,979,724	\$ 4,724,967 \$ 886,220 \$ 7,064,660 \$ 216,593,633 \$ 676,114 \$ 258,038 \$ 2,756,000

DRAFT	GRAND TOTAL All EES Programs	76.0 aMW \$ 193,380,676	9,552,766 \$ 26,979,724 \$ 220,360,400

HER program costs excluded from "info-only" calculation because savings will be measured.

BLUE print represents a former Support Activity budget amount.

Please note that Schedules E200, G202, E206, G207, E/G260 and E/G270 are retired in 2012.

2010-2011 onginal filing:	71.0 aMW	\$	166,810,000	9,054,000 \$	33,350,000	\$200,160,000
Blue cells = use for 10% 'info-only	" calculation	40.65 EX	38%	 . 1500	4.2%	

•		
Purple cells = use for 1 - 3% eval calculation: 2.3%	15:5-3:9%	

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

#### **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 236**

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 236:**

Regarding the 71 MW of electric savings and 9.05 million therms of gas savings referenced on page 3, lines 3-6 of Mr. De Boer's testimony, how much of this savings is anticipated to be obtained from low income customers?

#### Response:

With one exception, Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") does not track participation in its residential programs by income level. The one exception is PSE's Residential Low Income Only Weatherization Program, which is administered by the local housing agencies and participation is based on income verification. For this program, PSE only tracks projects and measures installed.

Of the savings referenced above (from the original 2010-2011 conservation plan), the Residential Low Income Only Weatherization Program was expected to achieve 3,000 megawatt-hours and 54,000 therms of first-year energy savings.

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Jon A. Piliaris

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

#### PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 252

## PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 252:

Please provide, for each of the past ten years, the "verified conservation savings" experienced each year. Please provide this information separately for electric and gas.

#### Response:

Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") objects to Public Counsel Data Request No. 252 as unduly burdensome to the extent that it requests an itemization of every conservation savings verification study performed by, or on behalf of, PSE over each of the past 10 years. Without waiving such objection, and subject thereto, PSE responds as follows:

The table below lists the first-year electric and gas conservation savings reported by PSE to the Commission in its annual conservation reports for each of the past ten years and accepted by the Commission.

PSE 1st year conservation savings

	<u>Electric</u>	Gas
	(aMW)	(therms)
2001	17.1	2,381,651
2002	8.6	699,011
2003	17.3	2,175,375
2004	19.8	3,189,819
2005	19.6	2,892,955
2006	19.0	2,377,244
2007	25.4	2,664,548
2008	31.2	3,672,300
2009	35.1	5,127,546
2010	33.5	4,982,058

PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 252

Date of Response: September 27, 2011

Person who Prepared the Response: Dan Anderson

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: Jon A. Piliaris

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

#### PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 257

#### PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 257:

Please provide, for each of the past ten years:

- a. total actual Company sales volumes,
- b. weather-normalized sales volumes, and
- c. the volume of sales lost through approved conservation programs.

Please provide this information separately for electric and gas.

## Response:

- a. Attached as Attachment A to Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s ("PSE") Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 257, please find a table listing, in columns a and b, the total actual kilowatt-hour ("kWh") and therm sales volumes in each of the past ten years (2001 through 2010).
- b. Please see columns c and d of Attachment A to PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 257 for the weather-normalized kWh and therm sales volumes in each of the past ten years (2001 through 2010).
- c. Please see PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 252 for the sales lost through approved conservation programs in each of the past ten years (2001 through 2010) as reflected by the first-year conservations savings (aMW and therm) reported by PSE to the Commission in PSE's annual conservation reports for the past ten years.

Puget Sound Energy
Public Counsel Request No. 257
Sales Volumes
2001 through 2010

Part a

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

#### PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 263

## PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 263:

Regarding residential customers that participate in the Company's conservation programs, please provide, for each of the past five years:

- a) the average annual revenue per customer,
- b) the average annual distribution expense per customer, and
- c) the average annual usage per customer.

## Response:

Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") does not specifically track (a) the average annual revenue per customer, (b) the average annual distribution expense per customer, or (c) the average annual usage per customer for residential customers that participate in its conservation programs. See PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 229 for the average annual revenue per customer for all customers. See PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 228 for the average annual usage per customers for all customers. PSE does not track, however, the average annual distribution expense per customer on an annual basis.

## Docket Nos. UE-111048 and UG-111049 Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

#### PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 264

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 264:**

Regarding residential customers who do not participate in the Company's conservation programs, please provide:

- a) the average annual revenue per customer.
- b) the average annual distribution expense per customer, and
- c) the average annual usage per customer.

### Response:

Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") does not specifically track (a) the average annual revenue per customer, (b) the average annual distribution expense per customer, or (c) the average annual usage per customer for residential customers that do not participate in its conservation programs. See PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 229 for the average annual revenue per customer for all customers. See PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 228 for the average annual usage per customers for all customers. PSE does not track, however, the average annual distribution expense per customer on an annual basis.

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

### PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 354

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 354:**

RE: PSE's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 308, Attachment A.

According to Attachment A, the F2011 load forecast assumes conservation savings of 616,198 MWh for the rate year (May 2012 to April 2013). Assuming all other factors are held constant in the forecast, assume that the amount of conservation is reduced by half, to 308,099 MWh for the rate year. Please explain the impact this would have on retail rates.

## Response:

With regard to Attachment A to Puget Sound Energy, Inc's ("PSE") Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 308, assuming all other factors are held constant in the load forecast and assuming that the amount of conservation is reduced by half for the rate year and using a single run of the AURORA model to determine the impact to rate year power costs, PSE's revenue deficiency is estimated to be reduced by \$6.77 million. The main components of the change are:

(i) Increase to Power Costs to serve additional rate year load:

\$13.18 million

(ii) Impact on Production Adjustment for additional rate year load

\$(19.95) million

This would reduce PSE's requested average system retail rate increase by 0.34 percent, based on pro forma test year revenue of \$1.98 billion.

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

### **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 431**

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 431:**

Re: Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Piliaris, Exhibit No. JAP-24CT

Regarding page 24, lines 15-18 of Mr. Piliaris's Rebuttal Testimony, is it Mr. Piliaris's position that the CSA will provide an incentive for ratepayers to conserve since it will make rates higher than they would otherwise be? If this is not correct, then please explain fully Mr. Piliaris's statement that "modest CSA-related rate impacts...should provide a modest increase in customers' incentive to conserve."

## Response:

It is Jon A. Piliaris's position that, all other things being equal, the slightly higher rates resulting from the implementation of Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s Conservation Saving Adjustment proposal may provide a slightly greater incentive for ratepayers to conserve.

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

#### **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 433**

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 433:**

Re: Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Piliaris, Exhibit No. JAP-24CT

Regarding page 28, lines 7-9 of Mr. Piliaris's Rebuttal Testimony, does he agree or disagree that the CSA proposal would increase revenues "even if total revenues were higher than those authorized in the most recent general rate case due to increased load?"

## Response:

Jon A. Piliaris does not agree that the Conservation Savings Adjustment ("CSA") proposal would increase revenues "even if total revenues were higher than those authorized in the most recent general rate case due to increased load" because he does not agree that the Commission sets a limit on total rate year revenues in a general rate case.

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 437**

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 437:**

Re: Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Piliaris, Exhibit No. JAP-24CT

Regarding page 37, lines 7-8 of Mr. Piliaris's Rebuttal Testimony, does he agree that at a given level of costs, a company's profitability will increase as its revenues increase?

#### Response:

Jon A. Piliaris agrees that, at a given level of costs, a company's profitability will increase as its revenues increase. It is also true that, at a given level of costs, a company's profitability will decrease as its revenues decrease (e.g., a decrease in utility revenues due to energy conservation).

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

#### **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 438**

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 438:**

Re: Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Piliaris, Exhibit No. JAP-24CT

Regarding page 37, lines 7-8 of Mr. Piliaris's Rebuttal Testimony, does Mr. Piliaris agree that if revenues are static, one way to increase profitability is to reduce costs?

#### Response:

Jon A. Piliaris does not agree that, when revenues are static, profitability will necessarily increase by reducing cost. For instance, if a cost reduction leads to a reduction in operational performance that subsequently results in financial penalties imposed by a regulator, the net effect may be <u>reduced</u> profitability (i.e., when the financial penalty exceeds the cost reduction).

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

## PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 439

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 439:**

Re: Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Piliaris, Exhibit No. JAP-24CT

Regarding page 38, lines 4-11 of Mr. Piliaris's Rebuttal Testimony, does Mr. Piliaris agree that 2010 normalized usage was used to develop the Company's pro forma revenue claim in this case? If not, then please explain the time period that was normalized to develop the Company's revenue claim in this case.

## Response:

Jon A. Piliaris does not agree that Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE") used just 2010 normalized usage to develop its pro forma revenue claim in this case. It used normalized 2010 energy sales, in part, to derive test year pro forma revenue at existing rates. As discussed in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of John H. Story, Exhibit No. \_\_\_(JHS-1T), PSE also used normalized rate year energy sales to pro-form rate year power costs. These costs, plus historical test year production-related rate base, are adjusted using the relationship of the test year normalized load to the rate year normalized load to determine the revenue deficiency.

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

**PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 440** 

## PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 440:

Re: Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Piliaris, Exhibit No. JAP-24CT

Regarding page 38, lines 4-11 of Mr. Piliaris's Rebuttal Testimony, does Mr. Piliaris agree that a portion of any energy savings that took place in 2010 due to conservation is reflected in the normalized usage used to develop the Company's pro forma revenue claim in this case?

## Response:

Jon A. Piliaris agrees that only a portion of the conservation-related energy savings achieved in calendar year 2010 is reflected in the normalized energy sales in 2010. However, for the reasons articulated in Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s ("PSE") Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 439, Mr. Piliaris does not agree that PSE strictly used 2010 normalized usage to develop its pro forma revenue claim in this case.

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

#### **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 509**

## **PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST NO. 509:**

Please provide the following disconnection data for calendar year 2011:

- a. Total number of electric and natural gas customers disconnected due to non-payment, by rate schedule.
- b. Total number of residential electric customers disconnected due to non-payment, and percentage of residential electric customers disconnected due to non-payment of total residential electric customers.
- c. Total number of residential natural gas customers disconnected due to nonpayment, and percentage of residential natural gas customers disconnected due to non-payment of total residential natural gas customers.

### Response:

a. The figures shown in the table below reflect the number of all electric and natural gas customers who were disconnected due to non-payment during the calendar year 2011. The figures of non-payment disconnections associated with these customers are shown in parentheses, which include instances where multiple disconnections may have occurred for the same customer during the year.

Number of Nor	n-Payment Disconnection Disconnections	(in parenthes	ses) .	on-Payment
	for January 1, 2011 -	<ul><li>December :</li></ul>	31, 2011	
	By Custo	mer Type		
	Residential	Lighting	Commercial	Industrial
Electric	40,680 (42,839)	3 (3)	1,156 (1,173)	27 (28)
Natural Gas	23,420 (24,277)	0 (0)	1,708 (1,717)	66 (67)

- b. 40,680 residential electric customers were disconnected in 2011 due to non-payment, which comprised about 4 percent of total residential electric customers.
- c. 23,420 residential natural customers were disconnected in 2011 due to non-payment, which comprised about 3 percent of total residential natural gas customers.

## Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s 2011 General Rate Case

### **RECORD REQUISITION NO. 1**

## **RECORD REQUISITION NO. 1:**

Please provide the electric conservation savings reported by the Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance and provided by Puget Sound Energy, Inc. in the workpapers of Jon A. Piliaris.

### Response:

Attached as Attachment A to Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s ("PSE's") Response to Records Requisition No. 1, please find the workpaper of Jon A. Piliaris used to allocate PSE's share of the electric energy savings reported by the Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance ("NEEA") between residential and non-residential customers. See rows 84 and 101 of the tab entitled, "2010 Elec Consv" of the electronic workpapers of Jon A. Piliaris for the resulting allocation of NEEA savings.

3/6/2012

PSE 2010 Savings Estimate		Color Code - Initi	- Initiatives Funded in 2010 - 2014	n 2010 - 2014		PSE Share baseline on funding date of Initiative:	ine on funding	date of Initiative:			
		Residential (2010	(2010 - 2014 Funding)	g)		Currently Funded (2010 - 2014)	(2010 - 2014)				
Date March 30, 2011		Commercial (2010 - 2014 Funding)	0 - 2014 Fundii 2014 Eundiae	lg)		Previously Funded (Prior to 2010)	d (Prior to 201	(î	0.10369		
Contact: Christine Jerko		muusimai (zu iu - 2010 - Total Reg	zo14 rundıng) ional 100%□aN	o IO - ∠o I4 Funding) I Regional 100%⊡aMW Savings Estimate		2010 - PSE Share □aMW Savings Estimate	s ⊟aMW Savin	as Estimate			
								,		4014	
										Effects	
				Net Market	Regional	٦	Local	Net Market	Regional	Applicable to	
:		_		Effects	Market			Effects I	Market	PSE	
Residential	ES Appliances Total:	6.90000	0.36000	1.08000	8.34000	0.71546	0.03733	0.11199	0.86477		
	ES Home Products - Clothes Washers	5.27000	0.33000	1.05000	6.65000	0.54645	0.03422	0.10887	0.68954		
	ES Home Products - Dishwashers	0.23000	0.03000	0.03000	0.29000	0.02385	0.00311	0.00311	0.03007		
	ES Home Products - Refrigerator	1.40000	0.00000	0.00000	1.40000	0.14517	0.0000	0.0000	0.14517		
	ES Lighting lotal:	19.75300	10.05400	9.37200	39.17900	2.04819	1.04250	0.97178	4.06247		
	ES Lighting Specialty Buibs	4.82500	6.41700	5.02700	16.26900	0.50030	0.66538	0.52125	1.68693		
	ES Ligiturig General I Wister	14.92800	3.63/00	4.34500	22.91000	1.54788	0.37712	0.45053	2.37554		
	New Construction Total:	1,1000	0.0000	0.8000	1.90000	0.11406	0.0000	0.08295	0.19/01		
	Energy Codes	0.09000	00000	0.49000	0.58000	0.01278	0.04961	0.05081	0.11320		
	ES New Construction (2005-2009)	0.02000	0.28000	0.00000	0.30000	0.00207	0.02903	00000	0.03014		
	ES New Construction (2010-2014)	0.01000	0.15000	0.00000	0.16000	0.00137	0.02058	0.0000	0.02195		
	Other Residential Codes (multifamily)	0.0000	0.0000	0.10000	0.10000	0.0000	0.00000	0.01372	0.01372		
	Ductless Heat Pumps	0.02131	1.97641	0.0000	1.99772	0.00292	0.27112	0.0000	0.27405		
	Energy Star Television*	10.0000	0.0000	5.80000	15.80000	1.37180	0.0000	0.79564	2.16744		
	Total Residential	37.89431	12.82041	17.64200	68.35672	4.26521	1.40056	2.02689	7.69266	1.98	62%
Commercial	Building Operator Certification	0.00000	0.88300	0.31240	1.19540	0.0000	0.09156	0.03239	0.12395		
	Commissioning Public Buildings	0.26000	0.0000	0.62000	0.88000	0.02696	0.0000	0.06429	0.09125		
	Verdiem	0.10760	0.00179	0.08580	0.19519	0.01116	0.00019	0.00890	0.02024		
	80+-Total:	0.14960	0.79780	2.52830	3.47570	0.01653	0.09762	0.27990	0.39404		
	80+ (2005-2009)	0.11930	0.35300	1.99870	2.47100	0.01237	0.03660	0.20725	0.25622		
	80+ ES 5.0+ (2010-2014)	0.03030	0.44480	0.52960	1.00470	0.00416	0.06102	0.07265	0.13782		
	Better Bricks	1.28000	1.60000	0.32000	3.20000	0.17559	0.21949	0.04390	0.43898		
	Commercial Energy Codes	1.68000	0.00000	4.64000	6.32000	0.17420	0.00000	0.48112	0.65532		
امانيه	Form Commercial	3.47720	3.28259	8.50650	15.26629	0.40443	0.40885	0.91049	1.72378	0.91	78%
B 1500	Magna Drive Innovative Industrial Speed Control	0.62000	0.24000	0.0000	0.86000	0.06429	0.02489	0.00000	0.08917		
	Distribution Efficiency Initiative (DEI)	0.0000	0.0000	0.3000	0.30000	0.0000	0.00000	0.03111	0.03111		
	BacGen Waste Water Optimization Service	0.00000	0.0000	00000	00000	00000	00000	0.0000	00000		
	Food Processing (formerly CEI and IEA)	0,06440	1.48730	1.58830	3.14000	0.00883	0.20505	0.2020	0.00000		
	Pnue-Logic (SAV-AIR)	0.24750	0.00000	0.08250	0.33000	0.03395	0.0000	0.01132	0.04527		
	Drive Power	1.00000	0.30000	0.20000	1.50000	0.10369	0.03111	0.02074	0.15554		
	AM400 Data Logger	0.07032	0.0000	0.00104	0.07136	0.00729	0.0000	0.00011	0.00740		
	Total Industrial	2.00222	2.02730	2.17184	6.20136	0.21806	0.26002	0.28115	0.75923	0.28	%6
TOTAL	All Programs	43.37372	18.13030	28.32034	89.82437	4.88770	2.06943	3.21854	10.17567	3.17	
	2010 -2014 Business Plan Programs	11.40600	5.65851	8.23790	25.30242	1.56468	0.77623	1.13008	3.47099		
	Previously Funded Programs	31.96772	12.47179	20.08244	64.52195	3.32302	1.29320	2.08846	6.70468		