

01109

1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION  
2 COMMISSION

3 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND )  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, ) DOCKET NO. UT-950200  
4 )  
Complainant, ) VOLUME 15  
5 )  
vs. ) Pages 1109 - 1118  
6 )  
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., )  
7 )  
Respondent. )  
8 -----)

9 A prehearing conference in the above matter  
10 was held at 8:50 a.m. on January 9, 1996, at 1300  
11 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia,  
12 Washington before Administrative Law Judge C. ROBERT  
13 WALLIS.

14  
15 The parties were present as follows:

16 U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, by EDWARD SHAW and  
DOUGLAS OWENS, Attorneys at Law, 1600 Bell Plaza,  
17 Seattle, Washington 98191 and JAMES VAN NOSTRAND,  
Attorney at Law, 411 - 108th Avenue Northeast,  
18 Bellevue, Washington 98004.

19 WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION  
COMMISSION STAFF, by STEVEN W. SMITH and GREGORY  
20 TRAUTMAN, Assistant Attorneys General, 1400 South  
Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington  
21 98504.

22 FOR THE PUBLIC, DONALD TROTTER, Assistant  
Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000,  
23 Seattle, Washington 98164.

24 Cheryl Macdonald, CSR

25 Court Reporter

1 APPEARANCES (CONT.)

2 AT&T, by DANIEL WAGGONER, Attorney at Law,  
3 1501 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2600, Seattle, Washington  
4 98101 and SUSAN PROCTOR, Attorney at Law, 1875  
5 Lawrence Street, Denver, Colorado 80202.

6 WITA, by RICHARD A. FINNIGAN, Attorney at  
7 Law, 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 1900, Tacoma,  
8 Washington 98402.

9 TRACER, by ARTHUR A. BUTLER, Attorney at  
10 Law, 601 Union Street, Suite 5450, Seattle, Washington  
11 98101-2327.

12 ENHANCED TELEMAGEMENT, INC., by SARA  
13 SIEGLER MILLER, Attorney at Law, 2000 Ne 42nd Street,  
14 Suite 154, Portland, Oregon 97213.

15 MCI, by CLYDE MACIVER, Attorney at Law,  
16 4400 Two Union Square, 601 Union Street, Seattle,  
17 Washington and ROBERT NICHOLS, Attorney at law, 2060  
18 Broadway, Suite 200, Boulder, Colorado 80302.

19 SPRINT, by LESLA LEHTONEN, Attorney at Law,  
20 1850 Gateway Drive, 7th Floor, San Mateo, California  
21 94404-2467.

22 DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SERVICES, by  
23 ROSELYN MARCUS, Assistant Attorney General, 1125  
24 Washington Street Southeast, PO Box 40100, Olympia,  
25 Washington 98504.

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF RETIRED PERSONS, by  
RONALD L. ROSEMAN, Attorney at Law, 401 Second Avenue  
South, Suite 401, Seattle, Washington 98104.

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

01111

1                                    P R O C E E D I N G S

2                                    JUDGE WALLIS: Let's be on the record for a  
3 brief pre-hearing conference on Tuesday, January 9,  
4 1995 in the matter of docket UT-950200 U S WEST  
5 Communications. We are now identifying exhibits for  
6 witness Margaret J. Wright to be sponsored by U S WEST  
7 Communications. Marking as Exhibit 2-T Ms. Wright's  
8 direct testimony. Exhibit 3 is attachment MJW-1,  
9 results of operations. Exhibit 4 is MJW-2 detail of  
10 adjustments and Exhibit 5 for identification is MJW-3,  
11 investor-supplied working capital.

12                                    Exhibit 154-T for identification is Ms.  
13 Wright's rebuttal testimony. 155 is a revision to  
14 MJW-1. 156 is a revision to MJW-2 which is Exhibit 4,  
15 and 157 for identification is a revision to MJW-3 which  
16 is Exhibit 5 for identification. Exhibit 158 for  
17 identification is designated MJW-4. 159 is MJW-5,  
18 represcription rates.

19                                    Exhibit 160-C is MJW-C 6, Washington  
20 promotional results. Exhibit 161-T is supplemental  
21 testimony of Margaret J. Wright. Exhibit 162 for  
22 identification is a revision dated July 27, 1995 of  
23 MJW-1 which is Exhibit 3. Exhibit 163 for  
24 identification is a revision to MJW-2 which is  
25 Exhibit 4.

01112

1                   The following exhibits are marked for  
2 identification in anticipation that staff may be  
3 presenting them during the cross-examination of  
4 witness Wright. 164 for identification is data  
5 request WUT 01-318. Exhibit 165 for identification is  
6 staff review sharing proposal 1994 consisting of two  
7 pages. 166 for identification is a document of three  
8 pages which is a letter to Mr. Shaw dated September  
9 13, 1995. Exhibit 167 for identification at that  
10 multi-page document dated September 27, 1995 first  
11 page of which indicates that it is supplemental  
12 responses to data requests WUT 01-30 and 330.

13                   Exhibit 168 for identification is a single  
14 page document dated September 21, 1995 worksheet 2 RSA  
15 No. 7. Exhibit 169 for identification is a single page  
16 document designated 00P No. 4 worksheet 3. 170 for  
17 identification is a multi-page document bearing a date  
18 of July 26, '95 designated PFA No. 9 worksheet 1.  
19 Exhibit 171 for identification is a multi-page document  
20 first page of which is designated request No. PC  
21 01-436.

22                   MR. TROTTER: That's a confidential  
23 document it appears.

24                   JUDGE WALLIS: It is confidential?

25                   MR. WAGGONER: Says investor report, is

01113

1 that what we're talking about?

2 MR. TROTTER: I'm sorry. The response says  
3 confidential but it appears nothing was attached that  
4 was confidential. Never mind.

5 JUDGE WALLIS: 172 for identification is a  
6 multi-page document designated request No. WUT  
7 01-169. Let's be off the record for a moment.

8 (Recess.)

9 JUDGE WALLIS: Back on the record. I'm  
10 marking as Exhibit 173 for identification a document  
11 consisting of pages 122 through 134 purporting to be  
12 from the deposition of Margaret Wright on July 5,  
13 1995. Marking as Exhibit 174 for identification a  
14 multi-page document first page of which designates it  
15 as Commission decision and order adopting initial  
16 order in docket No. UT-930074. Marking as 175 for  
17 identification a single page document designated  
18 request No. WUT 01-030. 176 for identification is a  
19 single page document designated request No. WUT 01-266.  
20 177 for identification is a two-page  
21 document designated request No. ATT 01-012. 178 for  
22 identification is a two-page document designated  
23 request No. WUT 01-498. 179 for identification is a  
24 multi-page document designated request No. WUT 01-026.  
25 181 -- 180 for identification is a multi-page document

01114

1 the first page of which is designated fourth  
2 supplemental order docket No. UT-941464. 181 for  
3 identification is a single page document designated  
4 summary of capitalization percentages dated September  
5 29, 1994. Exhibit 182 for identification is a single  
6 page document designated PFA No. 1 worksheet 4. 183  
7 for identification is a single page document designated  
8 PFA No. 2 worksheet 4. Exhibit 184 for identification  
9 is a single page document designated request No. WUT  
10 01-332. 185 for identification is a single page  
11 document designated RSA No. 5 worksheet 2. Exhibit 186  
12 for identification is a multi-page document the first  
13 page of which purports to be a letter to Sharon Nelson  
14 on the letterhead of the Federal Communications  
15 Commission under date of October 27, 1995.  
16           187 for identification is a two-page  
17 document the first page of which is designated request  
18 No. WUT 01-341. 188 for identification is a single  
19 page document purporting to be page 87 of a deposition  
20 of Margaret Wright on July 5, 1995. 189 for  
21 identification is a two-page document the first page of  
22 which is designated request No. WUT 01-033. 190 for  
23 identification is a single page document designated  
24 request No. WUT 01-343. 191 -- let's be off the  
25 record.

01115

1 (Recess.)

2 JUDGE WALLIS: Let's continue. 191 for  
3 identification is a multi-page document the first page  
4 of which is designated request No. WUT 01-359. 192C  
5 for identification is a multi-page document the first  
6 page of which is designated WUT -- request No. WUT  
7 01-331. That is designated as a confidential document.

8 Exhibit 193 for identification is a single  
9 page document designated U S WEST Communications  
10 pension benefit obligation. Exhibit 194 for  
11 identification is a single page document designated  
12 response to staff data request No. 33. Exhibit 195 for  
13 identification is a multi-page document the first page  
14 of which is designated account 7240.22-UTC regulatory  
15 fees.

16 MR. TRAUTMAN: Your Honor, I would like to  
17 make one change. Going back to 167, it's because the  
18 original company response identified this as a  
19 response to 030, and that was the only cover letter we  
20 had originally at the time, and it's actually a  
21 response to 039 and so I think to avoid confusion  
22 although we don't have a cover page I would like to  
23 replace 167 with a document I can hand out which  
24 properly identifies it as a response to 039.

25 JUDGE WALLIS: Very well. Let's be off the

01116

1 record.

2 (Discussion off the record.)

3 JUDGE WALLIS: Back on the record. Staff  
4 has indicated that it wishes to replace the earlier  
5 designated document 167 with a document designated WUT  
6 01-039. Request No. WUT 01-039 and I will ask the  
7 reporter to substitute the designation in the series,  
8 and the purpose is that the -- as I understand it that  
9 there is no change in substance whatsoever. It is the  
10 same substantive document but the cover page has a  
11 different designation for clarity; is that correct?  
12 Is that correct, Mr. Trautman.

13 MR. TRAUTMAN: I didn't hear the question.

14 JUDGE WALLIS: There's no change in the  
15 substance. It's just making sure that the cover  
16 reflects the contents.

17 MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes. It's just a labeling  
18 change.

19 JUDGE WALLIS: Very well. Let's move on to  
20 public counsel's documents. Mr. Trotter is the first  
21 document a multi-page document.

22 MR. TROTTER: Yes.

23 JUDGE WALLIS: Designated request No.  
24 PC-01-881, and that is 196 for identification and 197  
25 is a single page document designated Exhibit 12-2



01117

1 criteria to guide cost allocation decisions. Will you  
2 have other documents, Mr. Trotter?

3 MR. TROTTER: No. Let's move on to Ms.  
4 Dawson then, please. Let's be off the record.

5 (Recess.)

6 JUDGE WALLIS: Let's be back on the record,  
7 please. I would like to continue with the designation  
8 of exhibits for Julie A. Dawson, a witness for U S  
9 WEST. The direct rebuttal testimony is designated as  
10 Exhibit 201-T and attachment JAD-1 consistency  
11 requirement entries, designated -- the document is  
12 marked as 202 for identification. An attachment  
13 designated JAD-2 is marked as 203 for identification,  
14 and JAD-3 comparison of bill credit is marked as 204  
15 for identification. I understand that discussions have  
16 been held among public counsel, the company and staff  
17 regarding the appearance of witnesses Vanston and  
18 Easton and it's my understanding that the witnesses  
19 will be present tomorrow. Is that correct, Mr. Shaw?

20 MR. SHAW: Yes.

21 JUDGE WALLIS: Anything else of a  
22 preliminary nature?

23 MR. SHAW: We have an announcement as to  
24 Ms. Hand. She has a medical emergency and she is  
25 under doctor's orders not to travel, not to fly and so

01118

1 we're going to have to substitute a person from her  
2 same group by the name of Mary Evans who will sponsor  
3 Ms. Hand's testimony.

4 JUDGE WALLIS: Very well. Is there anyone  
5 who has a problem with that?. Let's conclude our  
6 pre-hearing conference then.

7 (Pre-hearing adjourned at 9:24 a.m.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25