01730 1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND 2 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION 3 In the Matter of the Investigation into) 4) U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'s) Docket No. UT-003022 5 Volume 14) Compliance with Section 271 of) Pages 1730 to 1750 6 the Telecommunications Act of) 1996 7 -----) In the Matter of) Docket No. UT-003040 8) U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'s) Volume 14 9 Pages 1730 to 1750) Statement of Generally 10 Available Terms Pursuant to) Section 252(f) of the) 11 Telecommunications Act of 1996)) 12 In the Matter of the Continued) Costing and Pricing of) Docket No. UT-003013 13 Unbundled Network Elements and) Volume 14 Transport and Termination.) Pages 1713 to 1733 14) 15 A prehearing conference in the above matters 16 was held on November 20, 2000, at 1:40 p.m., at 1300 17 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, 18 Washington, before Administrative Law Judges LAWRENCE 19 BERG and ANN RENDAHL. 20 The parties were present as follows: 21 TRACER, via bridge line, by LISA RACKNER, Attorney at Law, 222 Southwest Columbia, Suite 1800, 22 Portland, Oregon 97201. 23 METRONET, via bridge line, by BROOKS E. HARLOW, Attorney at Law, 601 Union Street, Suite 4400, 24 Seattle, Washington 98101. 25 Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR Court Reporter 01731 1 THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, by GREGORY J. TRAUTMAN, Assistant Attorney 2 General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington, 98504-0128.

QWEST CORPORATION, by LISA ANDERL, Attorney 4 at Law, 1600 Seventh Avenue, Suite 3206, Seattle, Washington 98191. 5 QWEST CORPORATION, by ROBERT CATTANACH, 6 Attorney at Law, Dorson Whitney, 220 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402. 7 VERIZON NORTHWEST, INC., via bridge line, by 8 JENNIFER L. MCCLELLAN, JEFFERY EDWARDS, and MEREDITH MILES, Attorneys at Law, Hunton and Williams, 951 East 9 Byrd Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219. 10 ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE INC.; ADVANCED TELECOM GROUP, INC.; AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE PACIFIC 11 NORTHWEST, INC.; MCLEOD USA TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES INC.; FOCAL COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION OF WASHINGTON; 12 and EXCEL WASHINGTON, INC.; by GREGORY J. KOPTA, Attorney at Law, Davis, Wright, Tremaine, LLP, 1501 13 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2600, Seattle, Washington 98101. 14 WORLDCOM, INC., via bridge line, by ANN HOPFENBECK, Attorney at Law, 707 - 17th Street, Suite 3600, Denver, Colorado 80202. 15 16 PUBLIC COUNSEL, by SIMON FFITCH, and by ROBERT CROMWELL via bridge line, Attorneys at Law, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98164. 17 SPRINT CORPORATION, via bridge line, by ERIC 18 S. HEATH, Attorney at Law, 330 South Valley View 19 Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 89107. 20 SPRINT CORPORATION, via bridge line, by BARBARA YOUNG, Attorney at Law, 902 Wasco Street, Hood 21 River, Oregon 97031. 22 AT&T, via bridge line, by REBECCA DECOOK and STEVEN WEIGLER, Attorneys at Law, 1875 Lawrence Street, 23 Denver, Colorado 80202. 24 COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, via bridge line, LAURA IZON, Attorney at Law, 4250 Burton Drive, 25 Santa Clara, California 95054. 01732 PROCEEDINGS 1 JUDGE BERG: This is a prehearing conference 2 3 in two separate proceedings before the Washington 4 Utilities and Transportation Commission. The first 5 proceeding is captioned In The Matter of the Continued Costing and Pricing of Unbundled Network Elements, 6

3

7 Transport, and Termination, Docket Number UT-003013.

8 I'm presiding officer, Administrative Law Judge Larry

9 Berg. This prehearing conference is being conducted

10 this afternoon pursuant to notice served to parties on 11 September 12, 2000. Today's date is Monday, November 12 the 20th, 2000, and we are convened in the Commission's 13 hearing room at its main offices in Olympia, Washington. 14 JUDGE RENDAHL: And we're also here today in 15 the matter of the Investigation Into U.S. West 16 Communication Incorporated's Compliance With Section 271 17 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 in Docket Number 18 UT-003022 and in the matter of U.S. West Communication, 19 Inc.'s Statement of Generally Available Terms Pursuant 20 to Section 252(f) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 21 in Docket Number UT-003040. My name is Ann Rendahl. 22 I'm the Administrative Law Judge in those consolidated 23 proceedings, and this prehearing conference was 24 originally noticed on November 13th for a prehearing 25 conference at 9:30 in the morning. On November 15th, a 01733 1 notice of revised prehearing conference was issued consolidating the prehearing conference in this matter 2 3 as well as the prehearing conference in Docket 3013. 4 Mr. Berg. 5 JUDGE BERG: I will just make clear for the 6 record that while we are conducting a combined prehearing conference this afternoon in the Docket 7 8 Number UT-003013 and the other dockets, those 9 proceedings shall remain separate for all other 10 purposes. 11 At this time, we will take appearances from 12 the parties. We will begin with counsel who are present in the room, and then we will move to counsel on the 13 14 bridge line. And to assist counsel on the bridge line, 15 we will go ahead and prompt counsel from our list, after which time we will just make sure that there are no 16 17 other parties wishing to enter an appearance at this 18 time. 19 For parties who have already or for 20 representatives who have already entered an appearance, 21 it is only necessary to give your name, the party you 22 represent, and which proceedings you are appearing in. 23 For other counsel who have not previously entered 24 appearance, we would appreciate it if you would also 25 provide your address, telephone number, fax number, and 01734 1 E-mail address. So let's go ahead and start at the right of 2 the Bench, and we will work around the room and then 3 4 turn to the bridge. 5 MR. TRAUTMAN: Thank you, Your Honor, my name б is Greg Trautman, Assistant Attorney General, 7 representing Commission staff in Docket UT-003013. My 8 address is 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, 9 Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504. My 10 telephone number is (360) 664-1187. The fax number is 11 (360) 586-5522. And my E-mail address is Greg,

¹² greg@wutc.wa.gov.

13 JUDGE BERG: And, Mr. Trautman, I know 14 previously there was other counsel who had entered 15 appearance on behalf of Commission staff for the Part B 16 of this proceeding. Do you intend to be identified as 17 the primary contact party representative for Commission 18 staff in Part B? 19 MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 20 JUDGE BERG: All right. And then I will just 21 let all parties know that at least with regards to 22 UT-003013, you can also use the shorthand and just refer 23 to that case as Phase IV or the new generic proceeding 24 if that's more convenient. 25 Anything else, Mr. Trautman? 01735 MR. TRAUTMAN: No, Your Honor. 1 2 MR. FFITCH: Good afternoon, Your Honor,

3 Simon ffitch, Assistant Attorney General for the office 4 of Public Counsel, appearing in the new generic case, 5 Docket Number UT-003013. I'm also appearing for Public Counsel in the Section 271 case, UT-003022. In addition б 7 in the 271 case, Mr. Robert Cromwell of our office is 8 also appearing. JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you. 9 10 MR. KOPTA: Gregory Kopta of the law firm 11 Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP, representing the following 12 parties in both proceedings, Excel Washington Inc., 13 Electric Light Wave, Inc., and Advanced Telecom Group, 14 Inc., and the following parties solely in the new 15 generic cost docket, AT&T Communications of the Pacific 16 Northwest, Inc., McLeod USA, Telecommunications 17 Services, Inc., and Focal Communications Corporation of 18 Washington. 19 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you. 20 For Qwest? MS. ANDERL: Thank you, Your Honor, Lisa 21 22 Anderl representing Qwest Corporation in both dockets. MR. CATTANACH: And Bob Cattanach of Dorson 23 24 Whitney representing Qwest in Docket 3022 and 3040. 25 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you. 01736 1 JUDGE BERG: Verizon. 2 MS. MCCLELLAN: Your Honor, Jennifer 3 McClellan and Jeff Edwards and Meredith Miles 4 representing Verizon Northwest, Inc. in the generic 5 cost docket. б JUDGE BERG: All right. And with Ms. Miles' 7 addition to the Verizon team, Ms. McClellan, we will 8 still retain or identify you as the primary party's 9 representative for service and other contacts? 10 MS. MCCLELLAN: Yes, sir. 11 JUDGE BERG: All right, thank you. 12 AT&T. 13 MS. DECOOK: Thank you. Rebecca DeCook and

```
14 Steven Weigler on behalf of AT&T in 3022 and 3040.
```

```
15 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you.
```

16 JUDGE BERG: Ms. Young. MS. YOUNG: Yes, Barbara Young on behalf of 17 18 Sprint in 3022 and 3040. 19 JUDGE BERG: Mr. Harlow. 20 MR. HARLOW: Yes, Brooks Harlow appearing on 21 behalf of Metronet Services Corporation in Docket 3022. 22 JUDGE BERG: All right. 23 JUDGE RENDAHL: Mr. Harlow, can you speak up 24 when you get back on the mike. 25 MR. HARLOW: Is that better? 01737 1 JUDGE RENDAHL: That's much better, thank 2 you. 3 MR. HARLOW: You're welcome. JUDGE BERG: And Mr. Trautman, we will come 4 5 back to you before we conclude. 6 Ms. Hopfenbeck. 7 MS. HOPFENBECK: Ann Hopfenbeck representing 8 Worldcom, Inc., in both UT-003013 and UT-003022 and 9 UT-003040. 10 JUDGE BERG: Mr. Heath. MR. HEATH: Thank you, Your Honor. Eric 11 12 Heath appearing on behalf of Sprint Corporation in both 13 UT-003022 and UT-003013. 14 JUDGE RENDAHL: Ms. Rackner. 15 MS. RACKNER: Lisa Rackner for Tracer in 16 Docket UT-003013, UT-003022, and UT-003040, and I 17 believe this is my first appearance in the SGAT docket. 18 My address is 222 Southwest --19 JUDGE RENDAHL: Before you continue, can you 20 speak a little closer into the microphone. 21 MS. RACKNER: Yes, is that better? 22 JUDGE RENDAHL: That's much better, thank 23 you. MS. RACKNER: My address is 222 Southwest 2.4 25 Columbia, Suite 1800, Portland, Oregon 97201. My phone 01738 1 number is (503) 226-1191. My fax number is (503) 226-0079. And my E-mail address is lfr@agerwynn.com. 3 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you, and Ms. Rackner, you represent Tracer? 4 5 MS. RACKNER: Yes. б JUDGE RENDAHL: And that's in both 7 proceedings? 8 MS. RACKNER: Yes. 9 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you. 10 Is Mr. Cromwell on the line? 11 I guess not yet. 12 Ms. Izon. 13 MS. IZON: This is Laura Izon on behalf of 14 Covad Communications Company in both dockets. This is 15 my first appearance. My address is 4250 Burton Drive, 16 Santa Clara, California 95054. My telephone number is 17 (408) 987-1105. My fax is (408) 987-1111. And my 18 E-mail is lizon@covad.com.

19 JUDGE RENDAHL: And, Mr. Trautman, you had 20 something to add. 21 MR. TRAUTMAN: Oh, I just wanted to clarify 22 that at this point I will be the primary contact for 23 Part B, 3013, and that will not affect the previous 24 contacts for Part A or for Part C. 25 JUDGE BERG: Thank you. 01739 1 Yes, sir, Mr. ffitch. 2 MR. FFITCH: Thank you, Your Honor. Ι 3 neglected to indicate that Public Counsel is also 4 appearing in the 271 case in the companion docket 3040. 5 I didn't list that in my statement. Thank you. 6 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you. 7 Are there any other persons on the bridge 8 line who we haven't already identified? 9 Hearing nothing, it's time for 3013 10 scheduling. 11 JUDGE BERG: All right, I think we will go 12 off the record for open discussion on scheduling and then return to the record after we have something to 13 14 firm up, so we will be off the record at this time. 15 (Discussion off the record.) 16 JUDGE BERG: The parties have engaged in 17 lengthy discussions regarding scheduling in the Phase IV 18 proceeding, and at this point, this is the schedule that 19 will control the proceeding going forward. A hearing 20 shall be conducted at the Commission beginning the 21 afternoon of Monday, March 26th, and continuing through 22 Friday, March 30th, resuming on Monday, April the 2nd, 23 through Friday, April the 6th, then resuming on Tuesday, 24 April the 17th, and continuing through Friday, April the 25 20th. The intent is that those scheduled dates will be 01740 1 all that is necessary for all hearings to be concluded in the 3013 docket. I will note for the record that 2 there is an open meeting scheduled the morning of 3 4 Wednesday March 28th, and on that date hearings will 5 begin at most likely 1:30 in the afternoon. We will just keep parties appraised of the fine tuning of that 6 7 schedule, and parties should pay particular attention to 8 all scheduling dates that are served and supplemental 9 orders to follow. 10 There will be a prehearing conference 11 conducted on Wednesday, March 21st, year 2001, for the 12 exchange of cross exhibits and to address other administrative details for the hearing to follow. 13 14 There is a new schedule for the prefiling of 15 evidence in this case. On December 20th, year 2000, 16 parties shall file response testimony regarding Qwest's 17 late filed cost studies as well as supplemental response 18 testimony to other direct testimony originally filed on 19 August the 4th, 2000. There will be rebuttal to that 20 response testimony filed on Wednesday, February the 7th, 21 2001. Additionally parties will file direct testimony

22 on Monday, January the 8th, 2001, regarding UNE 23 conversion studies for EELs. 24 MR. KOPTA: Enhanced extended links, EELs. 25 JUDGE BERG: Enhanced extended links, thanks,

01741

1 as well as, I won't say what usually comes to mind, it's 2 a very slippery subject, also line splitter arrangement cost studies. Response testimony to that direct will be 3 4 due on Wednesday, February 7, 2001, and rebuttal to that 5 response testimony will be due on February 28, 2001. б With regards to the post hearing schedule, simultaneous 7 opening briefs shall be due on May 25, 2001, and 8 simultaneous reply briefs shall be due on June 15, 2001. 9 Any other comments from the parties before we 10 conclude prehearing conference discussions regarding 11 Phase IV proceeding? 12 MR. TRAUTMAN: Your Honor, I wanted one piece 13 of clarification. On December 20th, you referred to 14 other supplemental responses to the testimony filed 15 August 4, 2000. Staff was or we had requested to file 16 responses to other testimonies that were also filed on 17 October 23rd. Is that encompassed within your ruling? JUDGE BERG: I would take that as rebuttal 18 19 testimony to be filed on Wednesday, February the 7th. 20 MR. TRAUTMAN: Okay. 21 JUDGE BERG: Thank you, Mr. Trautman, that is 22 an important clarification. The Wednesday, February 7th 23 rebuttal date is rebuttal to both response testimony 24 filed on October 23rd and response testimony to be filed on December 20th. 25 01742 1 Anything further? MS. MCCLELLAN: Your Honor, this is 2 Ms. McClellan. Just one final clarification. 3 So rebuttal on the definition of the line splitting over a 4 UNE platform would be filed, would be due also on 5 б February 7? 7 JUDGE BERG: No, let's combine that on the rebuttal filing date of February the 28th. Thank you 8 9 for that clarification. 10 MS. MCCLELLAN: Thank you. 11 JUDGE BERG: Anything further? 12 MS. ANDERL: Your Honor. 13 JUDGE BERG: Ms. Anderl. MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, I may have just 14 15 missed it, did you identify the prehearing conference on 16 March 21st? 17 JUDGE BERG: Yes, I did. 18 MS. ANDERL: Okay, I apologize. 19 JUDGE BERG: But I didn't specify a 20 particular time. I will have to check on Commission 21 resources before I can commit to the parties whether 22 that will be a morning or an afternoon session. 23 All right, then at this point in time, I'm 24 going to turn the meeting, prehearing conference, over

25 to Judge Rendahl. I will stay on board in case there 01743 1 are any other issues that pop up regarding Phase IV. 2 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you, Judge Berg. 3 I will just ask at this point, Mr. Cattanach, 4 are you still trying to catch a 4:15 flight? 5 MR. CATTANACH: Toast. JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, thank you. Do people 6 7 need a five minute break before we go on to 271? 8 MS. MCCLELLAN: Your Honor, will it be okay 9 for the parties who are just in the generic cost docket 10 but not the combined Quest docket to drop off? 11 JUDGE RENDAHL: That's fine with me. 12 Judge Berg. JUDGE BERG: Yes, I think that's appropriate. 13 Thank you very much, Ms. McClellan, Mr. Edwards, and 14 15 Ms. Miles. 16 MS. MCCLELLAN: Thank you. 17 MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, if other parties 18 don't mind or have an urgent need for a break, I would just as soon push ahead because I have a commitment. 19 20 JUDGE RENDAHL: That's fine. I just wanted 21 to get a reality check. 2.2 We have two things to talk about. The first 23 is Qwest's request to reduce the number of topics for 2.4 workshop three. In the fifth supplemental order issued on October 25th, those issues were identified as 25 01744 1 checklist item number two, UNEs; checklist item number four, loops; checklist item number five, transport; 2 3 checklist item number six, switching, emerging services and coordinated cutover of loops, which was deferred 4 from workshop one. My understanding is Qwest would like 5 to discuss or maybe, Ms. Anderl, if you would like to 6 7 reiterate your request. MS. ANDERL: 8 I think our request was to 9 reduce the number of issues to be addressed in workshop 10 three to only issues two, five, or checklist items two, 11 five, and six, which is UNEs, including UNE 12 combinations, switching, and transport, and then to move 13 the other issues including loops, coordinated cutovers 14 of loops, and emerging services into workshop four with 15 the understanding that the scheduling is kind of a 16 rolling process, and we will continue to discuss things 17 as we move forward. 18 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, and with that, I just 19 wanted to clarify that for our purposes today, I'm just 20 wanting to know if there are any objections to reducing 21 the number of topics for workshop three, with 22 Ms. Anderl's suggestion that we will be discussing 23 further how to handle topics for workshop four and if need be an additional workshop. As much as I realize 24 25 Quest is opposed to that idea, we may be heading there.

1 So are there any objections to Qwest's proposal to limit 2 the number of topics to workshop three to UNEs, 3 switching, and transport? 4 MS. DECOOK: Your Honor. 5 JUDGE RENDAHL: Could you identify yourself, 6 please, for the court reporter. 7 MS. DECOOK: Rebecca DeCook for AT&T. 8 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you, Ms. DeCook. 9 MS. DECOOK: So long as there is an 10 understanding that -- I guess basically we have a 11 concern that moving things out of workshop three into workshop four without addressing workshop four creates 12 13 some concerns. But we don't have a problem with 14 workshop three containing UNE-P transport and switching. 15 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, I think at least for my 16 purposes, if we move loops, emerging services, and coordinated cutover of loops to the existing topics of 17 18 workshop four, which are the Section 272 issues, public 19 interest issues, Track A issues, and audited performance 20 data, that is quite a lot to tackle in workshop four. 21 It seems to me safe to say there will be a need to have 22 another workshop. And with my understanding from the 23 parties from prior workshops that the ROC performance matters may not be completed at the time we anticipated 24 25 they would be that there will likely be an opportunity 01746 1 to move some of these topics from workshop four to 2 another workshop. 3 Ms. Anderl. 4 MS. ANDERL: I don't know if you want me to 5 comment on that or not. б JUDGE RENDAHL: You're welcome to comment if 7 you would like. 8 MS. ANDERL: I don't really feel the need to. 9 We just expect -- we don't want to make any specific 10 scheduling proposals now, because the process is 11 somewhat fluid. And when we know more and can make a 12 proposal that looks like it has a reasonable likelihood 13 of something we can fulfill in terms of a scheduling 14 proposal, then we will do that. JUDGE RENDAHL: That sounds good. Are there 15 16 any objections at this point to just limiting the 17 workshop three items to UNEs, transport, and switching? 18 MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, public counsel would 19 not object with the understanding that we believe that 20 the shift of issues to workshop four does overcrowd 21 workshop four and would necessitate the need for 22 additional workshop to complete all the items. And 23 we're willing to, you know, accommodate the workshop 24 three proposal from Qwest with the understanding that 25 that issue will be fairly addressed, the resolution of 01747

workshop four issue will be fairly addressed.
JUDGE RENDAHL: What I would like to do is
once we talk scheduling for workshop three, the

4 follow-up workshop and the post workshop dates, that we 5 also look at when it might be appropriate to schedule a б prehearing conference for workshop four. And then we 7 can have a date set that Qwest can look at for figuring 8 out its proposal for how we handle the future issues. 9 Is that acceptable to the parties? 10 Okay, well, why don't we proceed with 11 planning on addressing those three issues. The fifth supplemental order did identify some filing dates, which 12 13 I'm assuming would remain the same. I just want to 14 clarify that. There was a correction sent out so that 15 initial testimony is due on December 12th. The 16 responsive testimony is due on February 12th, 2001, with 17 rebuttal testimony and the revised SGAT language due on February 26, 2001. Is there a need to change any of 18 19 those dates at this point? 20 MS. ANDERL: Not from our perspective, Your 21 Honor. 22 JUDGE RENDAHL: Any other thoughts? 23 Okay, with that, the third workshop will be 24 held on March 12th through the 16th here at the 25 Commission in room 206. And we do need to discuss the 01748 1 scheduling with the follow-up workshop, which was 2 scheduled to be held on March 26th and 27th, 2001, but 3 now will have to be moved. 4 Ms. Anderl, you had suggested during a 5 discussion of the cost proceeding dates the possibility б of using the week of April 9th. Given that that might 7 be scheduled for Oregon workshop, did you have any 8 further clarification of that? MS. ANDERL: Well, Your Honor, in some off 9 10 line discussions with other parties and some internal 11 folks at Qwest, I had understood that the Oregon 12 workshop process wanted to follow the Washington process and that there might be some reluctance on the part of 13 14 the hearings officer in Oregon to go forward with a workshop number three prior to the time that Washington 15 16 had its follow-up workshop. So I had reason to believe that those dates might go away, the Oregon April 9th 17 18 dates. 19 But we don't have all the parties in that 20 proceeding here today, so I guess I would suggest that 21 maybe we could tentatively take two of those days as 22 follow-up dates and then come back. Or that I know for 23 certain that there are no 271 proceedings the week of 24 April 23rd, and perhaps we could take two days during 25 that week for follow-up dates. 01749

JUDGE RENDAHL: That's a good suggestion. Why don't we go off the record and have further discussion on these and the post workshop dates, and then we will come back on the record and put them on once we have identified them. (Discussion off the record.)

7 JUDGE RENDAHL: While we were off the record, 8 Mr. Cromwell came on the bridge line. 9 Mr. Cromwell, would you like to make your 10 appearance. 11 MR. CROMWELL: Yes. 12 JUDGE RENDAHL: You will have to speak up. 13 The court reporter is having difficulty hearing you. 14 MR. CROMWELL: Sorry, is that better? JUDGE RENDAHL: This is much better. 15 16 MR. CROMWELL: Robert Cromwell on behalf of 17 public counsel for the 271 and SGAT proceeding. 18 JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you. And while we were 19 off the record, we discussed dates for the follow-up 20 workshop for workshop three. We will be holding 21 tentative, we have tentatively scheduled the follow-up workshop for April 24th, 25th, and 26th to be held in 22 Seattle pending confirmation from Ms. DeCook that AT&T's 23 24 attorney is able to attend that workshop, that follow-up 25 workshop.

01750

We will also have a prehearing conference 1 2 during that three day period for workshop four to determine the topics to be handled in workshop four and 3 4 the need possibly for a fifth workshop. 5 The post workshop briefs for workshop three б are due on Friday, May 18th. The draft initial order for workshop three will be sent out from the Commission 7 on June 12th, and comments on the draft order are due 8 9 here at the Commission on June 26. And I believe that covers all the matters 10 11 that we need to discuss today. Are there any other 12 issues or dates that have not been discussed? 13 Mr. Cattanach. 14 MR. CATTANACH: I think we can go off the 15 record for this, Your Honor, but I have some confusion 16 about workshop two follow-ups in terms of briefing 17 dates, draft orders, et cetera. My schedule isn't clear on that, and if you have a clear schedule, we don't have 18 19 to take everybody's time on it, but I would like to see if we have that nailed down. 20 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, are there any other 21 22 matters to be discussed for workshop three? 23 Hearing nothing, let's be off the record. 24 (Hearing adjourned at 3:40 p.m.) 25