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## THIRTEENTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DR. ROGER A. MORIN <br> FLOTATION COST ALLOWANCE

To obtain the final cost of equity financing from the investors' expected rate of return, it is necessary to make allowance for underpricing, which is the sum of market pressure, costs of flotation, and underwriting fees associated with new issues. Allowance for market pressure should be made because large blocks of new stock may cause significant pressure on market prices even in stable markets. Allowance must also be made for company costs of flotation (including such items as printing, legal and accounting expenses) and for underwriting fees.

## I. MAGNITUDE OF FLOTATION COSTS

According to empirical studies, underwriting costs and expenses average at least $4 \%$ of gross proceeds for utility stock offerings in the U.S. (See Logue \& Jarrow: "Negotiations vs. Competitive Bidding in the Sale of Securities by Public Utilities", Financial Management, Fall 1978.) A study of 641 common stock issues by 95 electric utilities identified a flotation cost allowance of 5.0\%. (See Borum \& Malley: "Total Flotation Cost for Electric Company Equity Issues", Public Utilities Fortnightly, Feb. 20, 1986.)
$\qquad$ (RAM-14) Prefiled Direct Testimony of Dr. Roger A. Morin

Empirical studies suggest an allowance of 1\% for market pressure in U.S. studies. Logue and Jarrow found that the absolute magnitude of the relative price decline due to market pressure was less than $1.5 \%$. Bowyer and Yawitz examined 278 public utility stock issues and found an average market pressure of $0.72 \%$. (See Bowyer \& Yawitz, "The Effect of New Equity Issues on Utility Stock Prices", Public Utilities Fortnightly, May 22, 1980.)

Eckbo \& Masulis ("Rights vs. Underwritten Stock Offerings: An Empirical Analysis", University of British Columbia, Working Paper No. 1208, Sept., 1987) found an average flotation cost of $4.175 \%$ for utility common stock offerings. Moreover, flotation costs increased progressively for smaller size issues. They also found that the relative price decline due to market pressure in the days surrounding the announcement amounted to slightly more than $1.5 \%$. In a classic and monumental study published in the prestigious Journal of Financial Economics by a prominent scholar, a market pressure effect of $3.14 \%$ for industrial stock issues and $0.75 \%$ for utility common stock issues was found (see Smith, C.W., "Investment Banking and the Capital Acquisition Process," Journal of Financial Economics 15, 1986). Other studies of market pressure are reported in Logue ("On the Pricing of Unseasoned Equity Offerings, Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, Jan. 1973), Pettway ("The Effects of New Equity Sales Upon Utility Share Prices," Public Utilities Fortnightly, May 10 1984), and Reilly and Hatfield ("Investor Experience with New Stock Issues," Financial Analysts’ Journal, Sept.- Oct. 1969). In the Pettway study, the market pressure effect for a sample of 368 public utility equity sales was in the range of $2 \%$ to $3 \%$. Adding the direct and indirect effects of
utility common stock issues, the indicated total flotation cost allowance is above $5.0 \%$, corroborating the results of earlier studies.

As shown in the table below, a comprehensive empirical study by Lee, Lochhead, Ritter, and Zhao, "The Costs of Raising Capital," Journal of Financial Research, Vol. XIX, NO. 1, Spring 1996, shows average direct flotation costs for equity offerings of $3.5 \%-5 \%$ for stock issues between $\$ 60$ and $\$ 500$ million. Allowing for market pressure costs raises the flotation cost allowance to well above $5 \%$.

| FLOTATION COSTS: RAISING EXTERNAL CAPITAL <br> (Percent of Total Capital Raised) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Raised <br> in \$ Millions | Average Flotation <br> Cost: Common Stock | Average Flotation <br> Cost: New Debt |  |
| $\$ 2.00-\$ 9.99$ | $13.28 \%$ | $4.39 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 10.00-\$ 19.99$ | $8.72 \%$ | $2.76 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 20.00-\$ 39.99$ | $6.93 \%$ | $2.42 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 40.00-\$ 59.99$ | $5.87 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 60.00-\$ 79.99$ | $5.18 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 80.00-\$ 99.99$ | $4.73 \%$ | $2.16 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 100.00-\$ 199.99$ | $4.22 \%$ | $2.31 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 200.00-\$ 499.99$ | $3.47 \%$ | $2.19 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 500.00$ and Up | $3.15 \%$ | $1.64 \%$ |  |

Note: Flotation costs for IPOs are about 17 percent of the value of common stock issued if the amount raised is less than $\$ 10$ million and about 6 percent if more than $\$ 500$ million is raised. Flotation costs are somewhat lower for utilities than others.
Source: Lee, Inmoo, Scott Lochhead, Jay Ritter, and Quanshui Zhao, "The Costs of Raising Capital," The Journal of Financial Research, Spring 1996.

Therefore, based on empirical studies, total flotation costs including market pressure amount to approximately $5 \%$ of gross proceeds. I have therefore assumed a 5\% gross total flotation cost allowance in my cost of capital analyses.

## II. APPLICATION OF THE FLOTATION COST ADJUSTMENT

The section below shows: 1) why it is necessary to apply an allowance of $5 \%$ to the dividend yield component of equity cost by dividing that yield by $0.95(100 \%-5 \%)$ to obtain the fair return on equity capital, and 2 ) why the flotation adjustment is permanently required to avoid confiscation even if no further stock issues are contemplated. Flotation costs are only recovered if the rate of return is applied to total equity, including retained earnings, in all future years.

Flotation costs are just as real as costs incurred to build utility plant. Fair regulatory treatment absolutely must permit the recovery of these costs. An analogy with bond issues is useful to understand the treatment of flotation costs in the case of common stocks.

In the case of a bond issue, flotation costs are not expensed but are rather amortized over the life of the bond, and the annual amortization charge is embedded in the cost of service. This is analogous to the process of depreciation, which allows the recovery of funds invested in utility plant. The recovery of bond flotation expense continues year after year, irrespective of whether the company issues new debt capital in the future, until recovery is complete. In the case of common stock that has no finite life, flotation costs are not amortized. Therefore, the recovery of flotation cost requires an upward adjustment to the allowed return on equity. Roger A. Morin, Regulatory Finance, Public Utilities Reports Inc., Arlington, Va., 1994, provides numerical illustrations that show that even if a utility does not contemplate any additional common
stock issues, a flotation cost adjustment is still permanently required. Examples there also demonstrate that the allowance applies to retained earnings as well as to the original capital.

From the standard DCF model, the investor's required return on equity capital is expressed as:

$$
K=D_{1} / \mathbf{P}_{0}+g
$$

If $P_{o}$ is regarded as the proceeds per share actually received by the company from which dividends and earnings will be generated, that is, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$ equals $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}$, the book value per share, then the company's required return is:

$$
\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}} / \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}}+\mathbf{g}
$$

Denoting the percentage flotation costs ' f ', proceeds per share $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}$ are related to market price $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{f P}=\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}} \\
& \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{f})=\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the latter equation into the above expression for return on equity, we obtain:

$$
r=D_{1} / P(1-f)+g
$$

that is, the utility's required return adjusted for underpricing. For flotation costs of $5 \%$, dividing the expected dividend yield by 0.95 will produce the adjusted cost of equity
capital. For a dividend yield of $6 \%$ for example, the magnitude of the adjustment is 32 basis points: $.06 / .95=.0632$.

In deriving DCF estimates of fair return on equity, it is therefore necessary to apply a conservative after-tax allowance of $5 \%$ to the dividend yield component of equity cost.

Even if no further stock issues are contemplated, the flotation adjustment is still permanently required to keep shareholders whole. Flotation costs are only recovered if the rate of return is applied to total equity, including retained earnings, in all future years, even if no future financing is contemplated. This is demonstrated by the numerical example contained in pages 7-9 of this Appendix. Moreover, even if the stock price, hence the DCF estimate of equity return, fully reflected the lack of permanent allowance, the company always nets less than the market price. Only the net proceeds from an equity issue are used to add to the rate base on which the investor earns. A permanent allowance for flotation costs must be authorized in order to insure that in each year the investor earns the required return on the total amount of capital actually supplied.

The example shown on pages 7-9 shows the flotation cost adjustment process using illustrative, yet realistic, market data. The assumptions used in the computation are shown on page 7. The stock is selling in the market for $\$ 25$, investors expect the firm to pay a dividend of $\$ 2.25$ that will grow at a rate of $5 \%$ thereafter. The traditional DCF cost of equity is thus $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{g}=2.25 / 25+.05=14 \%$. The firm sells one share stock, incurring a flotation cost of $5 \%$. The traditional DCF cost of equity adjusted for flotation cost is thus ROE $=\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{P}(1-\mathrm{f})+\mathrm{g}=.09 / .95+.05=14.47 \%$.

The initial book value (rate base) is the net proceeds from the stock issue, which are $\$ 23.75$, that is, the market price less the $5 \%$ flotation costs. The example demonstrates that only if the company is allowed to earn $14.47 \%$ on rate base will investors earn their cost of equity of $14 \%$. On page 8 , Column 1 shows the initial common stock account, Column 2 the cumulative retained earnings balance, starting at zero, and steadily increasing from the retention of earnings. Total equity in Column 3 is the sum of common stock capital and retained earnings. The stock price in Column 4 is obtained from the seminal DCF formula: $\mathrm{D}_{1} /(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{g})$. Earnings per share in Column 6 are simply the allowed return of $14.47 \%$ times the total common equity base. Dividends start at $\$ 2.25$ and grow at $5 \%$ thereafter, which they must do if investors are to earn a $14 \%$ return. The dividend payout ratio remains constant, as per the assumption of the DCF model. All quantities, stock price, book value, earnings, and dividends grow at a 5\% rate, as shown at the bottom of the relevant columns. Only if the company is allowed to earn $14.47 \%$ on equity do investors earn $14 \%$. For example, if the company is allowed only $14 \%$, the stock price drops from $\$ 26.25$ to $\$ 26.13$ in the second year, inflicting a loss on shareholders. This is shown on page 9. The growth rate drops from $5 \%$ to $4.53 \%$. Thus, investors only earn $9 \%+4.53 \%=13.53 \%$ on their investment. It is noteworthy that the adjustment is always required each and every year, whether or not new stock issues are sold in the future, and that the allowed return on equity must be earned on total equity, including retained earnings, for investors to earn the cost of equity.

ASSUMPTIONS:
ISSUE PRICE $=\quad \$ 25.00$
FLOTATION COST $=\quad 5.00 \%$ DIVIDEND YIELD $=\quad 9.00 \%$ GROWTH $=5.00 \%$

EQUITY RETURN =
$14.00 \%$
(D/P +g )
ALLOWED RETURN ON EQUITY =
14.47\%
$(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{P}(1-\mathrm{f})+\mathrm{g})$

| Yr | COMMON STOCK <br> (1) | RETAINED EARNINGS <br> (2) | TOTAL EQUITY <br> (3) | STOCK <br> PRICE <br> (4) | MARKET/ BOOK RATIO <br> (5) | EPS <br> (6) | DPS <br> (7) | PAYOUT (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ---- | -------- | -------- | -------- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | \$23.75 | \$0.000 | \$23.750 | \$25.000 | 1.0526 | \$3.438 | \$2.250 | 65.45\% |
| 2 | \$23.75 | \$1.188 | \$24.938 | \$26.250 | 1.0526 | \$3.609 | \$2.363 | 65.45\% |
| 3 | \$23.75 | \$2.434 | \$26.184 | \$27.563 | 1.0526 | \$3.790 | \$2.481 | 65.45\% |
| 4 | \$23.75 | \$3.744 | \$27.494 | \$28.941 | 1.0526 | \$3.979 | \$2.605 | 65.45\% |
| 5 | \$23.75 | \$5.118 | \$28.868 | \$30.388 | 1.0526 | \$4.178 | \$2.735 | 65.45\% |
| 6 | \$23.75 | \$6.562 | \$30.312 | \$31.907 | 1.0526 | \$4.387 | \$2.872 | 65.45\% |
| 7 | \$23.75 | \$8.077 | \$31.827 | \$33.502 | 1.0526 | \$4.607 | \$3.015 | 65.45\% |
| 8 | \$23.75 | \$9.669 | \$33.419 | \$35.178 | 1.0526 | \$4.837 | \$3.166 | 65.45\% |
| 9 | \$23.75 | \$11.340 | \$35.090 | \$36.936 | 1.0526 | \$5.079 | \$3.324 | 65.45\% |
| 10 | \$23.75 | \$13.094 | \$36.844 | \$38.783 | 1.0526 | \$5.333 | \$3.490 | 65.45\% |
|  |  |  | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |  | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |  |
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