315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 telephone (206) 676-7000 fax (206) 676-7001

SERVICES OF OREGON, INC. - 1

2.7

Ch. 70.95 RCW (Washington Solid Waste Management Act)Ch. 81.04 RCW (WUTC – Transportation Regulations, General)

Ch. 81.77 RCW (WUTC – Solid Waste Collection Companies)

Ch. 34.05 RCW (Administrative Procedures Act)

III. ANSWER TO THE COMPLAINT

- In answer to Paragraph 1 of WCI's Complaint, Respondent WM admits that the Complaint asks the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("Commission") to issue a cease and desist order or declare that the activity alleged is subject to RCW 81.77.040, RCW 81.77.100 and WAC 480-70-006, et seq. Respondent WM lacks sufficient knowledge or information on which to base a conclusion and therefore denies the allegations identifying the Complainant. Except as so admitted, all remaining allegations are denied, and Respondent WM specifically denies the inference that the activities being conducted at the Evergreen Aluminum remediation site require a certificate of public convenience and necessity from the Commission and therefore denies that the activity is subject to RCW 81.77.040, RCW 81.77.100 or WAC 480-70-006 et seq.
 - 3.2 There is no Paragraph 2 in WCI's Complaint.
- 3.3 In answer to Paragraph 3 of WCI's Complaint, all allegations are denied, and Respondent WM specifically denies that the Commission has jurisdiction over WCI's Complaint or over Respondents' activities at the Evergreen Aluminum facility.
- 3.4 In answer to Paragraph 4 of WCI's Complaint, Respondent WM lacks sufficient knowledge or information on which to base a conclusion and therefore denies the allegations regarding certificate of public convenience and necessity No. G-253, although Exhibit A speaks for itself in terms of what it states.
- 3.5 In answer to Paragraph 5 of WCI's Complaint, all allegations are denied and Respondent WM specifically denies that it has been engaged in any activity that violates RCW 81.77.040; and Respondent WM lacks sufficient knowledge or information on which to base a conclusion regarding other respondents and therefore denies those allegations also.

- 3.6 In answer to Paragraph 6 of WCI's Complaint, all allegations are denied and Respondent WM specifically denies that it has been engaged in any activity that subjects it to regulation under Ch. 81.77 RCW; and Respondent WM lacks sufficient knowledge or information on which to base a conclusion regarding other respondents and therefore denies those allegations also.
- 3.7 In answer to Paragraph 7 of WCI's Complaint, Respondent WM is not required to answer a legal conclusion, although RCW 81.77.090 speaks for itself in terms of what it states.
- 3.8 In answer to Paragraph 8 of WCI's Complaint, Respondent WM lacks sufficient knowledge or information on which to base a conclusion regarding other respondents and therefore denies the allegations contained therein.
- 3.9 In answer to Paragraph 9 of WCI's Complaint, Respondent WM admits that Waste Management National Services, Inc. has a Master Industrial Waste & Disposal Services Agreement with Evergreen Aluminum LLC under which it provides collection, management, transportation, disposal, treatment and recycling services for Industrial Waste consisting of hazardous waste, special waste and non-hazardous waste, all of which are specifically defined terms; and that a landfill at Hillsboro, Oregon, is owned and operated by WM and/or its parent or affiliate. Except as so admitted, all other allegations are denied.
- 3.10 In answer to Paragraph 10 of WCI's Complaint, Respondent WM denies all allegations contained therein.
- 3.11 In answer to Paragraph 11 of WCI's Complaint, Respondent WM denies all allegations contained therein.
- 3.12 In answer to Paragraph 12 of WCI's Complaint, Respondent WM denies all allegations contained therein, and Respondent WM specifically denies that persons other than the parties need not be given notice and an opportunity to participate in the proceeding.

IV. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

By way of affirmative defenses, Respondent WM alleges as follows:

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I hereby certify that I have this day served this document upon all parties of record in this	
3	proceeding, by the method as indicated below, pursuant to WAC 480-07-150.	
4	Attorneys for Complainant Waste Connections of Washington, Inc.	☐ Via Legal Messenger☐ Via Facsimile
5	David W. Wiley Jacob M. Downs	☑ Via U.S. Mail
6	Williams Kastner PO Box 21926	☑ Via Email
7	Two Union Square 601 Union Street	
8	Seattle, WA 98111-3926 dwiley@williamskastner.com	
9	jdowns@williamskastner.com	
10	Attorneys for Envirocon, Inc. John R. Herrig	☐ Via Legal Messenger☐ Via Facsimile
11	Lacey A. Kenmore Herrig, Vogt & Stoll, LLP	☑ Via U.S. Mail
12	1030 North Center Parkway, Suite 201 Kennewick, WA 99336	☑ Via Email
13	jrh@hvslaw.com lak@hvslaw.com	
14		
15	Attorneys for Intervenor Petitioner Clark County Bronson Potter	☐ Via Legal Messenger☐ Via Facsimile
16	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Clark County Prosecuting Attorney's Office	☑ Via U.S. Mail ☑ Via Email
17	Civil Division PO Box 5000	
18	Vancouver, WA 98666-5000 bronson.potter@clark.wa.gov	
19	Attorneys for Intervenor Petitioner Washington Refuse and	☐ Via Legal Messenger
20	Recycling Association James K. Sells	☐ Via Facsimile ☐ Via U.S. Mail
21	Ryan Sells Uptegraft, Inc. P.S. 9657 Levin Road N.W., Suite 240	☑Via Email
22	Silverdale, WA 98383 jimsells@rsulaw.com	
23		2005
24	DATED at Seattle, Washington, this 3 day of, 2007.	
25	Tealer Q Tear	
26	Leslie D. Teves	

ANSWER OF WASTE MANAGEMENT DISPOSAL SERVICES OF OREGON, INC. - 5

27