

**EXHIBIT BJJ-62 TO THE
DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
BONNIE J. JOHNSON
ON BEHALF OF
INTEGRA TELECOM**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

Ellen Anderson, Chair
David Boyd, Commissioner
Phyllis Reha, Commissioner
J. Dennis O'Brien, Commissioner

In the Matter of the Joint Petition for Approval of
Indirect Transfer of Control of Qwest Operating Companies
to CenturyLink

PUC DOCKET NO: PA-10-456

In the Matter of the Complaint by Joint CLEs against
Qwest and CenturyLink Regarding OSS Implementation

PUC DOCKET NO: C-11-684

Public Utilities Commission
121 South Seventh Place East
Suite 350
St. Paul, Minnesota

The following proceedings were recorded by
the PUC and transcribed by Angie D. Threlkeld, Court
Reporter.

1 like to replace the MEDIACC system with MTG, but we
2 recognize that there are agreement provisions
3 that -- and hurdles we need to get over before we
4 would be able to create a mandatory shift to -- to
5 this new system on the part of CLECs. And in
6 looking at that language, the entire concern
7 throughout the merger proceeding was the idea that
8 synergies would force a -- would -- would be used to
9 merge together systems, integrate them together in
10 an effort to save costs. That's -- that's simply
11 not the situation here, and we don't believe that
12 it's addressed by this language.

13 And, you know, I certainly can go into
14 more detail, but to me there's a real practical
15 issue before this Commission. You are being pushed
16 by CLECs and others to issue an order stopping the
17 development of this replacement system. We would
18 suggest and we think that the right course of action
19 is not to take that sort of drastic step at a
20 preliminary hearing such as we are here today. Our
21 people say that there's a risk that's important that
22 needs to be addressed. They could be right. They
23 could be wrong. But in either event, if -- if that
24 risk comes to fruition, it would have been this
25 Commission that would have issued an order that

1 And we're meeting that with respect to the MEDIACC
2 system today. We're concerned that we may not be
3 able to meet that at some point in the future.
4 Don't know when that might be.

5 COMMISSIONER BOYD: And the risk you
6 alluded to not for the system failing but for the
7 new system after 30 months kicking into this review
8 process is that that new product could go away
9 entirely through the interactive process with the --
10 with the CLECs?

11 MR. TOPP: It could, and we would -- it
12 absolutely could. I mean, that -- that is a risk
13 that is out there.

14 COMMISSIONER BOYD: When did the
15 wholesale customers who asked you for a new system
16 make their request to you?

17 MR. TOPP: They first made the request
18 towards the end of 2007. They -- there have been
19 further requests since that time or further
20 discussion since that time. That's why when
21 Mr. Merz referred to a change request being up as a
22 part of the CMP process back in 2008, that's when
23 that first came up. There was a funding for that
24 project. They were unable to get funding for that
25 project, so it was dropped at that time. But the

1 executives at Qwest, because this is premerger when
2 this started, have become very concerned about the
3 risks associated with this. And -- and, believe me,
4 I wasn't happy to see this come up in the middle of
5 merger proceedings, but it's that important to us
6 that we thought that this is something that needed
7 to be addressed.

8 COMMISSIONER BOYD: And if this software
9 system and tool is in such rickety shape, how is it
10 that this didn't come up, to the best of my
11 knowledge, in the merger proceeding --

12 MR. TOPP: If --

13 COMMISSIONER BOYD: -- you're in imminent
14 danger, but we went through the proceeding not
15 talking about it?

16 MR. TOPP: Well, again, it was something
17 that was being talked on the business side,
18 absolutely. As far as before the Commission, there
19 really wasn't a dispute about the adequacy of
20 Qwest's OSS. In fact, that was held out as sort of
21 the standard to aspire to. And, you know, in
22 retrospect should we have done something with that?
23 Maybe we should have. But in our mind the concern
24 we were dealing with is merging the two systems
25 together, not sort of the normal upgrades that

1 happen independent of the merger process and happen
2 to the CMP process. And there's recognition of
3 that. If you look at the Department of Commerce
4 settlement, there's provision for CMP for -- and a
5 recognition that these systems will continue to
6 evolve. And in the Integra settlement, CMP is not
7 mentioned, but that was because within that
8 agreement there's an extension of interconnection
9 agreements for three years. All of those
10 interconnection agreements include provisions for
11 CMP procedures.

12 COMMISSIONER BOYD: I'm -- I'm --
13 personally I was less feeling the need to see
14 Mr. Merz's assertions about the adequacy -- or the
15 inadequacy of the system or the adequacy of the
16 system, but the ALJ report pretty clearly stated
17 that there is no need to make alterations to OSS in
18 Qwest's states. Qwest didn't file an exception to
19 that. Why? I mean, clearly there's a problem.

20 CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Topp.

21 MR. TOPP: I -- I guess at that time
22 we -- I guess I -- from my perspective I didn't look
23 at that issue in that particular light at the time.

24 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Because I'll tell you
25 from my own perspective what I intended -- what I

1 thought was going to happen is that the system was
2 standing still, status quo, for 30 months, and it
3 was functional, it was operating, that there would
4 be no need for an alternate system, an upgrade, an
5 option. That's what I took away from that
6 proceeding. And so I'm really at a loss to
7 understand why we're where we are right now after
8 what was, I think everyone would agree, a rather
9 thorough and exhaustive proceeding. So it may be
10 that we're at a really odd spot where the system may
11 fall apart tomorrow, but I would say that this was a
12 -- this was a quilt put together by a lot of folks
13 and that there's a lot of people who had a hand in
14 this in fashioning this piece of cloth. And, you
15 know, I feel like I'm in an odd spot. I actually
16 support the CLECs in this, but supporting the CLECs
17 may leave a system that's inadequate. But my
18 interpretation of the order and my interpretation of
19 the proceeding we did, they're saying what I thought
20 we told -- what we said. And it's a very awkward
21 position to be in.

22 So while the system may fail and Qwest
23 may say, boy, we tried to fix it but the Commission
24 made us go on with Band-Aids, we may end up doing
25 that, but I don't think that it's entirely a

1 Commission -- I mean, I don't know. I'm very
2 frustrated.

3 MR. TOPP: From our perspective, this is
4 something that was being actively communicated and
5 discussed on a business basis while this was taking
6 place. We were of the view that this was not
7 impacted by the merger proceedings. We communicated
8 that view on a business basis, but that's -- we are
9 where we are sitting here today.

10 CHAIR ANDERSON: Commissioner Reha.

11 COMMISSIONER REHA: As I recall the
12 merger proceeding, it all turned on this OSS system.
13 I mean, that was the crux of the entire conversation
14 for that proceeding. Maybe not -- 90 percent of it
15 anyway. And -- and I -- my understanding was
16 similar to Commissioner Boyd. As I recall, there
17 was even some question whether the merger was going
18 to be approved unless that OSS issue was resolved.
19 At least that was what was in my mind at the time.
20 And there was a lot of negotiation, if you recall,
21 that was kind of going on on the fly, and -- and it
22 was because of that negotiation and that issue being
23 resolved that I voted in favor of the merger.

24 Now, here we are, not that long after
25 that, here looking at this OSS issue again. And

1 somehow it's a moving target, and that's disturbing
2 to me. It almost makes me feel as if I was
3 encouraged to vote in favor of it under false
4 pretenses. I mean, that's how I feel. And -- and,
5 you know, when I go back and read the language, you
6 know, of the -- of the stipulations and agreements,
7 I'm trying to read it in the way that the company
8 asks me to read it to see if there's any ambiguity
9 here, and I fail to see it. And so I -- it's a
10 little upsetting to me, frankly. But it is a
11 conundrum for you, the company. I understand that.

12 I don't know if the company was
13 forthright in coming to this merger discussion
14 without having addressed this issue, even though it
15 was a business conversation, as you say, because the
16 wholesale customers and -- you know, if you're going
17 to be using it for your retail customers, it doesn't
18 seem like there's a level playing field there. So
19 I'm a little concerned about it, and -- and I just
20 wanted to express that.

21 CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Topp.

22 MR. TOPP: Chair Anderson, Commissioners,
23 again, that's why -- you know, I certainly respect
24 your concerns in that regard. I do think that the
25 best solution sitting here today is to see if the

1 CHAIR ANDERSON: Yeah.

2 COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: The dilemma that
3 Commissioner Boyd articulated, which I happen to
4 agree with, you know, follow the order, and you guys
5 are right. But on the other hand, what if we're
6 making a technological error here? And so those
7 kinds of problems can be addressed in other ways.

8 MR. LIPSCHULTZ: Madam Chair,
9 Commissioner O'Brien, I can tell you that I don't
10 want to leave the impression that my clients want to
11 stick with the current system just because it's the
12 current system. If there are problems that would
13 affect the reliability of that system, certainly my
14 clients would have an interest in addressing them.
15 Whether that's a replacement system or not, I don't
16 know. Whether it's the MTG system they're
17 proposing, I don't know. Whether the other
18 alternatives such as those that apparently my
19 client, PAETEC, have suggested in discussions with
20 Qwest is a question I can't answer. But the real
21 bottom line for my clients is I think the same
22 bottom line Commissioner Boyd, and you, Commissioner
23 O'Brien, have expressed, we don't want an unreliable
24 system. It's bad for our clients. And so if
25 there's a fix that needs to be implemented, assuming

1 there's a problem, my clients will have every
2 incentive to address that problem.

3 COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Well, I'm
4 reminded -- Madam Chair, I'm reminded that -- that
5 as this deal moved forward, there was great hope and
6 articulated expectation that we were going to
7 achieve two-thirds of a billion dollars of synergy.
8 There's a lot of resource to solve problems in that
9 two-thirds of a billion it seems to me.

10 CHAIR ANDERSON: Did you have your hand
11 up? Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Madam Chair.

13 CHAIR ANDERSON: Commissioner Boyd.

14 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Before we deliberate,
15 could I ask for a recess? I'd like to ask --

16 CHAIR ANDERSON: Sure.

17 COMMISSIONER BOYD: -- staff and counsel
18 a question or two.

19 CHAIR ANDERSON: You bet. Yeah, why
20 don't we take 15 minutes. Is that enough time?

21 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Absolutely fine.

22 CHAIR ANDERSON: All right. Commission
23 stands in recess until 2:45.

24 (Break.)

25 CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. The Commission

1 will come back to order. Now, I guess we're ready
2 to discuss this amongst ourselves. So any
3 discussion?

4 COMMISSIONER REHA: Madam Chair.

5 CHAIR ANDERSON: Commissioner Reha.

6 COMMISSIONER REHA: I just had one
7 question for Mr. Topp, if I --

8 CHAIR ANDERSON: Sure.

9 COMMISSIONER REHA: -- if I could.

10 CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh, absolutely.

11 COMMISSIONER REHA: You said a couple
12 times in your presentation that you were going to be
13 using this new system for your wholesale customers.
14 And -- and I guess I didn't get that impression when
15 I was reading the briefing papers that that was the
16 case, that it was exclusively for those wholesale
17 customers. And I'm just wondering which system you
18 will be using for your retail customers?

19 MR. TOPP: Retail customers do not
20 typically have the ability to go in directly and
21 order repairs. So no retail customers use MEDIACC.
22 The CEMR system there are a handful across the
23 country that -- that have that sort of arrangement,
24 and those tend to be governmental entities that have
25 that ability.

1 The MTG system would be for wholesale
2 customers. You know, there could be an example of a
3 very large customer that would want the ability to
4 go in and order repairs directly, but that would
5 be --

6 COMMISSIONER REHA: I see.

7 MR. TOPP: -- very rare.

8 COMMISSIONER REHA: Okay. Thank you.

9 MR. MERZ: Madam Chair.

10 CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Merz.

11 MR. MERZ: Could I just add something on
12 that point? It may well be the case that there are
13 very few retail customers that themselves put
14 information into MEDIACC, but Qwest is using MEDIACC
15 internally to move information within the company.
16 And they told us in the CMP process Qwest uses
17 MEDIACC today and will have an opportunity to move
18 to MTG. In Colorado we alleged Qwest uses MEDIACC.
19 They admitted that allegation. In CMP they told us
20 there are literally thousands of Qwest tickets that
21 are handled through MEDIACC. So I -- I think that
22 they're maybe defining use in a way that maybe
23 doesn't make a lot of sense when they say they don't
24 use MEDIACC, because we have numerous admissions
25 that within the company internally they use it.

1 COMMISSIONER REHA: Do you wish to
2 respond to that, Mr. Topp?

3 MR. TOPP: Yes.

4 CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Topp.

5 MR. TOPP: Chair Anderson, Commissioner
6 Reha, it's my understanding that Qwest does not use
7 MEDIACC as an interface through which repair orders
8 are placed. If -- if there are tickets that somehow
9 touch the MEDIACC system as a part of the process, I
10 can't address that one way or another. But, you
11 know, I asked this question 15 different ways
12 because I was a little confused by these responses,
13 and that's -- that's my understanding.

14 COMMISSIONER REHA: Thank you.

15 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Madam Chair.

16 CHAIR ANDERSON: Commissioner Boyd.

17 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Well, I'll give you
18 my two cents worth. I'm -- I'm -- I won't repeat
19 myself. I'm where I was before. I find it -- my --
20 my read of our order, based on our proceedings, led
21 me to believe there was no need for any system
22 modification within the 30 months, that the order
23 basically froze the systems as they are. At the
24 same time I am concerned about the potential damage
25 that this could do or would do, and that's where the

1 those hard working lawyers pays a lot of my bills,
2 so I would never be one to quibble with that.
3 That's a very important job.

4 Mr. Topp.

5 MR. TOPP: Thank you, Chair Anderson,
6 Commissioners. One clarification I'd like to have
7 is ceasing planned implementation. One thing I'm
8 concerned about is there's -- there are -- in order
9 to evaluate proposals, there are -- you know, there
10 would be computer programming and things like that.
11 You know, we'd need IT experts to look at that and
12 look at that in conjunction with, you know, the
13 proposals that one company has made or whatever
14 other ideas may come in. I assume that that's not
15 something that the Commission is looking to
16 prohibit --

17 CHAIR ANDERSON: Planning?

18 MR. TOPP: -- by virtue --

19 CHAIR ANDERSON: You're talking about
20 planning versus implementation; right?

21 MR. TOPP: Yeah. And --

22 CHAIR ANDERSON: Discussion version
23 implementation?

24 MR. TOPP: Yeah, and reviewing proposals,
25 that sort of thing. I don't know. I can't give you

1 a precise definition, but I certainly wouldn't -- as
2 we're engaged in discussions and that sort of thing,
3 I wouldn't want to stymie whatever technical work
4 needs to be done to evaluate proposals as a part of
5 that process.

6 CHAIR ANDERSON: Commissioner O'Brien.

7 COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: To the extent that
8 they have to make a compliance filing and -- and
9 reflect on reply briefs and brief us on this matter,
10 that would certainly be -- the order writer can
11 draft us through that dilemma, I suspect, by
12 allowing certain activities to comply with our cease
13 and desist order. And that's about as far as I'm
14 willing to go.

15 CHAIR ANDERSON: Yeah, I think --

16 COMMISSIONER BOYD: My -- my read, for
17 what it's worth, would be to cease the
18 implementation. If you want to go ahead and develop
19 something you're not allowed to implement, I suppose
20 that's your -- your dollars, but with the
21 understanding that having not gone through any
22 process that comports with the order...

23 COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Julia's got an
24 observation.

25 CHAIR ANDERSON: Ms. Anderson.

1 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Chair Anderson,
2 Commissioners. That was my question. And, in fact,
3 Chair Boyd, just for purposes of clarity as to the
4 Commission's decision that to the extent the
5 Commission is ruling that the company cease its
6 development and planned implementation but for the
7 requirements of the settlement agreements, I mean,
8 we have -- we have language here that these parties
9 seem to use very differently, so...

10 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Madam Chair.

11 CHAIR ANDERSON: Commissioner Boyd.

12 COMMISSIONER BOYD: The question you
13 asked about -- about the implementation process was
14 what I was trying to ask Mr. Topp earlier, that
15 knowing that if -- if they went on parallel paths
16 today, they create a new product that doesn't fully
17 embrace all the terms of the settlement agreement,
18 that when the 30-month period ends, they understand
19 that they would be required to engage that exact
20 process. That might lead to no changes. That might
21 lead to completely throwing out the product that was
22 developed. And that's essentially Qwest's risk.
23 And the answer I heard from Mr. Topp was, yes, we
24 understand that's the situation. I mean, it -- so
25 if they want to develop something -- the point is if

1 they develop a product and come back in 30 months
2 and say we invested 18 bazillion dollars making it,
3 it's so expensive you have to let us use it, if I'm
4 still here, I'm going to say, no, I don't, not
5 unless you go through the process. I may not be
6 here. I mean, you know, sit too close to
7 Commissioner O'Brien and -- but that's my -- that's
8 my take. And that was why I asked the question,
9 which was functionally the same question you had.
10 So I -- I still -- if --

11 CHAIR ANDERSON: I say --

12 COMMISSIONER BOYD: From my standpoint,
13 if they want to develop something understanding it
14 might never be implemented --

15 CHAIR ANDERSON: Well, and I think this
16 clearly says --

17 COMMISSIONER BOYD: -- so be it.

18 CHAIR ANDERSON: -- no implementation
19 shall go forward, period. I mean, that's what I
20 read it to say. And implementation is very
21 different from planning. You can plan all you want.
22 Does that make sense?

23 Mr. Merz.

24 MR. MERZ: Where we had started was the
25 concern that if they continue with the development

1 work that they are --

2 CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay.

3 MR. MERZ: -- doing now, we're going to
4 continue down this track, and really it's going to
5 limit the ability to reach any kind of resolution,
6 because they're -- they're going to keep doing what
7 they're doing. And, I mean, I -- if that's not the
8 Commission's decision, it's not. But I think it's
9 important that at least we understand what Qwest can
10 and cannot do under this -- under this order. And
11 if they can go ahead, as they've been doing thus
12 far, we have concerns about that, which I've already
13 articulated and won't repeat.

14 COMMISSIONER BOYD: If I were to venture
15 a guess --

16 CHAIR ANDERSON: Commissioner Boyd.

17 COMMISSIONER BOYD: -- I would think
18 that -- well, let's just say we'll see the value of
19 this tool to the merged company. If this is a tool
20 they want to implement systemwide and they are
21 prohibited from doing so in the state of Minnesota,
22 I don't know what it means to any other state
23 they're working on. It would seem that that's
24 something worthy of some thought, at least for
25 30 months, and then the process that follows. So...

1 CHAIR ANDERSON: Well, there was some
2 language proposed in A about including the CLECs in
3 the development of MTG or other alternatives. Now,
4 I'm not sure if that's something that was required
5 by the order necessarily.

6 COMMISSIONER REHA: It was.

7 CHAIR ANDERSON: Was it? I mean, at this
8 time or after a 30-month period, after sort of the
9 moratorium?

10 COMMISSIONER REHA: I think it was
11 there -- if I recall -- I don't have it in front of
12 me, but there was --

13 CHAIR ANDERSON: I don't remember.

14 COMMISSIONER REHA: -- certain days they
15 had to provide notice.

16 COMMISSIONER BOYD: 270 days.

17 COMMISSIONER REHA: 270 days --

18 CHAIR ANDERSON: Right.

19 COMMISSIONER REHA: -- they had to
20 provide notice to the CLECs, and they had to be
21 involved in the implement -- in the development or
22 discussions for any new product or something like
23 that. I -- but there was specific language of
24 involvement of the CLECs in the development of a new
25 system.

1 MR. MERZ: And ---

2 COMMISSIONER BOYD: I --

3 MR. MERZ: I'm sorry.

4 COMMISSIONER BOYD: I was going to say, I
5 didn't hear the CLECs offer an interest in A.

6 CHAIR ANDERSON: Well, this is based on
7 the company -- on CenturyLink making a commitment.
8 So perhaps the wording just didn't quite fit. But,
9 you know, I think that that -- from what everyone is
10 saying at this table, that would be implicit in
11 complying with the substantive and procedural
12 provisions of the settlement agreements. But it's
13 probably a good idea under the circumstances to be
14 clearer than that. And so maybe we should just
15 repeat that. That's part of the expectation that
16 CenturyLink Qwest -- slash Qwest -- Qwest would work
17 with the CLECs in developing alternatives. It might
18 be a good idea to just say that.

19 MR. LIPSCHULTZ: And, Madam Chair, sorry
20 to interrupt --

21 CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Lipschultz.

22 MR. LIPSCHULTZ: -- but I think --

23 CHAIR ANDERSON: No, go ahead.

24 MR. LIPSCHULTZ: -- that would actually
25 make a lot of sense from my client's perspective and

1 the way you phrased it, other alternatives, so that
2 it's not all focused exclusively on this particular
3 MTG proposal that they've made so that they have an
4 understanding that they have an obligation to
5 discuss other things that our folks might put on the
6 table.

7 CHAIR ANDERSON: Well, to me the order --
8 or the settlement agreements, as I read them, said
9 no changes to the OSS without all these things
10 happening. And so whatever those -- you know,
11 those -- any -- whatever those alternatives would be
12 are not predetermined by the settlement agreements.
13 So that to me seems to follow what was already
14 decided and agreed to.

15 Mr. Topp, what do you think?

16 MR. TOPP: Well, first of all, I would
17 disagree with the notion that no changes to OSS was
18 the intent of the order because there is this change
19 management process. The system never stays entirely
20 the same with no changes. It would be like your
21 computer in your office or whatever. There are
22 constantly updates to programs and things like that.
23 So the agreements all contemplate continuation of
24 sort of the normal upgrades or that sort of thing
25 without going through a separate process. So that

1 would be point one.

2 Point two, I guess if you -- now I can't
3 remember the question. I apologize.

4 CHAIR ANDERSON: What about working with
5 the CLECs in developing alternatives?

6 MR. TOPP: Yeah, and we -- we have -- we
7 had a meeting with PAETEC within the last week.
8 We'll -- we'll continue to do that, to look at
9 alternatives, yes.

10 CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Then I think that
11 would be a good thing to include in our language.

12 Do we have a motion?

13 Commissioner Boyd, could you state this
14 in the form of a motion, please?

15 COMMISSIONER BOYD: I'm not sure I can
16 anymore.

17 CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Why don't we ask
19 the order writer if he has a motion?

20 CHAIR ANDERSON: Is it clear? Well, I
21 think we're talking about in item 10 -- well, should
22 I say the docket number -- item 10B --

23 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Yeah.

24 CHAIR ANDERSON: -- and I would include
25 in that, you know, we could make it fully comply

1 with all the provisions of the settlement
2 agreements, including working -- the merged company
3 would work with the CLECs in the development of
4 alternatives.

5 COMMISSIONER REHA: Madam Chair, as I
6 recall --

7 CHAIR ANDERSON: Commissioner Reha.

8 COMMISSIONER REHA: -- there was item
9 3 --

10 CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh, yeah.

11 COMMISSIONER REHA: -- in B, make a
12 compliance filing within 30 days detailing the
13 problems with a reply period.

14 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Specific concerns and
15 risks.

16 COMMISSIONER REHA: Yeah, yeah.

17 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Yeah.

18 CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.

19 COMMISSIONER REHA: And then I guess
20 you'd put in a number 4, which would encompass
21 your --

22 CHAIR ANDERSON: That's fine, we can do
23 that.

24 COMMISSIONER REHA: -- last thought.

25 CHAIR ANDERSON: However the writer finds

1 it best to put it together, that works for me.

2 COMMISSIONER REHA: And just to repeat so
3 I'm clear, what was the fourth concept that we were
4 going to include?

5 CHAIR ANDERSON: Well, basically to
6 include the CLECs in the development of MTG or other
7 alternatives.

8 COMMISSIONER REHA: Okay.

9 CHAIR ANDERSON: Does that work? Does
10 that language --

11 COMMISSIONER REHA: Okay. Thank you.

12 CHAIR ANDERSON: -- work? Okay. And
13 then, in addition, on -- in item 11, to incorporate
14 Roman numeral 3.

15 COMMISSIONER REHA: Okay.

16 CHAIR ANDERSON: All right. Is there
17 anything else that should be included? All right.
18 We'll make that Commissioner Boyd's motion, if
19 that's all right.

20 Any further discussion?

21 COMMISSIONER BOYD: That was easy. Let
22 you make my motions more.

23 CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh, yes.

24 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Consolidate the
25 dockets?

1 CHAIR ANDERSON: Oh, yeah, the two
2 dockets. Yeah, can we just consolidate them? Can
3 we just say it and make it so? Okay. Let's make
4 that -- do you want to incorporate --

5 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Sure.

6 CHAIR ANDERSON: -- that?

7 COMMISSIONER BOYD: I'll -- I'll accept
8 that.

9 CHAIR ANDERSON: Any objection? Hearing
10 none, we'll incorporate that into Commissioner
11 Boyd's motion.

12 Very good. I think we're ready to vote.
13 Hearing no further discussion, all those in favor
14 say aye.

15 ALL COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

16 CHAIR ANDERSON: Opposed? The motion
17 does prevail 4 to 0.

18 Thank you all very much for your patience
19 this afternoon, and the meeting is adjourned.

20 (Proceeding concluded.)

21

22

23

24

25