#### **POST INSPECTION MEMORANDUM**

**Inspector: Al Jones/WUTC** 

Reviewed: Joe Subsits/WUTC 4/5/2012

Peer Reviewed: RR

Follow-Up Enforcement: No Violation

PCP\* PCO\* NOA WL LOC

Director Approval\* 12/8/12

**Date:** April 4, 2012

**Operator Inspected:** 

**OPID: 15014** 

**Region:** Western

Gas Transmission Northwest Corporation (GTN)

U.S. Western Pipe Region

1400 SW 5<sup>th</sup> Ave

Suite 900

Portland, OR 97201

RECEIVED

JAN 08 2013

**Unit Address:** 

Rosalia District

201 West North River Drive

Spokane, WA 99201

State of Washington UTC

Pipeline Safety Program

Unit Inspected:

Rosalia District

**Unit ID:** 66685

**Unit Type:** 

Interstate Natural Gas

**Inspection Type:** 

Standard Inspection Field Follow-Up I -05

SPECIALIZED (Follow-Lp) Eas Field imp

**Record Location: Inspection Dates:** 

Spokane, WA

March 27-30, 2012

AFOD: Four <u>1-05 2003</u>, <u>107 2 005</u> SMART Activity Number: 141592 142178

**Operator Contact:** Kurt Smith, Pipe Regulatory Specialist

**Phone:** (509) 533-2831

Fax: (509) 546-8825

**Emergency:** (800) 447-8066

#### **Unit Description:**

The Rosalia District is located in Eastern Washington in Spokane and Whitman Counties; extending south from the Idaho/Washington border to the Snake River crossing. The pipeline is approximately 100 miles in length. The transmission lines are primarily in Class-1 Location, except the Spokane Valley with about 14 miles of Class-2 Location and about 7 miles of Class-3 Location. The District includes a compressor station at Rosalia, various main line block valves, CP test sites, and rectifier stations.

#### **Facilities Inspected:**

The portion of the District inspected included the 36-inch (A-Line) and 42-inch (B-Line) diameter pipelines south of the Spokane Gate Station to the Spokane Valley (MP 113.6). The Field Data Report includes native values as a follow-up to the November 2011standard

inspection. On March 26, 2012 the local rectifiers were turned off and native values were taken on March 29, 2012. See Exhibit-A for annual CP data from 2007 to 2011 provided by Rich Christman, GTN's Corrosion Specialist. The table includes the native value for MP 113.6.

#### **Persons Interviewed:**

Kurt Smith Pipe Regulatory Specialist (509) 533-2832 Rich Christman Corrosion Specialist (208) 265-2164 James Olson Multi Skills Technician (509) 523-4211

#### **Probable Violations/Concerns:**

None.

Follow up on the history of prior offenses that are still open:

	(	Prior Offenses (for the past 5 years)
CPF#	What type of open enforcement action(s)?	Status of the regulations(s) violated (Reoccurrence Offenses, Implement a NOA Revision, Completion of PCO or CO, and etc)

#### **Recommendations:**

The NOPV for the 2011 inspection has been remediated with updated 2008 native survey. Historical CP data at MP 113.6 has been in compliance with CFR 49, Part 129. Maintain normal inspection cycle.

#### **Comments:**

During the 2011 standard inspection, the CP off values for the A and B lines at MP 110.1 and MP 110.8 were less than -850 vDC on and less than 100 mv shift criteria with respect to the 2006 native survey. Since the inspection, GTN has updated their database to 2008 native values. See Exhibit-A, for annual survey data from 2007 to 2011. In summary, all CP values at MP 110.1 and 110.8 test stations were in compliance with 100mv shift criteria using the 2008 native values, except 2008 data.

Also, Exhibit-A includes the annual CP data for test station at MP 113.6 from 2007 to 2011. In summary, MP 113.6 test station was in compliance with -850 my on criteria.

#### **Attachments:**

PHMSA Form 16 - Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection

Field Data Collection Form

Exhibit A – 2007-2011 CP Data

Exhibit B – Beck Rd Rectifier Data 2007-2011

Exhibit C – Baker Rd-Spangle Rectifier Data 2007-2011

Version Date: 5/5/08

# US Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety

## Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection 49 CFR Subparts 192.911, 192.921, 192.933, & 192.935

#### General Notes:

- 1. This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
- 2. This is a two part inspection form:
  - i. A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
  - ii. A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
- 3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either "Satisfactory"; "Unsatisfactory"; or Not Checked ("N/C"). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

Operator Inspected:

Gas Transmission Northwest Corporation (GTN)

Op ID:

15014

Perform Activity	Activity	Activity Description
(denoted by mark)	Number	
	1A	In-Line Inspection
	1B	Hydrostatic Pressure Testing
	1C	Direct Assessment Technologies
	1D	Other Assessment Technologies
	2A	Remedial Actions
	2B	Remediation – Implementation
	3A	Preventive & Mitigative – additional measures evaluated for HCAs
	3B	Preventive & Mitigative – automatic shut-off valves
	4A	Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations
	4B	Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs
X	4C	Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection
		System
X	4D	Field inspection for general system characteristics
	attachment	Anomaly Evaluation Report
	attachment	Anomaly Repair Report

#### **Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection Form**

Name of Operator:

Gas Transmission Northwest Corporation (GTN)

Headquarters Address:

1400 SW 5th Ave

Suite 900

Portland, OR 97201

Company Official:

Ken Leier, Regional Director

Phone Number:

509-533-2831

Fax Number:

509-533-2825

**Operator ID:** 

15014

Persons Interviewed	Title	Phone No.	E-Mail
Kurt Smith	Compliance Specialist Primary Contact	509-546-8865	kurt_smith@transcanada.com
James Olson	Multi-Skills Technician / Corrosion Tech	509-533-4311	James_olson@transcanada.com
Rich Christman	Corrosion Specialist	208-304-5700	Rich_christman@transcanada.com

OPS/State Representative(s): Al Jones/WUTC

Date(s) of Inspection: March 27-30, 2012

Inspector Signature: Al Jones

Date: April 4, 2012

Pipeline Segment Descriptions: [note: Description of the Pipeline Segment Inspected as part of this field verification. (If information is available, include the pipe size, wall thickness, grade, seam type, coating type, length, normal operating pressure, MAOP, %SMYS, HCA locations, class locations, and Pipeline Segment boundaries.)]

The portion of the Rosalia District inspected included the 36-inch (A-Line) and 42-inch (B-Line) diameter pipelines south of the Spokane Gate Station to the Spokane Valley (MP 113.6). The Field Data Report includes native values as a follow-up to the November 2011standard inspection. On March 26, 2012 the local rectifiers were turned off and native values were taken on March 29, 2012. See Exhibit-A for annual CP data from 2007 to 2011 provided by Rich Christman, GTN's Corrosion Specialist. Also, the table includes the native value for MP 113.6.

Site Location of field activities: [note: Describe the portion of the pipeline segment reviewed during the field verification, i.e. milepost/stations/valves/pipe-to-soil readings/river crossings/etc. In addition, a brief description and case number of the follow up items in any PHMSA compliance action or consent agreement that required field verification. Note: Complete pages 8 & 9 as appropriate.]

The follow-up inspection site includes the rectifier at MP 105.7 on Beck Rd. in Idaho, native CP data at MP 110.2, MP 110.8, and MP 113.6.

#### Summary:

The NOPV for the 2011 inspection has been remediated with updated 2008 native survey. In summary, all CP values at MP 110.1 and 110.8 test stations were in compliance with 100mv shift criteria using the 2008 native values, except 2008 data. And at MP 113.6 the test station was in compliance with -850 mv on criteria. See Exhibit-A for annual CP data from 2007 to 2011 and Exhibit-B and Exhibit-C for rectifier history from 2007 to 2011.

#### Findings:

During the 2011 standard inspection the CP values for the A and B lines at MP 110.1 and MP 110.8 were less than -850 vDC on and less than 100 mv shift criteria with respect to the 2006 native survey. Since the inspection, GTN has updated their database to 2008 native values. See Exhibit-A, for annual survey data from 2007 to 2011.

#### **Key Documents Reviewed:**

Document Title	Document No.	Rev. No	Date
Exhibit-A CP data 2007-2011			3/28/2012
Exhibit-B Beck Rd Rectifier data 2007-2011			3/28/2012
Exhibit-C Baker Rd Rectifier data 2007-2011		·····	3/28/2012

## Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments

1A. In-Line Inspection	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that Operator's O&M and IMP procedural		,		
requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for			X	
performance of ILI were followed.				
Verify Operator's ILI procedural requirements were fol	lowed (e.g.	operation of t	rap	
for launching and receiving of pig, operational control of			•	
Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before ru			ure	
tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being p				
Verify ILI complied with Operator's procedural require				
successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limits				
coverage), as appropriate.	. 1	-		
Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, D	eformation	). Document		
other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as a		,		
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applical		res for prepari	ing,	
running and monitoring the pipeline for ILI tools include				
(e.g.: tool speeds, pipe cleanliness, operation of tool ser				
calibration requirements), as appropriate.	,			[Note: Add location specific
Other:				information, as appropriate.]
		······································		
1B. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with			$\mathbf{x}$	
Part 192 Subpart J requirements.	i			
Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test parameters and results. Verify test was performed without leakage and in compliance with Part 192 Subpart J				
, ,	with Part 19	2 Subpart J		
requirements.				
Review test procedures and records and verify test acce				
Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test fa	ilures, as ap	propriate.		
Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equip	ment used, a	as appropriate	·.	
Verify that the baseline assessment is conducted in a ma				
environmental and safety risks (reference §192.919(e) a				
Other:				
1C. Direct Assessment Technologies	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Direct Assessment		1	v	
Technology" complied with Part 192.923			X	
Review documentation of Operator's application of "Di	rect Assess	ment		
Technology", if available. Verify compliance with Part				
procedural requirements, as applicable.				
Verify that appropriate tests and/or inspections are bein	g performed	d and appropr	iate	
data is being collected, as appropriate.				
Other.				
1D. Other Assessment Technologies	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Other Assessment				
Technology" complied with Operator's requirements,			X	
that appropriate notifications had been submitted to			^	
PHMSA, and that appropriate data was collected.				
Review documentation of notification to PHMSA of O				
Assessment Technology", if available. Verify compliant				
requirements. If documentation of notification to PHM				
of "Other Assessment Technology" is available, verify	performanc	e of assessme	nt	
within parameters originally submitted to PHMSA.				
Verify that appropriate tests are being performed and a	opropriate d	ata is being		
collected, as appropriate.				
Other.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

## Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies

2A. Remedial Actions – Process	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that remedial actions complied with the			X	
Operator's procedural requirements.			<b>A</b>	
Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation				
Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data A			ý	
compliance with Operator's O&M Manual and Part 192	requiremen	nts.		
Verify that Operator's procedures were followed in loca	ting and ex	posing the		
anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line local	ation, identi	fying		
approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excava	tion, coatin	g removal).		
		-		
Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the	anomaly, de	termining the	!	
severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining stre	ngth of the	pipe. Review	the	
class location factor and failure pressure ratio used by C				Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
of anomaly.	•	J	•	soil at dig site (if available):
				On Potential:mV
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to and kno	wledge of a	pplicable		Off Potential:mV
procedures.	J	* *		
				[Note: Add location specific information
Other:				and note whether CP readings were from
				the surface or from the pipe following
				exposure, as appropriate.]
2B. Remediation - Implementation	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that the operator has adequately implemented	<u> </u>			
its remediation process and procedures to effectively			*7	
remediate conditions identified through integrity			X	
assessments or information analysis.				
If documentation is available, verify that repairs were co	ompleted in	accordance v	vith	
the operator's prioritized schedule and within the time f				
§192.933(d).				
Review any documentation for this inspection site for a	n immediate	e repair condit	tion	
(§192.933(d)(1)) where operating pressure was reduced	or the pipe	line was		
shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition that	it temporary	operating		
pressure was determined in accordance with the require	ments in §1	92.933(a) or,	if	
not applicable, the operator should provide an engineer	ng basis jus	stifying the		
amount of pressure reduction.				
Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with	§192.103, §	192.111,		
§192.713, §192.717, §192.719, §192.933 and the Opera	itor's O&M	Manual, as		
appropriate. If welding is performed, verify a qualified	welding pr	ocedure and		
qualified welders are used to perform repairs. If compo	site repair i	nethods are u	sed,	
verify that a method approved by the Operator is used,	procedures	are followed,	and	Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
qualified personnel perform the repair.				soil at dig site (if available):
				On Potential:mV
Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (S	ee Part 4 of	this form -		Off Potential:mV
"Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Pr				
appropriate.	-			[Note: Add location specific information
				and note whether CP readings were from
Other:	<del></del>			the surface or from the pipe following
				exposure, as appropriate.]

## Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions

24 DOMAN	10	T11	L NUC	I N.
3A. P&M Measures for Third Party Damage	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Identify additional measures evaluated for the HCA section of the pipeline and facilities.			X	
Verify that P & M measures regarding threats due to thi implemented: [§192.915(c), §192.935(b)(1)(iv)]:	rd party dai	nage are bein	g g	
Confirm the use of qualified personnel for marking, local of known excavation work, as appropriate.	ating, and d	irect supervis	ion	
Confirm the use of qualified personnel for monitoring of covered pipeline segments by pipeline personnel, as app		ns conducted	on	
Other:			-	
				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
3B. Installed Automatic Shut-off Valves (Protocol H.07)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions	<b>-</b>		X	
implemented by Operator.		<u> </u>	Λ	
Document that additional measures evaluated by the opsuch as, installing Automatic Shut-off Valves or Remo computerized monitoring and leak detection systems, repipe of heavier wall thickness, providing additional train response procedures, conducting drills with local emerg implementing additional inspection and maintenance proverify that the operator has a process to decide if autom remote control valves represent an efficient means of act potentially affected high consequence areas. [§192.935]	te Control Veplacing pip pining to pers gency responders, as natic shut-of dding protect	Valves, installing e segments who onnel on onders and appropriate of valves or extion to	ing ith	
Verify operation of installed remote control valve by reinspection/remote control records for partially opening appropriate.	O 1			
Other:				
				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]

## Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)

A. Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations   Sainhadory   Unsainhadory   Notes:					
Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator.  Verify that the operator's integrity management program includes accurate and updated system maps or other suitably detailed means documenting the pipeline segment locations that are located in high consequence areas, as appropriate.  [8] 192 905(a)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms used to document new information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground patrols are being completed by field personnel to communicate new developments that may impact high consequence areas or hat may create new high consequence areas to IM personnel, as appropriate, [8] 192 905(c)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by [192,013 and §192,905.]  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs  Satisfaciony Unsatisfaciony  AC. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general  AC. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general and phydrostatic pressure test to nouse the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to nouse the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to nouse the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to nouse the integrity of the pipeline will be applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure lest to nouse the integrity of the pipeline will be applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline will be applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline will be applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline will b	AA Field Inspection for Varification of HCA Locations	Satisfactory	I Incatic factory	N/C	Notes
Utilize NPMS and Operator maps, as appropriate.  Verify that the operator's integrity management program includes accurate and updated system maps or other suitably detailed means documenting the pipeline segment locations that are located in high consequence areas, as appropriate.  [8] 192-905(a)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms used to document new information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground patrols are being completed by field personnel to communicate new developments that may impact high consequence areas or that may create new high consequence areas to IM personnel, as appropriate. [8] 192-905(c)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs  Satisfactory  Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general X adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier output values for 2007 through 2011. Field inspection for general system characteristics  AD. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   NC   Notes:  Proceedings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available):  On Potential: mV   Notes: Add location specific information and note whether CP readings wer		Satisfactory	Ulisatistactory		Notes.
Verify that the operator's integrity management program includes accurate and updated system maps or other suitably decided means documenting the pipeline segment locations that are located in high consequence areas, as appropriate.  [§192.05(3a)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms used to document new information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground patrols are being completed by field personnel to communicate new developments that may impact high consequence areas or that may create new high consequence areas to IM personnel, as appropriate. [§192.05(c)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by §192.613 and §192.005.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs Satisfactory Unsatisfactory International Properties of the actions taken by the operator.  Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  AC. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier cutput values for 2007 through 2011. Field checked native pipe-to-soil and give it is available.  On Potential: mV  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier cutput values for 2007 through 2011. Field checked native pipe-to-soil and note whether CP readings were from a				X	
updated system maps or other suitably detailed means documenting the pipeline segment locations that are located in high consequence areas, as appropriate. [§192.905(a)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms used to document new information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground patrols are being completed by field personnel to communicate new developments that may impact high consequence areas or that may create new high consequence areas to 1M personnel, as appropriate. [§192.905(c)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs   Satisfactory   Notes:   No	Verify that the operator's integrity management program	n includes a	ccurate and	·	
Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms used to document new information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground patrols are being completed by field personnel to communicate new developments that may impact high consequence areas or that may create new high consequence areas to IM personnel, as appropriate. [§192.905(c)]   Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.    4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	updated system maps or other suitably detailed means d	ocumenting	g the pipeline		
Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms used to document new information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground patrols are being completed by field personnel to communicate new developments that may impact high consequence areas or that may create new high consequence areas to IM personnel, as appropriate. [\$192.05(c)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by \$192.613 and \$192.905.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs Satisfactory Unsatisfactory NC Verify repair areas, IL1 verification sites, etc. X Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System Smust be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  Protection for general system characteristics are operating correctly, if possible.  An in the deficiency of the pipeline right-of-way and line markers between MP 105.7 and MP 110.8.  Protection for general system characteristics are operating correctly, if possible.  Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at digital d		areas, as ap	propriate.		
information from one-calls, surveys, serial & ground patrols are being completed by field personnel to communicate new developments that may impact high consequence areas or that may create new high consequence areas to IM personnel, as appropriate. [§192.905(c)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   NC   Verify repair areas, LLI verification sites, etc.   X   Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]  4C. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   NC   Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general   X   adequacy.   The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   Nr.C   Notes:   Nr.C   Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available).   On Potential:   mV   Off Potential:   Nr.C   Notes:   No		1, 1			
field personnel to communicate new developments that may impact high consequence areas or that may create new high consequence areas or that may create new high consequence areas to the menus appropriate. [8192.905(c)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by \$192.613 and \$192.905.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs  Satisfactory  Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.  Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general  Adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if sposible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  AD. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection for general system characteristics  Authority to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Authority to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Authority to ensure minimum code requirem				l br	
consequence areas or that may create new high consequence areas to IM personnel, as appropriate. [§192.905(c)]  Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   NC   Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.   X   X    Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   NC   In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection GCP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test: 0 ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test:  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics   Note: Add location specific information and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  4D. Field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general equirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's paparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.				ı by	
Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms to confirm that new HCAs and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs  4B. Field Inspection for Verification sites, etc.  Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  A Differential:  MV  Off Potential:				el.	
and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs  Satisfactory  Waterify repair areas, LL1 verification sites, etc.  Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general Addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  Possible the defection for general system characteristics  Another Preadings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.  Possible the defectiveness of the operator's IMP  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing. And In a suitable to the proper prop				,	
and class location changes are being identified through it's continuing surveillance program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.  4B. Field Inspection for Verification istes, etc.  Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general Addication system and phydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general Addication with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  Possible to the determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP  Through field inspection for general system characteristics  A possible to the pipeline registroof way and line markers between MP 105.7 and Implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspectio					
4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs  Absisfactory Unsatisfactory N/C  Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.  Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  About the complete of the comple					
AB. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   N/C   X   Notes:		it's continu	ing surveillan	ce	as appropriate.]
Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.   Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.   Satisfactory and the actions taken by the operator.   Notes:    Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   N/C	program as required by §192.013 and §192.905.				<u></u>
Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.  4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure. A appropriate.  4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.	4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
AC. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  AD. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					
4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection System  In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general X adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records for CP readings and rectifier output values for 2007 through 2011. Field checked native pipe-to-soil values at MP 110.2, MP 110.8, and MP 113.6.  Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available):  On Potential:  mV  Off Potential:  Note: Add location specific information and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  **A Satisfactory** NIC**  **A Satis		as part of t	his field activ	ity	
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure. as appropriate.]  AD. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Satisfactory Unsatisfactory N/C  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.	and the actions taken by the operator.				as appropriate.j
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure. as appropriate.]  AD. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Satisfactory Unsatisfactory N/C  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					<u> </u>
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier count and not whether CP readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available):  Note: Add location specific information and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  AD. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of the effectiveness of the operator's integrity and safe operation of the effectiveness of the operator's integrity and safe operation of the effectiveness of the operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of the effective	4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the	Satisfactor	Lincotisfactory	NIC	Notes:
Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.  The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	
Add location readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available):  On Potential:  mrV  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  Through field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					
The operator should review the CP system performance in conjunction with a hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  Through field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.		X			
hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment addressed applicable threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.    Application of the company of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.   Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.      Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available):   On Potential:		in conjunc	tion with a	1	
threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator reviewed the CP system performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure test?  Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.    Absolute the condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.    Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.    Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.    Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					
Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					
code requirements are being met, if available.  Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.    Note: Add location specific information and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]    Notes: Through field inspection for general system characteristics   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   N/C	performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressur	e test?	-		
Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.		survey to e	nsure minimu	m	
Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.	code requirements are being met, if available.				soil at dig site (if available):
Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.  4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					Off Potential: mV
minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.    Ab. Field inspection for general system characteristics	Review results of random field CP readings performed	during this	activity to ens	ure	on rotontain.
## the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  ### 4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   N/C    Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP   X   Since    Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					[Note: Add location specific information
4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory   N/C   Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP   X	checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are open	ating correc	ctly, if possibl	e.	
4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics  Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					
Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					exposure, as appropriate.
pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP implementation.  Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.					
Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.		X			
Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.			1		
requirements are being met, as appropriate.  Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.		ure minimi	ım code	L	111 110.0.
their system, as appropriate.  Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.		•			
Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and Emergency call-in number on marker posts.		tegrity and	safe operation	of	
marker posts.					
		mergency c	all-in number	on	
Ouici.		,,,,,			
	Ouici.	<del></del>		<del></del>	<u> </u>

## Anomaly Evaluation Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Pipeline Syste	em and Line Pipe Information
Operator (OpID and System Name):	
Unit ID (Pipeline Name)	
Pipe Manufacturer and Year:	Seam Type and Orientation:
Pipe Nominal OD (inch):	Depth of Cover:
Pipe Nominal Wall thickness (inch):	Coating Type and Condition:
Grade of Pipe:	MAOP:
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Reported Information
ILI Technology (e.g., Vendor, Tools):	
Anomaly Type (e.g., Mechanical, Metal Loss	3):
Is anomaly in a segment that can affect an Ho	
Date of Tool Run (MM/DD/YY):	Date of Inspection Report (MM/DD/YY):
Date of "Discovery of Anomaly" (MM/DD/)	
Type of "Condition" (e.g.; Immediate; 60-day	
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation (O'clock position):
Anomaly Details: Length (in):	Width (in): Depth (in):
Anomaly Log Distance (ft):	Distance from Upstream weld (ft):
Length of joint(s) of pipe in which anomaly i	
Anomaly Di	g Site Information Summary
Date of Anomaly Dig (MM/DD/YY):	
Location Information (describe or attach map	0):
Mile Post Number:	Distance from A/G Reference (ft):
Distance from Upstream weld (ft):	
GPS Readings (if available) Longitude:	Latitude:
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation:
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is f	ound (ft):
For Mec	hanical Damage Anomaly
Damage Type (e.g., original construction, pla	
	Width (in): Depth (in):
Near a weld? (Yes / No):	
Gouge or metal loss associated with dent? (Y	'es / No): Are multiple dents present? (Yes / No):
Did operator perform additional NDE to eval	uate presence of cracks in dent? (Yes / No):
Cracks associated with dent? (Yes / No):	
For Corre	osion Metal Loss Anomaly
Anomaly Type (e.g., pitting, general):	v .
	Width (in): Max. Depth (in):
Remaining minimum wall thickness (in):	Maximum % Wall Loss measurement(%):
Safe pressure calculation (psi), as appropriate	
For "Ot	her Types" of Anomalies
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal loss,	
Length (in):	Width (in): Max. Depth (in):
Other Information, as appropriate:	•
Did operator perform additional NDE to eval	uate presence of cracks? (Yes / No):
Cracks present? (Yes / No):	

## Anomaly Repair Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Repair Information
Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes / No):
Was Operating Pressure Reduced per 192.933(a) requirements?
Was defect ground out to eliminate need for repair? (Yes / No):
If grinding used, complete the following for affected area:
Length (in): Width (in): Depth (in):
If NO repair of an anomaly for which RSTRENG/B31.G is applicable, were the Operator's RSTRENG/B31.G
calculations reviewed? (Yes / No):
If Repair made, complete the following:
Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, composite wrap)
Was defect ground out prior to making repair? (Yes / No):
Operating Pressure at the time of repair:
Length of Repair: Pipe re-coating material used:
Comments on Repair material, as appropriate (e.g., grade of steel, wall thickness):
Comments on Repair procedure, as appropriate (e.g., welded sleeve, composite wrap):
General Observations and Comments
Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the anomaly made? (Yes / No): (Include in report if available)
Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection readings taken? (Yes / No):
were pipe-to-son camodic protection readings taken: (1es / No).
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential: mV; Off Potential: mV
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential: mV; Off Potential: mV
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV  [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV  [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV  [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV  [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV  [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):  Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV  [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):  Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):  Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):  Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):  Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV [Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]  Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):  Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):

### Field Data Collection

(From 2011 Standard Inspection Docket #110390)

Company: Gas Transmission Northwest Corporation (GTN)

Unit: Rosalia District

Inspector: Al Jones, UTC Staff

#### Pipe-to-soil potential readings and other items

Please note:

The field data in this report are native values and a follow-up to the November 2011 standard inspection. The rectifiers were off the previous two days before collecting data. The A and B pipelines are 36 and 42-inch diameter, respectively. See Exhibit A for annual CP data from 2007 to 2011 provided by Rich Christman, GTN's Corrosion Specialist.

#### The data includes:

- MP 110.2 and MP 110.8 in response to a NOPV and

- MP 113.6 in response to PHMSA request for additional information.

Date	Location	Pipe (Volts DC)	Casing (Volts DC)	Comments
3/29/2012	MP 105.7 Rectifier	0		Located at Beck Rd., Idaho
3/29/2012	MP 110.2 A-Line B-Line	-0.689 -0.706		Native Potential ""
3/29/2012	MP 110.8 A-Line B-Line	-0.639 -0.671	-0.518	Native Potential " "
3/29/2012	MP 113.6 A-Line B-Line	-0.557 -0.545		Native Potential

B - Line																	
Name Plate Chainage	190M	NO eta logad tasM	8	OFF	Deta/Time	No	OFF	Date/Time	NO	OFF.	Date/Time	WO	OFF	Defa/Time	NO	OFF	Date/Time
	Recent			Potential		Potential	Potential		Polantia	Potentia		Potential Potentia				Potential	
5005202+110.100-TS			1,080.00		01-Sep-2007 00:00	808.00		01-Oct-2008 00:00 1,476.72	1,476.72		19-Sep-2009 21:31	1,475.10		18-Aug-2010 16:59	1,711.94		30-Aug-2011 15:48
	0.537	2012-02-08		975.00			598.00			1,261.26	19-Sep-2009 21:31		687.80	18-Aug-2010 16:59		733.63	30-Aug-2011 15:48
5005202+110.800-TS			1, 167,00		01-Sep-2007 00:00	850.00		01-Oct-2008 00:00	1,540.82		19-Sep-2009 21:51	1,491,10		18-Aug-2010 17:14	1,770.31		30-Aug-2011 16:05
	0.562	0.562 2012-02-08		1,056.00			636.00			1,331,36	1,331.38 19-Sep-2009 21:51		714.84	18-Aug-2010 17:14		833.88	30-Aug-2011 16:05
5005202+113.600-TS			1,112.00		01-Sep-2007 00:00 1,148.00	1,148.00		01-Oct-2008 00:00 1,542.04			19-Sep-2008 21:12	1,529.02		18-Aug-2010 18:26	1,607.89		30-Aug-2011 17:38
	0.539	0.539 2012-03-29		1,003.00			910.00			1 313 17	19-Sep-2009 21:12		840.70	840.70 18-Aug-2010 18:26		840.32	30-Aug-2011 17:38

	5005201+113.600-TS		5005201+110.800-TS		5005201+110.200-TS	Nama Plata Chalinage	7-1110
0 562 2012-03-28	-	0.487 2012-02-08		0.528 2		Most Recent Depoi Data	
2012-03-28		7012-02-08		2012-02-08		Depoi Date	
990.00	1,100.00	1,015,00	1.108,00	970.00	1,072.00	ON OFF Potential Potentia	
	01-Sep-2007 00:00 1,074:00		01-Sep-2007 00:00 766,00	_	01-Sep-2007 00:00 791.00	Most Depoi Date ON OFF Date/Time Recent Potential Potential Depoi Data	
88	1,074.00		786,00	59	791.00	ON OFF Potential Potential	
884.00	01-Oct-2008 00:00 1,510.53	586,00	01-Oct-2008 00:00 1,462.21	592.00	01-Oct-2008 00:00 1,440.75	Date/Time	
	1,510.53		1,462.21		1,440.75	ON OFF Potential Potential	
1,295.15		1,243.51		1,226,41		OFF Potential	
5 19-Sep-2009 21:12	19-Sep-2009 21:12 1,447.06	19-Sep-2009 21:54	19-Sep-2009 21:54	19-Sep-2009 21:41	19-Sep-2009 21:41 1,424.63	Deta/Time	
	1,447.09		1,400.66		1,424.63	Potential	
782.25		640.49		668.67		OFF Potential	
18-Aug-2010 18:27	18-Aug-2010 18:27 1,575.83	18-Aug-2010 17:14	18-Aug-2010 17:14 1 7:10:98	18-Aug-2010 17:00	18-Aug-2010 17:00 1,674.0	Deta/Titme	
	1,575.83		1,710.90		1,674.06	ON OFF Potential Potentia	
863.81		792.07		747.93		OFF Potential	
30-Aug-2011 16:22	30-Aug-2011 18:22	30-Aug-2011 18:09	30-Aug-2011 16:00	30-Aug-2011 15:53	30-Aug-2011 15:53	Deterfitme	
		<u></u>	_			20,	

TransCanada Pipelines

() TransCanada
In business to deliver

Title: Rectifier and Groundbed Measurements

Date: Tuesday, March 27, 2012

Operational Region: Western States Region

End Date: Start Date: Area of Influence: 2012-03-27 2007-01-01 North Pipeline

 !	5
	Enclosure Name Reac
	800
	Reading
	Туре
Number	Reculfie
•	Tap s
ء د	etting R
Reading (Volt)	edifier
	2
3	ctifier
	Rectifier Groundbec
<b>Type</b>	
	3
	and the second
	Name
(Arapa)	Ground
	ndbed Groundbed
ype	roundb
(ohm	d Circu
	*
	Readin
	g Date
	Sourc
	ource
	Groun
	ndbed C
	Omment
	Recilf
	er Com
	ment
	أستند

North Pipeline

5005101+105.7-R

by Rich Christman by Rich Christman	Manual by R	07-Jul-2010 00:00 1	7.86	Normal	1.7700	Beck Road	Normal	13.9100	\$	105.7	Decline.
By Tab Anderson By Tab Anderson	Manual By T	10-May-2010 00:00	8.00	Normal	1.7000	Beck Road	Normal	13.6000	à	105.7	Rectifier
5	Manual By C		7,88	Normal	1,7000	Beck Road	Nomal	13,4000	ક	105.7	Recifier
		11-Jan-2010 00:00	8.87	Normal	1,5000	Beck Road	Normal	13.3000	۵	105.7	Rectifier
	Manual	10-Nov-2009 00:00	8.67	Normal	1.5000	Beck Road	Normal	13.0000	2	105.7	Rectifier
unknown			.o.	Normal	1.9000	Beck Road	Normal	13.1900	23	105.7	Rectifier
	Manual unto		7,98	Normal	1.6800	Beck Road	Normal	13.4000	\$	105.7	Rectifier
unknown		٠	8.02	Normal	1.6200	Back Road	Normal	13.0000	ል	105.7	Rectifier
ONNOWN		04-Mar-2009-00:00	8.67	Normal	1.5000	Beck Road	Norma	13,0000	۵	105.7	Rectfler
nown			8.67	Normal	1.5000	Beck Road	Normal	13.0000	೭	105.7	Rectifier
Lindown Company of the Company of th	Manual unter	10-Nov-2006 00:00	<u>р</u>	Noma	2,0000	Beck Road	Normal	13.0000	\$	105.7	Rectifier
			12.18	Normal	1.1000	Beck Road	Normal	13,4000	A3	105.7	Rectifier
	Manuel union	-	e.75	Normal	1.6000	Beck Road	Normal	14.0000	à	105.7	Rectifier
		_	8.24	Normal	1.7000	Beck Road	Normal	14.0000	2	105.7	Rectifier
UKOOM)	Manual unkr	01-Apr-2006 00:00	8.67	Normal	1.5000	Beck Road	Normal	13.0000	ል	106.7	Rectifier
unknown			6.94	Normai	1.8000	Back Road	Normal	12,5000	8	105.7	Rectifier
unknown.		14-Feb-2008 00:00 1	7.81	Normal	1.6000	Beck Road	Normal	12.5000	ል	105.7	Rectifier
unknown			7.22	Normal	1.8000	Beck Road	Normal	13.0000	ጵ	105.7	Rectifier
(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	Manual unkr	07-0ac-2007 00;00 1	7.78	Normal	1.8000	Beck Road	Normal	14.0000	ઢ	105.7	Rectifier
unknown			7.50	Normal	1.8000	Back Road	Normal	13.5000	8	105.7	Rectifier
			7.92	Normal	1.7000	Beck Road	Normal	13.5000	6	106.7	Rectiter
	Manual unkr		9.00	Normal	1.5000	Beck Road	Normal	13.5000	A5	105.7	Rectifier
	Manual unfor	09-Aug-2007 00:00 I	933	Normal	1,5000	Beck Road	Normal	14,0000	ሯ	105.7	Rectifier
			8.24	Nome	1.7000	Beck Road	Normal	14.0000	3	105.7	Rectifier
	Manual union	,	8.24	Normal	1.7000	Beck Road	Normal	14.0000	3	105.7	Rectifier
		03-May-2007 00:00 h	7.94	Normal	1.7000	Beck Road	Normal	13.5000	A5	105.7	Rectifier
U nova	Manual union	11-Apr-2007 00:00 N	9 3	Normal	1.6000	Beck Road	Normal	13.0000	<b>A5</b>	105.7	Rectifier
nown			8,13	Normal	1.6000	Beck Road	Normal	13.0000	A5	105.7	Rectifier
Charles and the second	Manual unkn		7.61	Normal	1.6000	Beck Road	Normal	12.5000	8	105.7	Rectifier
unknown	Manual unkr		7.65	Normal	1,7000	Beck Road	Normal	13.0000	ጽ	105.7	Rectifier
こうは 対対の はいこう かいかい おいかい かいかい しょうしき しきょうせい 一直 はっぱ 一直 はんかい かいかい かいかい かいかい かいかい かいかい かいかい かいかい	usum menumu	10-Jan-2007 00:00 h	8.67	Normal	1.5000	Beck Road	Normal	13,0000	85	105.7	Rectifier

Rectlier 105.7 A5 23.2000	Rectifier 105.7 A5 23.2000	105.7 A	Recifier 105.7 A4 18.3000	Reciffer 105.7 A3 0.0000	Redifier 105.7 A3 13.5000	Recifier 105.7 A3 13.7400	Recifier 105.7 A3 13.8000	105.7 A3	100.1
Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal		Normal		Normal		1 100
Beck Road	Beck Road	Beck Road	Beck Road	Beck Road	Beck Road	Beck Road	Beck Road	Beck Road	Control
3.3000	2.5500	0,000	2.3600	0.0000	1.7200	1.9800	1.7100	0.0000	
Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	
7.03	9.10	NA	7.75	N.	7.91	6.94	8.07	N/A	;
01-Mar-2012 00:00	05-Jan-2012 00:00		06-Sep-2011 00:00	08-Jul-2011 00:00	05-May-2011 00:00	01-Mar-2011 00:00	06-Jan-2011 00:00	02-Nov-2010 00:00	
Manual	Manual	<u> </u>	Manual	<u>p</u>	<u>m</u> .			O Manual C	
By Tab Anderson	By Dean Christman	Off for williams pipeline work		OFF for Depol Work		and the second s		Off for pipe replacement projet	
uel By Tab Anderson By Tab Anderson	By Dean Christman	Off for williams pipeline work		OFF far Depol Work				usi Off for pipe replacement project. Off for pipe replacement project and Denot work (Williams Pl. & and Denot work (Williams Pl. &	

TransCanada Pipelines
Title: Rectifier and Groundbed Measurements
Date: Tuesday, March 27, 2012

() TransCanada
In business to deliver

Start Date: Area of Influence: Operational Region: North Pipeline 2007-01-01

End Date: 2012-03-27 Western States Region

. 그런 모든 얼마 그런
---

5005301+127.6-R

by James Olson	by James Olson	Manual	05-Jul-2010 00:00	12.90	Nome	5,1000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	65,7800	ኔ	127.6	Rectifier
By Scott Apriz	By Scott Apilz	Manual	03-May-2010 00:00	13.67	Norma	4,8000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	65.6000	δ	127.6	Rectifier
Taken By Pat Brown	Taken By Par Brown	Marie	02-Mar-2010 00:00	13.65	Normal	4.8000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	65.5000	δ	127.6	Rectifler
Recifier found out of service.	Rectifier found out of service.	Manual	05-Jan-2010 00:00	NA	Normal	0.0000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	0.0000	5	127.6	Rectfier
		Manual	04-Nev-2009 90:00	13.27	Normal	4.9800	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	68.1000	2	127.6	Rectifier
	Out of service for depolarization	Manual	01-Sep-2009 00:00	N/A	Normal	0.0000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	0.0000	2	127.6	Rectifier
Section of the second section sec	UNXNOWN	Manual	01-Jul-2009 00:00	13.77	Normal	4,8000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	66.1000	δ	127.6	Rectifier
200	UNKNOWN	Manual	04-May-2009 00:00	11.93	Normal	5,4000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	64.4000	Ω	127.6	Rectifier
and Charles (A Carlotte ) and the Carlotte (A Carlotte ) and the Carlotte (A Carlotte ) and the Carlotte (A Car	NACONANI	Manua	05-Mar-2008 00:00	13.11	Normal	\$0867	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	65.3000	5	127.8	Rectifier
:	UNKNOWN	Manual	12-Jan-2009 00:00	12.00	Normal	5.5000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	66.0000	δ	127.6	Rectifier
And the second s		Manual	03-Nov-2008 00:00	12,00	Normal	5.5000	Baker Road/Spangle	Norma	66.0000	δ	127.6	Rectitler
	UNKNOWN	Manual	17-Sep-2008 00:00	13.61	Normal	4.9000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	66.7000	2	127.6	Rectifier
The second secon	to the second	Manusi	03-Jul-2008 00:00	1231	Normal	5.4000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	66.5000	Ω	127.6	Rectifier
:	UNKNOWN	Manual	04-Jun-2008 00:00	13.09	Normal	5.0500	Baker Road/Spangle	Norma	66.1000	Ω	127.6	Rectifier
	And the second s	Manual	07-Apr-2008 00:00	12.37	Normal	5,4000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	66.8000	ō	127.6	Rectifier
	บกหกองก	Manual	04-Mar-2008 00:00	9.43	Normal	7.0000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	66,0000	₹.	127.6	Rectifier
	CHOCKIN	Manual	11-Feb-2008 00:00	11.82	Nomae	5,5000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	65,0000	ł	127.6	Rectifier
		Manual	02-Jan-2008 00:00	11.82	Normal	5.5000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	65.0000	£	127.6	Rectifier
The second secon	UNKNOWN	Manual	04-Dec-2007 00:00	11.00	Normal	6,0000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	66.0000	R	127.6	Rectifier
a september of the second section of september of	unknown	Manual	01-Nov-2007 00:00	11.64	Normal	5,5000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	64.0000	¥	127.6	Rectifier
	Unktrown	Menual	01-0ct-2007 00:00	10.83	Normal	6.0000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	65,0000	Ł	127.6	Rectifier
	пркложи	Manual	04-Sep-2007 00:00	12.19	Normal	5.2500	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	54.0000	£	127.6	Rectifier
and the second s	UNION CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Manual	02-Aug-2007 00:00	9.43	Nome	7.0000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	66.0000	R	127.6	Recoffer
	unknown	Manual	02-Jul-2007 00:00	8.93	Normal	7.0000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	62,5000	¥	127,6	Rectifier
dentita Comment domaine planta planta del mante de persona de persona de persona de la posición de la Colonia	unkrown		04-Jun-2007 00:00	10.45	Normal	6.7000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	70.0000	2	127.6	Rectifier
	unknown	Manual	01-May-2007 00:00	9.31	Normal	7.2000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	67.0000	ž	127.6	Rectifier
	UNADWA	Manual	02-Apr-2007 00:00	8.59	Normal	7.8000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	67.0000	2	127.6	Rectifier
	unknown	Manual	01-Mar-2007 00:00	8.50	Normai	8.0000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	68.0000	£	127.6	Rectifier
• to company is sent to the contract to the co	UNIVERSAL CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF	Manual	11-Feb-2007 00:00	11.82	Norme	5.5000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	66.0000	¥	127.6	Recilier
	unkanown	Manual	05-Feb-2007 00:00	9.07	Normal	7.5000	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	68.0000	¥	127.6	Rectifier
	(n)	Manual	03-Jan-2007 00:00	8.93	Normal	7.5000	Baker Road/Spangle	Norma	67.0000	Ł	127,6	Rectifier

By James Oson	By James Clacon	Manual	07-Mar-2012 00:00	14.30	Normal	5.6100		Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	80.2000	8	127.6	Rectifier
By Bif Hendrix	By Bil Hendrix	Manual	04-Jan-2012 00:00	12.41	Normal	6,2900	1	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	78.0500	02	127.6	Rectifier
Off For Williams Depot.	Off For Williams Depot.	7/1	01-Nov-2011 00:00	N	Normal	0.0000		Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	0.0000	ር	127.6	Rectifier
		Manual	02-Sep-2011 00:00	13.80	Normal	5.0500	:	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	69.7000	ß.	127.6	Rectifier
Off for Depol work		Menual	07-Jul-2011 00:00	N.	Normal	0.0000	The second secon	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	0.0000	2	127 6	Rectifler
			٠	13.83	Normai	4.6300		Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	64.0200	2	127.6	Rectifier
		Manual	08-Mar-2011 00:00	15.03	Normal	4.3100		Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	64.7600	5	127.6	Rectifier
		Manual	04-Jan-2011 00:00	17.21	Normal	3,8100	:	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	65.5700	δ	127.6	Rectifier
Off for Depol work (Willia	Manual Off for Depot work (Williams PL) Off for Depot work (Williams PL)	Manual	02-Nov-2010 00:00	N	Normal	0.0000	COLUMN TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	0.0000	\$	127.6	Reclifer
		Manua	02-Sep-2010 00:00	14./8	Normal	4.4000		Baker Road/Spangle	Normal	65.0400	2	127.6	Rectifier