

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission			
X Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>01-17-048</u> ; or ☐ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or ☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).		Original Notice X Supplemental Notice to WSR 04-15-141 Continuance of WSR	
Title of rule and other identifying information: Chapter 480-93 WAC, Gas Companies-Safety, WUTC Docket No. UG-011073			
Hearing location(s): Commission Hearing Room 206 2 nd Floor, Chandler Plaza Building 1300 So. Evergreen Park Dr. SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250	Address: P.O. Bo e-mail records of fax (360) 586	. Washburn, Executive Secretary ix 47250, Olympia, WA 98504-7250 or wutc.wa.gov	
	Flease iliciude Do	ocket No. 00-0110/3 iii your communication.	
Date of Hearing: March 16, 2005 at 9:30 a.m.	Assistance for	persons with disabilities:	
	Contact Mary De	eYoung	
Date of intended adoption: March 16, 2005 Time: 9:30 a.m. (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	by Tuesday, Marc	ch 15, 2005	
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including an		3203 or (360) 664-1133	
The primary purpose of the Supplemental CR-102 is to clarify the intent of the proposed effective dates in WAC 480-93-100(5) and WAC 480-93-188(3) and to change the definition of "Business District." Comments received from stakeholders after the proposed rules were published on June 30, 2004, indicate that language concerning the effective dates of these two rules was not clear. In addition, the Supplemental CR-102 provides minor clarifying changes to various rules in chapter 480-93 WAC. <i>A more detailed description of the substantive changes to the proposed rules is set forth in Attachment A to this form.</i> Reasons supporting proposal: The proposed rules are necessary and reasonable to ensure the safety of gas pipeline operations and to promote safety for the citizens of Washington state from the hazards of gas pipeline operations. In addition, a review of the existing rules and the Commission's experience in inspecting pipeline facilities in the state has shown a need for clarification of rules, consistency in practices among companies, and definition of terms used in federal and state rules. Some of the proposed rules apply more stringent requirements than existing federal rules to address concerns the Commission has identified in its experience in inspecting pipeline facilities. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.01.040, RCW Statute being implemented: N/A			
80.04.160, and RCW 80.28.210.	Statute being in	mpiementeu. N/A	
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: X No Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No	Fil	CODE REVISER USE ONLY led with the Code Reviser's Office January 5, 2005, 11:08 a.m.	
DATE		WSR 05-02-096	
January 5, 2005			
NAME (type or print) Carole J. Washburn			
SIGNATURE			
TITLE			
Executive Secretary			

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, a matters:	as to statutory language, implementation, enforce	ment, and fiscal
None		
Name of proponent: Washington Utilities and Trans	sportation Commission	☐ Private
		X Governmental
Name of agency personnel responsible for:		
Name	Office Location	Phone
DraftingSondra Walsh, Senior Policy Strategist	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1286
ImplementationCarole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary,	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1174
EnforcementCarole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary,	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1174
Has a small business economic impact statemen	nt been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?	
X Yes. A copy of the SBEIS was attached as Attachn proposal in this Supplemental CR-102 would alter the resemble of the Statement may be obtained Name: Washington Utilities and Transport Address: Records Center, Docket No. UG 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250 phone (360) 664-1286 fax (360) 664-1150 e-mail swalsh@wutc.wa.gov No. Explain why no statement was prepared.	d by contacting: tation Commission 6-011073	es contained in the
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34	4.05.328?	
☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may Name: Address:	y be obtained by contacting:	
phone () fax () e-mail		
X No: Please explain: The Commission is not any a	agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies.	

ATTACHMENT A TO SUPPLEMENTAL CR-102 WUTC Rulemaking Docket No. UG-011073

NOTE: This attachment summarizes, by rule, the substantive changes now being proposed to the proposed rules in chapter 480-93 WAC that were published at WSR # 04-15-141:

WAC 480-93-005:	The supplemental proposal would modify the proposed rule by changing the definition
	of the term "Business District" and changes the term "Building of Public Assembly" to
	"High Occupancy Structure or Area."

WAC 480-93-015: Clarifies the language pertaining to calibration of instruments used for leak surveying. WAC 480-93-020: Section (1)(a)(ii) and section (1)(b)(ii) are redrafted to reflect the change in definition from "Building of Public Assembly" to "High Occupancy Structure or Area" and rewritten for clarity.

WAC 480-93-080: Section (2)(c) is redrafted to clarify the tracking of production fuses.

WAC 480-93-100: Section (5) is modified to clarify the intent to require compliance with the rule after a one-year phase-in period.

WAC 480-93-110: Section (1) of the original proposal is duplicative of requirements in CFR 49 Part 192, and has been deleted. The Commission has determined that the provisions of Section (7) of the original proposal are no longer necessary and the section has also been deleted.

WAC 480-93-160: Clarifies that certain reports must be filed with the Commission.

WAC 480-93-188: Section (7) is modified to clarify the intent to require compliance with the rule after a two-year phase-in period.