

Brian J. Ziegler, P.E. Director

Brian.Ziegler@co.pierce.wa.us

9850 64th Street West University Place, Washington 98467-1078 (253) 798-4050 Fax (253) 798-4637

January 13, 2012

David W. Danner, Executive Director Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

SUBJECT: Recycling Commodity Filings by Harold LeMay Enterprises d/b/a Pierce County

Refuse

Dear Executive Director Danner:

Harold LeMay Enterprises d/b/a Pierce County Refuse ("the Company") provides garbage collection and residential recycling services in Pierce County under a certificate of convenience and necessity issued by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission).

Annually, the Company is required to file with the Commission a revised tariff to account for changes in the value of commodities collected through recycling programs. It is my understanding that the Company will submit this filing on January 13, 2012.

Pursuant to RCW 81.77.185 (1), this filing provides an opportunity for Pierce County to collaborate with the Company and the Commission to review and certify the Company's recycling successes over the past year, and to review and certify a revised Company Recycling Plan for the next year.

Company Recycling Plan 2011 to 2012 (Reference: TG-110103)

In January 2011, Pierce County and the Company jointly prepared a Company Recycling Plan which included program elements though which the Company's recycling services could be evaluated. The Commission received the 2011 – 2012 Company Recycling Plan in Docket Number TG-110103. At the time of filing, Pierce County certified the Company Recycling Plan pursuant to RCW 81.77.185 (1).

Pierce County has now evaluated the Company's performance against those elements. The Company has complied with a clear majority of Company Recycling Plan elements. Taken together, performance at this level indicates the Company is eligible to retain 44 percent of revenue generated from the sale of recyclable commodities between December 1, 2010 and November 30, 2011.

That, however, is not the County's recommendation. Pierce County recommends the Company be allowed to retain 36.24 percent, with the remainder returned to customers.



David Danner January 13, 2012 Page 2

When Pierce County and the Company evaluated performance under the current Company Recycling Plan, the Company reported the following information:

December 2010 to November 2011

Recycling Commodity Revenue

\$1,254,583

56 % to be returned to ratepayers

\$702,566

based on performance at "44% level"

The Company shared its costs as follows:

Cost of Disposing Contaminants

\$14,853

(see documentation provided by Company

Documented Performance Costs

\$226,547

in its filing)

TOTAL Documented Costs

\$241,400

Which left the following as Retained and Unspent:

\$310,617

Pierce County set out to determine an appropriate benchmark to evaluate whether or not it could recommend that the Company be allowed to retain the unspent \$310,617. After considering a number of options and listening carefully to the conversation at the Commission Workshop held on January 9, 2012, the County concluded that the appropriate benchmark was already within the Company Recycling Plan approved by the Commission in TG-110103, specifically the benchmarks contained within Criteria C.

When developing the 2011-12 Company Recycling Plan, Pierce County established the **value** of meeting Criteria C benchmarks as the opportunity to retain <u>up to 21%</u> of commodity revenue. In the plan-year now concluding, the Company actually met benchmarks totaling 17%. Pierce County therefore recommends the Company be allowed to retain 17% of commodity revenue for meeting the performance benchmarks in demonstrating that the revenues were used to increase recycling under the 2011-2012 Company plan.

Critonia	0	Performance
c riieria		Performance

17% Achievement

Apply 17% to Commodity Revenue

\$213,279

(\$1,254,583 * 0.17)

Return Difference to the Customer

\$97,338

(\$310,617 - \$213,279)

Total Return to Customer

\$799,904

63.76 percent

Is This a Path Forward?

Pierce County realizes it is presenting this approach at a time when many are still digesting the ideas presented at the workshop. We make this recommendation, and share the detailed description above, in the hopes the Commission and Commission staff perceive this as continuing the conversation and progress initiated January 9.

David Danner January 13, 2012 Page 3

Company Recycling Plan 2012 to 2013 Certified Pursuant to RCW 81.77.185 (1)

Revisions to the Company Recycling Plan for March 2012 to February 2013 are underway. Pierce County anticipates entering its formal certification with the Commission no later than January 27, 2012.

Please contact me at (253) 798-4656 if you have any questions.

Respectfully,

Stephen C. Wamback

Solid Waste Administrator

cc:

Brian J. Ziegler, P.E., Director, Department of Public Works and Utilities Toby Rickman, Deputy Director, Department of Public Works and Utilities Robert Dieckmann, Solid Waste Project Coordinator, Public Works and Utilities Sheryl Rhinehart, Public Outreach Specialist, Public Works and Utilities Eddie Westmoreland, Waste Connections

Jason Pratt, Waste Connections Irmgard Wilcox, Waste Connections Dave Wiley, Williams Kastner

Enclosures:

Review of 2011 – 2012 Company Recycling Plan

CORS/SO3589-SCW Project File: OP8.7.1

Pierce County Refuse – Commodity Revenue Sharing Analysis 2011 – 2012 Company Recycling Plan

Element A	Ongoing Implementation	Maximum Eligible 5%	Percent Approved 5%		
В	Data Reporting Requirements	4%	4%		
C	Increased Recycling and Dec			21%	17%
D	Increasing Participation, Incre	30%	18%		
				50%	44%
Element A	Detail				
			_	Percent	Percent
Quarterly Meetings		Requirement	Date	Eligible	Approved
		1st Quarter 2011	01/11/11	1%	1%
		2nd Quarter 2011	02/22/11	1%	1%
		3rd Quarter 2011	(early) 07/07/11 8/19/11	1%	1%
		3rd Quarter 2011	12/8/11	1%	1%
Container Size Option Program		_	2	Percent	Percent
		Requirement	Yes/No?	Eligible	Approved
		Maintain Program	Yes	1%	1%
Element B	Detail				ALW U
				Percent	Percent
Quarterly Data Delivery		Requirement	Date	Eligible	Approved
		5/15/11	5/12/11	1%	1%
		8/15/11	8/5/11	1%	1%
=======================================		11/15/11	11/16/11	1%	1%
		2/15/12	1/9/12	1%	1%
Element C	Detail				
	shold Increases and Decrease		Current		Percent Approved
	Paper Fiber Collected, 2004	20.58 # per HH per month	31.60	3%	3%
	Paper Fiber Collected, 2009	28.87# per HH per month	31.60	2%	2%
2004	All Commodities Collected,	21.81 # per HH per month	35.60	4%	4%
Increase in 1 2009	All Commodities Collected,	32.56 # per HH per month	35.60	3%	3%
	Waste Disposed, 2004	175.05 # per HH per month		5%	5%
	Waste Disposed, 2009	147.21 # per HH per month	162.18	4%	0%
Element D	Detail				
	e Enhancements	Measurement	Actual	Maximum Eligible	Percent Approved
Customer E		2% credit for each 0.25 FTE	1.5 FTE	12%	12%
Glass Drop		credit for each new glass drop s		8%	2%
Customer Se	ervice Training	1% for each hour Baseline	6 +	4%	4%
Drop in 64-	gallon or more	27.361 / 62.29% of customers	27,751 64.03%	2%	0%
		20 / 0 0 6 0/ of anatomona	28	2%	0%
Increase in r	mini-can	28 / 0.06 % of customers	0.06 %	270	070

Pierce County recommends that the Company retain an amount equal to the sum of documented expenditures (\$241,400) plus a Criteria C Performance Achievement Payment (17% of commodity revenue or \$213,279), with the remainder returned to customers. Total retention therefore equals \$454,679 or 36.24 percent.

<u>Element A:</u> Ongoing Implementation

The Company complied with all requirements.

- Quarterly meetings provided County and Company staff and management opportunities to review services provided to our customers. Meetings in early 2011 were crucial in developing the survey distributed to 189,000 single-family households.
- Maintaining the service of switching customers' containers between 96-gallon and 65-gallon options, and to provide equivalent services in areas not easily serviced by automated vehicles, ensures all customers receive services they can actually use.

Element B: Data Reporting Requirements

The Company complied with all requirements.

• Timely reporting of collection data allowed the County and Company an opportunity to evaluate performance and make adjustments to public outreach messaging.

Element C: Increased Recycling and Decreased Disposal

The Company met five out of six performance measures.

- On a per customer basis, recoverable paper fibre **increased** 54 percent since the start of the program and 9.5 percent in the last year.
- On a per customer basis, the total curbside mix **increased** 63.2 percent since the start of the program and 9.3 percent in the last year.
- On a per customer basis, garbage disposed **decreased** 7.4 percent since the start of the program, but increased over the past year.

Element D: Increasing Participation, Increasing Tonnage, Reduced Contamination This element provided the companies with alternative pathways towards achieving the target. The Company is making significant progress in this area.

- The Company assigned the equivalent of 1.5 FTE to work with customers in the Pierce County Refuse service area, and provide monthly customer service training so as to increase participation and reduce contamination. The Company opened one new glass recycling site.
- The County and the Company are planning to put more emphasis on helping customers become more aware of, and switch to, levels of service which may be more appropriate to a "waste reducing" community.