```
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
                          COMMISSION
 2
    WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
                                ) DOCKET NO. TG-080913
    TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
                                ) Volume
            Complainant,
                                 ) Pages
                                             60-72
 4
        vs.
 5
    POINTS RECYCLING AND REFUSE,
    LLC,
 6
            Respondent
                                 )
    WHATCOM COUNTY,
                                 )
                                     DOCKET NO. TG-081089
                                 ) Volume V
           Complainant,
8
                                   Pages 60-72
        vs.
                                 )
    POINTS RECYCLING AND REFUSE,
    LLC,
10
           Respondent,
                                 )
    RENEE COE, SHELLEY DAMEWOOD, ) DOCKET NO. TG-082129
11
    and SHANNON TOMSEN,
                                     Volume V
                                )
                              )
12
           Complainants,
                                     Pages 60-72
        vs.
13
    POINTS RECYCLING AND REFUSE,
14
    LLC,
            Respondent,
                                 )
15
16
             A status conference in the above matter was
17
    held on June 12, 2009 at 9:32 a.m. at 1300 South
18
    Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
19
    before Commissioners PHILIP JONES, PATRICK OSHIE, and
20
    Chairman JEFF GOLTZ, Administrative Law Judge MARGUERITE
21
    E. FRIEDLANDER.
22
23
24
    Jennifer Cordner, CCR
25
   Court Reporter
```

1	The parties were present as follows: WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
2	COMMISSION, by JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI (via bridge line), Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen
3	Park Drive Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504; telephone (360) 664-1186.
4	WHATCOM COUNTY, by DAN GIBSON (via bridge
5	line), Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, 311 Grand Avenue, Suite 201, Bellingham, Washington 98225; telephone (360)
6	676-6784.
7	RENEE COE, SHELLEY DAMEWOOD, and SHANNON TOMSEN, by SHANNON TOMSEN (via bridge line), pro se,
8	2125 Whalen Drive, Point Roberts, Washington 98281; telephone (360) 945-0206.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, Good morning
4	everyone, I'm Marguerite Friedlander, the Administrative
5	Law Judge presiding over this proceeding. Here with me
б	are Chairman Goltz, Commissioner Oshie, and Commissioner
7	Jones is participating by conference bridge. We're here
8	today before the Washington Utilities and Transportation
9	Commission on Friday morning, June 12th, 2009 for a
10	status conference in Docket TG-080913, tariff provisions
11	proposed by Points Recycling and Refuse, LLC, which has
12	removed curb side recycling collections from its tariff;
13	Docket TG-081089, a second complaint filed by Whatcom
14	County against Points; and Docket TG-082129, a complaint
15	filed by Renee Coe, Shelley Damewood, and Shannon Tomser
16	against Points.
17	The purpose of this status conference is to
18	receive an update from the parties as to whether any
19	certificated carriers have expressed an interest in
20	serving the Point Roberts area and what actions the
21	County has taken to address the possible backup service
22	to Point Roberts.
23	But let's go ahead and begin by taking
24	appearances. I did not hear anyone on the conference

bridge identify themselves as the representative from

- 1 Points, is if there is anyone from Points, I'd like to
- 2 have them identify themselves.
- 3 Okay. And appearing on behalf of Whatcom
- 4 County?
- 5 MR. GIBSON: Dan Gibson with the Whatcom
- 6 County's Prosecutor's Office.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you, and here on
- 8 behalf of staff?
- 9 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer Cameron-
- 10 Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, thank you, and
- 12 appearing on behalf of Complainant?
- MS. COE: Renee Coe, Point Roberts.
- 14 MS. TOMSEN: Shannon Tomsen, Point Roberts.
- MS. DAMEWOOD: Shelley Damewood, Point
- 16 Roberts.
- 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you, and is there
- 18 anyone else on the conference bridge who wishes to state
- 19 an appearance at this time? Okay.
- I would just like to start out by asking a few
- 21 brief questions, and I think this status conference will
- 22 be fairly short. Of course we have received the request
- 23 for cancellation of Point's certificate from the
- 24 Company, and before the Commission makes an action on
- 25 it, the parties have waived their right to an initial

- 1 order, so we will be issuing a final order.
- Before that order is issued, the Commission
- 3 does have a few questions as to the status of this
- 4 case. For example, let me just go ahead and ask the
- 5 parties, if we have any indication that there is a
- 6 certificated carrier who is willing to step up and
- 7 provide curbside garbage service to Point Roberts?
- 8 MR. GIBSON: Dan Gibson on behalf of Whatcom
- 9 County. Whatcom County has been in contact with the
- 10 adjacent service areas, certificated haulers in the
- 11 adjacent service areas, who have indicated that they are
- 12 not prepared to step in on a long term basis, and are
- 13 not prepared to step in immediately on a short basis.
- 14 SSC, which operates in the western portion of mainland
- 15 Whatcom County, indicates a general disinterest, they
- 16 haven't ruled out entirely the possibility of looking at
- 17 the situation down the road a little ways, but are not
- 18 prepared because of the cross border concerns, are not
- 19 prepared to step in immediately.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, thank you, and does
- 21 Staff have any indication to the contrary, or any
- 22 additional update to add?
- 23 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: This is Jennifer
- 24 Cameron-Rulkowski, and no, that is consistent with the
- 25 information that Staff has. Staff had also contacted

0065

- 1 the adjacent hauler, to see if they had any interest in
- 2 stepping in on a temporary basis, and they did not
- 3 express an interest.
- 4 MS. TOMSEN: This is Shannon --
- 5 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Also, we've been
- 6 contacted by an individual who may have interest in
- 7 serving there, but there's no application of dates.
- 8 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, thank you. And did
- 9 Ms. Tomsen have something to add?
- 10 MS. TOMSEN: Yes, I actually spoke to the owner
- 11 of SSC also, and it seems that some of the cross border
- 12 issues that he was discussing with the County might not
- 13 be accurate. So I asked him to keep an open mind while
- 14 we do some investigations on some of the things that he
- 15 thought -- he was under the impression that once the
- 16 truck was full, it was a five to six hour wait across
- 17 the border, and I don't think that's accurate. So I
- 18 gave several phone numbers to John Hutchins, the solid
- 19 waste manager at Whatcom County, the numbers of people
- 20 that cross frequently, and commercial haulers who cross
- 21 frequently, and he said he would be in contact with them
- 22 to get a more accurate read on the border issues, as
- 23 that was one of Paul's main concerns.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right, right, okay, thank
- 25 you.

- 1 Which does raise a question in my mind as to
- 2 whether or not -- this is a question I guess mostly for
- 3 Mr. Gibson, are the county executive and the county
- 4 counsel on board with the cancellation of Point's
- 5 certificate, realizing that if there is no certificate
- 6 forward that this becomes a situation that the County's
- 7 going to have to deal with?
- 8 MR. GIBSON: Yes.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So the County Executive and
- 10 the County Counsel are on board with the cancellation?
- 11 MR. GIBSON: Yes.
- 12 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: This is Commissioner
- 13 Goltz, just a question for Mr. Gibson. So I gather by
- 14 that that the County has no objection to the Commission
- 15 issuing an order granting the request for cancellation?
- MR. GIBSON: That is correct.
- 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, thank you. The other
- 18 question that I had is a side issue that came up over
- 19 the last few days in doing a little more research here
- 20 at the Commission, and it's a question for Staff. I
- 21 noticed that the Commission in late April approved a --
- 22 it's in docket TG-090380.
- The Commission granted a temporary tariff
- 24 revision filed by Points involving a very minimal
- 25 increase in rates, but it was subject to refund. How is

- 1 Staff planning to handle procedurally that docket
- 2 especially if the Commission grants Points request and
- 3 does cancel its certificate?
- 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: This is Jennifer
- 5 Cameron-Rulkowski, and that filing was for a disposal
- 6 fee after rate increase, and it will become irrelevant
- 7 once Points has relinquished its certificate. And at
- 8 that point Staff would bring it to the open meeting, and
- 9 we will recommend that the complaint be dismissed.
- 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, that answers my
- 11 question, thank you.
- 12 Do any of the Commissioners have any additional
- 13 questions for the parties?
- 14 COMMISSIONER OSHIE: No questions.
- 15 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: This is Commissioner Goltz
- 16 again, we heard from the County, I was wondering about
- 17 the Complainants, if they had anything else to say about
- 18 the request cancellation?
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Sure.
- 20 MS. COE: This is Renee Coe talking. I did
- 21 talk yesterday to Mr. Lydecker, who owns Valley Disposal
- 22 and San Juan Sani, and just to give them some additional
- 23 information about our community and about cross border
- 24 crossings, just to make sure they have all the
- 25 information they need to make better decisions with

- 1 regard to Point Roberts. And so we'll see, he said at
- 2 the time he said he would talk again, this is
- 3 Mr. Lydecker, he said he would have another conversation
- 4 with Paul Revoire, or Resoire, I'm not sure how he
- 5 pronounces his last name at SSC.
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I guess that kind of
- 7 folds into my question as well because certainly this is
- 8 a certificate that Points holds, and they can file a
- 9 request for cancellation, but I would like to get the
- 10 sentiments of the other parties now that I've asked
- 11 Whatcom County their opinion on the issue of
- 12 revocation. How do the complainants feel about that,
- 13 are you in favor of the Commission revoking the
- 14 certificate, opposed?
- 15 MS. TOMSEN: Well, this is Shannon Tomsen, I
- 16 guess that the only reservation that we have is that the
- 17 UTC -- once the certificate is revoked, the UTC is out
- 18 of the picture, and we're left with the County, and I
- 19 don't think it's, you know, an exaggeration to say we
- 20 don't feel that the County has adequately represented
- 21 Point Roberts.
- 22 And we can only hope that after the meeting
- 23 we went to on Tuesday night, where no one asked us any
- 24 questions, of the County we're a little concerned again
- 25 that we're not going to be heard, but we hope that maybe

- 1 things will change, that's all we can do because once
- 2 the certificate is revoked the UTC isn't involved unless
- 3 another UTC certificated carrier comes along.
- 4 So we're not sure that accurate information
- 5 is getting across to everybody, and we're not sure that
- 6 the needs of the Point are going to be adequately
- 7 represented, and we just hope that the County will keep
- 8 a more open ear at this time.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Gibson, did you want to
- 10 go ahead and address that?
- 11 MR. GIBSON: The situation is -- the prognosis
- 12 for curbside collision is obviously very uncertain, the
- 13 Point is a relatively small geographic area, I think
- 14 it's about two square miles, the transfer site is
- 15 located relatively centrally located. But there clearly
- 16 are some issues that need to be addressed. If indeed
- 17 there's a transition from curbside to self haul, to a
- 18 transfer station. And the County is not insensitive to
- 19 that, at the same time we have been long committed to
- 20 the model of having private enterprise and address the
- 21 issue through UTC certification. So we are dealing with
- 22 the issues, whether the outcome of that process is going
- 23 to satisfy everyone would be doubtful, but the County is
- 24 not insensitive to the issues, and will continue to do
- 25 what it needs to make certain that the health concerns

- 1 of the community are adequately addressed.
- 2 MS. COE: Just to clarify, Mr. Gibson -- this
- 3 is Renee Coe, it's just under five square miles, not two
- 4 square miles, so we're a tiny bit larger.
- 5 MS. TOMSEN: And also, I think it's important
- 6 that the County -- as we said, when we went on Tuesday,
- 7 it wasn't an open session executive meeting, but nobody
- 8 asked us questions, nobody came up and talked to us.
- 9 The only person that talked to us was John Hutchins, but
- 10 none of the elected people, and Mr. Gibson didn't even
- 11 introduce himself. So I am concerned, there's been no
- 12 discussion about any community meeting, there's no
- 13 discussion about any communications to the community,
- 14 and whether or not somebody has full, accurate
- 15 information where we can say something is for sure, that
- 16 would be ideal, of course, but some type of
- 17 communication with the community, whether it be via
- 18 Emails or preferably a community meeting where all of
- 19 the elected officials attend since they're the people
- 20 making the decisions. I think that that would be
- 21 beneficial, but that's not getting -- that's not coming
- 22 across to the County well. And I think it's very
- 23 important too that the County recognize the fact that
- 24 Point Roberts has changed considerably in the last five
- 25 even ten years.

- 1 As I was telling Paul the other day, you
- 2 know, we have people who have \$800,000 cabins, they come
- 3 up four times a year for a couple weeks. I mean, these
- 4 are people -- like myself, I don't want to self haul, I
- 5 want curbside garbage and recycling, and if carriers --
- if the current WUTC certificate holders aren't
- 7 interested in doing that, I think we need to look
- 8 outside of that and look at anybody who may be
- 9 interested.
- 10 MR. GIBSON: County certainly would like to see
- 11 the UTC process applied, and it there are parties
- 12 interested in stepping forward and suppling curbside
- 13 pickup through the certification process, the County
- 14 will welcome that. Dan Gibson, by the way, for the
- 15 County.
- 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Certainly, and this is
- 17 Judge Friedlander, I would also reiterate that -- I
- 18 should say, I will remind the parties that we do have
- 19 the other docket which is, I believe, TG-081576 the
- 20 application for a certificate to operate out of Point
- 21 Roberts, that is scheduled, if my memory serves, for a
- 22 status conference in late June or early July, so that
- 23 will also be moving forward. It's not obviously to the
- 24 stage where it's going to be very helpful in the short
- 25 term, but it is something that's going to take place

- 1 over the next few months.
- 2 Did any of the Commissioners have any other
- 3 questions?
- 4 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: No, my question has been
- 5 answered, thank you.
- 6 COMMISSIONER OSHIE: No questions.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Commissioner Jones, did you
- 8 have any questions to add?
- 9 COMMISSIONER JONES: No questions, no
- 10 questions, Judge, my phone was on mute, so I'm sorry.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Oh, okay, that's fine,
- 12 thank you.
- Do the parties have administrative or
- 14 procedural matters that they would like to address at
- 15 this time?
- MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Nothing from Staff,
- 17 your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, thank you.
- 19 MR. GIBSON: Nothing from the County, your
- 20 Honor.
- 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, well, hearing nothing
- 22 then, this status conference is adjourned, and I thank
- 23 you all for your participation, thank you.
- 24 (Status conference adjourned at 9:48 a.m.)

25