

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON  
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

In the Matter of the Investigation of  ASD VENTURES INC., D/B/A COLLEGE HUNKS HAULING JUNK & MOVING,  For Compliance with WAC 480-15-560 and WAC 480-15-570	DOCKETS TV-200746 and TV-200745 ( <i>Consolidated</i> )  ORDER 01
In the Matter of the Penalty Assessment against  ASD VENTURES INC., D/B/A COLLEGE HUNKS HAULING JUNK & MOVING,  in the amount of \$9,800	CONSOLIDATING DOCKETS; APPROVING SAFETY MANAGEMENT PLAN; UPGRADING SAFETY RATING; IMPOSING AND SUSPENDING PENALTIES

**BACKGROUND**

- 1 On August 26, 2020, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) issued a Notice of Intent to Cancel and Notice of Brief Adjudicative Proceeding; Setting Time for Oral Statements in the Matter of the Investigation of ASD Ventures Inc., d/b/a College Hunks Hauling Junk and Moving, (ASD Ventures or Company) for Compliance with Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-15-560 and 480-15-570 (Notice).
- 2 The Notice explained that Commission staff (Staff) conducted a compliance review of ASD Ventures' operations on August 15, 2020, and cited the Company for 221 violations of federal and state safety regulations, which resulted in a proposed "unsatisfactory" safety rating. Based on its review, Staff recommends the Commission cancel ASD Ventures' household goods carrier's permit unless the Company obtains Commission approval of a safety management plan. The Notice directed ASD Ventures to file a proposed safety management plan by September 23, 2020. The Commission also set a brief adjudicative proceeding (BAP) for October 7, 2020, at 1:30 p.m. to determine whether the Commission should cancel ASD Ventures' household goods carrier's permit.

**Pursuant to RCW 80.01.060(3)  
This packet is the final  
Order in this docket.**

- 3 On August 20, 2020, the Commission assessed a \$9,800 penalty (Penalty Assessment) against ASD Ventures for the safety violations discovered during Staff’s compliance review.<sup>1</sup> The Penalty Assessment includes:
- A \$2,200 penalty for 22 violations of WAC 480-15-555 for failing to complete a criminal background check for every person the carrier intends to hire.
  - A \$7,300 penalty for 73 violations of 49 C.F.R. § 391.45(a) for using a driver not medically examined and certified.
  - A \$100 penalty for four violations of 49 C.F.R. § 391.51(a) for failing to maintain a driver qualification file for each driver employed.
  - A \$100 penalty for 120 violations of 49 C.F.R. § 395.8(a)(1) for failing to require drivers to prepare records of duty status.
  - A \$100 penalty for two violation of 49 C.F.R. § 396.3(b) for failing to keep minimum records of inspection and vehicle maintenance.
- 4 On September 1, 2020, ASD Ventures filed with the Commission a request for mitigation of the penalty based on the written information provided and waived its right to a hearing in Docket TV-200745.
- 5 On October 5, 2020, ASD Ventures submitted a proposed safety management plan. On October 6, 2020, Staff filed with the Commission its evaluation of the Company’s safety management plan and penalty recommendation (Evaluation).
- 6 Staff determined, based on its review of the ASD Ventures’ proposed plan, that the Company took all of the required steps to bring its safety operations into compliance with Commission regulations. Staff recommends that the Commission upgrade the Company’s safety rating to “conditional” and extend its provisional period until such a time that the Company achieves a satisfactory safety rating. Staff further recommends that Dockets TV-200745 and TV-200746 be consolidated.
- 7 Staff also recommends that the Commission assess a reduced penalty of \$5,050. Finally, Staff recommends that \$3,000 of the penalty be suspended for a period of two years, and then waived, subject to the conditions that: (1) Staff conducts a follow-up safety investigation in approximately six months, (2) ASD Ventures does not incur any repeat

---

<sup>1</sup> The Penalty Assessment cites violations of WAC 480-15-560 and WAC 480-15-570, which adopt by reference sections of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.). Accordingly, Commission safety regulations with parallel federal rules are hereinafter referenced only by the applicable provision of Title 49 C.F.R.

violations of critical regulations, and (3) the Company pays the \$2,050 portion of the penalty that is not suspended.

- 8 On October 5, 2020, Staff sent an email to the presiding officer representing that the Company waives its right to a hearing in Docket TV-200746 and recommending that the brief adjudicative proceeding be cancelled. On October 6, 2020, the Commission issued a Notice cancelling the October 7, 2020, hearing and informing the parties that the Commission would enter an order based on the parties' written submissions.

## DISCUSSION AND DECISION

### 1. Consolidation

- 9 Because the violations cited in Staff's investigation gave rise to the enforcement actions taken in both dockets, the Commission exercises its discretion to consolidate these proceedings. Accordingly, Docket TV-200746 and Docket TV-200745 are consolidated.

### 2. Safety Rating

- 10 Washington Law requires household goods carriers to comply with federal safety requirements and undergo routine safety inspections. Staff's compliance review of ASD Ventures found 221 violations of Commission safety regulations; all of the violations were "critical," which resulted in a proposed "unsatisfactory" safety rating. Violations classified as "critical" are indicative of a breakdown in a carrier's management controls. Patterns of noncompliance with a critical regulation are quantitatively linked to inadequate safety management controls and usually higher-than-average accident rates.
- 11 On October 5, 2020, the Company submitted its proposed safety management plan and requested the Commission upgrade its safety rating to "conditional." Staff determined that ASD Ventures' safety management plan addresses each violation, identifies how each violation occurred, describes the steps taken to correct each violation, and describes the controls put in place to ensure compliance going forward. Staff concludes that ASD Ventures' safety management plan is acceptable and satisfies the legal requirements of 49 C.F.R. Part 385. We agree.
- 12 Based on Staff's Evaluation, the Commission finds that the Company has achieved compliance with WAC 480-15 by correcting the violations that led to the proposed "unsatisfactory" safety rating. Accordingly, the Commission agrees with Staff's recommendation that the Company's safety rating should be upgraded to "conditional."

### 3. Penalty

- 13 Violations discovered during safety inspections are subject to penalties of \$100 per violation.<sup>2</sup> In some cases, Commission requirements are so fundamental to safe operations that the Commission will issue penalties for first-time violations.<sup>3</sup> Critical violations meet this standard.<sup>4</sup>
- 14 The Commission considers several factors when entertaining a request for mitigation, including whether the company introduces new information that may not have been considered in setting the assessed penalty amount, or explains other circumstances that convince the Commission that a lesser penalty will be equally or more effective in ensuring the company's compliance.<sup>5</sup>
- 15 Here, Staff recommends the Commission assess a reduced penalty of \$5,050. We agree with Staff's recommendation. The Company provided a comprehensive safety management plan that details the steps it has taken to bring its operations into compliance with applicable regulations. The safety management plan includes documentation of driver qualifications, hours of service records, vehicle maintenance, insurance, and criminal background check information. Accordingly, we are satisfied that ASD Ventures has cured the violations that gave rise to the Penalty Assessment and has put adequate controls in place to prevent the violations from reoccurring.
- 16 **Suspended Penalty.** The Commission considers several factors in determining whether to suspend a portion of a penalty, including whether it is a first-time penalty for the same or similar violations, and whether the company has taken specific actions to remedy the violations and avoid the same or similar violations in the future, such as purchasing new technology, making system changes, or training company personnel.<sup>6</sup> Another factor we consider is whether the company agrees to a specific compliance plan that will guarantee future compliance in exchange for suspended penalties.<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> See RCW 80.04.405.

<sup>3</sup> Docket A-120061, Enforcement Policy for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ¶12 (Jan. 7, 2013) (Enforcement Policy).

<sup>4</sup> 49 C.F.R. § 385, Appendix B.

<sup>5</sup> Enforcement Policy ¶19.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at ¶20.

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

- 17 In this case, ASD Ventures has taken action to prevent each of the violations from reoccurring. In any enforcement proceeding, the Commission's goal is to obtain compliance, not create an insurmountable financial burden for a small business. We additionally recognize that the industry is facing unprecedented economic conditions due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 18 Suspending a portion of the penalty with the conditions proposed by Staff will both increase compliance and provide a strong incentive to avoid violations in the future. Accordingly, we agree with Staff's recommendation and suspend a \$3,000 portion of the penalty for a period of two years, and then waive it, subject to the following conditions:
- 1) Staff conducts a follow-up safety investigation approximately six months from the date of this Order;
  - 2) ASD Ventures does not incur any repeat violations of critical regulations, and;
  - 3) The Company pays the \$2,050 portion of the penalty that is not suspended.

### FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- 19 (1) The Commission is an agency of the State of Washington, vested by statute with authority to regulate rates, rules, regulations, practices, and accounts of public service companies, including common carriers such as household goods carriers, and has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this proceeding.
- 20 (2) ASD Ventures is a household goods carrier subject to Commission regulation.
- 21 (3) ASD Ventures cured the deficiencies that led to Staff's recommendation to cancel the Company's household goods permit. Accordingly, ASD Ventures' safety rating should be upgraded to "conditional."
- 22 (4) ASD Ventures committed 221 critical violations of WAC 480-15 and Title 49 C.F.R.
- 23 (5) ASD Ventures does not dispute that the violations occurred.
- 24 (6) ASD Ventures should be penalized \$5,050 for 221 critical violations of WAC 480-15 and Title 49 C.F.R. The Commission should suspend a \$3,000 portion of

the penalty for two years, and then waive it, subject to the conditions set out in paragraph 18, above.

**ORDER**

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

- 25 (1) The Commission approves ASD Ventures Inc., d/b/a College Hunks Hauling Junk and Moving's safety management plan.
- 26 (2) ASD Ventures Inc., d/b/a College Hunks Hauling Junk and Moving's safety rating is upgraded to "conditional."
- 27 (3) The Commission assesses a \$5,050 penalty against ASD Ventures Inc., d/b/a College Hunks Hauling Junk and Moving. The Commission suspends a \$3,000 portion of the penalty for a period of two years, and then waives it, subject to the conditions set out in paragraph 18, above.
- 28 (4) The \$2,050 portion of the penalty that is not suspended is due and payable within 10 days of the effective date of this Order.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective October 12, 2020.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

*/s/ Samantha Doyle*  
SAMANTHA DOYLE  
Administrative Law Judge

**Service Date: October 12, 2020**

## **NOTICE TO PARTIES**

This is an Initial Order. The action proposed in this Initial Order is not yet effective. If you disagree with this Initial Order and want the Commission to consider your comments, you must take specific action within the time limits outlined below. If you agree with this Initial Order and you would like the Order to become final before the time limits expire, you may send a letter to the Commission waiving your right to petition for administrative review.

WAC 480-07-610(7) provides that any party to this proceeding has 21 days after service of this initial order to file a petition for administrative review (Petition). Section (7)(b) of the rule identifies what you must include in any Petition as well as other requirements for a Petition. WAC 480-07-610(7)(c) states that any party may file a response to a Petition within 7 days after service of the Petition.

WAC 480-07-830 provides that before the Commission enters a final order any party may file a petition to reopen a contested proceeding to permit receipt of evidence that is essential to a decision, but unavailable and not reasonably discoverable at the time of hearing, or for other good and sufficient cause. The Commission will give other parties in the proceeding an opportunity to respond to a motion to reopen the record, unless the Commission determines that it can rule on the motion without hearing from the other parties.

WAC 480-07-610(9) provides that an Initial Order will become final without further Commission action if no party seeks administrative review of the Initial Order and if the Commission does not exercise administrative review on its own motion.

Any Petition or response must be electronically filed through the Commission's web portal, as required by WAC 480-07-140(5).